# Mixed effects models

### Prisha Reddy Bobbili

## 1 Hypothesis testing:

We would now like to perform tests of significance of the different effects present in a model.

Consider the following fixed effect model :

$$y_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \epsilon_{ijk}$$

Let us recall how to test the significance of the input corresponding to the j index ( $\beta$  parameter, in this case).

 $H_0: \beta_j$ 's are all same.

Since under the null hypothesis , all  $\beta_j$ 's are same , we assume them to take a constant value (say k) which is then absorbed into  $\mu$  by the model.

We then fit both the models ( the one with  $\beta_j$ 's and the one without) and compare them using the Log-likelihood test!

Now consider the following Random effect model:-

$$y_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + b_j + \epsilon_{ijk}$$
$$b_j \sim N(o, \sigma_b^2)$$

In this case however , testing whether input corresponding to j index (b's in this case) is not so simple. The prime reason :  $b_j$ 's are not parameters. (A null hypothesis must always be couched using only parameters.)

We have to test something involving  $\sigma_b^2$ . Thus,

$$H_0: \sigma_b^2 = 0$$

Note:

This is only in case of b's. In case of  $\alpha$ 's (in case we want to test); we still have:  $H_0: \alpha$ 's are all same

### Note

Thus, tests are no longer as basic as in case of fixed effect model. (even for  $\alpha$ 's which is a fixed effect in the mixed effect model)

### Reason

The null distribution gets far more complicate due to the presence of random effect.

We shall now see how to deal with the tests in these cases.