

Program Code: J620-002-4:2020

Program Name: FRONT-END SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT

Title: Hypothesis Testing with NHANES Dataset

Name: Ooi Caaron

IC Number: 990701-07-5837

Date: 16/7/2023

Introduction: Learning the binomial distribution using the built in method to plot the

graph

Conclusion :Still need to practice more and do revision

Case Study - Hypothesis testing with NHANES Data

In this notebook we will explore formal hypothesis testing using the NHANES (https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/index.htm) data.

It is important to note that the NHANES data are a "complex survey". The data are not an independent and representative sample from the target population. Proper analysis of complex survey data should make use of additional information about how the data were collected. Since complex survey analysis is a somewhat specialized topic, we ignore this aspect of the data here, and analyze the NHANES data as if it were an independent and identically distributed sample from a population.

First we import the libraries that we will need.

4

In [132]:

```
%matplotlib inline
import pandas as pd
import numpy as np
import seaborn as sns
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import statsmodels.api as sm
import scipy.stats.distributions as dist
```

Below we read the data, and convert some of the integer codes to text values. The NHANES codebooks for SMQ020 (https://wwwn.cdc.gov/Nchs/Nhanes/2015-2016/SMQ_I.htm#SMQ020), RIAGENDR (https://wwwn.cdc.gov/Nchs/Nhanes/2015-2016/DEMO_I.htm#DMDCITZN) describe the meanings of the numerical codes.

In [133]:

```
# read data
da = pd.read_csv("nhanes_2015_2016.csv")
pd.set_option('display.max_rows', None)
pd.set_option('display.max_columns', None)
da.head()
da
```

Out[133]:

		SEQN	ALQ101	ALQ110	ALQ130	SMQ020	RIAGENDR	RIDAGEYR	RIDRETH1	DMDCITZN	DMDEI
	0	83732	1.0	NaN	1.0	1	1	62	3	1.0	
	1	83733	1.0	NaN	6.0	1	1	53	3	2.0	
	2	83734	1.0	NaN	NaN	1	1	78	3	1.0	
	3	83735	2.0	1.0	1.0	2	2	56	3	1.0	
	4	83736	2.0	1.0	1.0	2	2	42	4	1.0	
	5	83737	2.0	2.0	NaN	2	2	72	1	2.0	
	6	83741	1.0	NaN	8.0	1	1	22	4	1.0	
	7	83742	1.0	NaN	1.0	2	2	32	1	2.0	
	8	83743	NaN	NaN	NaN	2	1	18	5	1.0	
	9	83744	1.0	NaN	NaN	2	1	56	4	1.0	•
- 4											•

```
In [134]:
```

```
## for 'SMQ020x', replace 1: Yes, 2: No, 7: Nan, 9: Nan
da['SMQ020x'] = da['SMQ020']
reset = {1:'Yes', 2:'No',7: None,9: None}
da = da.replace({'SMQ020x':reset})
da['SMQ020x']
Out[134]:
0
         Yes
1
         Yes
2
         Yes
3
          No
4
          No
5
          No
6
         Yes
7
          No
8
          No
9
          No
10
         Yes
11
         Yes
12
         Yes
13
          No
          No
14
15
          No
16
          Nο
17
          No
In [136]:
## for 'RIAGENDRx' replace 1: Male, and 2: Female
da['RIAGENDRx'] = da['RIAGENDR']
reset = {1: "Male", 2: "Female"}
da = da.replace({"RIAGENDRx": reset})
da['RIAGENDRx']
Out[136]:
0
          Male
1
          Male
2
          Male
3
        Female
4
        Female
5
        Female
6
          Male
7
        Female
8
          Male
9
          Male
10
          Male
11
          Male
12
        Female
13
        Female
14
          Male
15
        Female
16
        Female
17
        Female
```

```
In [137]:
```

```
## for 'DMDCITZNx' replace 1: Yes, 2: No, 7: Nan, 9: Nan
da['DMDCITZNx'] = da['DMDCITZN']
reset = {1:'Yes', 2:'No',7: None,9: None}
da = da.replace({'SMQ020x':reset})
da['SMQ020x']
Out[137]:
0
         Yes
1
         Yes
2
         Yes
3
          No
4
          No
          No
5
6
         Yes
7
          No
8
          No
9
          No
10
         Yes
11
         Yes
12
         Yes
13
          No
14
          No
15
          No
          Nο
16
17
           No
```

Hypothesis tests for two proportions

Comparative tests tend to be used much more frequently than tests comparing one population to a fixed value. A two-sample test of proportions is used to assess whether the proportion of individuals with some trait differs between two sub-populations. For example, we can compare the smoking rates between females and males. Since smoking rates vary strongly with age, we do this in the subpopulation of people between 20 and 25 years of age. In the cell below, we carry out this test without using any libraries, implementing all the test procedures covered elsewhere in the course using Python code. We find that the smoking rate for men is around 10 percentage points greater than the smoking rate for females, and this difference is statistically significant (the p-value is around 0.01).

In [143]:

```
# Drop missing values from SMQ020x, RIDAGEYR and RIAGENDRx
da1 = da.copy()
da1.dropna(subset = ['SMQ020x','RIDAGEYR','RIAGENDRx'], inplace=True )
da1
```

Out[143]:

		SEQN	ALQ101	ALQ110	ALQ130	SMQ020	RIAGENDR	RIDAGEYR	RIDRETH1	DMDCITZN	DMDEI	
	0	83732	1.0	NaN	1.0	1	1	62	3	1.0		
	1	83733	1.0	NaN	6.0	1	1	53	3	2.0		
	2	83734	1.0	NaN	NaN	1	1	78	3	1.0		
	3	83735	2.0	1.0	1.0	2	2	56	3	1.0		
	4	83736	2.0	1.0	1.0	2	2	42	4	1.0		
	5	83737	2.0	2.0	NaN	2	2	72	1	2.0		
	6	83741	1.0	NaN	8.0	1	1	22	4	1.0		
	7	83742	1.0	NaN	1.0	2	2	32	1	2.0		
	8	83743	NaN	NaN	NaN	2	1	18	5	1.0		
	9	83744	1.0	NaN	NaN	2	1	56	4	1.0		•
4											•	

In [144]:

```
# Select only people between 20 and 25 years old for RIDAGEYR
# and store in a new dataframe 'dx'
dx = da1[(da1['RIDAGEYR'] >= 20) & (da1['RIDAGEYR'] <= 25)].copy()
dx</pre>
```

Out[144]:

	SEQN	ALQ101	ALQ110	ALQ130	SMQ020	RIAGENDR	RIDAGEYR	RIDRETH1	DMDCITZN	DMDEI	
6	83741	1.0	NaN	8.0	1	1	22	4	1.0		
17	83761	1.0	NaN	1.0	2	2	24	5	2.0		
26	83784	1.0	NaN	4.0	1	1	22	2	1.0		
38	83809	2.0	2.0	NaN	2	2	20	4	1.0		
40	83813	1.0	NaN	2.0	1	1	24	3	1.0		
46	83822	2.0	2.0	NaN	2	2	20	4	1.0		
48	83824	NaN	NaN	NaN	2	1	23	5	2.0		
69	83865	1.0	NaN	4.0	1	2	21	3	1.0		
96	83907	NaN	NaN	NaN	2	1	22	5	2.0		
102	83914	1.0	NaN	6.0	1	2	23	2	1.0		•
4										•	

In [145]:

```
# Summarize the data by caclculating the proportion of yes responses and the sample size
reset = {'Yes': 1, 'No':0}
dx = dx.replace({'SMQ020x':reset})
dx = dx[['SMQ020x', 'RIAGENDRx']]
dz = dx[['SMQ020x', 'RIAGENDRx']].groupby('RIAGENDRx').agg(['mean', 'size'])
# grouped = dx.groupby('RIAGENDRx').size()

# smoke_counts = dx[dx['SMQ020x'] == 1.0].groupby('RIAGENDRx').size()

# proportions = smoke_counts / grouped

# result = pd.DataFrame({'Smoke': proportions, 'N': grouped})
print(dz)
```

```
SMQ020x
mean size
RIAGENDRx
Female 0.238971 272
Male 0.341270 252
```

Test statistic =
$$\frac{BestEstimate - HypothesizedMean}{StandardErrorEstimate}$$

$$= \frac{\hat{p_1} - \hat{p_2}}{se(\hat{p})}$$

$$se(\hat{p}) = \sqrt{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2})}$$

where \hat{p} is the population proportion mean for smokers, SMQ020x == "Yes".

In [10]:

```
# The pooled rate of yes responses, and the standard error of the
# estimated difference of proportions
```

In [11]:

```
# Calculate the test statistic and its p-value
```

-2.5833303066279414 0.009785159057508375

Essentially the same test as above can be conducted by converting the "Yes"/"No" responses to numbers (Yes=1, No=0) and conducting a two-sample t-test, as below:

In [146]:

```
import statsmodels.api as sm

dx_females = dx.loc[dx.RIAGENDRx=="Female", "SMQ020x"].replace({"Yes": 1, "No": 0})

dx_males = dx.loc[dx.RIAGENDRx=="Male", "SMQ020x"].replace({"Yes": 1, "No": 0})

sm.stats.ttest_ind(dx_females, dx_males) # prints test statistic, p-value, degrees of fr
```

Out[146]:

(-2.5949731446269344, 0.00972590232121254, 522.0)

Hypothesis tests comparing means

Tests of means are similar in many ways to tests of proportions. Just as with proportions, for comparing means there are one and two-sample tests, z-tests and t-tests, and one-sided and two-sided tests. As with tests of proportions, one-sample tests of means are not very common, but we illustrate a one sample test in the cell below. We compare systolic blood pressure to the fixed value 120 (which is the lower threshold for "pre-hypertension"), and find that the mean is significantly different from 120 (the point estimate of the mean is 126).

In [79]:

```
# Drop missing values from BPXSY1, RIDAGEYR and RIAGENDRx
da2 = da.copy()
da2.dropna(subset = ['BPXSY1','RIDAGEYR','RIAGENDRx'], inplace=True)
da2 = da2[['BPXSY1','RIDAGEYR','RIAGENDRx']]
```

In [80]:

```
# Select only "Male" between the ages >= 40 and <= 50
da2 = da2[(da2['RIAGENDRx'] == 'Male') & (da2['RIDAGEYR'].between(40, 50))]
da2.head(5)</pre>
```

Out[80]:

	BPXSY1	RIDAGEYR	RIAGENDRX
10	144.0	46	Male
11	116.0	45	Male
20	110.0	49	Male
42	128.0	42	Male
51	118.0	50	Male

In [81]:

```
## calculate the mean blood pressure
da2['BPXSY1'].mean()
```

Out[81]:

125.86698337292161

In [82]:

```
# using stats.ztest library print the test statistic, p-value where the average is 120
from statsmodels.stats.weightstats import ztest as ztest
ztest(da2['BPXSY1'], value = 120)
```

Out[82]:

```
(7.469764137102597, 8.033869113167905e-14)
```

In the cell below, we carry out a formal test of the null hypothesis that the mean blood pressure for women between the ages of 50 and 60 is equal to the mean blood pressure of men between the ages of 50 and 60. The results indicate that while the mean systolic blood pressure for men is slightly greater than that for women (129 mm/Hg versus 128 mm/Hg), this difference is not statistically significant.

There are a number of different variants on the two-sample t-test. Two often-encountered variants are the t-test carried out using the t-distribution, and the t-test carried out using the normal approximation to the reference distribution of the test statistic, often called a z-test. Below we display results from both these testing approaches. When the sample size is large, the difference between the t-test and z-test is very small.

In [90]:

```
# Drop missing values from BPXSY1, RIDAGEYR and RIAGENDRx
da3 = da.copy()
da3.dropna(subset = ['BPXSY1','RIDAGEYR','RIAGENDRx'], inplace=True)
da3 = da3[['BPXSY1','RIDAGEYR','RIAGENDRx']]
da3
```

Out[90]:

	BPXSY1	RIDAGEYR	RIAGENDRx
0	128.0	62	Male
1	146.0	53	Male
2	138.0	78	Male
3	132.0	56	Female
4	100.0	42	Female
5	116.0	72	Female
6	110.0	22	Male
7	120.0	32	Female
9	178.0	56	Male
10	144.0	46	Male
11	116 በ	45	Male

In [91]:

```
# Select only people from the ages >= 50 and <= 60
age = da3[(da3['RIDAGEYR'].between(50, 60))]
age.head()</pre>
```

Out[91]:

	BPXSY1	RIDAGEYR	RIAGENDRx
1	146.0	53	Male
3	132.0	56	Female
9	178.0	56	Male
15	134.0	57	Female
19	136.0	54	Female

In [92]:

```
## Select only female
female = age[age['RIAGENDRx'] == 'Female']
female
```

Out[92]:

	BPXSY1	RIDAGEYR	RIAGENDRX
3	132.0	56	Female
15	134.0	57	Female
19	136.0	54	Female
23	116.0	58	Female
27	142.0	60	Female
52	104.0	50	Female
84	128.0	51	Female
87	118.0	54	Female
151	108.0	60	Female
153	132.0	60	Female
156	110 0	54	Female

```
In [93]:
```

```
## Select only male
male = age[age['RIAGENDRx'] == 'Male']
male
```

Out[93]:

	BPXSY1	RIDAGEYR	RIAGENDRx
1	146.0	53	Male
9	178.0	56	Male
24	136.0	56	Male
28	132.0	51	Male
32	114.0	56	Male
51	118.0	50	Male
88	166.0	60	Male
91	104.0	55	Male
97	110.0	51	Male
122	110.0	55	Male

In [94]:

125

156.0

```
## prints female mean, male mean
print(female['BPXSY1'].mean(),male['BPXSY1'].mean())
```

127.92561983471074 129.23829787234044

53

Male

In [95]:

```
## use the sm.stats.ztest, print the test statistic, p-value
from statsmodels.stats.weightstats import ztest as ztest
a1 = female['BPXSY1']
a2 = male['BPXSY1']
ztest(a1,a2)
```

Out[95]:

(-1.105435895556249, 0.2689707570859362)

In [96]:

```
## use the sm.stats.ttest_ind, print the test statistic, p-value
import statsmodels.api as sm
sm.stats.ttest_ind(a1,a2)
```

Out[96]:

```
(-1.105435895556249, 0.26925004137768577, 952.0)
```

Another important aspect of two-sample mean testing is "heteroscedasticity", meaning that the variances within the two groups being compared may be different. While the goal of the test is to compare the means, the variances play an important role in calibrating the statistics (deciding how big the mean difference needs

to be to be declared statistically significant). In the NHANES data, we see that there are moderate

In [104]:

```
## Select BMXBMI, RIDAGEYR, RIAGENDRx and drop all NAs
da.dropna(subset = ['BMXBMI','RIDAGEYR','RIAGENDRx'], inplace=True)
da = da[['BMXBMI','RIDAGEYR','RIAGENDRx']]
da.head()
```

Out[104]:

	BMXBMI	RIDAGEYR	RIAGENDRx
0	27.8	62	Male
1	30.8	53	Male
2	28.8	78	Male
3	42.4	56	Female
4	20.3	42	Female

In [106]:

```
## cut to [18, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80] age group, and calculate the standard deviation
import math
agegrp = [18, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80]
da['agegrp'] = pd.cut(da['RIDAGEYR'], bins=agegrp, right=True)
std_female = da[da['RIAGENDRx'] == 'Female'].groupby('agegrp')['BMXBMI'].std()
std_male = da[da['RIAGENDRx'] == 'Male'].groupby('agegrp')['BMXBMI'].std()
result = pd.DataFrame({'Female':std_female,'Male':std_male})
result
```

Out[106]:

	Female	Male
agegrp		
(18, 30]	7.745893	6.649440
(30, 40]	8.315608	6.622412
(40, 50]	8.076195	6.407076
(50, 60]	7.575848	5.914373
(60, 70]	7.604514	5.933307
(70, 80]	6.284968	4.974855

The standard error of the mean difference (e.g. mean female blood pressure minus mean male blood pressure) can be estimated in at least two different ways. In the statsmodels library, these approaches are referred to as the "pooled" and the "unequal" approach to estimating the variance. If the variances are equal (i.e. there is no heteroscedasticity), then there should be little difference between the two approaches. Even in the presence of moderate heteroscedasticity, as we have here, we can see that the results for the two methods are quite similar. Below we have a loop that considers each 10-year age band and assesses the evidence for a difference in mean BMI for women and for men. The results printed in each row of output are the test-statistic and p-value.

```
In [27]:
```

```
for k, v in da.groupby("agegrp"):
   bmi_female = v.loc[v.RIAGENDRx=="Female", "BMXBMI"].dropna()
   bmi female = sm.stats.DescrStatsW(bmi female)
   bmi male = v.loc[v.RIAGENDRx=="Male", "BMXBMI"].dropna()
   bmi male = sm.stats.DescrStatsW(bmi male)
   print(k)
   print("pooled: ", sm.stats.CompareMeans(bmi_female, bmi_male).ztest_ind(usevar='pool
   print("unequal:", sm.stats.CompareMeans(bmi_female, bmi_male).ztest_ind(usevar='uneq
   print()
(18, 30]
         (1.7026932933643306, 0.08862548061449803)
pooled:
unequal: (1.7174610823927183, 0.08589495934713169)
(30, 40]
pooled:
         (1.4378280405644919, 0.15048285114648174)
unequal: (1.4437869620833497, 0.1487989105789246)
(40, 50]
pooled: (2.8933761158070186, 0.003811246059501354)
unequal: (2.9678691663536725, 0.0029987194174035366)
(50, 60]
         (3.362108779981383, 0.0007734964571391287)
pooled:
unequal: (3.3754943901739387, 0.0007368319423226156)
(60, 70]
         (3.617240144243268, 0.00029776102103194453)
pooled:
unequal: (3.628483094544553, 0.00028509141471492935)
(70, 80]
         (2.926729252512241, 0.003425469414486057)
pooled:
unequal: (2.9377798867692064, 0.0033057163315194853)
```

Paired tests

A common situation in applied research is to measure the same quantity multiple times on each unit of analysis. For example, in NHANES, systolic blood pressure is measured at least two times (sometimes there is a third measurement) on each subject. Although the measurements are repeated, there is no guarantee that the mean is the same each time, i.e. the mean blood pressure may be slightly lower on the second measurement compared to the first, since people are a bit more nervous the first time they are measured. A paired test is a modified form of mean test that can be used when we are comparing two repeated measurements on the same unit.

A paired t-test for means is equivalent to taking the difference between the first and second measurement, and using a one-sample test to compare the mean of these differences to zero. Below we see that in the entire NHANES sample, the first measurement of systolic blood pressure is on average 0.67 mm/Hg greater than the second measurement. While this difference is not large, it is strongly statistically significant. That is, there is strong evidence that the mean values for the first and second blood pressure measurement differ.

In [13]:

```
## select only BPXSY1 and BPXSY2, drop all NAs
bp = da[['BPXSY1','BPXSY2']].copy()
bp.dropna(inplace=True)
bp.head()
```

Out[13]:

	BPXSY1	BPXSY2
0	128.0	124.0
1	146.0	140.0
2	138.0	132.0
3	132.0	134.0
4	100.0	114.0

In [14]:

```
##find the difference between BPXSY1 and BPXSY2
bp['diff'] = bp['BPXSY1'] - bp['BPXSY2']
bp.head()
```

Out[14]:

	BPXSY1	BPXSY2	diff
0	128.0	124.0	4.0
1	146.0	140.0	6.0
2	138.0	132.0	6.0
3	132.0	134.0	-2.0
4	100.0	114.0	-14.0

In [16]:

```
## calculate the mean difference
bp['diff'].mean()
```

Out[16]:

0.6749860309182343

In [31]:

```
## use the sm.stats.ztest library to print test statistic and p-value
import statsmodels.api as sm

bp.dropna(subset=['diff'],inplace=True)
sm.stats.ztest(bp[diff])
```

Out[31]:

(9.800634425497911, 1.1188070930963587e-22)

To probe this effect further, we can divide the population into 10 year wide age bands and also stratify by gender, then carry out the paired t-test within each of the resulting 12 strata. We see that the second systolic blood pressure measurement is always lower on average than the first. The difference is larger for older people and for males. The difference is statistically significant for females over 30, and for males over 60.

Conducting many hypothesis tests and "cherry picking" the interesting results is usually a bad practice. Here we are doing such "multiple testing" for illustration, and acknowledge that the strongest differences may be over-stated. Nevertheless, there is a clear and consistent trend with age -- older people tend to have greater differences between their first and second blood pressure measurements than younger people. There is also a difference between the genders, with older men having a stronger difference between the first and second blood pressure measurements than older women. The gender difference for younger peple is less clear.

In [32]:

```
dx = da[["RIAGENDRx", "BPXSY1", "BPXSY2", "RIDAGEYR"]].dropna()
dx["agegrp"] = pd.cut(dx.RIDAGEYR, [18, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80])
for k, g in dx.groupby(["RIAGENDRx", "agegrp"]):
    db = g.BPXSY1 - g.BPXSY2
    # print stratum definition, mean difference, sample size, test statistic, p-value
    print(k, db.mean(), db.size, sm.stats.ztest(db.values, value=0))

('Female', Interval(18, 30, closed='right')) 0.13708260105448156 569 (0.76
12107360791227, 0.4465312067051751)
('Female', Interval(30, 40, closed='right')) 0.6713615023474179 426 (3.307
398751951031, 0.0009416674523368051)
```

```
398751951031, 0.0009416674523368051)
('Female', Interval(40, 50, closed='right')) 0.5970149253731343 469 (2.604
0611621024654, 0.009212631487347644)
('Female', Interval(50, 60, closed='right')) 0.7685393258426966 445 (3.102
3718750881724, 0.001919766301204196)
('Female', Interval(60, 70, closed='right')) 0.87878787878788 396 (3.102
4528501809625, 0.0019192411825181255)
('Female', Interval(70, 80, closed='right')) 1.4512820512820512 390 (5.141
706875154317, 2.722536503552981e-07)
('Male', Interval(18, 30, closed='right')) 0.00390625 512 (0.0195962284164
7691, 0.9843654725443948)
('Male', Interval(30, 40, closed='right')) 0.46296296296296297 432 (1.9451
535788714596, 0.05175649697939119)
('Male', Interval(40, 50, closed='right')) 0.17894736842105263 380 (0.7201
800810138878, 0.47141412641258706)
('Male', Interval(50, 60, closed='right')) 0.3691588785046729 428 (1.43911
15097646396, 0.1501189315054144)
('Male', Interval(60, 70, closed='right')) 1.2736077481840193 413 (4.78194
0964515296, 1.7361067031915549e-06)
('Male', Interval(70, 80, closed='right')) 2.031413612565445 382 (6.801341
4549535005, 1.036494265013724e-11)
```