

## Video 37: Generalization of GM theorem

Scribe: Arghya Sarkar  
bs2021

August 2022

### Introduction

In this lecture we will state and proof the general version of the GM theorem. For start we state the form of GM theorem that we used before.

**Theorem 1** (Gauss-Markov theorem). *Assume the setup for linear regression. Assume  $X^T X$  is non-singular. Then for all  $\vec{c}$ ,  $\vec{c}^T \hat{\beta}$  is the 'unique' best linear unbiased estimator (BLUE) of  $\vec{c}^T \beta$ .*

But we want to remove the condition that  $X^T X$  being non-singular. This will let us work with all possible  $X$ . But in this case we cannot work with all  $\vec{c}$  anymore. We need to put some restriction on  $\vec{c}$ .

**Question:** What restriction on  $\vec{c}$  will allow us to generalize GM theorem?

From previous videos we know for  $\vec{c}^T \hat{\beta}$  to be estimable we need  $\vec{c} \in \mathcal{R}(X)$ . This should be a minimal requirement because without it estimating  $\vec{c}^T \hat{\beta}$  will be meaningless. However, it turns out that this restriction is enough and the following theorem is true.

**Theorem 2.** *Assume the setup for linear regression. Then for all  $\vec{c} \in \mathcal{R}(X)$ ,  $\vec{c}^T \hat{\beta}$  is the 'unique' best linear unbiased estimator (BLUE) of  $\vec{c}^T \beta$ .*

The idea for proof of this theorem is similar to Theorem 1. But there is a problem: since we don't assume  $X^T X$  is non-singular so we have multiple solution for  $\hat{\beta}$ . Also the identity  $\hat{\beta} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T \vec{y}$  is no longer true. So we need to be careful about that.

*Proof.* Like before take another unbiased linear estimator  $\vec{a}^T \vec{y}$  and express it as following

$$\vec{a}^T \vec{y} = \vec{c}^T \hat{\beta} + (\vec{a}^T \vec{y} - \vec{c}^T \hat{\beta})$$

So  $\text{Var}[\vec{a}^T \vec{y}] = \text{Var}(\vec{c}^T \hat{\beta}) + \text{Var}(\vec{a}^T \vec{y} - \vec{c}^T \hat{\beta}) + \text{Cov}(\vec{c}^T \hat{\beta}, \vec{a}^T \vec{y} - \vec{c}^T \hat{\beta})$ . Like before we will show that  $\text{Cov}(\vec{c}^T \hat{\beta}, \vec{a}^T \vec{y} - \vec{c}^T \hat{\beta}) = 0$ . But we cannot find the exact form of

$\text{Cov}(\vec{c}'\hat{\vec{\beta}}, \vec{a}'\vec{y} - \vec{c}'\hat{\vec{\beta}})$  like before because we no longer know the exact form of  $\hat{\vec{\beta}}$ . But now we know  $\vec{c} \in \mathcal{R}(X) = \mathcal{C}(X^T X)$ . So  $\vec{c} = X^T X \vec{\lambda}$  for some  $\vec{\lambda}$ .

So we see,

$$\vec{c}'\hat{\vec{\beta}} = \vec{\lambda}' X^T X \hat{\vec{\beta}} = \vec{\lambda}' X^T \vec{y}$$

Here we used the definition of  $\hat{\vec{\beta}}$  that says  $\hat{\vec{\beta}}$  is a solution of the equation  $X^T X \hat{\vec{\beta}} = X^T \vec{y}$ . This is how we can express  $\vec{c}'\hat{\vec{\beta}}$  by an expression which does not depend on  $\hat{\vec{\beta}}$ . Also we know,  $\vec{a}'\vec{y}$  is unbiased estimator of  $\vec{c}'\vec{\beta}$ . So

$$\mathbb{E}[\vec{a}'\vec{y}] = \vec{c}'\vec{\beta} \implies \vec{a}'X\vec{\beta} = \vec{c}'\vec{\beta} \quad \forall \vec{\beta}$$

Which means

$$\vec{a}'X = \vec{c}'$$

So now we calculate the sought co-variance

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(\vec{c}'\hat{\vec{\beta}}, \vec{a}'\vec{y} - \vec{c}'\hat{\vec{\beta}}) &= \text{Cov}(\vec{\lambda}' X^T \vec{y}, (\vec{a}' - \vec{\lambda}' X^T) \vec{y}) \\ &= (\vec{a}' - \vec{\lambda}' X^T) \text{Var}(\vec{y}) X \vec{\lambda} \\ &= (\vec{a}' - \vec{\lambda}' X^T) \sigma^2 I X \vec{\lambda} \\ &= \sigma^2 ((\vec{a}' - \vec{\lambda}' X^T) X \vec{\lambda}) \\ &= \sigma^2 ((\vec{a}' X - \vec{\lambda}' X^T X) \vec{\lambda}) \\ &= \sigma^2 (\vec{a}' X - \vec{c}') \vec{\lambda} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

We get  $\text{Var}[\vec{a}'\vec{y}] = \text{Var}(\vec{c}'\hat{\vec{\beta}}) + \text{Var}(\vec{a}'\vec{y} - \vec{c}'\hat{\vec{\beta}}) \implies \text{Var}[\vec{a}'\vec{y}] \geq \text{Var}(\vec{c}'\hat{\vec{\beta}})$ . Which means  $\vec{c}'\hat{\vec{\beta}}$  has lowest variance among all unbiased linear estimators of  $\vec{c}'\vec{\beta}$ , i.e.  $\vec{c}'\hat{\vec{\beta}}$  is a BLUE of  $\vec{c}'\vec{\beta}$ .

For 'uniqueness' part, note if  $\vec{l}'\vec{y}$  is another BLUE of  $\vec{c}'\vec{\beta} \quad \forall \vec{\beta}$ . Then we know,  $\text{Var}[\vec{l}'\vec{y}] = \text{Var}(\vec{c}'\hat{\vec{\beta}}) + \text{Var}(\vec{l}'\vec{y} - \vec{c}'\hat{\vec{\beta}})$ . But  $\vec{l}'\vec{y}$  and  $\vec{c}'\hat{\vec{\beta}}$  are both BLUE of  $\vec{c}'\vec{\beta}$  so  $\text{Var}[\vec{l}'\vec{y}] = \text{Var}(\vec{c}'\hat{\vec{\beta}})$ . So

$$\text{Var}(\vec{l}'\vec{y} - \vec{c}'\hat{\vec{\beta}}) = 0 \quad \forall \vec{\beta}$$

Which implies

$$\mathbb{P}_{\vec{\beta}}(\vec{l}'\vec{y} = \vec{c}'\hat{\vec{\beta}}) = 1 \quad \forall \vec{\beta}$$

This completes the proof. □