## Homework #5

## Arman Jasuja

May 27, 2023

Collaborators: Ritvik Gunturu

1. Let G be a weighted, undirected graph whose edges have distinct weights. Show that G has a unique minimum spanning tree.

**Proof (By Contradiction):** In a finite graph, given a minimum spanning tree, T (which we generate by Kruskal's algorithm), we assume that  $\exists T'$  s.t.

$$\sum_{e \in E(T)} w(e) = \sum_{e \in E(T')} w(e)$$

where w is the weight of each edge. Note that  $E(T) \neq E(T')$ . Let T' be the particular graph s.t.  $|E(T) \cap E(T')| = \max$ . Now, we consider a particular edge  $e_i \in E(T)$ , which is the first edge that is not shared between T and T' (i.e. they both share  $(e_0, ...e_{i-1}) \in E(T)$ ), and consider a corresponding edge  $e_* \in E(T')$ . As T is a minimum spanning tree, we pick  $e_*$  s.t.  $e_* \leq e_i$ , and, from the fact that the edge weights are distinct, we get  $e_* < e_i$ . Now, we shall construct a new tree, called  $T^*$ , where  $T^* = T' + e_i - e_*$ . Then, we get

$$\sum_{e \in E(T^*)} w(e) < \sum_{e \in E(T)} w(e)$$

which contradicts our assumption that T is a minimum spanning tree.  $\therefore$  the claim is proved.

2. Let G be a weighted directed graph on  $\mathbb{Z}_5$ . The weight function on its edge set is described by the following adjacency matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 10 & 20 & \infty & 17 \\ 7 & 0 & 5 & 22 & 33 \\ 14 & 13 & 0 & 15 & 27 \\ 30 & \infty & 17 & 0 & 10 \\ \infty & 15 & 12 & 8 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Describe in detail the transcript of your runs of Dijkstra's algorithm for obtaining the length function l and the corresponding r-branching when r is successively taken to be 0, 1, 2, 3 and 4.

(a) r = 0 Initial step:  $S = \{0\}$  and

$$t(k) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \in S \\ \infty & \text{if } k \notin S \end{cases}$$
 (1)

Algorithm: I find the vertex v that has the minimum 0 + w(0, v), which from the adjacency matrix is the vertext 1, and the corresponding edge to tack on is 0 + 10. i.e. as t(1) > t(0) + w(0, 1), we replace t(1) with 10. Then, our current state is  $S = \{0, 1\}$  and

$$t(k) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k = 0\\ 10 & \text{if } k = 1\\ \infty & \text{if } k \notin S \end{cases}$$
 (2)

Next, I find the vertex v that has the minimum  $t(k) + w(k, v) \forall k \in S$  this is vertex 2 with the weight sum being 10 + 5. This comes from first t(2) > t(0) + w(0, 2) = 20, which allows me to set t(2) = 20. Then t(2) > t(1) + w(1, 2) = 10 + 5 = 15, which allows me to set t(2) = 15. Then, the current state is  $S = \{0, 1, 2\}$  and

$$t(k) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k = 0\\ 10 & \text{if } k = 1\\ 15 & \text{if } k = 2\\ \infty & \text{if } k \notin S \end{cases}$$
 (3)

The next state is  $S = \{0, 1, 2, 4\}$  and

$$t(k) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k = 0\\ 10 & \text{if } k = 1\\ 15 & \text{if } k = 2\\ 17 & \text{if } k = 4\\ \infty & \text{if } k \notin S \end{cases}$$
 (4)

The next state is  $S = \{0, 1, 2, 4, 3\}$  and

$$t(k) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k = 0\\ 10 & \text{if } k = 1\\ 15 & \text{if } k = 2\\ 17 & \text{if } k = 4\\ 25 & \text{if } k = 3 \end{cases}$$
 (5)

(b) r = 1 Initial step:  $S = \{1\}$  and

$$t(k) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \in S \\ \infty & \text{if } k \notin S \end{cases}$$
 (6)

Algorithm: The next state is  $S = \{1, 2\}$ 

$$t(k) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k = 1\\ 5 & \text{if } k = 2\\ \infty & \text{if } k \notin S \end{cases}$$
 (7)

The next state is  $S = \{1, 2, 0\}$  and

$$t(k) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k = 1\\ 5 & \text{if } k = 2\\ 7 & \text{if } k = 0\\ \infty & \text{if } k \notin S \end{cases}$$

$$(8)$$

The next state is  $S = \{1, 2, 0, 3\}$  and

$$t(k) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k = 1\\ 5 & \text{if } k = 2\\ 7 & \text{if } k = 0\\ 20 & \text{if } k = 3\\ \infty & \text{if } k \notin S \end{cases}$$
 (9)

The next state is  $S = \{1, 2, 0, 3, 4\}$  and

$$t(k) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k = 1\\ 5 & \text{if } k = 2\\ 7 & \text{if } k = 0\\ 20 & \text{if } k = 3\\ 24 & \text{if } k = 4 \end{cases}$$
 (10)

(c) r = 2 Initial step:  $S = \{2\}$  and

$$t(k) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \in S \\ \infty & \text{if } k \notin S \end{cases}$$
 (11)

Algorithm: The next state is  $S = \{2, 1\}$ 

$$t(k) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k = 2\\ 13 & \text{if } k = 1\\ \infty & \text{if } k \notin S \end{cases}$$
 (12)

The next state is  $S = \{2, 1, 0\}$  and

$$t(k) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k = 2\\ 13 & \text{if } k = 1\\ 14 & \text{if } k = 0\\ \infty & \text{if } k \notin S \end{cases}$$
 (13)

The next state is  $S = \{2, 1, 0, 3\}$  and

$$t(k) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k = 2\\ 13 & \text{if } k = 1\\ 14 & \text{if } k = 0\\ 15 & \text{if } k = 3\\ \infty & \text{if } k \notin S \end{cases}$$
 (14)

The next state is  $S = \{2, 1, 0, 3, 4\}$  and

$$t(k) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k = 2\\ 13 & \text{if } k = 1\\ 14 & \text{if } k = 0\\ 15 & \text{if } k = 3\\ 25 & \text{if } k = 4 \end{cases}$$
 (15)

(d) r = 3 Initial step:  $S = \{3\}$  and

$$t(k) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \in S \\ \infty & \text{if } k \notin S \end{cases}$$
 (16)

Algorithm: The next state is  $S = \{3, 4\}$ 

$$t(k) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k = 3\\ 10 & \text{if } k = 4\\ \infty & \text{if } k \notin S \end{cases}$$
 (17)

The next state is  $S = \{3, 4, 2\}$  and

$$t(k) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k = 3\\ 10 & \text{if } k = 4\\ 17 & \text{if } k = 2\\ \infty & \text{if } k \notin S \end{cases}$$
 (18)

The next state is  $S = \{3, 4, 2, 1\}$  and

$$t(k) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k = 3\\ 10 & \text{if } k = 4\\ 17 & \text{if } k = 2\\ 25 & \text{if } k = 1\\ \infty & \text{if } k \notin S \end{cases}$$
 (19)

The next state is  $S = \{3, 4, 2, 1, 0\}$  and

$$t(k) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k = 3\\ 10 & \text{if } k = 4\\ 17 & \text{if } k = 2\\ 25 & \text{if } k = 1\\ 30 & \text{if } k = 0 \end{cases}$$
 (20)

(e) r = 4 Initial step:  $S = \{4\}$  and

$$t(k) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \in S \\ \infty & \text{if } k \notin S \end{cases}$$
 (21)

Algorithm: The next state is  $S = \{4, 3\}$ 

$$t(k) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k = 4\\ 8 & \text{if } k = 3\\ \infty & \text{if } k \notin S \end{cases}$$
 (22)

The next state is  $S = \{4, 3, 2\}$  and

$$t(k) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k = 4\\ 8 & \text{if } k = 3\\ 12 & \text{if } k = 2\\ \infty & \text{if } k \notin S \end{cases}$$
 (23)

The next state is  $S = \{4, 3, 2, 1\}$  and

$$t(k) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k = 4\\ 8 & \text{if } k = 3\\ 12 & \text{if } k = 2\\ 15 & \text{if } k = 1\\ \infty & \text{if } k \notin S \end{cases}$$
 (24)

The next state is  $S = \{4, 3, 2, 1, 0\}$  and

$$t(k) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k = 4\\ 8 & \text{if } k = 1\\ 12 & \text{if } k = 2\\ 15 & \text{if } k = 3\\ 22 & \text{if } k = 0 \end{cases}$$
 (25)

3. Let T denote a minimum-weight spanning tree in G, and let T' be another spanning tree in G. Prove that T' can be transformed into T with a list of steps that exchange one edge of T' for one edge of T such that the edge set is always a spanning tree and the total weight never increases.

**Proof:** I will do this proof by construction. Assume we are given a minimum spanning tree T and another spanning tree T'. T and T' may share edges, so we treat the shared edges to be  $(e_1, ... e_{i-1}) \in E(T) \cap E(T')$  where  $1 \le i \le n+1$ . Now from the fact that T is MST, it must be the case that

$$\sum_{e \in E(T)} w(e) \le \sum_{e \in E(T')} w(e)$$

and additionally, from our shared edges that

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \le x \le i-1 \\ e_x \in E(T)}} w(e_x) = \sum_{\substack{1 \le x \le i-1 \\ e_x \in E(T')}} w(e_x)$$

This then means that the non-shared edge weight sum is:

$$\sum_{\substack{i \le x \le n \\ e_x \in E(T)}} w(e_x) \le \sum_{\substack{i \le x \le n \\ e_x \in E(T')}} w(e_x)$$

As both graphs are spanning trees, for any edge I pick in either graph, it will be a single edge, connecting two acyclic connected components. Now, from the non-shared edge weight sum above, it must the be the case that  $\exists e^* \in E(T')$  s.t.  $w(e^*) \geq w(e)$  for some  $e \in E(T)$  and connects the same connected components as e. (We can check this by contradiction i.e., if every edge  $e^*$  in the non shared edges between the two spanning trees that connected the components had a weight s.t.  $w(e^*) < w(e)$  then the non-shared edge weight sum would be violated and T would not be a minimum spanning tree). Then, we generate our new spanning tree  $T^* = T' + e - e^*$  which does not increase the weight sum. Now, our new tree is a spanning tree which shares more edges with T, and we can apply the same reasoning recursively, generating a new spanning tree which shares more and more edges with T, until all the edges are the same.

4. Give a detail proof of correctness for the Dijkstra's algorithm.

**Proof(By Induction):** Let s(u) be the shortest path (weight) from some starting vertex v to a vertex u. WTS that t(u) = s(u)

Base case: Consider the starting state of Djikstra's when  $S = \{v\}$ . We let u = v in this case. Then, t(v) = 0 which is clearly equal to s(v)

Induction step: WTS that for any new u added to S, given that  $t(k) = s(k) \forall k \in S$  for  $|S| \ge 1$ , that t(u) = s(u). Assume by contradiction that  $t(u) \ne s(u)$ 

Case 1: 
$$t(u) < s(u)$$

This case is trivially false, as s(u) is the shortest path between two vertices, and as t(u) is a path between v and u, it can never be less than the shortest path.

Case 2: 
$$t(u) > s(u)$$

As s(u) may be some completely different path, Let us consider w(k, z) to be the first weight edge that extends out of S for some  $k \in S$  that is in s(u). Then  $s(k) + w(k, z) \le s(u)$  as u is a vertex outside S. Then, from our induction hypothesis we get that  $t(k) + w(k, z) \le s(u)$  as  $t(k) = s(k) \forall k \in S$ . Now, as z is adjacent to k, it must have been updated with Djikstra's, which means that  $t(z) \le t(k) + w(k, z)$ . However, as u was picked by the algorithm, it must be the case that  $t(u) \le t(z)$ . This leads us to the contradiction that  $t(u) \le s(u) < t(u)$ . Hence, the claim is proved.

5. Let *G* be a weighted connected graph (with positive edge weights). Describe an algorithm for finding a spanning tree which is such that the product of its edge weights is minimum.

**Algorithm:** We can use Kruskal's algorithm.

Initial step: Construct a graph G' with |E(G')| = 0 and V(G') = V(G)

Algorithmic step: At each stage of the algorithm pick the minimum weight edge that does not add a cycle to G' in G - G' and add it to G'. Repeat this process n - 1 times.

**Reasoning:** If you are minimizing the sum on the same number of elements from an arbitrary selection, then you are also minimizing the product.