

Definition:  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are said to be **independent** if -

$$P(X_1 \in B_1, \dots, X_n \in B_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i \in B_i). \quad \forall \text{ Borel Sets } B_1, \dots, B_n \subset \mathbb{R}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow F_X(\underline{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^n F_{X_i}(x_i) \quad \forall \underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

a finite subgroup  
from the set of n  
ind. r.v.s.

Consequences:

①  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  independent  $\Leftrightarrow X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_k}$  independent  
for any  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n$

② for any  $1 \leq m < n$ ,

$h(X_1, \dots, X_m)$  and  $g(X_{m+1}, \dots, X_n)$  are  
independent. (dividing the set of  $n$  ind. r.v.s  
into 2 finite subfamilies.  
also holds for  $> 2$  subfamilies.)

\*

$X_1, \dots, X_n$  absolutely continuous and  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  independent.

$\Leftrightarrow \underline{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)^T$  has joint density

$$f_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^n f_{X_i}(x_i)$$

Fact:  $X, Y$  - independent and hence finite means

$$\Rightarrow \text{Cov}(X, Y) = 0.$$

Converse is NOT true!

Remark:  $(X, Y)$  - bivariate normal. (just  $X, Y$  separately  
being univariate normal DOES NOT suffice!!!)  
 $\text{Cov}(X, Y) = 0$ .  
 $\Rightarrow X \& Y$  are independent.

$\Rightarrow X \& Y$  are independent.

suffice!!! — )

Recall: our discussion on Bivariate Normal started with -  
 $g(x, y)$  is a quadratic form in  $(x, y)$ .

i.e.,  $g(x, y) = \alpha x^2 - 2\beta xy + \gamma y^2$

$$\iint e^{-\frac{1}{2}g(x,y)} dx dy < \infty \text{ (When?)}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \alpha > 0, \gamma > 0, \beta^2 < \alpha\gamma$$

$\therefore$  Under this condition,

$$f_{X,Y}(x, y) = C e^{-\frac{1}{2}g(x,y)}$$

The standard form of expressing a bivariate normal density:

$$f_{X,Y}(x,y) = C \cdot e^{\frac{-1}{2(1-\rho^2)} \cdot \left( \left(\frac{x}{\sigma_1}\right)^2 - \frac{2\rho xy}{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} + \left(\frac{y}{\sigma_2}\right)^2 \right)} ; \sigma_1 > 0, \sigma_2 > 0, -1 < \rho < 1.$$

taking  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{X,Y}(x, y) dx dy = 1$ ,

$$\text{we get } C = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_1\sigma_2\sqrt{1-\rho^2}}$$

$$\therefore (X, Y) \sim N(0, 0, \sigma_1^2, \sigma_2^2, \rho),$$

$$f_{X,Y}(x,y) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_1\sigma_2\sqrt{1-\rho^2}} \cdot e^{\frac{-1}{2(1-\rho^2)} \cdot \left( \left(\frac{x}{\sigma_1}\right)^2 - \frac{2\rho xy}{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} + \left(\frac{y}{\sigma_2}\right)^2 \right)}$$

$E_X$ from <u>Set-6</u> : $E(X) = E(Y) = 0$
$V(X) = E(X^2) = \sigma_1^2$
$V(Y) = E(Y^2) = \sigma_2^2$
$Cov(X, Y) = \rho\sigma_1\sigma_2$

$$V(Y) = E(Y^2) - \sigma_2^2$$

$$\text{Cov}(X, Y) = \rho \sigma_1 \sigma_2$$

Note, if  $\text{Cov}(X, Y) = \rho \sigma_1 \sigma_2 = 0$

$$f_{X,Y}(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_1\sigma_2} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2} \cdot \left( \left(\frac{x}{\sigma_1}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{y}{\sigma_2}\right)^2 \right)}$$

$$= \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_1\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot e^{-\frac{x^2}{\sigma_1^2}} \right) \cdot \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_2\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot e^{-\frac{y^2}{\sigma_2^2}} \right)$$

$$= f_X(x) \cdot f_Y(y). \Rightarrow X, Y - \text{independent}.$$

(i.e., the converse is true for Normal dist's.)

Slightly more general form:

$$(x, y) \mapsto (x - \mu_1, y - \mu_2); \mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

$$f_{X,Y}(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_1\sigma_2\sqrt{1-\rho^2}} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2(1-\rho^2)} \cdot \left( \left(\frac{x-\mu_1}{\sigma_1}\right)^2 - \frac{2\rho xy}{\sigma_1\sigma_2} + \left(\frac{y-\mu_2}{\sigma_2}\right)^2 \right)}$$

[ can be shown:  $X \sim N(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2)$ ,  $\text{Cov}(X, Y) = \rho \sigma_1 \sigma_2$   
 $Y \sim N(\mu_2, \sigma_2^2)$  ]

Idea: The quadratic form is p.d.  
So, do its spectral decomposition for it & see!!

Now, in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ :

$q(x) = \vec{x}^T A \vec{x}$  is a Quadratic form  
 $\hookrightarrow$  real symmetric matrix

When in  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^k} e^{-\frac{1}{2} q(\vec{x})} \cdot d\vec{x} < \infty ?$

$BAB^T$  - diagonal  
 $\equiv \text{diag}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)$ .

$\exists$  an orthogonal transformation  $\vec{y} = B\vec{x}$  s.t.  
 $q(\vec{x}) \mapsto \alpha_1 y_1^2 + \dots + \alpha_k y_k^2$

The Jacobian for the transformation  $x \mapsto y$   
in  $J = \det(B) = 1$  [ $\because B$ -orthonormal matrix].

(\*)  $\rightarrow$

Same as asking:

when is  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^k} e^{-\frac{1}{2} q(y)} dy < \infty$  ?, where

$$q(y) = \alpha_1 y_1^2 + \dots + \alpha_k y_k^2 > 0,$$

i.e.,  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k > 0$ ,

i.e., A is pd.

$$\therefore \int e^{-\frac{1}{2} q(\vec{x})} d\vec{x} < \infty$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \int e^{-\frac{1}{2} q(\vec{y})} dy < \infty$$

$\Leftrightarrow A$  is p.d.

$$\int e^{-\frac{1}{2} (\alpha_1 y_1^2 + \dots + \alpha_k y_k^2)} dy < \infty$$

$$\Rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^k \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_i y_i^2} dy < \infty$$

$$\begin{aligned} * \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \alpha y^2} dy &= \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{\alpha}} \\ &= (2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^k \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_i y_i^2} dy \right) < \infty$$

$$\Rightarrow (2\pi)^{\frac{k}{2}} \cdot \left( \prod_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \right)^{-\frac{k}{2}} < \infty$$

$$\Rightarrow (2\pi)^{\frac{k}{2}} \cdot (\det A)^{-\frac{k}{2}} < \infty$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & & & 0 \\ & \alpha_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & \alpha_k \end{bmatrix}$$

$\Leftrightarrow A$  is p.d., & then,

$$\left[ (2\pi)^{-k/2} \cdot (\det(A))^{-1/2} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2} \cdot \vec{x}^T A \vec{x}} \right]$$

a density. normalizing constant

Standard formulation:

$$\text{Write } A = \Sigma^{-1}$$

$$f(\vec{x}) = (2\pi)^{-k/2} \cdot (\det(\Sigma))^{-1/2} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2} \cdot \vec{x}^T \Sigma^{-1} \vec{x}}$$

$\downarrow \Sigma$  is a p.d matrix.

Now, make the transformation  $\vec{x} \mapsto \vec{x} - \vec{\mu}$ .  
then,

$$f(\vec{x}) = (2\pi)^{-k/2} \cdot (\det(\Sigma))^{-1/2} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2} \cdot (\vec{x} - \vec{\mu})^T \Sigma (\vec{x} - \vec{\mu})}$$

$$\sim N_k(\vec{\mu}, \Sigma)$$

Definition:  $X$  is said to have a  $k$ -variate normal density,  
i.e.,  $X \sim N_k(\vec{\mu}, \Sigma)$ .

$$f(\vec{x}) = (2\pi)^{-k/2} \cdot (\det(\Sigma))^{-1/2} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2} \cdot (\vec{x} - \vec{\mu})^T \Sigma (\vec{x} - \vec{\mu})}$$

Consequences:

①  $\vec{X} \sim N_k(\vec{\mu}, \Sigma) \Rightarrow$  for any non-singular  $A$ ,  
any  $\vec{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^k$ ,  $\vec{Y} = (A\vec{X} + \vec{\beta}) \sim N_k(A\vec{\mu} + \vec{\beta}, A\Sigma A^T)$ .

②  $\vec{X} \sim N_k(\vec{\mu}, \Sigma)$

$\Rightarrow$  for any permutation  $\Pi: \{1, 2, \dots, k\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ .

$\Rightarrow$  for any permutation  $\pi: \{1, 2, \dots, k\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ .

$$(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(k)}) \sim N_k(\mu_\pi, \Sigma_\pi).$$

Interpretation of  $\mu$  &  $\Sigma$ :

Let  $x \sim N_k(\vec{\mu}, \Sigma)$

Get a non-singular matrix such that,  $A\Sigma A^T = I_k$ .

$$\text{Put } Y = A(x - \vec{\mu})$$

then,  $\vec{Y} \sim N_k(\vec{0}, I)$  [By consequence ①].

$\Rightarrow Y_1, \dots, Y_k$  - independent, &  $Y_i \sim N(0, 1)$ .

$\therefore E(\vec{Y}) = \vec{0}$ , Dispersion matrix of  $\vec{Y} = I$ .  
 $\therefore D(\vec{Y}) = I$

Now, apply the transformation

$$\vec{x} = A^{-1}\vec{Y} + \vec{\mu}$$

$$(*) E(\vec{x}) = A^{-1}E(\vec{Y}) + \vec{\mu} = \vec{\mu}$$

$$\&, (*) D(\vec{x}) = A^{-1}D(\vec{Y})(A^{-1})^T \\ = A^{-1} \cdot I \cdot (A^{-1})^T \\ = (A^T A)^{-1} = \Sigma.$$

Result:

$$\vec{x} \sim N_k(\vec{\mu}, \Sigma).$$

$$\Rightarrow (x_1, \dots, x_m)^T \sim N_m \quad \forall 1 \leq m < k.$$

Proof: Assume  $\mu = 0$ .

We'll prove it for  $m = k-1$ .

Let  $\Sigma^{-1} = ((a_{ij}))$ . Observe that,  $a_{kk} \neq 0$

We do a non-singular linear transformation:

[ $\because \Sigma$  is pd,  
 $\therefore \Sigma^{-1}$  is pd]

We do a non-singular linear transformation:

$$\vec{x} \mapsto \vec{y},$$

$$y_1 = x_1$$

$$y_2 = x_2$$

⋮

$$y_{k-1} = x_{k-1}$$

$$y_k = \alpha_{1k}x_1 + \alpha_{2k}x_2 + \dots + \alpha_{kk}x_k.$$

$\left[ \begin{array}{l} \because \Sigma \text{ is pd,} \\ \therefore \Sigma^{-1} \text{ is pd} \\ \Rightarrow \text{all diagonal entries } > 0 \end{array} \right]$

Writing the quadratic form in terms of  $y_k^2$ , we have

$$q(\vec{x}) = \frac{1}{\alpha_{kk}} \cdot y_k^2 + q(y_1, \dots, y_{k-1})$$

Quadratic form  
in  $y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}$ .

Now, we know, any such  
non-singular transformation

[check: exercise].

converts one k-variate normal dist<sup>n</sup> to another  
k-variate normal dist<sup>n</sup>.

$$\therefore \vec{Y} \sim N_k.$$

But, from the quadratic form  $q(\vec{y})$ ,  
it is separable into 2 normals,

$$Y_k \text{ & } (Y_1, \dots, Y_{k-1})^T.$$

i.e., the density of  $\vec{Y}$  factors into the  
density of  $Y_k$  &  $(Y_1, \dots, Y_{k-1})^T$ .

$$\therefore (Y_1, \dots, Y_{k-1})^T \sim N_{k-1}.$$

Now, by induction, we can get down to any  
lesser k & prove the same result.

i.e., any permutation of m  $Y_i$ 's (picked  
from  $\vec{Y}$ )  $\sim N_m$ ,  $1 \leq m \leq k$ .

Hence, any permutation of m  $X_i$ 's  
(picked from  $\vec{X}$ )  $\sim N_m$ .  $\blacksquare$

Hence, any permutation of  $m$   $x_i$ 's  
(picked from  $\vec{X}$ )  $\sim N_m$ .  $\blacksquare$

i.e., Consequences:

①  $x_1, \dots, x_k \sim N_k(\vec{\mu}, \Sigma)$  for any  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_m \leq k$ .  
 $(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_m}) \sim N_m$ . (Proved above).

②  $\vec{X} \sim N_k(\vec{\mu}, \Sigma)$ ,  
then if  $\vec{a} \neq 0$ ,  $\vec{a}^T \vec{X} \sim N(\vec{a}^T \vec{\mu}, \vec{a}^T \Sigma \vec{a})$   
 $\hookrightarrow$  univariate normal.

Proof:

take  $\vec{a}$ .  
extend to a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^k$ .  
So,  $A = [\vec{a}^T \quad \vdots]$   
thus obtained is a non-singular matrix.

Take a matrix  $A$  with first row to be  $\vec{a}^T$ . Then,  $A\vec{X}$  is k-dimensional normal, & the first component follows univariate normal.  
 $A\vec{X} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow$  follows univariate normal.

$\curvearrowright$  Using just the consequence above.

Exercise: assume  $\mu = 0$ .  $\vec{X} \sim N_k(0, \Sigma)$

Make the transformation  $\vec{x} \mapsto \vec{y}$

$$\begin{aligned} y_1 &= x_1 \\ y_2 &= x_2 \\ &\vdots \\ y_{k-1} &= x_{k-1} \\ y_k &= \alpha_{1k} x_1 + \dots + \alpha_{kk} x_k \end{aligned}$$

$$y_k = \alpha_{kk} (x_k - \beta_1 x_1 - \dots - \beta_{k-1} x_{k-1}),$$

$$\text{where } \beta_i = -\frac{\alpha_{ik}}{\alpha_{kk}}$$

$$x_k = \frac{y_k}{\alpha_{kk}} + \beta_1 x_1 + \dots + \beta_{k-1} x_{k-1}$$

Example:  $Z, X$  - independent

$$Z \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$$

$\dots \dots \dots$   $\rightarrow$  distribution of

$$Z \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$$

Q. What is the conditional distribution of  $Z$  given  $X$ ?

$$\text{Ans: } N(0, \sigma^2) \quad [\because X, Z - \text{ind.}]$$

Q. What is the conditional distribution of  $Z + X$  given  $X = x$ ?

$$\text{Ans: } N(x, \sigma^2) \quad [\because \text{Here, } x \text{ acts as a constant!}]$$

$$\vec{X} \sim N_k(\vec{0}, I_k)$$

$$\bar{X} = \frac{1}{k} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^k x_i, \quad S^2 = \frac{1}{k-1} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^k (x_i - \bar{x})^2$$

To prove:  $\bar{X}, S^2$  - independent.

$$\bar{X} \sim N\left(0, \frac{1}{k}\right) \quad \& \quad S^2 \sim \chi^2_{(k-1)}$$

Result (we'll use for this):

$$\vec{X} \sim N_k(\vec{0}, \Sigma)$$

$$Z = \frac{1}{2} \vec{X}^\top \Sigma^{-1} \vec{X} \sim \chi^2_{(k)} \quad (\text{sum of squares of normal})$$

(Using mgf approach):

For  $t < 1$ ,

$$E(e^{tZ}) = (2\pi)^{-k/2} \cdot (\det(\Sigma))^{-1/2} \cdot \int e^{\frac{1}{2} \cdot t \cdot \vec{x}^\top \Sigma^{-1} \vec{x}} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2} \vec{x}^\top \Sigma^{-1} \vec{x}} dx$$

$e^{tz} \cdot f_Z(z)$ .

$$= \left( ? \right) \cdot \int e^{-\frac{1}{2} \cdot \vec{x}^\top (1-t) \cdot \Sigma^{-1} \cdot \vec{x}} A dx$$

$$= \left( ? \right) (2\pi)^{k/2} \cdot \left( \det((1-t) \cdot \Sigma^{-1}) \right)^{1/2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= (1-t)^{-k/2} \\
 &= \left(\frac{1}{1-t}\right)^{k/2} \rightarrow \text{looks like } \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda-t}\right)^\alpha. \\
 &\quad \therefore \text{mgf of Gamma } (k_2, 1).
 \end{aligned}$$

check:  $\chi_{(n)}^2 = \text{Gamma}\left(\frac{k}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$

Now, back to the proof:

we make a transformation  
 $\vec{x} \mapsto \vec{y}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 y_1 &= x_1 - \bar{x} \\
 y_2 &= x_2 - \bar{x} \\
 &\vdots \\
 &\vdots \\
 y_{k-1} &= x_{k-1} - \bar{x} \\
 y_k &= \bar{x}.
 \end{aligned}$$

But we got  
 $\text{Gamma}(k_2, 1) ??$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \therefore \vec{x}^T \vec{x} &= k y_k^2 + \underbrace{(k-1) \cdot s^2}_{\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} y_i^2 + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} y_i\right)^2}
 \end{aligned}$$