

Set-2,  
Q-9. $\{X_n\}$  $\downarrow$   
u.i. $\{Y_n\}$  $\downarrow$   
tight. $(\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists K > 0$ s.t.  $P(|Y_n| > K) < \varepsilon \forall n$ 

This is false!!!

 $\Rightarrow \{X_n \cdot Y_n\} - \text{u.i.}$ take  $Y_n \sim U(0,1)$   
with prob.  $= \frac{n-1}{n}$  $\& \cdot Y_n = e^n$  with  
prob.  $= 1/n$ .

Correct question:

 $\{X_n\}, \{Y_n\} \Rightarrow \{X_n Y_n\} \text{ u.i.}$  $\downarrow$   
u.i. $\downarrow$   
bounded

(prove)

this is  
NOT u.i. $\therefore |Y_n|_{\ell_1} \geq \frac{E(e^n)}{n} \rightarrow \infty$  $\{X_n\} - \text{u.i.}$ , but  $X_n$  is not bounded in any  $L_p$  for  $p > 1$ I construct a r.v  $X \geq 1$ .s.t.  $E(X \log X) < \infty$ .But,  $E(X^p) = \infty \forall p > 1$ .

Now, seq. of r.v.s,

$$X_n = X \wedge n$$

 $\hookrightarrow \min\{X, n\}$ claim:  $\{X_n\}$  is u.i.,but  $\sup_n E(X_n^p) = \infty$ .clearly,  $X_n$ 's are increasing  $\uparrow$ 

$$\therefore \sup_n E(X_n^p) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(X_n^p)$$

$$= E(X^p) = \infty.$$

$$E(X_n \cdot 1_{X_n > \lambda}) = E\left(\frac{X_n}{X_n \log X_n} (X_n \log X_n) \cdot 1_{X_n > \lambda_0}\right) \xrightarrow[\lambda \rightarrow \infty]{\frac{\lambda}{\lambda \log \lambda} \rightarrow 0} 0$$

 $\therefore$  Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\text{get } \lambda_0 \text{ s.t. } \lambda > \lambda_0 \Rightarrow \frac{\lambda}{\lambda \log \lambda} < \varepsilon / E(X \log X).$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{E(X \log X)} \cdot E(X \log X \cdot \mathbb{1}_{X_n > \lambda_0}) \\
 & \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{E(X \log X)} \cdot E(X \log X) \\
 & \leq \varepsilon.
 \end{aligned}$$

\*  $Z$  has density.

$$F(z) = e^{1-z}, \quad z > 1.$$

$$X = \frac{e^Z}{Z^{2+\varepsilon}} \leftarrow \text{anything larger than 2 would do.}$$

### Borel - Cantelli Lemma.

If  $\{A_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is a sequence of events s.t.

$$\sum_n P(A_n) < \infty \quad \left( \Rightarrow \quad \sum_{k \geq n} P(A_k) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \right) \quad \text{(tail sum)}$$

$$\text{then, } P(\limsup_n A_n) = P\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k \geq n} A_k\right) = 0.$$

Proof: Clearly,  $\bigcup_{k \geq n} A_k$  - decreasing unions.

$$P\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k \geq n} A_k\right) \underset{\substack{\downarrow \\ \text{continuity} \\ \text{of} \\ \text{probability}}}{=} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\bigcup_{k \geq n} A_k\right).$$

$$\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k \geq n} P(A_k) = 0$$

\* What are the  $\omega$ 's that belong to  $\limsup A_n$ ?

$\downarrow$   
set.

$$\omega \in \limsup_n A_n \iff \text{for every } n, \quad \omega \in \bigcup_{k \geq n} A_k.$$

$$w \in \limsup_n A_n \Leftrightarrow \text{for every } n, \\ w \in \bigcup_{k \geq n} A_k.$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \text{for every } n, \text{ there exists } k \geq n \\ \text{s.t. } w \in A_k.$$

is,  $w$  should belong to infinitely many of these  $A_k$ 's.

### Borel-Cantelli Second Lemma

If  $\{A_n\}$  - sequence of independent events s.t,  
 $\sum_n P_n = \infty$  (is, sequence of partial sum diverges)

$$\text{then } P(\limsup_n A_n) = 1$$

↓  
 \* Kolmogorov's  
 0-1 law

(kind of, partial  
 converse of  
 Borel-Cantelli lemma)  
 (is if  $A_n$ - seq. of ind. events  
 then  $P(\limsup_n A_n) = 0$  or  $1$   
 is, no intermediate  
 value)

Proof:  $P((\limsup A_n)^c) = P\left(\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k \geq n} A_k\right)^c\right)$

$$= P\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{k \geq n} A_k^c\right)$$

↓  
 countable union.

So, enough to show that

$$P\left(\bigcap_{k \geq n} A_k^c\right) = 0 \text{ for every } n.$$

$$\text{hence } P((\limsup A_n)^c) = 0.$$

$$\text{then, } P\left(\left(\limsup_{k \geq n} A_k\right)^c\right) = 0.$$

$\bigcap_{k \geq n} A_k^c$  is decreasing limit of partial intersections.

∴ fix  $n$ .

$$\therefore P\left(\bigcap_{k \geq n} A_k^c\right) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\bigcap_{k=n}^{n+m} A_k^c\right)$$

$$= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{k=n}^{n+m} P(A_k^c)$$

$$= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{k=n}^{n+m} (1 - P(A_k))$$

$$* \quad 1 - x < e^{-x} \rightarrow$$

$$= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{k=n}^{n+m} e^{-P(A_k)}$$

$$= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\sum_{k=n}^{n+m} P(A_k)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{tail diverges}$$

$$= 0. \quad \square$$

Set-1,  
Q.12

$$d(X, Y) := \inf \{ \varepsilon > 0 : P(|X - Y| > \varepsilon) \leq \varepsilon \}.$$

$(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$

$$L_0 (= L_0(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P))$$

$$= \{ \text{all real r.v.s on } (\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P) \}.$$

Another metric,  $\rho(X, Y) := E \left( \frac{|X - Y|}{1 + |X - Y|} \right)$

So, we have 2 diff. metrics which are complete in  $L_0$ .

Recall:

$$L_p(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P) = \{X - \text{real r.v. s.t. } E(|X|^p) < \infty\}$$

$$\text{For } X, Y \in L_p, \quad d_p(X, Y) = E(|X - Y|^p)^{1/p} =: \|X - Y\|_p$$

(we showed that this is a valid metric)

for  $L_p, p \geq 1$ ,

to show:  $L_p$  is complete.

Let  $\{X_n\}$  - Cauchy in metric  $d_p$

$$\text{i.e., } d_p(X_m, X_n) = \|X_m - X_n\|_p \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } m, n \rightarrow \infty$$

$X_n$  - Cauchy  $\Rightarrow X_n$  bounded

proof:

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N \text{ s.t. } \forall m, n > N, |x_n - x_m| < \varepsilon.$$

$$\forall m, n > N, \quad ||x_n| - |x_m|| < \varepsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow |x_n| < \varepsilon + |x_N|$$

$$\forall n > N.$$

Take max

$$x_0 = \max\{|x_1|, |x_2|, \dots, |x_N|\}$$

$$|x_n| \leq \varepsilon + x_0 < \infty$$

Claim,  
 $\{X_n\}$  is bounded  
in  $L_p$ .

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N \text{ s.t. } \forall m, n > N,$$

$$\|X_m - X_n\|_p < \varepsilon.$$

$$\|X_n - X_N\|_p < \varepsilon.$$

$$\Rightarrow \|X_n\|_p \leq \varepsilon + \|X_N\|_p, \quad \forall n > N.$$

(Minkowski's  
ineq.)

$$\text{Let } X_0 = \max\{\|X_1\|_p, \dots, \|X_N\|_p\}.$$

$$\therefore \|X_n\|_p \leq \varepsilon + X_0.$$

$$\boxed{\therefore X_n \text{ - bounded in } L_p.}$$

Using Cauchy property, we get a subsequence

Using Cauchy property, we get a subsequence

$$1 \leq n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_k < \dots$$

$$\text{s.t. } \|X_m - X_n\|_p < 3^{-k} \text{ for } m, n \geq n_k.$$

i.e, put  $k=1$ , get  $\underline{n_1}$

put  $k=2$ , get  $n_2$ .

if  $n_2 > n_1$  ✓

if  $n_2 \leq n_1$ ,

take  $n_2 = n_1 + n_2$

& so on.

$\therefore$  get these indices in this manner.

$\therefore$  By Chebyshev's inequality.

$$P(|X_{n_{k+1}} - X_{n_k}| > 2^{-k}) \leq \frac{E |X_{n_{k+1}} - X_{n_k}|^p}{2^{-kp}}$$

$$< \left( \left( \frac{2}{3} \right)^p \right)^k \rightarrow 0$$

infinite g.p,  
series - finite.

$$\therefore \sum_n P(|X_{n_{k+1}} - X_{n_k}| > 2^{-k}) < \infty$$

$\therefore$  By Borel-Cantelli lemma,

$$P(|X_{n_{k+1}} - X_{n_k}| > 2^{-k} \text{ for infinitely many } k) = 0.$$

$$\therefore P\left(\left(|X_{n_{k+1}} - X_{n_k}| > 2^{-k} \text{ for infinitely many } k\right)^c\right) = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow P\left(|X_{n_{k+1}} - X_{n_k}| \leq 2^{-k} \text{ for all } k \text{ after some stage}\right) = 1$$

↓  
depending on  $\omega$

$\{a_n\}$  - real seq.

$$\left[ \begin{array}{l} \{a_n\} - \text{real seq.} \\ |a_{n_k} - a_k| < 2^{-k} \quad \forall k \geq k_0 \\ \text{then, } a_n \text{ must converge to some real limit: } a_n \rightarrow a. \end{array} \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow P(X_{n_k}(\omega) \rightarrow X(\omega) \text{ real}) = 1$$

$$\text{ie, } X_{n_k} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} X$$

define

$$X(\omega) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_{n_k}(\omega), \text{ where lim exists.}$$

(verify that this works) = 0, otherwise.

We have shown,

if  $\{X_n\}$  is Cauchy in  $L_p$ , then  $\exists$  a subsequence

Step 1:  $\{X_{n_k}\}$  s.t.  $X_{n_k} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} X$  - real r.v.

Step 2: next, to show:  $X_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} X$

Step 3: then, to show:  $X \in L_p$ .

$$E(|X|^p) = E(\liminf_k |X_{n_k}|^p) \leq \liminf_k E(|X_{n_k}|^p)$$

$$\leq \sup_n \|X_n\|_p^p < \infty$$

( $\because X_n$  is Cauchy)

Fix  $k$ .

$$E(|X_m - X_{n_k}|^p) \leq 3^{-kp} \quad \forall m \geq n_k.$$

$$E(|X - X_{n_k}|^p) \leq \lim_j E(|X_{n_j} - X_{n_k}|^p) \leq 3^{-kp} \quad \forall j \geq k.$$

$\rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

$$\therefore X_{n_k} \xrightarrow{L_p} X, \text{ \& } \{X_n\} \text{ Cauchy}$$

$\Downarrow$

$$X_n \xrightarrow{L_p} X.$$

Set - 0:  $X, Y$  - independent.

$$Y \text{ - cond} \rightarrow P(Y=y) = 0 \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

?

$$Y\text{-cond} \longrightarrow P(Y=y) = 0 \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

$$(a) \quad P(X=Y) \stackrel{?}{=} 0$$

$$\rightarrow P((X,Y) \in D)$$

$$= E(Q(X,D)),$$

where

$$Q(x,D) = P(Y \in D_x)$$

$$= P(Y=x)$$

$$= 0.$$

where,

$$D = \{(x,y) : x=y\}.$$

$$\therefore D_x = \{y : (x,y) \in D\}$$

$\rightarrow$  "x-section".

$$(b) \quad h: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$\forall a, x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

$h(x,y) = a$  has countably many sol<sup>n</sup>.

$$A_a = \{(x,y) : h(x,y) = a\}.$$

$$P(h(X,Y) = a) = P$$

...

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{k \geq n} |X_k - X_n| \\ & \leq \underbrace{\sup_{k \geq n} |X_k - X|}_{\substack{X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X \\ X_n \xrightarrow{P} X}} + \underbrace{|X_n - X|}_{\downarrow 0} \end{aligned}$$

$$P\left(\bigcup_{(a,b) \in \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}} N(a,b)\right) = 0.$$

$\downarrow$

$$= P(X_n \text{ does not } \dots) = 0.$$

$$P(X).$$