

What start-up teams are funded and why: empirical evidence of the signaling role of social proof for early-stage resource acquisition

Arnauld Bessagnet

LEREPS – Sciences-Po Toulouse, University of Toulouse – France

Abstract

Entrepreneurship research and signaling theory suggests that start-up teams' human capital has a signal-quality effect on how easily they can access financial resources from investors. Based on a sample of 508 software-based firms, we examine the signal effect of skills and expertise endorsement, a peer-reviewed relational measure of professional capabilities, and its influence on the amount of external funding received in early stage. Results show that investors select start-up teams that have either a high level of skills and expertise endorsement or a high level of variety of skills and expertise endorsement, but only some at a time. We discuss the implications of these findings for the research literature on digital entrepreneurship and venture capital.

Keywords: Skills, Entrepreneurship, Fundraising, Start-up Teams, Variety

JEL Classification: L22, L26, L85

1 Introduction

Start-up teams, i.e. individuals which jointly create a firm and are characterized on a continuum including ownership of equity, decision-making autonomy and their entitativity (Kamm et al., 1990; Knight et al., 2020), are considered important actors for cities, regions and countries development (Audretsch and Thurik, 2001; Autio, 2016). As financial resource acquisition is an important part of start-up teams growth and development (Rosenbusch et al., 2013), the determinants and mechanisms to attract such resources to survive and grow are of great interest to researchers, practitioners and policy makers (European-Commission, 2015). This interest is particularly salient in the context of the digital economy, where low-resource-intensive (efficient, predictable, and repeatable) systems offer investors new opportunities due to the non-linear revenues of digital technologies (Nambisan, 2017; Sahut et al., 2021). This article contributes to this critical agenda by examining the relationship between start-up teams' human capital signals and their performance, which we examine here from the angle of resource access, specifically the access to external capital financing from professional investors.

This research seeks to investigate the effect of skills and expertise endorsements, a peer-reviewed relational measure of professional capabilities, on the ability of start-up teams to acquire financial resources from professional investors. Which start-up teams are funded by external investors and why are recurring themes in contemporary economic and entrepreneurial literature (Baum and Silverman, 2004; Beckman et al., 2007; Bernstein et al., 2017; Franke et al., 2006, 2008; Plummer et al., 2016; Kaplan et al., 2009; Shane and Cable, 2002). Previous studies have largely focused on the individual qualities of founding members, such as education, work experience and prior

entrepreneurial endeavors, in order to explain the acquisition of financial resources by start-up teams (Shane and Cable, 2002; Hsu, 2007). While these studies have yielded several important insights, this approach is problematic as investors draw on a wide array of alternative signals indicators to assess the relevance of investing in a start-up team. Indeed, in order to gain a more complete view, investors often draw on a variety of additional signals, such as social proof data available on social networks (Smith et al., 2017; Banerji and Reimer, 2019), when assessing start-up teams. This study will focus on the social proof data available on LinkedIn, the world’s largest professional online social network, to examine the effect of skills and expertise endorsements on resource acquisition, a feature which allows users to tag themselves with topics representing their areas of expertise and have their connections provide social proof of their competence in that topic.

Using data from a sample of 508 software-based ventures listed on Crunchbase, Dealroom and BPIFrance combined with data from LinkedIn, company websites and press articles, we constructed a unique dataset which includes human capital investments (i.e., common traditional signals used by investors such as years of education, professional experience, previous founding) and outcomes of human capital (i.e., skills and expertise) of start-up teams. We test our claim in two steps. First, we examine the relationship between the signal of the level of skills and expertise endorsement of start-up teams and its impact on capital acquisition in early stage investment. Secondly, drawing from cognitive distance models (Nooteboom et al., 2007) and the cybernetic principle of requisite variety (Ashby, 1957), we assess the extent to which signals from founders’ startup-team’s skills and expertise variety help the firm acquire capital. Following our claims, we find that investors favor start-up teams that have

either a high level of competence or a high level of variety of skills, but only at a time.

Our study contributes to the literature on signaling and new venture financing in multiple ways. This study presents a new approach to examining the composition of start-up teams and the signals generated among investors. Previous research has generally focused on either the proficiency level or variety of skills possessed by start-up teams. However, neither dimension by itself is sufficient to explain the success of the firm. Additionally, the digital context is subject to different social and technological factors that necessitate a different type of signal. The proposed methodology evaluates both proficiency and variety of skills simultaneously within this digital context. Second, various empirical studies on the impact of the composition of start-up teams on investors' evaluations have been conducted, taking into consideration indicators such as founders' education (Franke et al., 2008), entrepreneurial experience (Beckman et al., 2007), industry experience (Becker-Blease and Sohl, 2015), or leadership experience (Hoenig and Henkel, 2015). This article proposes a different approach, focusing on the 'outcomes of human capital' (i.e. the knowledge, skills and abilities), which are considered to be more precise and direct indicators than those related to 'investment in human capital' such as education and years of experience (Unger et al., 2011; Marvel et al., 2016). We derive from a peer-reviewed relational measure an outcome-based human capital indicator as one approach to analyzing how skills and expertise endorsement affect firms' resource acquisition in a digital context. Third, this paper presents a unique dataset which combines multiple sources of validated data (including Crunchbase, Dealroom, BPIFrance, LinkedIn, company websites and press articles). We make use of CrunchBase and LinkedIn especially, as they provide reliable self-reported information which can accurately capture an individual's human

capital trajectories (Sako et al., 2020; Rapanta and Cantoni, 2017; Reese et al., 2020). Furthermore, this paper also highlights the data limitations researchers currently face when studying the acquisition of financial resources by firms. Current datasets lack information on both founder characteristics (such as occupation and education) and attributes of small firms (employment size, financial resources). By collecting data from LinkedIn, we have constructed a dataset which covers over x founders in France. This paper serves to demonstrate the value of the data for research, particularly to understand the dynamics of signals in entrepreneurship.

The paper is structured as follows. Section 2 reviews the literature on signaling theory for early-stage resource acquisition. Section 3 explains the data and methods used, and Section 4 presents key findings. Finally, section 5 concludes by discussing implications for theory and practice, noting the limitations of this study.

2 Theoretical framework and hypothesis

2.1 Signaling theory for early-stage resource acquisition

Literature on entrepreneurship has continually underscored the critical role of external financial resources for the survival and growth of new firms (Cooper et al., 1994). Despite the numerous types of external financial sources obtainable to start-up teams (Drover et al., 2017; Klein et al., 2020), the majority of research has concentrated on the acquisition of capital from external investors, who provide financial capital in return for a stake in the company’s ownership. Nevertheless, securing external funding is a challenging task, with investors having difficulty predicting which teams will come out on top (Ghassemi et al., 2015; Duhigg, 2016), due to the lack of experience

of the founding teams or past financial results. In order to limit the information asymmetries, investors lean on quality-signals (Spence, 1978; Ko and McKelvie, 2018), with signalling theory being particularly applicable in the digital context, where well-known business models or key success factors are unfamiliar (Nambisan, 2017).

Signaling theory posits that actors reduce asymmetrical information between two parties by attending to available signals to reduce the perceived uncertainty. This notion, first proposed by Spence (1974), has been used in various disciplines to provide insight into social selection problems when there is an absence of perfect information (Connelly et al., 2011; Colombo, 2021). Management and entrepreneurship scholars have found this concept to be beneficial as particular signals can diminish uncertainty about ventures' quality in the eyes of stakeholders, such as prestigious government grants (Islam et al., 2018), the enthusiasm and passion of the founders (Chen et al., 2009), affiliations of the venture with other entities (Plummer et al., 2016), and the composition of the founders' team (Ko and McKelvie, 2018). Investors, similarly, use a variety of indicators to decide if they should invest in a company and to what degree, such as the founders' ties to others (Shane and Cable, 2002), their human capital (Beckman et al., 2007), social capital (Shane and Stuart, 2002), and endorsements (Courtney et al., 2017; Janney and Folta, 2006; Plummer et al., 2016).

In the context of early-stage ventures, human capital of the start-up teams is considered to be a significant and prominent factor for investors to consider (Beckman et al., 2007; Ko and McKelvie, 2018; Matusik et al., 2008). This emphasis is due to the limited resources and small number of people responsible for formulating and carrying out strategies. According to organizational theory related to entrepreneurship, the composition of the start-up teams is believed to have an imprinting effect on the

processes and operations of the firm (Packalen, 2007). This concept implies that past experiences, and therefore the underlying skills and experiences acquired meanwhile, can shape the present. Additionally, signaling theory is utilized by investors to fill the gap of their lack of knowledge concerning the team members' perceptions of the firm's quality (Plummer et al., 2016). Such data as demographic characteristics, educational backgrounds, and functional skills are used as signals by investors since they are easily accessible (Colombo and Grilli, 2005; Beckman et al., 2007; Eddleston et al., 2016).

Extensive research has been conducted to explore the association between signaling and the acquisition of financial resources (see (Connelly et al., 2011) and Colombo (2021) for review papers). However, few studies have examined the connection between signals of "social proof data" available on social networks and financial resource procurement in the early stages of venture creation. This gap in the literature is remarkable given that the level of uncertainty (Matusik et al., 2008) and information asymmetry between the signal sender and receiver (Spence, 2002) are most pronounced during this period. Therefore, any kind of quality-signals that help gain additional perspective and triangulate start-up teams data is welcomed by investors. At this juncture, a new venture typically has no track record of performance to rely on, yet must still find a way to convince stakeholders that it is a legitimate venture (Becker-Blease and Sohl, 2015), and thus worthy of obtaining necessary resources, such as financial capital (Ko and McKelvie, 2018).

This paper proposes to investigate how investors rely on alternative signals to determine the legitimacy and potential of new firms they are considering investing in. To this end, we will focus on the signal effect of skills and expertise endorsement, a peer-reviewed relational measure of professional capabilities feature on LinkedIn, the

world’s largest professional online social network. This feature enables members to tag themselves with topics representing their areas of expertise and their connections to provide social proof via the endorsement of said member’s competency in the topic. From skills and expertise endorsement data, we derived an outcome-based human capital indicator which is considered a more direct measure of human capital and as one way of analyzing how skills affect firms’ performance in a digital environment (Marvel et al., 2016).

2.2 Signaling effects from start-up teams’ level of skills and expertise endorsement

Entrepreneurship researchers have extensively explored what start-up teams’ characteristics might provide signal quality to investors and potentially enabling them to access external funding (Roure and Keeley, 1990). The focus on start-up teams stems from the fact that most entrepreneurial initiatives are run mainly by groups of individuals rather than by lone individuals (Klotz et al., 2014). Such characteristics include the founders’ social capital (Shane and Cable, 2002), the team’s demographics and size (Eisenhardt and Schoonhoven, 1990), the industry environment (Townsend and Busenitz, 2015), the match with an investor’s characteristics (Aggarwal et al., 2015) or the investor’s experience (Franke et al., 2008). However, in the context of early-stage ventures, human capital of the start-up teams is considered to be a significant and prominent factor for investors to consider (Beckman et al., 2007; Ko and McKelvie, 2018; Matusik et al., 2008). Generally speaking, the entrepreneurship literature flexibly defines human capital and includes knowledge and skills (Becker, 1964; Marvel et al., 2016).

This study hypothesizes that start-up teams with higher levels of skills have a greater propensity to reach specific entrepreneurial milestones, elicit greater investor confidence, and a greater likelihood of attracting capital and investment (Zarutskie, 2010). It is postulated that higher levels of skills enable a start-up team to take greater risks and demonstrate proactive behavior (Becherer and Maurer, 1999), allowing them to optimize business opportunities (Shane and Venkataraman, 2000; Chandler and Hanks, 1994). Additionally, the acquired skills enable entrepreneurs to make full use of the available technological tools in a digital context (Nambisan, 2017), enabling them to better understand and differentiate their offerings through the introduction of new technologies and disruptive products (Marvel and Lumpkin, 2007). Moreover, a high level of skill proficiency can help to obtain resources complementary to financial resources, which is an issue for many firms in the early stages of development (Beckman et al., 2007). Finally, developing skills and knowledge is a prerequisite for further entrepreneurial learning and helps business owners acquire additional skills and knowledge that will help their firm grow (Hunter, 1986).

Therefore, we propose that a high level of skills within a start-up team enhances the quality of the signal intended for investors looking to engage financially in the early stages. The investors are alarmed by this sign because it suggests that higher skill levels may translate into future success, which should draw early-stage investors. Thus, we hypothesize the following:

H1: Start-up teams with greater skills and expertise endorsement levels will get more fundings from investors

2.3 Signaling effects from start-up teams' variety of skills and expertise endorsement

To gain a thorough understanding of the influence of the skills of a start-up team on the long-term success of a digital firm, it is essential to consider not only the level of the skills but also the diversity of the skills (Harrison and Klein, 2007; Grillitsch and Schubert, 2021). This is because the success of entrepreneurial endeavors is often the result of teamwork and collective endeavors, which require the combination of knowledge, the synergy of abilities, and the collaboration of multiple individuals (Klotz et al., 2014). Moreover, empirical studies support the idea that multiple forms of diversity and organizational performance positively affect firm performance (Zhou and Rosini, 2015). According to the literature, there are two types of diversity: surface-level differences (e.g., race, ethnic origin, age, etc.), and deep differences (e.g., education, skills, capacities, attitudes and personalities) (Bell, 2007). Consequently, this paper demonstrate that start-up teams with a wide range of skills and expertise endorsement have a greater chance of acquiring investors due to two key reasons.

The first reason relates to the decision-making process. The decision-making process of a start-up can be improved through the utilization of a team's functional diversity. The underlying argument is that groups with various skills take better decisions because they have access to more information (Hong and Page, 2001). Therefore, the solutions to new issues encountered during entrepreneurial cycles might result from recombining existing knowledge under new forms. A meta-analysis conducted by Jin et al. (2017) suggests that an entrepreneurial team that has a variety of skill sets is more likely to use various market entry, internationalization or innovation strategies (Boeker, 1989). This implies that start-up teams with diverse skills are in a better

position to make high-quality decisions, thus increasing their chances of success. Consequently, investors may use start-up teams' skills diversity as a signal to assess their performance, which can significantly impact the probability of receiving investments.

The second reason related to the connection between start-up teams' skills diversity and their social capital. The literature demonstrates that the social capital of a start-up team has the capacity to act as a signal or control for asymmetry of information. Specifically, Shane and Cable (2002) infer that social capital can play a role in connecting start-up teams to potential investors and facilitating fundraising. Moreover, Huang and Knight (2017); Shane and Stuart (2002) posit that the presence of a social connection between start-up teams and investors can reduce the informational gap between them. Additionally, Hoenig and Henkel (2015) suggest that the social capital of a start-up team is utilized by investors to triangulate the quality of the firm. Finally, Plummer et al. (2016); Semrau and Werner (2014) posit that the composition of start-up teams and their relationships are used as indicators of quality by investors. So, if a start-up team's diversity of skills is the result of different social capital and this capital influences the start-up teams' ability to raise funds from investors, start-up teams with diverse skill will raise more funds than less diversified ones. Thus, we hypothesize the following:

H2: Start-up teams with greater skills and expertise endorsement variety will get more fundings from investors

Early on, start-up teams frequently lack the cash flow needed to cover the costs that will later help them develop their technical and commercial activities. In this

stage, the start-up teams of digital firms concentrate primarily on searching for an exploitable idea and selecting a coherent digital business model. Getting external funding allows early-stage businesses to surpass the liability of newness and smallness limitations and finance the development of products or services. Even though open-source software tools and cloud computing have proliferated and generally reduced experimentation costs, business founders still incur initial costs, they might benefits from high level and high diversity.

However produced conflicting results. Past findings suggest that adding more human capital to a start-up team does not necessarily translate into greater success (Pierce and Aguinis, 2013). On the one hand, empirical studies suggest that a certain level of human capital stimulates the discovery of new business opportunities (Shane and Venkataraman, 2000; Marvel et al., 2016), increases the likelihood of developing radically new and commercially viable products (Marvel and Lumpkin, 2007), and increases the odds of securing external funding (Beckman et al., 2007). The rationality of investing time in years of professional experience and education to learn new skills to increase the odds of raising money from investors, however, is called into question (Audretsch and Lehmann, 2004). On the other hand, studies looking at the impact of start-up teams' diverse skill sets have shown that they help find more opportunities (Shane, 2000), solve complex problems (Hong and Page, 2001) and stimulate fundraising (Ko and McKelvie, 2018). However, other studies suggest a trade-off regarding the diversity of human capital within start-up teams because too much diversity can result in high transaction costs, such as conflict and tension due to extensive cognitive gaps between individuals (Nooteboom et al., 2007). For example, [x said that, and y said that].

H3: relation with cognitive distance

3 Methodology

3.1 Data sources and collection process

To test our hypotheses, we built a dataset with information on digital firms, their fundraising activities, and granular information on the competencies of start-up teams. Table TABLE1 lists our empirical variables, definitions, and sources. Table TABLE2 provides the general statistics and distribution across sizes and sectors. Table TABLE3 provides the descriptive statistics of the fundraising activities of the 498 digital firms in our sample. We detail the collection process below.

INSERT TABLE 1 HERE

First, we draw on Crunchbase, Dealroom, and BPI France databases as a starting point. These databases provide information on the firm’s headquarters, founders’ names, fundraising activity, business models, and date foundation. We collected this data in March 2020 and kept firms that (i) were founded between 2010 and 2018, (ii) had their headquarters in the Metropolis of Greater Paris (France), (iii) were independent (no subsidiaries), (iv) operate in business to business markets and (v) used digital Software-as-a-Service (SaaS) business models. From these filters, we ended up with 498 SaaS digital firms¹. These criteria were

¹Regarding the filters (iv) and (v), we manually checked each firm’s websites to check if their offers included hardware devices and if they depended on a parent company. These filters eliminated x firms (x firms with hardware business propositions and x subsidiaries)

chosen as a way to pinpoint the mechanisms that matter most to start-up teams working in a given context. In fact, the dynamics of composition for start-up teams based in other regions may differ significantly; sampling start-up teams from a broad landscape could introduce noise into a focused investigation. Therefore, we could create accurate theoretical models for one particular area (Metropolis of Greater Paris) and domain (the digital) through focused research, in order to produce practical guidance for start-up teams' leaders and members in that location².

INSERT TABLES 2 AND 3 HERE

Secondly, we use LinkedIn, a social networking service providing information on individuals' professional trajectories, to collect human capital - skills data of entrepreneurial teams of 498 digital firms, representing a total of x individuals. Virtual skill endorsement (skills endorsed and validated by peers on LinkedIn) is a socially constructed online reputation considered a piece of valuable information. Skill endorsement a way of self-presentation through which the job seekers brand themselves to the potential recruiters (Rapanta and Cantoni, 2017). Using LinkedIn

²We chose to study SaaS-based digital firms because their scalability echoes the efficient, predictable, and repeatable systems that provide investors with new opportunities offered by the non-linear revenues of digital technologies (the hardware being complicated to finance by investors) (Nambisan, 2017). Also, we chose the period 2010-2018 because it fits with private firms' mass adoption of cloud technologies in pre-existing markets. Indeed, these technologies recently revolutionized the software industry in various markets, e.g., supply chain, financial, accounting, human resources, or customer relationships, making it a topic of interest in various industries (Luoma et al., 2018). Furthermore, we chose the Metropolis of Greater Paris (France) because it is a significant global city with labor and financial capital pools and proximate clients. The Metropolis of Greater Paris' financing and business landscape, especially its venture capital market, is one of Europe's largest, most structured, and most dynamic. From 2016 to 2020, SaaS-based firms accounted for 50% of the total amount raised in France, 75% of French fundraising rounds in Paris, and more than 85% of the amount and in values (BPI, 2020)

has proven its relevance in recent entrepreneurship studies because it profiles detailed individual-level human capital data not available through more traditional sources. We selected carefully all founders that possess equity in the firm (Knight et al., 2020; Xie et al., 2020). Table TABLE4 list the descriptives statistics of all variables (means, std dev, min, max).

INSERT TABLE 4 HERE

3.2 Dependent variable: fundraising

La performance des firmes digitales a été opérationnalisée de nombreuses façons parce qu'il n'y a pas de consensus dans la littérature sur la façon de mesurer leur performance. Par exemple, les chercheurs ont opérationnalisé la performance en termes de croissance (des ventes, d'emplois, de revenus), de rentabilité, de survie, d'innovation ou d'introduction en bourse (IPO) (Delmar et al., 2003).

L'obtention d'un financement externe par un investisseur est la façon dont nous évaluons la performance des firmes digitales. Nous avons choisi la métrique *fundraising* car des recherches antérieures indiquent que recevoir un financement d'un investisseur est un prédicteur important de la survie et de la croissance future d'une firme (Beckman et al., 2007). Notamment, l'insuffisance des ressources financières est fréquemment citée comme la principale cause de l'échec des nouvelles entreprises au début de leur cycle de vie (Franke et al., 2008; Eddleston et al., 2016). Nous avons donc deux populations bien distinctes dans notre échantillon : les firmes ayant reçu un financement de la part d'investisseurs externes, et celles n'en ayant pas reçu. Conformément aux études précédentes, nous utilisons le logarithme du premier tour

de financement (*log fundraising*). Cette variable s'étend de x à une valeur maximale de x .

Enfin, nous avons ajouté la variable (*time to fundraising*) car du point de vue d'une start-up team, il est souhaitable d'obtenir un financement externe, idéalement peu de temps après la création de l'entreprise, afin d'embaucher plus de personnel et de faire croître l'entreprise. Nous utilisons Crunchbase pour identifier la date de création de l'entreprise et la date à laquelle le premier financement externe a été annoncé. Cette variable s'étend de x à une valeur maximale de x .

3.3 Independent variables

Le niveau de compétences est mesuré au travers d'une variable continue que nous nommons *level skills*, allant de x à x (x = faible niveau de compétences ; x = niveau maximum de compétences). Tous les individus sont placés sur ce continuum dans chacun des cluster de compétence que nous avons récupéré de LinkedIn. Nous attribuons à chaque start-ups teams de notre échantillon le score le plus élevé associé à l'un de ses fondateurs. Nous avons construit cette variable comme une variable continue car nous soutenons qu'un clustering dur (catégories) ne rendrait pas compte de la versatilité de l'effet proportionnel qu'il pourrait avoir sur la collecte de fonds (fuzzy clustering). Par conséquent, un score élevé correspond à un avantage supplémentaire pour les start-ups teams.

La variété des compétences est mesuré au travers d'une variable continue que nous nommons *variety skills*, allant de x à x (x = faible variété de compétences ; x = maximum variété compétences). Une start-ups team est considérée comme plus variée sur le plan fonctionnel si les individus sont également répartis dans toutes les différentes

catégories fonctionnelles (Blau, 1977 / Hirshman), qui sont des groupes ayant des backgrounds communs. Following Harrison and Klein (2007), we interpret a *variety skills* as *the composition of differences in skills among agents of a unit member*, being here the start-up team. Based on LinkedIn individuals' skills and competencies data (from 1,100 unique agents, we gather 10,638 skills, including 5,449 unique skills). We assigned each founders in the dataset a score in ten functional areas (strategy, marketing, entrepreneurship, sales, software development, product, finance, management, human resources, and design). We used a bottom-up hierarchical clustering approach with Kruskal's minimum spanning tree algorithm (Kruskal, 1956) and considered the occurrences and co-occurrences of skills between founders. Therefore, the similarity between any pair of skills is naturally defined as the "intersection over union". Consequently, we set a founders' affinity to any skill cluster in the tree by measuring the skills they share. Instead of assigning a founder to the cluster with the highest affinity (hard clustering) that would not account for its versatility, we describe a founder with his set of affinities to the skills of interest (fuzzy clustering). Finally, we aggregated the founders's variety scores at the start-up team level. We can follow Mintzberg et Waters (1982), Pavett et Lou (1983) et Shein (1987) : il existe 3 rôles dans les entreprises : entrepreneurial (sales SaaS, Biz strat, designer, creation), manager (HR, finance, lawyer) et techniques (utilisation d'outils, procédures, techniques).

3.4 Control variables

We have included several control variables because the influence of other factors could skew our estimation.

First, we used the variable *size* to control for start-up team's numerosity. Indeed

a higher skill level and a greater variety of skills and conflicts among the members of an organization are implied by a larger population of individuals (Eisenhardt and Schoonhoven, 1990). Our sample has groups ranging in size from x to x .

Secondly, we used the variable *previous founder* whose minimum and maximum values are x and x , respectively, to control the number of firms previously founded by the individuals in our sample. Indeed, a more extensive entrepreneurial experience can increase investor confidence, send a signal of competence, and have an impact on the amount of raised funds. Prior successful foundational experience — mainly financial — increases the likelihood of financing and firm valuation (Hsu, 2007).

Thirdly, using the variable *previous fundraising*, we controlled whether members of the start-up team had previously raised money from investors. Though the investors believe it, it is exceedingly difficult to determine whether serial entrepreneurs are better or worse than the other founders. Even serial entrepreneurs who have failed receive much better terms from investors even though their businesses perform less well when they receive funding (Nahata, 2019).

With the variable *previous exit*, we partially controlled whether members of the start-up team had previously completed a successful exit. Indeed, Gompers et al. (2010) suggests founders who start successful firms have much higher success rates in subsequent firms than founders of unsuccessful firms or first-time founders.

Also, with the *previous career* control variable, we could determine whether the start-up team members had any significant prior professional experience. Indeed, using human capital and signaling theory, Subramanian et al. (2022) investigated whether and how the human capital indicators of founders' educational attainment, professional experience, and personality traits affect early-stage venture capital (VC)

investment. They concluded that founders with extensive professional experience attract higher initial investments than other founders.

Sixth, we used the *previous education* variable to determine whether the members of a start-up team attended a prestigious university. Indeed, X demonstrated that founders with degrees from first-plan institutions attracted significant early-stage investments. Additionally, teams founded by Ph.D. holders are more likely to receive funding and higher valuations, suggesting a signal effect. We Therefore have added the *previous Ph.D.* variable (Hsu, 2007).

Finally, we controlled for the birth date and industry in which the firm operates because there may be some confusion due to the financial circumstances in which digital firms operate. We have created six sectoral economic indicators (RH, BI), and one for each year between 2010 and 2018.

To add : Ratzinger et al. (2018)

3.5 Econometric Specification

Dans cette étude, nous utilisons un Modèle linéaire généralisé utilisant une distribution binomiale négative. La régression binomiale négative sert à modéliser les variables de comptage, généralement pour les variables de résultat de comptage surdispersées (ici, le montant levé par la firme digitale) <https://stats.oarc.ucla.edu/r/dae/negative-binomial-regression/>

4 Results

4.1 Findings

4.2 Robustness tests

5 Discussion

Which start-up teams are funded by external investors and why are recurring themes in contemporary economic and entrepreneurial literature (Baum and Silverman, 2004; Beckman et al., 2007; Bernstein et al., 2017; Franke et al., 2006, 2008; Plummer et al., 2016; Kaplan et al., 2009; Shane and Cable, 2002).

This article investigates how start-up teams' compositions affect investors' assessments. By highlighting the significance of start-up teams' skill level and skill diversity in obtaining private funding, we try to understand why the results of previous studies on start-up teams' human capital could have been more consistent. Indeed, empiric results from entrepreneurship research have highlighted the founders' human capital as the primary driver of their ability to expand. However, these studies needed did not demonstrate how the skill level and skill diversity within a start-up team can influence a digital firm's success. By examining these two metrics together, this article attempts to center the investigation on the dynamics of early-stage start-up teams and determine how vital these characteristics are to the dynamics of interactions among team members and how they relate to the structure's proper operation. Given the significance of digital firms as a catalyst for economic development, these perspectives are significant for researchers, entrepreneurs, and policymakers.

The lack of research on multiple levels regarding human capital is the primary motivation behind this study. One issue is that the results of (Marvel et al., 2016) show a strong bias toward the individual level, with little consideration given to the founding team or potential inter-individual synergies. Another reason is that the study context varies depending on the sample sizes and populations being looked at. For example, some analyze high-tech and textile industries, while others use samples of student entrepreneurs. After that, it becomes difficult to analyze this relationship without considering the specific circumstances and conditions that apply to a given event or situation, making the study’s context — in this case, the digital environment — an essential factor. The insufficient precision of the independent variables used and constructed to represent the variables of human and social capital is a third reason (Harrison and Klein, 2007). In addition to the challenge of incorporating multiple signals and their interactions into empirical studies, previous empirical studies frequently used raw measures of human capital, such as the level of years of education, entrepreneurial experiences, or professional experiences. Therefore, there is a clear need for more precise approaches that reflect a finer variation of human and social capital-related aspects. This article focuses on the latter limit, i.e., we examined the combined effects of two variables and made suggestions regarding how they affect the ability of start-up teams to raise capital from investors. For this, we used a sample of 498 digital businesses with Paris headquarters that use the Software-as-a-Service (SaaS) business model, of which x raised money while x did not do so between 2010 and 2020.

Our findings demonstrate that, in line with the signal and human capital theory, a start-up team that is diverse in terms of skills is more likely to be financed by

investors. Another significant moderator of the investment decision is the team size. On the other hand, consistent with our arguments, we discovered that investors favor start-up teams that have (i) either a high level of skills, (ii) either a high level of variety of skills, but not both at once. Because of this, start-up teams that contain highly skilled individuals in related fields, i.e., e. the diversity of their expertise is low, receive more financial resources. High levels of skills, however, hurt the amount and speed of funding start-up teams can secure from investors when their skills are diverse.

The article makes several contributions. First, our findings offer fresh avenues for reflection on the composition of start-up teams and the signal generated among investors. Indeed, past empirical studies have long focused on one of the two dimensions of start-up teams' skills. On the one hand, they either focus on their proficiency level, referring to the novice vs. expert, operationally measured by years of experience. On the other hand, they focus on the level of skills' variety, referring to the specialist vs. generalist and operationally measured by the Hirschman or Blau Index). However, when separated, a firm's success is not explained by either of these two dimensions. Furthermore, not only do some levels of skills only apply to some contexts, but certain levels of variety of skills only apply to some contexts. Indeed, the digital context, in contrast to the industrial context, is governed by other social and technological specificities that require different signals. We suggest a methodology in which we assess these two aspects jointly in a digital context. Second, many empirical studies looking at how start-up teams' composition affects investors' evaluations focused on indicators such as education (Franke et al., 2008), entrepreneurial experience (Beckman et al., 2007), industry experience (Becker-Blease and Sohl, 2015), or leadership expe-

rience(Hoenig and Henkel, 2015). In this article, we suggest a skill-based approach, i. e. we focus on "outcomes of human capital" (i. e., their knowledge, skills, and abilities) because they are thought to be more accurate and direct indicators than "investment in human capital" measures like education and years of experience (Unger et al., 2011; Marvel et al., 2016). Third, this is a chance to focus on the most crucial systems for teams in that area. As previously mentioned, selecting teams from a wide swath of the landscape could introduce noise into a targeted investigation because the dynamics of composition for teams located in other regions may be quite different. By focusing our research on a small landscape area, we can create accurate theoretical models for that area and create helpful suggestions for team leaders and members of start-ups operating in that area

References

- R. Aggarwal, D. Kryscynski, and H. Singh. Evaluating venture technical competence in venture capitalist investment decisions. *Management Science*, 61(11):2685–2706, 2015.
- W. R. Ashby. An introduction to cybernetics. 1957.
- D. B. Audretsch and E. E. Lehmann. Financing high-tech growth: the role of banks and venture capitalists. *Schmalenbach Business Review*, 56(4):340–357, 2004.
- D. B. Audretsch and R. Thurik. Linking entrepreneurship to growth. 2001.
- E. Autio. Entrepreneurship support in europe: Trends and challenges for eu policy. *London, England: Imperial College Business School*, 2016.
- D. Banerji and T. Reimer. Startup founders and their linkedin connections: Are well-connected entrepreneurs more successful? *Computers in Human Behavior*, 90: 46–52, 2019.
- J. A. Baum and B. S. Silverman. Picking winners or building them? alliance, intellectual, and human capital as selection criteria in venture financing and performance of biotechnology startups. *Journal of business venturing*, 19(3):411–436, 2004.
- R. C. Becherer and J. G. Maurer. The proactive personality disposition and entrepreneurial behavior among small company presidents. *Journal of small business management*, 37(1):28, 1999.
- G. S. Becker. *Human capital*. University of Chicago press, 1964.

- J. R. Becker-Blease and J. E. Sohl. New venture legitimacy: the conditions for angel investors. *Small Business Economics*, 45(4):735–749, 2015.
- C. M. Beckman, M. D. Burton, and C. O’Reilly. Early teams: The impact of team demography on vc financing and going public. *Journal of business venturing*, 22(2):147–173, 2007.
- S. T. Bell. Deep-level composition variables as predictors of team performance: a meta-analysis. *Journal of applied psychology*, 92(3):595, 2007.
- S. Bernstein, A. Korteweg, and K. Laws. Attracting early-stage investors: Evidence from a randomized field experiment. *The Journal of Finance*, 72(2):509–538, 2017.
- W. Boeker. Strategic change: The effects of founding and history. *Academy of Management journal*, 32(3):489–515, 1989.
- G. N. Chandler and S. H. Hanks. Founder competence, the environment, and venture performance. *Entrepreneurship theory and practice*, 18(3):77–89, 1994.
- X.-P. Chen, X. Yao, and S. Kotha. Entrepreneur passion and preparedness in business plan presentations: a persuasion analysis of venture capitalists’ funding decisions. *Academy of Management journal*, 52(1):199–214, 2009.
- M. G. Colombo and L. Grilli. Founders’ human capital and the growth of new technology-based firms: A competence-based view. *Research policy*, 34(6):795–816, 2005.
- O. Colombo. The use of signals in new-venture financing: A review and research agenda. *Journal of Management*, 47(1):237–259, 2021.

- B. L. Connelly, S. T. Certo, R. D. Ireland, and C. R. Reutzel. Signaling theory: A review and assessment. *Journal of management*, 37(1):39–67, 2011.
- A. C. Cooper, F. J. Gimeno-Gascon, and C. Y. Woo. Initial human and financial capital as predictors of new venture performance. *Journal of business venturing*, 9(5):371–395, 1994.
- C. Courtney, S. Dutta, and Y. Li. Resolving information asymmetry: Signaling, endorsement, and crowdfunding success. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 41(2):265–290, 2017.
- F. Delmar, P. Davidsson, and W. B. Gartner. Arriving at the high-growth firm. *Journal of business venturing*, 18(2):189–216, 2003.
- W. Drover, L. Busenitz, S. Matusik, D. Townsend, A. Anglin, and G. Dushnitsky. A review and road map of entrepreneurial equity financing research: venture capital, corporate venture capital, angel investment, crowdfunding, and accelerators. *Journal of management*, 43(6):1820–1853, 2017.
- C. Duhigg. What google learned from its quest to build the perfect team. *The New York Times Magazine*, 26(2016):2016, 2016.
- K. A. Eddleston, J. J. Ladge, C. Mitteness, and L. Balachandra. Do you see what i see? signaling effects of gender and firm characteristics on financing entrepreneurial ventures. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 40(3):489–514, 2016.
- K. M. Eisenhardt and C. B. Schoonhoven. Organizational growth: Linking founding team, strategy, environment, and growth among us semiconductor ventures, 1978-1988. *Administrative science quarterly*, pages 504–529, 1990.

- European-Commission. 'digital transformation of european industry and enterprises'
– report from the strategic policy forum on digital entrepreneurship. *Report from the Strategic Policy Forum*, pages 1–14, 2015.
- N. Franke, M. Gruber, D. Harhoff, and J. Henkel. What you are is what you like—similarity biases in venture capitalists' evaluations of start-up teams. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 21(6):802–826, 2006.
- N. Franke, M. Gruber, D. Harhoff, and J. Henkel. Venture capitalists' evaluations of start-up teams: Trade-offs, knock-out criteria, and the impact of vc experience. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 32(3):459–483, 2008.
- M. M. Ghassemi, C. Song, and T. Alhanai. The automated venture capitalist: Data and methods to predict the fate of startup ventures. 2015.
- P. Gompers, A. Kovner, J. Lerner, and D. Scharfstein. Performance persistence in entrepreneurship. *Journal of financial economics*, 96(1):18–32, 2010.
- M. Grillitsch and T. Schubert. Does the timing of integrating new skills affect start-up growth? *Strategic Entrepreneurship Journal*, 15(4):647–684, 2021.
- D. A. Harrison and K. J. Klein. What's the difference? diversity constructs as separation, variety, or disparity in organizations. *Academy of management review*, 32(4):1199–1228, 2007.
- D. Hoenig and J. Henkel. Quality signals? the role of patents, alliances, and team experience in venture capital financing. *Research Policy*, 44(5):1049–1064, 2015.

- L. Hong and S. E. Page. Problem solving by heterogeneous agents. *Journal of economic theory*, 97(1):123–163, 2001.
- D. H. Hsu. Experienced entrepreneurial founders, organizational capital, and venture capital funding. *Research policy*, 36(5):722–741, 2007.
- L. Huang and A. P. Knight. Resources and relationships in entrepreneurship: An exchange theory of the development and effects of the entrepreneur-investor relationship. *Academy of Management Review*, 42(1):80–102, 2017.
- J. E. Hunter. Cognitive ability, cognitive aptitudes, job knowledge, and job performance. *Journal of vocational behavior*, 29(3):340–362, 1986.
- M. Islam, A. Fremeth, and A. Marcus. Signaling by early stage startups: Us government research grants and venture capital funding. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 33(1):35–51, 2018.
- J. J. Janney and T. B. Folta. Moderating effects of investor experience on the signaling value of private equity placements. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 21(1):27–44, 2006.
- L. Jin, K. Madison, N. D. Kraiczy, F. W. Kellermanns, T. R. Crook, and J. Xi. Entrepreneurial team composition characteristics and new venture performance: A meta-analysis. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 41(5):743–771, 2017.
- J. B. Kamm, J. C. Shuman, J. A. Seeger, and A. J. Nurick. Entrepreneurial teams in new venture creation: A research agenda. *Entrepreneurship theory and practice*, 14(4):7–17, 1990.

- S. N. Kaplan, B. A. Sensoy, and P. Strömberg. Should investors bet on the jockey or the horse? evidence from the evolution of firms from early business plans to public companies. *The Journal of Finance*, 64(1):75–115, 2009.
- M. Klein, F. Neitzert, T. Hartmann-Wendels, and S. Kraus. Start-up financing in the digital age—a systematic review and comparison of new forms of financing. *The Journal of Entrepreneurial Finance*, 21(2):3, 2020.
- A. C. Klotz, K. M. Hmieleski, B. H. Bradley, and L. W. Busenitz. New venture teams: A review of the literature and roadmap for future research. *Journal of management*, 40(1):226–255, 2014.
- A. P. Knight, L. L. Greer, and B. De Jong. Start-up teams: A multidimensional conceptualization, integrative review of past research, and future research agenda. *Academy of Management Annals*, 14(1):231–266, 2020.
- E.-J. Ko and A. McKelvie. Signaling for more money: The roles of founders’ human capital and investor prominence in resource acquisition across different stages of firm development. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 33(4):438–454, 2018.
- J. B. Kruskal. On the shortest spanning subtree of a graph and the traveling salesman problem. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical society*, 7(1):48–50, 1956.
- E. Luoma, G. Laatikainen, and O. Mazhelis. Exploring business model changes in software-as-a-service firms. In *International Conference of Software Business*, pages 108–124. Springer, 2018.
- M. R. Marvel and G. T. Lumpkin. Technology entrepreneurs’ human capital and

- its effects on innovation radicalness. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 31(6): 807–828, 2007.
- M. R. Marvel, J. L. Davis, and C. R. Sproul. Human capital and entrepreneurship research: A critical review and future directions. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 40(3):599–626, 2016.
- S. F. Matusik, J. M. George, and M. B. Heeley. Values and judgment under uncertainty: evidence from venture capitalist assessments of founders. *Strategic Entrepreneurship Journal*, 2(2):95–115, 2008.
- R. Nahata. Success is good but failure is not so bad either: Serial entrepreneurs and venture capital contracting. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 58:624–649, 2019.
- S. Nambisan. Digital entrepreneurship: Toward a digital technology perspective of entrepreneurship. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 41(6):1029–1055, 2017.
- B. Nooteboom, W. Van Haverbeke, G. Duysters, V. Gilsing, and A. Van den Oord. Optimal cognitive distance and absorptive capacity. *Research policy*, 36(7):1016–1034, 2007.
- K. A. Packalen. Complementing capital: The role of status, demographic features, and social capital in founding teams’ abilities to obtain resources. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 31(6):873–891, 2007.
- J. R. Pierce and H. Aguinis. The too-much-of-a-good-thing effect in management. *Journal of Management*, 39(2):313–338, 2013.

- L. A. Plummer, T. H. Allison, and B. L. Connelly. Better together? signaling interactions in new venture pursuit of initial external capital. *Academy of Management Journal*, 59(5):1585–1604, 2016.
- C. Rapanta and L. Cantoni. The linkedin endorsement game: Why and how professionals attribute skills to others. *Business and Professional Communication Quarterly*, 80(4):443–459, 2017.
- D. Ratzinger, K. Amess, A. Greenman, and S. Mosey. The impact of digital start-up founders’ higher education on reaching equity investment milestones. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, 43(3):760–778, 2018.
- D. Reese, V. Rieger, and A. Engelen. Should competencies be broadly shared in new ventures’ founding teams? *Strategic Entrepreneurship Journal*, 2020.
- N. Rosenbusch, J. Brinckmann, and V. Müller. Does acquiring venture capital pay off for the funded firms? a meta-analysis on the relationship between venture capital investment and funded firm financial performance. *Journal of business venturing*, 28(3):335–353, 2013.
- J. B. Roure and R. H. Keeley. Predictors of success in new technology based ventures. *Journal of business venturing*, 5(4):201–220, 1990.
- J.-M. Sahut, L. Iandoli, and F. Teulon. The age of digital entrepreneurship. *Small Business Economics*, 56(3):1159–1169, 2021.
- M. Sako, M. Qian, M. Verhagen, and R. Parnham. Scaling up firms in entrepreneurial ecosystems: Fintech and lawtech ecosystems compared. *Available at SSRN 3520533*, 2020.

- T. Semrau and A. Werner. How exactly do network relationships pay off? the effects of network size and relationship quality on access to start-up resources. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 38(3):501–525, 2014.
- S. Shane. Prior knowledge and the discovery of entrepreneurial opportunities. *Organization science*, 11(4):448–469, 2000.
- S. Shane and D. Cable. Network ties, reputation, and the financing of new ventures. *Management science*, 48(3):364–381, 2002.
- S. Shane and T. Stuart. Organizational endowments and the performance of university start-ups. *Management science*, 48(1):154–170, 2002.
- S. Shane and S. Venkataraman. The promise of entrepreneurship as a field of research. *Academy of management review*, 25(1):217–226, 2000.
- C. Smith, J. B. Smith, and E. Shaw. Embracing digital networks: Entrepreneurs’ social capital online. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 32(1):18–34, 2017.
- M. Spence. *Market signaling: Informational transfer in hiring and related screening processes*. Number 143. cambridge: harvard university press, 1974.
- M. Spence. Job market signaling. In *Uncertainty in economics*, pages 281–306. Elsevier, 1978.
- M. Spence. Signaling in retrospect and the informational structure of markets. *American economic review*, 92(3):434–459, 2002.
- H. C. Subramanian, V. Venkataraman, and H. Jiang. Backing the right jockey? founder traits and early-stage funding in digital entrepreneurship. In *Academy*

of Management Proceedings, volume 2022, page 17128. Academy of Management Briarcliff Manor, NY 10510, 2022.

D. M. Townsend and L. W. Busenitz. Turning water into wine? exploring the role of dynamic capabilities in early-stage capitalization processes. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 30(2):292–306, 2015.

J. M. Unger, A. Raugrch, M. Frese, and N. Rosenbusch. Human capital and entrepreneurial success: A meta-analytical review. *Journal of business venturing*, 26(3):341–358, 2011.

X.-Y. Xie, W. Feng, and Q. Hu. Does new venture team power hierarchy enhance or impair new venture performance? a contingency perspective. *Journal of business venturing*, 35(6):106059, 2020.

R. Zarutskie. The role of top management team human capital in venture capital markets: Evidence from first-time funds. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 25(1):155–172, 2010.

W. Zhou and E. Rosini. Entrepreneurial team diversity and performance: Toward an integrated model. *Entrepreneurship Research Journal*, 5(1):31–60, 2015.

6 Statements and Declarations

We are not aware of any conflict of interest associated with a manuscript titled, "Human capital impact on the rapid scaling of the user base of firms in a digital context: evidence from the software industry".

7 Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Jerome Vicente, Joan Crespo, participants of the GEOINNO 2022 conference and in Journal of Business Venturing's paper development workshop on Scale-ups, Scaling, and Scalability for helpful comments. This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

8 Annexes

Variable name	Description	Data source
Dependent variables		
1. <i>Fund received (log)</i>	Natural logarithm of amount of investment provided by external investors in the first early-stage round [€]	Crunchbase, Dealroom, BPI France, Desktop research
Independent variables		
2. <i>Skill and expertise level</i>	the level of Skill and expertise is measured through an ordinate variable, ranging from 1 to 10 and assign to each start-up in our sample the highest score associated to any of its founders.	LinkedIn
3. <i>Skill field diversity</i>	Blau's index indicator of heterogeneity ($1 - \sum p_i^2$) where p_i is the proportion of group members in each of the i categories.	LinkedIn
Control variables		
Human Capital control variables		
4. <i>Previous Prestigious University</i>	Dummy variable, which takes value 1 if a start-up team member has a degree from the best French business and engineering schools (Figaro Etudiant Ranking 2023) or from the 10 universities worldwide (ARWU 2022 ranking).	LinkedIn
5. <i>Previous Founding Experience</i>	Variety score consisting of the composition of skill differences in the Worker echelon	LinkedIn
6. <i>Previous Working Experience</i>	Variety score consisting of the composition of skill differences in the Worker echelon	LinkedIn
7. <i>Previous Working Experience 2</i>	Variety score consisting of the composition of skill differences in the Worker echelon	LinkedIn
8. <i>Previous PhD</i>	Variety score consisting of the composition of skill differences in the Worker echelon	LinkedIn
Firms control variables		
9. <i>Foundation Year</i>	Eight dummy variables which take value 1 if the firm was founded in 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018, or 0 otherwise.	Crunchbase
10. <i>Industry</i>	Ten industry dummies which take value 1 if the company is operating in i) Business Intelligence Analytics, ii) Customer Relationship Management, iii) Developers Software Infrastructure, iv) Education Human Resources, v) Finance Legal Insurance, vi) Healthcare, vii) Logistics Supply Chain, viii) Productivity Collaboration, ix) Real Estate Construction, x) Security, or 0 otherwise	Crunchbase, Dealroom, BPI France

Table 1: Variable description and data sources