

- Respect to  
A.N. Kolmogorov!!!

## (classical) Laws of Large Numbers

$(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$  - probability

$X_1, \dots, X_n$  iid r.v.s with common finite mean  $\mu$ .

$\therefore$  Law of Large Numbers (LLN):

$$\frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{n} \longrightarrow \mu$$

Weak Law of Large Numbers (WLLN):

$$\frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{n} \xrightarrow{P} \mu$$

Strong Law of Large Numbers (SLLN):

$$\frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{n} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \mu$$

[easier proof:  
done by  
Etemadi]

"Real-life problem is just an abstract concept."  
- Prof. AG, 6<sup>th</sup> Sept. '24.

Weak Law of Numbers (WLLN):

$X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  - sequence of i.i.d. r.v.s  
with finite common mean  
( $\Leftrightarrow E|X_i| < \infty \quad \forall i$ )

$n^{\text{th}}$  partial sum,  $S_n := X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n$

Aim: To show:  $\underline{S_n} \xrightarrow{P} \mu$

Aim: To show:  $\frac{S_n}{n} \xrightarrow{P} \mu$

ie,  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, P\left(\left|\frac{S_n}{n} - \mu\right| > \varepsilon\right) \longrightarrow 0$  as  $n \longrightarrow \infty$

↪ we don't have a distribution given.  
So, computing this isn't possible.

So, idea: to find an upper bound,  
& show that, that upper bound  $\longrightarrow 0$ .

Using Chebyshev's inequality,

$$\therefore P\left(\left|\frac{S_n}{n} - \mu\right| > \varepsilon\right) \leq \frac{E|S_n - n\mu|}{n\varepsilon}$$

$$\stackrel{\text{(A-inequality)}}{\leq} \frac{E|X_1 - \mu| + E|X_2 - \mu| + \dots + E|X_n - \mu|}{n\varepsilon}$$

$$= \frac{n \cdot E(|X_1 - \mu|)}{n\varepsilon} \quad \left[ \because X_i \text{'s are i.i.d.} \right]$$

$$= \frac{E(|X_1 - \mu|)}{\varepsilon} \quad ?? \text{ We are stuck!!}$$

← this approach leads us nowhere.

Just to get a "feeling" of happiness,  
we'll assume a stronger assumption,  
ie,  $X_i$ 's have finite 2<sup>nd</sup> moment.

then, applying Chebyshev's inequality using 2<sup>nd</sup> moments,

$$P\left(\left|\frac{S_n}{n} - \mu\right| > \varepsilon\right) \leq \frac{E(|S_n - n\mu|^2)}{n^2 \varepsilon^2}$$
$$= \frac{V(S_n)}{n^2 \varepsilon^2}$$

$\mu = E(X_i)$   
 $\therefore n\mu = E(S_n)$   
↪ ie, this is variance of  $S_n$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{V(S_n)}{n^2 \varepsilon^2} && \text{variance of } S_n. \\
&= \frac{n \cdot V(X_1)}{n^2 \varepsilon^2} && [\because V(S_n) = n \cdot V(X_1) \\
&= \frac{V(X_1)}{n \varepsilon^2} \rightarrow 0 && \downarrow \text{for this to be true, only the covariances} = 0 \\
&\quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty && \text{ie, Remark:}
\end{aligned}$$

At this stage, only pairwise independence of the r.v.s is needed. Total independence isn't needed.

So, we proved a slightly weaker condition than WLLN.

... back to the hypothesis:

$X_1, \dots, X_n$  iid with finite common mean

technique: replace original seq.  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  by a new seq.  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$ ;  $X_n$ 's truncated appropriate.

### Truncation technique:

For each  $n \geq 1$ ,  $Y_n := \begin{cases} X_n, & |X_n| \leq n \\ 0, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$

$Y_n$  - function of  $X_n$ .

So, if  $X_n$ 's are independent,  $Y_n$ 's are independent too!!

What did we lose? identicality of the distributions.

[ $\because$  truncation levels are different]

ie,  $Y_n$ 's are not identically distributed.

$Y_n \stackrel{d}{=} |X_1| \cdot \mathbb{1}_{|X_1| \leq n}$

Recall our aim:  $\frac{S_n}{n} \xrightarrow{P} \mu$

$$\Leftrightarrow \frac{S_n}{n} - \mu \xrightarrow{P} 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \frac{S_n - n\mu}{n} \xrightarrow{P} 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \frac{S_n - E(S_n)}{n} \xrightarrow{P} 0 \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

Here, let  $T_n := Y_1 + Y_2 + \dots + Y_n$

we will prove:

$$\frac{T_n - E(T_n)}{n} \xrightarrow{P} 0 \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

firstly, why doing this suffices? i.e., to show:  $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$

Observation - 1:

$$P(Y_n \neq X_n) = P(|X_n| > n)$$

$$= P(|X_1| > n) \quad [\because X_1, \dots, X_n \text{ are i.i.d.}]$$

$$\because E|X_n| < \infty \quad \forall n,$$

$$\sum_n P(Y_n \neq X_n) = \sum_n P(|X_n| > n)$$

$$= \sum_n P(|X_1| > n) < \infty$$

$\Rightarrow$  By Borel-Cantelli lemma,

$$P(Y_n \neq X_n \text{ for infinitely many } n) = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow P(Y_n = X_n \text{ for all but finitely many } n) = 1$$

i.e.,  $\exists n_0$  sufficiently large,  
s.t.  $\forall n > n_0$ , this holds.

$$\Rightarrow P\left(\frac{T_n}{n} - \frac{S_n}{n} \rightarrow 0\right) = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{T_n} - \underline{S_n} \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0$$

Consider 2 real seq<sup>n</sup>:  
 $a_n$  &  $b_n$  s.t.,  
 $\exists n_0$  large s.t.  $\forall$   
 $n > n_0$ ,  
 $a_n = b_n$ . Then  
 $\underline{a_n} = \underline{b_n}$ .

$$\Rightarrow \frac{T_n}{n} - \frac{S_n}{n} \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{T_n}{n} - \frac{S_n}{n} \xrightarrow{P} 0$$

$$\text{this} + \textcircled{2} \Rightarrow \frac{S_n}{n} - \frac{E(T_n)}{n} \xrightarrow{P} 0$$

$$\left[ \begin{array}{l} n > n_0, \\ a_n = b_n. \text{ Then} \\ \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n a_k}{n} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n b_k}{n} \end{array} \right]$$

Observation 2 :

$$\frac{E(T_n)}{n} = \frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^n E(Y_k)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^n E(X_k \cdot 1_{|X_k| \leq k})$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^n E(X_1 \cdot 1_{|X_1| \leq k})$$

$$\text{Now, } E(X_1 \cdot 1_{|X_1| \leq n}) \xrightarrow{\text{Cesaro mean of that}} \mu = \frac{E(S_n)}{n}$$

$$\left[ \begin{array}{l} \because X_1 \cdot 1_{|X_1| \leq n} \leq X_1, \& \\ E|X_1| = \mu < \infty \\ \therefore \text{By DCT, this follows.} \end{array} \right]$$

$$\therefore \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n E(X_1 \cdot 1_{|X_1| \leq k}) \rightarrow \frac{E(S_n)}{n}$$

$$\therefore \frac{E(T_n)}{n} \rightarrow \frac{E(S_n)}{n}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \frac{E(T_n)}{n} - \frac{E(S_n)}{n} \rightarrow 0$$

Note that, this has all moments finite!!

Now, finally,

$$P\left(\left|\frac{T_n - E(T_n)}{n}\right| > \varepsilon\right) = P(|T_n - E(T_n)| > n\varepsilon)$$

$$\stackrel{\text{(Chebyshev's inequality, 1st and 2nd)}}{\leq} \frac{E|T_n - E(T_n)|^2}{n^2 \varepsilon^2}$$

(Chebyshev's inequality, wrt 2<sup>nd</sup> moment)

$$\leq \frac{E|T_n - E(T_n)|}{n^2 \xi^2}$$

$$= \frac{V(T_n)}{n^2 \xi^2}$$

$$= \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n V(Y_k)}{n^2 \xi^2}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{n^2 \xi^2} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^n E(Y_k^2) \quad (*)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{n^2 \xi^2} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^n k \cdot E|Y_k|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{n^2 \xi^2} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^n k \cdot E|X_1|$$

common bound for all  $Y_k$

Again, we're stuck!!  
both numerator & denominator have orders of  $n^2$ .

$\therefore (2) \Rightarrow (1)$

choose a sequence  $\{a_n\}$  of +ve real nos,  
s.t.  $a_n \nearrow \infty$ , but  $\frac{a_n}{n} \rightarrow 0$

(i.e.  $a_n \nearrow \infty$  "slower" than  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .  
e.g.  $a_n = \log(n)$ ,  $a_n = \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^n$ , etc.)

back to (\*):

$$P\left(\left|\frac{T_n - E T_n}{n}\right| > \xi\right) \leq \frac{1}{n^2 \xi^2} \sum_{k=1}^n E(Y_k^2)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n^2 \xi^2} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^n \left( E X_1^2 \cdot 1_{|X_1| \leq k} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n^2 \xi^2} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^n E \left( X_1^2 \cdot 1_{|X_1| < a} \right) +$$

$$= \frac{1}{n^2 \varepsilon^2} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^n E \left( X_1^2 \cdot 1_{|X_1| \leq a_k} \right) +$$

1<sup>st</sup> term  $\swarrow$

$$\frac{1}{n^2 \varepsilon^2} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^n E \left( X_1^2 \cdot 1_{a_k < |X_1| \leq k} \right)$$

2<sup>nd</sup> term  $\swarrow$

1<sup>st</sup> term:

$$\frac{1}{n^2 \varepsilon^2} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^n E \left( X_1^2 \cdot 1_{|X_1| \leq a_k} \right) = \frac{1}{n^2 \varepsilon^2} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^n E \left( X_1 \cdot \underbrace{X_1 \cdot 1_{|X_1| \leq a_k}}_{\leq a_k} \right)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{n^2 \varepsilon^2} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \cdot E(|X_1|) \rightarrow 0 \quad \checkmark$$

2<sup>nd</sup> term:

$$\frac{1}{n^2 \varepsilon^2} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^n E \left( |X_1|^2 \cdot 1_{a_k < |X_1| \leq k} \right)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{n^2 \varepsilon^2} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^n k \cdot E \left( |X_1| \cdot 1_{a_k < |X_1|} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n \varepsilon^2} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^n E \left( |X_1| \cdot 1_{a_k < |X_1|} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \cdot \underbrace{\sum_{k=1}^n E \left( |X_1| \cdot 1_{|X_1| > a_k} \right)}_n \rightarrow 0$$

Cesaro mean

$\left[ \because a_k \rightarrow 0 \right]$

(analysis - 1 result.)  
Suppose  $x_n \rightarrow x$   
 $\Leftrightarrow \frac{x_1 + \dots + x_n}{n} \rightarrow x$