

CS 4TH3: Computability Theory

Term 1, 2019/20

Instructor: J. Zucker

TA: M. Armstrong

1. Introduction; Mathematical Preliminaries

Computability theory (or *recursive function theory*) originated in the 1930's in the research of *Church*, *Gödel*, *Turing*, *Kleene* and others, who formalised the notion of computable (or “recursive”) function in different ways, e.g., by Turing machines, lambda-calculus, definability by μ -recursive schemes, and definability by sets of equations. Corresponding to each of these formalisms is a *Church-Turing Thesis* which identifies computability by that formalism with intuitive algorithmic computability.

We use *computability by a simple imperative programming language* as our basic notion. Also, we take computability of *partial functions* (“partial recursiveness”) as basic.

References

These notes are based closely on [PZ93], which in turn was directly inspired by [DW83]. We have also benefitted from the classic references [Kle52] and [Rog67]. [DDS78] give a useful set-theoretic background. The collection [Dav65] contains interesting articles by the pioneers in the field: Church, Gödel, Turing and others. [Dav00] is a very readable history of the subject.

[PZ93] L. Pretorius and J.I. Zucker. “Introduction to Computability Theory”. *South African Computer Journal*, 9, pages 3–30.

[DW83] M. Davis and E. Weyuker. *Computability, Complexity and Languages*. Academic Press, 1983.

[Kle52] S. C. Kleene. *Introduction to Metamathematics*. North-Holland, 1952.

[Rog67] H. Rogers, Jr. *Theory of Recursive functions and Effective Computability*. McGraw-Hill, 1967. (Chapters 1, 2, 5).

[DDS78] D. van Dalen, H. C. Doets and H. de Swart. *Sets: Naive, Axiomatic and Applied*. Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1978.

[Dav65] M. Davis (ed.) *The Undecidable*. Raven Press, 1965.

[Dav00] M. Davis. *The Universal Computer*. Norton, 2000

Mathematical Preliminaries

We review some basic concepts.

Sets and n -tuples

- $A \subseteq B$ means: A is a subset of B , i.e., $\forall x(x \in A \Rightarrow x \in B)$.
- $A \subset B$ means: A is a *proper* subset of B , i.e., $A \subseteq B$ but $A \neq B$.
- $a \in A$ means: a is an element of the set A .
- The order of writing, or repetition of, elements of a set $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ is irrelevant.
- The order in an n -tuple $\vec{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ is important:
 $(a_1, \dots, a_m) = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \iff m = n \wedge a_1 = b_1 \wedge \dots \wedge a_n = b_n.$
- $A_1 \times \dots \times A_n = \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \mid a_1 \in A_1, \dots, a_n \in A_n\}$
 $A^n = \underbrace{A \times \dots \times A}_{n \text{ times}}.$

Natural numbers

- $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ is the set of *natural numbers*.

By “*number*” we will mean natural number.

\mathbb{N} will be our *basic domain of computation*.

Relations

- An n -ary relation on a set A is a subset of A^n , for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$
- When $n = 2$, this is a *binary relation* on A — often use *infix*.
E.g., write ‘ $x < y$ ’ for ‘ $< (x, y)$ ’.
- If B and C are two n -ary relations on A , define:

$$\begin{aligned}B \cup C &= \{ \vec{x} \in A^n \mid \vec{x} \in B \text{ or } \vec{x} \in C \}, \\B \cap C &= \{ \vec{x} \in A^n \mid \vec{x} \in B \text{ and } \vec{x} \in C \}, \\B \setminus C &= \{ \vec{x} \in A^n \mid \vec{x} \in B \text{ and } \vec{x} \notin C \}, \\B^c &= A^n \setminus B.\end{aligned}$$

- By “relation” we will usually mean: *relation on* \mathbb{N} .

Functions

A (*partial*) *function* $f : A \rightharpoonup B$ is a subset of $A \times B$ such that for all $a \in A$ there is *at most one* $b \in B$ (denoted $f(a)$) such that $(a, b) \in f$.

The *domain* and *range* of f are defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{dom}(f) &= \{ x \in A \mid \exists y \in B : (x, y) \in f \} \\ \text{and } \mathbf{ran}(f) &= \{ y \in B \mid \exists x \in A : (x, y) \in f \},\end{aligned}$$

Notation.

- $f(x) \uparrow$ (“diverges”) if $x \notin \mathbf{dom}(f)$,
- $f(x) \downarrow$ (“converges”) if $x \in \mathbf{dom}(f)$, and
- $f(x) \downarrow y$ (“converges to y ”) if $x \in \mathbf{dom}(f)$ and $(x, y) \in f$.

Also write: $f(x) = y$.

- If $A = A_1 \times \dots \times A_n$, we write $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and say f is a *function of n arguments*, or an *n -ary function*, or a function of *arity n* .
(We call f *unary* if $n = 1$ and *binary* if $n = 2$.)
- A function $f : A \rightharpoonup B$ is *total* if $\mathbf{dom}(f) = A$ (written $f : A \rightarrow B$).

Definitions. A total function $f : A \rightarrow B$ is called

- **injective** or **1-1**, or an **embedding** of A into B , written $f : A \hookrightarrow B$, if $\forall x, y \in \text{dom}(f) (f(x) = f(y) \Rightarrow x = y)$;
- **surjective** or **onto** B , written $f : A \twoheadrightarrow B$, if $\text{ran}(f) = B$, i.e., $\forall y \in B \exists x \in A (f(x) = y)$;
- **bijective** or a **bijection** between A and B , or a **1-1 correspondence** between A and B , written $f : A \approx B$, if it is **both 1-1 and onto** B

Notation.

- $A \hookrightarrow B$ means $\exists f[f : A \hookrightarrow B]$.
- $A \twoheadrightarrow B$ means $\exists f[f : A \twoheadrightarrow B]$.
- $A \approx B$ means $\exists f[f : A \approx B]$.

In this case we also say: A and B are **equinumerous**.

Note. For our purposes,

partial functions are the more basic concept,

and totality of functions should *not* be assumed unless explicitly stated.

In fact we will be concerned mainly with n -ary partial functions on \mathbb{N} , i.e. functions $f : \mathbb{N}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, for some $n > 0$. So by “function” we will generally mean *partial function on* \mathbb{N} , denoted by f, g, h, \dots

- We will use **lambda-notation** informally.
E.g., $\lambda x, y \cdot (x^2 + 3y + 1)$ denotes the function $f : \mathbb{N}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$, $f(x, y) = x^2 + 3y + 1$.

Definitions. (1) 1_A is the *identity function* on A .

(2) If $f : A \rightarrow B$ and $g : B \rightarrow C$, then $g \circ f : A \rightarrow C$ is their **composition** $\lambda x \cdot g(f(x))$.

Lemma 1.1. (a) Let $f: A \rightarrow B$. Then $f \circ 1_A = f = 1_B \circ f$.

(b) Let $f: A \rightarrow B$, $g: B \rightarrow C$ and $h: C \rightarrow D$. Then $h \circ (g \circ f) = (h \circ g) \circ f$.
We therefore write this as $h \circ g \circ f$.

Lemma 1.2. Let $f: A \rightarrow B$ and $g: B \rightarrow C$. Then

(a) f, g total $\implies g \circ f$ total.

Suppose f, g total. Then

(b) f, g 1-1 $\implies g \circ f$ 1-1.

(c) f, g onto $\implies g \circ f$ onto. **Hence:**

(d) f, g bijective $\implies g \circ f$ bijective.

Proof: EXERCISES. \square

Corollary 1.3. Equinumerosity is an equivalence relation on the universe of sets, i.e.,

(a) $A \approx A$

(b) $A \approx B \implies B \approx A$

(c) $A \approx B \approx C \implies A \approx C$.

Proof: EXERCISES. (Note: For (b), use Cor. 1.5(c) below.) \square

Definitions. (3) Suppose $f: A \rightarrow B$ and $g: B \rightarrow A$.

(a) g is a *left inverse* of f if $g \circ f = 1_A$.

(b) g is a *right inverse* of f if $f \circ g = 1_B$.

(c) g is a *2-sided inverse* of f if g is both a left and a right inverse of f .

Note. g is a left inverse of $f \iff f$ is a right inverse of g .

Predicates

We identify $\mathbb{2} = \{0, 1\}$ with the set of *truth values*,
i.e. $0 = \text{false}$ and $1 = \text{true}$.

- A *predicate* on a set A is a total function $P : A \rightarrow \mathbb{2}$.
An n -ary predicate on A is a predicate on A^n .
- Given $B \subseteq A$, the ***characteristic function*** or ***characteristic predicate*** of B on A is the function $\chi_B : A \rightarrow \mathbb{2}$ such that $\forall x \in A$:

$$\chi_B(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in B \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- Conversely, given a predicate $P : A \rightarrow \mathbb{2}$, the *characteristic set* of P on A is the set $\mathcal{S}_P = \{x \in A \mid P(x) = 1\} \subseteq A$. Hence (by Cor. 1.5(c) below)

$$\wp A \approx \text{PRED}(A)$$

where $\wp A$ is the power set (the set of all subsets) of A and $\text{PRED}(A)$ is the set of predicates on A .

Note. We will usually take $A = \mathbb{N}$, i.e. we will be working mainly with n -ary relations on \mathbb{N} and n -ary predicates on \mathbb{N} (for $n \geq 1$).

Basic set theory

(For some background, a good reference is [DDS78].)

Theorem 1.4. *Suppose $A \neq \emptyset$. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) *there is a (total) injection $f: A \hookrightarrow B$*
- (2) *there is a (total) surjection $g: B \twoheadrightarrow A$.*

Further, given either f or g as above, the other can be chosen so that $g \circ f = 1_A$.

Proof: (Done in class.) \square

Corollary 1.5. *Suppose $A \neq \emptyset$ and f, g total.*

- (a) *$f: A \rightarrow B$ is injective $\iff f$ has a left inverse $g: B \rightarrow A$.*
- (b) *$g: B \rightarrow A$ is surjective $\iff g$ has a right inverse $f: A \rightarrow B$.*
- (c) *$f: A \rightarrow B$ is bijective $\iff f$ has a 2-sided inverse $g: B \rightarrow A$.*

Note. Part (c) of Corollary 1.5 was used in the proof of Cor. 1.3(b), and also in the proof (p. 1-6) that $\wp A \approx \text{PRED}(A)$.

Note.

- (a) A *right inverse* need not be a *left inverse*, and *vice versa*.
- (b) A 1-sided inverse need *not* be *unique*.
- (c) However a 2-sided inverse *is unique*.

We write the (unique) inverse of f (if it exists) as f^{-1} .

Proof: EXERCISES. (*Hint:* For (a), (b), take $A = \mathbb{2}$, $B = \mathbb{N}$.) \square

Finite and Infinite sets

Definition. $\text{seg}(n) =_{df} \{0, \dots, n-1\}$.

Note. $\text{seg}(0) = \emptyset$.

Definition (Finite set). (a) A is *finite* $\iff \exists n \in \mathbb{N}[A \approx \text{seg}(n)]$.

(b) A is *infinite* otherwise.

If A is finite, with $A \approx \text{seg}(n)$, write $A = \{a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}\}$.

Q. Is it possible that $B \subset A$ but $B \approx A$?

This question is answered by the following theorems, especially Cor. 1.10.

Lemma 1.6. (a) $B \subseteq \text{seg}(n)$, $B \approx \text{seg}(n) \implies B = \text{seg}(n)$.

(b) $B \subset \text{seg}(n) \implies \exists m < n[B \approx \text{seg}(m)]$.

Proof: EXERCISE. (By induction on n .) \square

Corollaries 1.7.

- (a) $\text{seg}(m) \approx \text{seg}(n) \implies m = n.$ [by Lemma 1.6(a)]
- (b) $B \subset A \approx \text{seg}(n) \implies \exists m < n[B \approx \text{seg}(m)].$ [by Lemma 1.6(b)]
- (c) $B \subset A, A \text{ finite} \implies B \not\approx A.$ [by (a) and (b)]
- (d) $A \approx \text{seg}(n)$ for at most one $n \in \mathbb{N}.$ [by (a)]

We call this n the **cardinal (number) of** A , written $\text{card}(A)$ or $|A|$.

We say: A has n elements.

Lemma 1.8. $\mathbb{N} \approx$ a proper subset of \mathbb{N} .

Proof: Ex. \square

Theorem 1.9. For any set A , the following are equivalent:

- (1) A is infinite
- (2) $\mathbb{N} \hookrightarrow A$
- (3) $A \approx$ some proper subset of A

Proof: (1) \Rightarrow (2): (Details in class).

(2) \Rightarrow (3): EXERCISE.

(3) \Rightarrow (1): From Cor. 1.7(c). \square

Note. By Theorem 1.4, we can re-write Theorem 1.9 as:

Theorem 1.9⁺. For any set A , the following are equivalent:

- (1) A is infinite
- (2) $\mathbb{N} \hookrightarrow A$
- (3) $A \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{N}$
- (4) $A \approx$ some proper subset of A

From Theorem 1.9, we get:

Corollary 1.10.

A set is equinumerous with some proper subset of itself iff it is infinite.

Theorem 1.11 (Countability). For any A , the foll. are equiv:

- (1) $A \hookrightarrow \mathbb{N}$
- (2) $A = \emptyset$ or $\exists g: \mathbb{N} \twoheadrightarrow A$.
- (3) A is finite, or $\exists h: \mathbb{N} \approx A$.

A is called **countable** or **enumerable** if any of the above conditions holds.

Proof: (1) \Leftrightarrow (2): Thm 1.4 (p. 1-7), with $B = \mathbb{N}$.

(3) \Rightarrow (1): Clear.

(2) \Rightarrow (3): Replace g by a bijection $h: \text{seg}(n) \approx A$ or $h: \mathbb{N} \approx A$ by deleting repetitions. \square

Corollary 1.12. For any $A \neq \emptyset$: $A \hookrightarrow \mathbb{N} \iff \mathbb{N} \twoheadrightarrow A$.

Notes.

- (a) In (2) above, g is called an **enumeration** or **listing with repetitions**, since g enumerates or lists A :

$$A = \{a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots\} \quad \text{where } a_i = g(i).$$

Similarly, in (3), h is an **enumeration without repetitions**.

- (b) By (3) above, if A is countable but not finite, then $A \approx \mathbb{N}$, and A is called **countably infinite**.
- (c) A set which is not countable is called **uncountable** (or **uncountably infinite**).
- (d) A subset of a finite set is finite. (By Cor. 1.7(b).)
- (e) A subset of a countable set is countable. (EXERCISE.)
- (f) If $A \approx B$ and A is **finite**, **countably infinite** or **uncountable** (resp.), then so is B . (EXERCISE.)
- Thus all sets can be *classified by size* as

- finite,
- countably infinite,
- uncountably infinite.

Roughly speaking, countable infinity is the “smallest” infinity.

Uncountable sets

Recall the notation: B^A is the set of (total) functions from A to B .

(Reason for the notation: For finite sets A and B , what is **card**(B^A)?)

Let $\text{TFN}^{(1)} = \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ be the set of total unary functions on \mathbb{N} .

Theorem 1.13 (Cantor).

The sets (a) $\text{TFN}^{(1)}$, (b) $\wp\mathbb{N}$ and (c) $\text{PRED}(\mathbb{N})$ are uncountably infinite.

Proof: The proofs use a *diagonalisation method*, which we will encounter many times in this course.

- (a) Let $F = \{f_0, f_1, f_2, \dots\}$ be any countable subset of $\text{TFN}^{(1)}$.
We will exhibit a function

$$f \in \text{TFN}^{(1)} \setminus F,$$

i.e. a *witness* that $F \subset \text{TFN}^{(1)}$. Define

$$f(n) = f_n(n) + 1.$$

Then for all n , $f(n) \neq f_n(n)$, and so $f \neq f_n$. Hence $f \notin F$.

- (b) Let $\mathcal{S} = \{X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots\}$ be any countable subset of $\wp\mathbb{N}$.
We can similarly define a witness that $\mathcal{S} \subset \wp\mathbb{N}$, namely

$$X =_{df} \{n \mid n \notin X_n\},$$

since for all n , $n \in X \Leftrightarrow n \notin X_n$, and so $X \neq X_n$.
Hence $X \notin \mathcal{S}$.

- (c) EXERCISE. \square

Some theorems on countability (See [DDS78], pp. 118 ff.)

Recall Thm 1.11 (p. 10): If $A \neq \emptyset$, then A is **countable** \iff

(a) $A \hookrightarrow \mathbb{N}$ **or** (b) $\mathbb{N} \twoheadrightarrow A$.

In case (a), if $f: A \hookrightarrow \mathbb{N}$, then f is a **coding** of A ,
and for $x \in A$, $f(x)$ is the **code** of x under f .

If f is *effective*, then it is an **effective coding** or **Gödel numbering** of A
(studied later).

In case (b), if $g: \mathbb{N} \twoheadrightarrow A$, then g is an **enumeration** or **listing** of A :

$$(a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots) \quad (\text{where } a_i = g(i))$$

— with or without repetitions.

If g is *effective*, then it is an **effective enumeration** of A (studied later).

The following results are proved in class.

Theorem 1.14 (Countable sets).

(1) A, B countable $\implies A \cup B$ countable.

Example: \mathbb{Z} is countable.

(2) A_0, \dots, A_n countable $\implies A_0 \cup \dots \cup A_n$ countable.

(3) A_0, A_1, A_2, \dots countable $\implies \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i$ countable.

(4) A, B countable $\implies A \times B$ countable.

Example: \mathbb{Q} is countable.

(5) A_0, \dots, A_n countable $\implies \prod_{i=0}^n A_i \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} A_0 \times \dots \times A_n$ is countable.

(6) A countable $\implies A^n$ countable ($n \geq 0$)

(7) A countable $\implies A^* \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} A^{<\omega} = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} A^n$ is countable.

Example: \mathbb{N}^* is countable.

Q. What about $A^\omega \approx A^{\mathbb{N}}$ for A countable?

A note on cardinal numbers

We showed (p. 1-9) how to define cardinal numbers for finite sets A :

$$\mathbf{card}(A) = \text{the unique } n \text{ such that } A \approx \mathbf{seg}(n).$$

The **card** operation has the property that for finite A, B :

$$\mathbf{card}(A) = \mathbf{card}(B) \iff A \approx B. \quad (1)$$

Georg Cantor, the founder of modern set theory, developed a theory of cardinal numbers for *all* sets, so as to satisfy (1).

Bertrand Russell defined **card**(A) as the equivalence class of A under the equivalence relation ' \approx '. (Recall Cor. 1.3.)

Notation.

- $\aleph_0 =_{df} \mathbf{card}(\mathbb{N})$
- $\mathfrak{c} =_{df} \mathbf{card}(\mathbb{R})$ (the cardinality of the real continuum)

Definitions.

- (1) $\mathbf{card}(A) \leq \mathbf{card}(B) \iff_{df} A \hookrightarrow B \text{ or } B \twoheadrightarrow A.$
- (2) $\mathbf{card}(A) < \mathbf{card}(B) \iff_{df} A \hookrightarrow B \text{ but not } A \approx B$
(or equiv: $A \hookrightarrow B \text{ but not } B \hookrightarrow A$).

It can then be shown:

- \aleph_0 is the smallest infinite cardinal
- $\mathbf{card}(A) < \aleph_0 \iff A \text{ is finite}$
- $\mathbf{card}(A) \leq \aleph_0 \iff A \text{ is countable} \iff A \hookrightarrow \mathbb{N} \iff \mathbb{N} \twoheadrightarrow A$
- $\mathbf{card}(A) = \aleph_0 \iff A \text{ is countably infinite} \iff A \approx \mathbb{N}$
- $\mathbf{card}(A) \geq \aleph_0 \iff A \text{ is infinite} \iff \mathbb{N} \hookrightarrow A \iff A \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{N}$
- $\mathbf{card}(A) > \aleph_0 \iff A \text{ is uncountable}$
- $\aleph_0^{\aleph_0} = \mathbf{card}(\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}) = \mathfrak{c} > \aleph_0$ by Cantor's Thm.
- $2^{\aleph_0} = \mathbf{card}(2^{\mathbb{N}}) = \mathbf{card}(\text{PRED}(\mathbb{N})) = \mathbf{card}(\wp\mathbb{N}) = \mathfrak{c} > \aleph_0$ also.

For more information, see, e.g., [DDS78].

Truth tables: basic operations on truth values

Let p and q be *boolean variables*, i.e. ranging over $\mathbb{2}$. The operations *not*, *and*, and *or*, denoted by \neg , \wedge , and \vee respectively, are defined by the truth tables

p	$\neg p$	and	p	q	$p \wedge q$	$p \vee q$
1	0		1	1	1	1
0	1		1	0	0	1
			0	1	0	1
			0	0	0	0

Now we can form new predicates from old, for if P and Q are predicates on A , then so are $\neg P$, $P \wedge Q$, and $P \vee Q$, where for $x \in A$:

$$\begin{aligned}\neg P(x) &= 1 - P(x), \\ (P \wedge Q)(x) &= P(x) \wedge Q(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } P(x) = 1 \text{ and } Q(x) = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ (P \vee Q)(x) &= P(x) \vee Q(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } P(x) = 1 \text{ or } Q(x) = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

The corresponding *characteristic sets* are

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S}_{\neg P} &= A \setminus \mathcal{S}_P = \{x \in A \mid \neg P(x)\}, \\ \mathcal{S}_{P \wedge Q} &= \mathcal{S}_P \cap \mathcal{S}_Q = \{x \in A \mid P(x) \wedge Q(x)\}, \\ \mathcal{S}_{P \vee Q} &= \mathcal{S}_P \cup \mathcal{S}_Q = \{x \in A \mid P(x) \vee Q(x)\}.\end{aligned}$$

We will use De Morgan's laws:

$$\begin{aligned}\neg(p \wedge q) &= \neg p \vee \neg q, \\ \neg(p \vee q) &= \neg p \wedge \neg q.\end{aligned}$$

We define $p \rightarrow q$ to mean $\neg p \vee q$ **or** $\neg(p \wedge \neg q)$.

Quantifiers

We usually quantify over \mathbb{N} , so that $\forall x R(x)$ means $(\forall x \in \mathbb{N}) R(x)$ and $\exists x R(x)$ means $(\exists x \in \mathbb{N}) R(x)$. Quantifiers can also be *relativised* to predicates P on \mathbb{N} , thus:

$$\begin{aligned}(\forall x)_{P(x)} R(x) &= \forall x [P(x) \rightarrow R(x)], \\ (\exists x)_{P(x)} R(x) &= \exists x [P(x) \wedge R(x)].\end{aligned}$$

In particular, we have *bounded* quantifiers:

$$\begin{aligned}(\forall x \leq n) P(x) &= (\forall x)_{x \leq n} P(x), \\ (\forall x < n) P(x) &= (\forall x)_{x < n} P(x), \\ (\exists x \leq n) P(x) &= (\exists x)_{x \leq n} P(x), \\ (\exists x < n) P(x) &= (\exists x)_{x < n} P(x).\end{aligned}$$

De Morgan's laws for quantifiers are

$$\begin{aligned}\neg \forall x R(x) &= \exists x \neg R(x), \\ \neg \exists x R(x) &= \forall x \neg R(x), \\ \neg (\forall x)_{P(x)} R(x) &= (\exists x)_{P(x)} \neg R(x), \\ \neg (\exists x)_{P(x)} R(x) &= (\forall x)_{P(x)} \neg R(x).\end{aligned}$$

Mathematical induction

We give three different (but equivalent) formulations of this principle.
Let P be a predicate on \mathbb{N} .

- **Simple induction (SI)**

*If $P(0)$ and $\forall n [P(n) \Rightarrow P(n+1)]$
then $\forall n P(n)$.*

- **Course-of-values induction (CVI)**

*If $\forall n [(\forall m < n P(m)) \Rightarrow P(n)]$
then $\forall n P(n)$.*

- **Least number principle (LNP)**

*If $\exists n P(n)$
then \exists least $n P(n)$,
that is, $\exists n [P(n) \wedge \forall m < n \neg P(m)]$.*

EXERCISE. Prove the equivalence of these three *induction schemes*.