

# Krish's Relationships on Stack overflow

Time Limit: 2 seconds

Memory Limit: 256MB

The tea is boiling. Krish *bhaiya*'s love life is officially a stack overflow error. He is currently juggling  $n$  different relationships at the same time, and the drama is about to crash his entire system.

To prevent a total breakup apocalypse, he has decided to systematize his "reply game." He has to survive  $m$  rounds of texting. Each of the  $n$  relationships has sent him  $m$  specific messages that he needs to reply to. Each message has a "Drama Score" (an integer).

Krish has  $n$  relationships (numbered 1 to  $n$ ). Each relationship  $i$  has a list of  $m$  distinct message "Drama Scores." Across all relationships, there are exactly  $n \times m$  messages with distinct scores ranging from 0 to  $n \cdot m - 1$ .

Krish needs to establish a **fixed "Reply Order"**  $p$  (a permutation of relationships 1 ...  $n$ ). He will cycle through this exact order  $m$  times (once for each round).

## The Game Rules:

1. The "Current Drama Level" starts at  $-1$ .
2. In each step of the cycle, the current relationship  $p_i$  must pick one message from their list to "reply" with.
3. **Constraint:** The message they pick must have a Drama Score **strictly greater** than the "Current Drama Level."
4. Once played, that message's score becomes the new "Current Drama Level."
5. This continues until all  $n$  relationships have replied  $m$  times (emptying their lists).

If at any point a relationship is up next but **cannot** play a message higher than the current level, Krish gets blocked, and the game is lost.

**Your Task:** Does there exist a permutation  $p$  that allows Krish to survive all  $m$  rounds? If yes, output the permutation (space-separated). If no, output **-1** (Krish is cooked).

## Input Format

- The first line contains an integer  $t$  — the number of test cases.
- The first line of each test case contains two integers  $n$  and  $m$  — the number of relationships and the number of messages each one has.
- The following  $n$  lines each contain  $m$  integers — the Drama Scores for each relationship.
- **Note:** All  $n \cdot m$  scores are guaranteed to be distinct and in the range  $[0, n \cdot m - 1]$ .

## Constraints

- $1 \leq t \leq 1000$
- $1 \leq n, m \leq 1000$
- $1 \leq n \cdot m \leq 10^6$
- The sum of  $n \cdot m$  over all test cases won't exceed  $10^6$ .

## Output Format

For each test case:

- If a valid order exists, output  $n$  integers representing the permutation  $p$ .
- Otherwise, output  $-1$ .

## Sample Input 0

```
4
2 3
0 4 2
1 5 3
1 1
0
2 2
1 2
0 3
4 1
1
2
0
3
```

## Sample Output 0

```
1 2
1
-1
3 1 2 4
```

## Explanation 0

### Test Case 1:

- $n = 2, m = 3$ .
- Sorted cards:  $0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ .
- Owners:
  - $0 \rightarrow$  Row 1
  - $1 \rightarrow$  Row 2
  - $2 \rightarrow$  Row 1
  - $3 \rightarrow$  Row 2

- 4 -> Row 1
- 5 -> Row 2
- The sequence of owners is 1, 2, 1, 2, 1, 2 . This repeats the pattern 1 2 perfectly.
- Output: **1 2**

### Test Case 2:

- $n = 1, m = 1$ . One card, one owner. Trivial YES.
- Output: **1**

### Test Case 3:

- $n = 2, m = 2$ .
- Sorted cards: **0, 1, 2, 3**.
- Owners:
  - 0 -> Row 2
  - 1 -> Row 1
  - 2 -> Row 1
  - 3 -> Row 2
- Sequence of owners: 2, 1, 1, 2 .
- For a valid cycle of length  $n = 2$ , the second half ( 1, 2 ) should match the first half ( 2, 1 ). It does not.
- Output: **-1**

### Test Case 4:

- $n = 4, m = 1$ .
- Sorted cards: **0, 1, 2, 3**.
- Owners:
  - 0 -> Row 3
  - 1 -> Row 1
  - 2 -> Row 2
  - 3 -> Row 4
- Sequence: 3, 1, 2, 4 . Since there is only 1 round, this valid permutation is the answer.
- Output: **3 1 2 4**