Computer Science 3MI3 – 2020 homework 9

Adding "guarded commands" to Clojure

Mark Armstrong

November 28th, 2020

Contents

Introduction

In his 1975 paper Guarded commands, nondeterminacy and formal derivation of programs, Edsger W. Dijkstra proposed a foundation for an imperative language different from the commonly used branching and iterating constructs; the *guarded commands*, along with control structures operating on them.

The guarded command language is especially interesting in comparison to the languages we have developed in that it is inherently *non-deterministic*.

In this homework, we familiarise ourselves with the guarded command control constructs by implementing them in Clojure, using macros.

Boilerplate

Submission procedures

Submission method

Homework should be submitted to your McMaster CAS Gitlab respository in the cs3mi3-fall2020 project.

Ensure that you have **pushed** the commits to the remote repository in time for the deadline, and not just committed to your local copy.

Naming requirements

Place all files for the homework inside a folder titled hn, where n is the number of the homework. So, for homework 1, use the folder h1, for homework 2 the folder h2, etc. Ensure you do not capitalise the h.

Unless otherwise instructed in the homework questions, place all of your code for the homework in a single file in the hn folder named hn.ext, where ext is the appropriate extension for the language used according to this list:

- For Scala, ext is sc.
- For Prolog, ext is pl.
- For Ruby, ext is rb.
- For Clojure, ext is clj.

If multiple languages are used in the homework, submit a hn.ext file for each language.

If the language supports multiple different file extensions, you must still follow the extension conventions above.

Incorrect naming of files may result in up to a 10% deduction in your grade.

Do not submit testing or diagnostic code

Unless you are instructed to do so in the homework questions, you should not submit testing code with your homework submission.

This includes

- any main function,
- any print statements which output information that is not directly requested as console output in the homework questions.

If you do not wish to remove diagnostic print statements manually, you will have to find a way to ensure that they disabled in your final submission. For instance, by using a wrapper on the print function or macros.

Due date and allowance for technical difficulties

Homework is due on the second Sunday following its release, by the end of the day (midnight). Submissions past 00:00 may not be considered.

If you experience technical difficulties leading up to the submission time, please contact Mark **ASAP** with the details of the problem and, if possible, attach the current state of your homework to the communication. This information will help ensure we are able to accept your submission once the technical difficulties are resolved.

Proper conduct for coursework

Individual work

Unless explicitely stated in the homework questions, all homework in this course is intended to be *individually completed*.

You are welcome to discuss the content of the homework in the public forum of the class Microsoft Teams team homework channel, though obviously solutions or partial solutions should not be posted or described.

Private discussions about the homework cannot reasonably be forbidden, but such discussions should follow the same guidelines as public discussions.

Inappopriate collaboration via private discussions which is later discovered by course staff may be considered academic dishonesty.

When in doubt, make the discussion private, or report its contents to the course staff by making a note of it in your homework.

To clarify what is considered appropriate discussions of homework content, here are some examples:

- 1. Discussing the language features introduced or needed for the homework.
 - Such as relevant builtin datatypes and datatype definition methods and their general use.
 - Code snippets that are not partial solutions to the homework are welcome and encouraged.
- 2. Questions of the form "What is meant by x?", "Does x really mean y?" or "Is there a mistake with x?"

- Of course, questions of those form which would be answered by partial solutions are not considered appropriate.
- 3. Questions or advice about errors that may be encountered.
 - Such as "If you see a scala.MatchError you should probably add a catch-all _ case to your match expressions."

Language library resources

Unless explicitely stated in the questions, it is not expected that you will use any language library resources in the homeworks.

Possible exceptions to this rule include implementations of datatypes we discuss in this course, such as lists or options/maybes, if they are included in a standard library instead of being builtin.

Basic operations on such types would also be allowed.

- For instance, head, tail, append, etc. on lists would not require explicit permission to be used.
- More complex operations such as sorting procedures would require permission before you used them.

Additionally, the standard *higher-order* operations including map, reduce, flatten, and filter are permitted generally, unless the task is to implement such a higher-order operator.

Part 0.1: An introduction to guarded commands

A very brief and informative article discussing the guarded command by Jerrold L. Wagener is freely available from the ACM digital library.

A guarded command consists of a (presumably boolean valued) guard along with a command (an expression or statement of the language).

We write a set or sequence of guarded commands as

- B S
- B S
- B S

(where each B is the guard for the command S).

By itself, a set of guarded commands is not a control structure. Instead, we introduce special constructs which operate on sets of guarded commands to form a control structure.

The if construct, when applied to a set of guarded commands, as in

if
BSSBS

selects any command whose guard evaluates to true, and executes that command. If no command is true, it does nothing.

The do construct, when applied to a set of guarded commands, as in

do B S B S

also selects any command whose guard evaluates to true and executes that command, but it *continues to do so* until no guard is true.

We will be interested in versions of the **if** and **do** constructs which *nonde*terministically select which command to evaluate. This can be accomplished by making use of functions which act randomly.

The appeal of this nondeterminism is that it forces the programmer to be certain that their programs behaviour does not depend upon the ordering of the commands; instead, the guards must be made explicit enough to ensure that their command is only executed in the correct context.

Part 0.2: Representing guarded commands in Clojure

We can easily write guarded commands in Clojure as a record consisting of the guard and the command.

```
(defrecord GuardedCommand [guard command])
```

We can create an instance of this record as in

```
(GuardedCommand. '(> x 5) '(- x 1))
```

We can access the fields of these records as if they were maps, or using syntax based on Java field accessors. That is, given a GuardedCommand instance grd-cmd, we can write e.g., (:guard grd-cmd) or (.command grd-cmd).

So we will operate on lists or vectors of GuardCommands.

Part 0.3: An example construct for using guarded commands

To get you started, we define here a *deterministic* if construct operating on guarded commands.

This construct differs from the one you will be tasked to define in that it always chooses the first command in the sequence whose guard is true (instead of nondeterministically/randomly selecting a command.)

```
(defn first-allowed-command
  "Find the first command in a sequence of guarded `commands`
whose `.guard` evaluates to a truthy value and return its
→ `.command`.
Returns `nil` if none of the guards are satisfied."
  [commands]
  ;; If the `commands` list is empty, "do nothing" by

→ returning `nil`.

  (if (empty? commands) nil
      ;; Otherwise, deconstruct the `commands` list into
      ;; the first `command` and the `rest`.
      (let [[command & rest] commands]
        ;; Diagnostic print statement, if needed.
        ; (printf "Checking command %s with quard %s and
         \rightarrow command %s\n" command (.quard command) (.command
         \rightarrow command))
        ;; Now check the `guard`, and if it's satisfied,
           return the first `command`.
```

```
(if (eval (.guard command)) (.command command)
            ;; Otherwise, continue to check the `rest` of the
             \rightarrow guarded commands.
            (first-allowed-command rest)))))
(defmacro guarded-deterministic-if
  "Given a sequence of `GuardedCommands`, `commands`,
select the first guarded command whose `.guard` evaluates
to a truthy value and evaluate its `.command`."
  [& commands]
  ;; The body must be quoted, so that nothing is evaluated
  \hookrightarrow until runtime.
  `(eval ;; Evaluate...
    (first-allowed-command ;; ...the command returned by
    \rightarrow first-allowed-command...
     [~@commands]))) ;; to which we pass a vector of the
     \rightarrow commands.
;; The ~@ applied to `commands` here "splices" the elements of
→ `commands` into place here.
;; That is, each element of the sequence `commands` is
→ inserted here in order.
;; But not literally as a sequence (between parentheses or
→ brackets.) Hence we wrap in [].
;; The use of the [] is actually quite particular; using a
\rightarrow quoted list, '(...), would not work.
;; Because the quarded commands within would be treated as
→ sequences instead of records.
   As an example, we use this form to define a max operation.
(defn max [x y]
  (guarded-deterministic-if
   ;; For variables to maintain their meaning within a quoted
   \hookrightarrow list,
   ;; use the special backtick ` quote and unquote the
   → variables with ~.
   (GuardedCommand. `(>= ~x ~y) x)
   (GuardedCommand. `(>= ~y ~x) y)))
```

Part 1: Sequence of commands whose guards are satisfied [20 points]

Create a function allowed-commands which, given a sequence of GuardedCommands (the record type defined in Part 0.2) produces a list of commands whose guard is satisfied (evaluates to a truthy value.)

For instance,

should return a sequence of all three of the commands (since \mathbf{x} is equal to \mathbf{y} here.) Whereas

should return a sequence containing only the last command (since x is strictly less than y here.)

(Refer to the first-allowed-command function defined in part 0.3 as a possible starting point for your allowed-commands function. Other approaches are permitted and encouraged, though.)

Part 2: A nondeterministic if expression for guarded commands [15 points]

Define a macro for the nondeterministic if construct called guarded-if.

It should take a sequence of GuardedCommand instances, randomly pick one whose guard is true, and execute its command.

The rand-nth function documented here, which picks a random element out of a sequence, may be of use here (in conjunction with your function from part 1.)

Part 3: A nondeterministic do expression for guarded commands [15 points]

Now define a macro for the nondeterministic do construct called guarded-do. In contrast to the guarded-if macro, this construct should continue evaluating commands until none of the guards are true.

Part 4: GCD [10 points]

Use the guarded command constructs you have defined to define a function gcd to find the greatest common denominator of two integers.

The intention for this part is that you use the if construct from part 1 and recursion to define the GCD function. A version using iteration (the do construct) is given as a bonus.

Note that the iterative algorithm for the GCD using guarded commands is very well known; it was the first example used by Dijkstra in his presentation of the language. You can see this algorithm in Wagener's paper referenced above. It should be relatively simple to translate this to a recursive algorithm.

Part 5: GCD by iteration [5 bonus points]

Define the function gcd-iter which calculates the GCD of two integers using iteration (the do construct.)

The challenge to this part is not the algorithm; instead, it is the use of mutable variables, which we have not shown in Clojure.

Testing

:TODO: