Assignment 3

Natural Language Processing

Arnab Mondal(14EC35031)

The results of training different types of Transition parser are given below:

With Morphological features:

Arc-Standard Parser:

| SVM classifier accuracy: | Unabeled - 0.913 | Labeled - 0.833 |
|-------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|
| Logistic Regression accuracy: | Unabeled - 0.868 | Labeled – 0.771 |
| MLP classifier accuracy: | Unabeled - 0.859 | Labeled - 0.761 |

Arc-Eager Parser:

| SVM classifier accuracy: | Unabeled – 0.911 | Labeled – 0.825 |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| Logistic Regression accuracy : | Unabeled - 0.904 | Labeled - 0.805 |
| MLP classifier accuracy: | Unabeled - 0.868 | Labeled – 0.765 |

Without Morphological features:

Arc-Standard Parser:

| SVM classifier accuracy: | Unabeled - 0.846 | Labeled - 0.763 |
|-------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Logistic Regression accuracy: | Unabeled - 0.797 | Labeled - 0.686 |
| MLP classifier accuracy: | Unabeled - 0.802 | Labeled - 0.688 |

Arc-Eager Parser:

| SVM classifier accuracy: | Unabeled – 0.871 | Labeled – 0.773 |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| Logistic Regression accuracy : | Unabeled – 0.847 | Labeled - 0.731 |
| MLP classifier accuracy: | Unabeled - 0.829 | Labeled - 0.705 |

We notice from above that the unlabeled accuracies are higher than labeled ones. Usually Arc-Eager parser gives better accuracies than Arc-Standard Parser. SVM seems to beat all other models for our case. We can get better performance from MLP by usning deeper networks with proper parameters.