```
Lemma 1. Z (a) proof
(a) f, g total => g of total.
           Chase definitions.
 Def. of total: f: A -B is total
       if dom (f) = A.
 Goal: down Assume: dom(f) = A
                            · dom (g) = B
          Prove: dom(gof) = A
      irendon Chase the definition again.

Recall: dom(f) = \{x \in A \mid \exists y \in B : (x,y) \in f\}
    Proof: dom (g \circ f) = \{ x \in A | \exists_{K}^{2} \in (:(x,z) \in g \circ f) \}
                            = \{ x \in A | T = z \in C : ] y \in B : f(x) = y
                                                        ∧g(y)=彭
                                Difficulty we have to
                                  massage this set comprehension
                                 to be A.
```

Try unother way.

```
Lemma 1. Z (a) informally not so informal in the and
 Assume f, g total.
  For any XEA;
                                  (f total)
     7 y. & B: f(x) = x.
  For any YEB:
                                   ( g total)
       7 Z E C: 9 (Yz) = Z
   So for any XEA,
      == (: g (f(x)) = =
Lemma 1.2 (b) starting point for calculational proof
      f, 9 1-1
  APP = definition of 1-1)
     (Ax, y & qom (t) : (f(x) = f(x) = x = y))
   \Lambda(\forall x, y \in dom(g) : (g(x) = g(y) \Rightarrow x = y))
           Ah! Too many quantifiers!
             Try another way.
```

```
Lemma 1.2(b) starting point (easter)
 Goal: gof 1-1 = Yxy Edom(gof): (All gof(x) = gof(y)
                                       \Rightarrow x = y
   For any x, y & A:
    (g \circ f)(x) = (g \circ f)(y)
   Ξ ( ?7
                        some of the justitiontins
    . . *
                         include "f is 1-1"
   7(?)
                           and "g is 1-1".
    \Xi \langle ? \rangle
     X= y
```

You can present less formal proofs to me.

But calculational proofs help you catch mistakes. If you can't gustify

But calculational proofs help you catch mistakes. If might be
sets a mistake

Example In valid assumptions w/ infinite sets a mistake
of common
mistakes;

(1) Assume A is infinite.

Let A = § xo, xi, xz,...§ 11.

Canit always list (count) elements this way

## (or. 1.3(b)

Goul: A&B => B&A.

Hint: use (or. 1.5(c).

f; A→B is bijective ( ) f has a 2-sided inverse

g: B-7A

Proof. Assume AXB.

i.e., ∃ f; A →B . f is bijective.

3 f[f: A≈B]

We prove: B&A.

i.e. = g[g: B≈A].

Let g be the Z-sided inverse of f provided by Car. 1.5(c).

In general, avoid using higher-numbered facts (from later in the notes) in earlier proofs (of

lower number facts).

This could lead to circular proofs, which would be invalid.

Only d. this when it's recommended.