

What start-up teams are funded and why they are
funded:
empirical evidence of the role of skill endorsement
as a signal

Arnauld Bessagnet

LEREPS – Sciences-Po Toulouse, University of Toulouse – France

Abstract

Entrepreneurship research suggests that start-up teams' human capital has a signal-quality effect on how easily they can access financial resources from investors. We used individuals' skills and expertise endorsement data from LinkedIn, as social proof signaling quality for investors, and derived an outcome-based human capital perspective, considered a more direct measure of human capital, as one approach to analyzing how skills affect firms' performance in a digital context. We used a sample of 521 software-based firms with scalable business models. Results show that investors select start-up teams that have either a high level of expertise or a high level of variety of skills, but only some at a time. We discuss the implications of these findings

for the research literature on digital entrepreneurship and venture capital.

Keywords: Entrepreneurship, Fundraising, Start-up Teams, Competencies

JEL Classification: L22, L26, L85

1 Introduction

Nowadays, start-up teams, i.e. individuals which jointly create a firm and are characterized on a multidimensional continuum including their ownership of equity, their decision-making autonomy and their entitativity (Kamm et al., 1990; Knight et al., 2020), are considered among the most important actors for cities, regions and countries development (Audretsch and Thurik, 2001; Autio, 2016). As financial resource acquisition is an important part of start-up teams growth and development [REF], the determinants and mechanisms to attract resources to survive and grow are of great interest to researchers, practitioners and policy makers (European-Commission, 2015). This interest is particularly salient in the context of the digital economy, where new investment opportunities are offered by non-linear revenues linked of digital technologies (Nambisan, 2017; Sahut et al., 2021). This article contributes to this critical agenda by examining the relationship between the human capital of start-up teams and their performance in digital economy, which we examine here from the angle of resource access, specifically the access to external capital financing from professional investors.

L’objectif de cette étude est de proposer et de tester un modèle pour expliquer comment et dans quelle mesure les signaux dits de ”preuve sociale”, liés aux caractéristiques de capital humain des start-up teams, aident les firmes à acquérir des fonds auprès d’investisseurs professionnels. La majorité des travaux existants portant sur la relation entre le signal et l’acquisition de ressources financières ont adopté des perspectives traditionnelles sur les founding members’ individual quality comme par exemple les années d’éducation, l’expérience professionnelle, previous founding. Alors que ces études ont permises de soulever plusieurs insights importants, cette approche

est problématique car de nos jours, les investisseurs ont à leur disposition et utilisent large éventail d'indicateurs alternatifs pour juger de la pertinence d'un investissement dans une start-up team, notamment les données de ENDORSEMENT "preuve sociale" (Carter and Manaster, 1990; Janney and Folta, 2006; Plummer et al., 2016). Dans cette étude, nous proposons d'examiner l'effet signal des skills and expertise ENDORSEMENT, a data-driven feature on LinkedIn, the world's largest professional online social network. D'un point de vue utilisateur, cette feature permet (i) members to tag themselves with topics representing their areas of expertise and (ii) their connections to provide social proof, via an "endorse" action of that member's competence in that topic.

En utilisant des données d'un échantillon de 508 entreprises software-based ventures listées sur Crunchbase, Dealroom combinées avec des données de BPIFrance, Linkedin, articles de presse, nous avons construit un dataset très précis qui comporte les investissements en capital humain (signaux "traditionnels") et les outcomes of human capital (signaux "alternatifs") des start-up teams. Nous testons notre claim en deux étapes. D'abord, nous examinons la relation entre le signal du niveau des skills and expertise endorsement des start-up teams et son impact sur l'acquisition de capital. Dans un deuxième temps, à partir de la perspective théorique qui s'appuie sur les cognitive models distance (nooteboom) and the cybernetic principle of requisite variety (Ashby, 1956), we assess la mesure dans laquelle les signaux from founders statup-team' skills and expertise endorsement variety aide l'entreprise acquérir du capital. Following our claims, we find that investors favor start-up teams that have either a high level of competence or a high level of variety of skills, but only at a time. Start-up teams that are comprised of highly skilled individuals in related fields, i.e.,

when the variety of their expertise is low, receive more financial resources. High levels of expertise, however, harm the amount of funding that start-up teams can secure from investors when their skills are varied.

Our study contributes to the literature on signaling and new venture financing in multiple ways. First, our findings offer new avenues for reflection on the human capital composition of start-up teams and the signal generated among investors. Indeed, past empirical studies have long focused on one of the two dimensions of start-up teams' skills. On the one hand, they either focus on their proficiency level, referring to the novice vs. expert, operationally measured by years of experience. On the other hand, they focus on the level of skills' variety, referring to the specialist vs. generalist and operationally measured by the Hirschman or Blau Index). However, when separated, a firm's success is not explained by either of these two dimensions. Furthermore, not only do some levels of skills only apply to some contexts, but certain levels of variety of skills only apply to some contexts. Indeed, the digital context, in contrast to the industrial context, is governed by other social and technological specificities that require different signals. We suggest a methodology in which we assess these two aspects jointly in a digital context.

Second, many empirical studies looking at how start-up teams' composition affects investors' evaluations focused on indicators such as education (Franke et al., 2008), entrepreneurial experience (Beckman et al., 2007), industry experience (Becker-Blease and Sohl, 2015), or leadership experience (Hoenig and Henkel, 2015). In this article, we suggest a skill-based approach, i. e. we focus on "outcomes of human capital" (i. e., their knowledge, skills, and abilities) because they are thought to be more accurate and direct indicators than "investment in human capital" measures like education and

years of experience (Unger et al., 2011; Marvel et al., 2016). We precisely focus on the social proof aspects of skill endorsement to show that alternatives metrics matters (éventail de possibilité à l'heure d'internet)

Third, we create a unique dataset that combines multiple sources of validated data (CrunchBase, VentureXpert, LinkedIn, Archive.org, news articles, and company websites). Employing CrunchBase and LinkedIn, in particular, as new forms of data that provide self-reported but highly reliable publicly accountable information allow us to fully capture inter-related individual and firm linkages over time. More broadly, this paper highlights the current data limitations researchers face when studying firm creation. Current data sets lack detailed information on both founder characteristics (such as occupation and education) and attributes of small firms (employment size, revenue, financial access). By relying on newly hand-collected data from LinkedIn, we construct a unique data set covering over x founders across France. This paper illustrates the value of these data for research purposes, especially to understand dynamics and signals entrepreneurship.

2 Theoretical framework and hypothesis development

2.1 Early stage resource acquisition and human capital start-up teams signals

2.1.1 Financing, legitimacy, track record

A consensus in the entrepreneurial literature emphasize the crucial role of financial resources for a start-up's survival and growth (Cooper et al., 1994). Previous studies focused on how team members are able to finance the venture with their individual financial resources, through bootstrapping, or the utilization of crowdfunding platforms (Drover et al., 2017; Klein et al., 2020). All these financing approaches involve considerations with regards to the ownership of equity held by the team members. Nonetheless, the main focus of past research on the financial side of start-up teams has been the acquisition of capital from external investors who grant financial capital in exchange for a share of the ownership of the firm.

Which start-up teams are funded by external investors and why are recurring themes in contemporary economic and entrepreneurial literature (Baum and Silverman, 2004; Beckman et al., 2007; Bernstein et al., 2017; Franke et al., 2006, 2008; Plummer et al., 2016; Kaplan et al., 2009; Shane and Cable, 2002). The focus on start-up teams stems from the fact that most entrepreneurial initiatives are run mainly by groups of individuals rather than by lone individuals (Klotz et al., 2014). For digital firms looking to grow quickly and significantly, the ability of start-up teams to raise capital from professional investors is crucial during the early stages of development

(Rosenbusch et al., 2013). Indeed, because start-up teams frequently need more cash flow to cover the upfront development costs that help to enrich their activities, obtaining external funding is a challenge that cannot be overlooked, especially during the early stages. From an investor’s perspective, investing in an early-stage firm is extremely risky because of the lack of track record of the founding teams or historical financial results and several experiences have shown that it is tough to predict which teams will win (Ghassemi et al., 2015; Duhigg, 2016). Then, to limit information asymmetries, investors carry out their due diligence and base their investment decision on quality-signals (Spence, 1978; Ko and McKelvie, 2018).

2.1.2 Signal theory

Signaling theory is concerned with reducing asymmetric information between two parties (Spence, 2002) and suggests that actors consciously and voluntarily attend to available signals to reduce perceived uncertainty (Spence, 1974). Spence (1974) originally investigated signaling effects in the employee recruitment process. He described how high-quality job candidates differentiate themselves from low-quality candidates by engaging in activities that indicate positive qualifications that are hard to imitate, such as higher education. This work prompted a volume of literature applying signaling theory to diverse disciplines as it provides insight into social selection problems under conditions of imperfect information (Connelly et al., 2011). Management and entrepreneurship scholars have found this perspective useful in that some specific signals reduce uncertainty about ventures’ quality and represent future prospects in the eyes of key stakeholders, such as relationships with high-status actors (Higgins and Gulati, 2006; Podolny, 1994; Stuart et al., 1999), media attention (Rindova et

al., 2005), top management team composition (Lester et al., 2006), third-party affiliations (Plummer et al., 2016), board characteristics (Certo, 2003), and certifications from legitimate organizations (Baum and Oliver, 1991).

2.1.3 Founders Human capital

cholars interested in new venture resource acquisition have begun to identify the factors and mechanisms that attract external resources, including factors like network ties (Shane and Cable, 2002), social co-optation (Starr and MacMillan, 1990), founders' human capital (Ebberts and Wijnberg, 2012; Gulati and Higgins, 2003), business plans (Delmar and Shane, 2004), social capital (Florin et al., 2003), symbolic mechanisms (Zott and Huy, 2007), and endorsement (Carter and Manaster, 1990; Janney and Folta, 2006; Plummer et al., 2016). From the perspective of an investor Among the immediately available signals investors examine start-up teams' human capital is perhaps the most important and salient.

On the one hand, the organizational theory tailored to the context of entrepreneurship proposes that start-up teams' human capital influences firms' processes and operations through the imprinting effect (Packalen, 2007). This fundamental idea describes how the past influences the present and, in the case of start-up teams, how they make it easier to access financial resources. On the other hand, entrepreneurial scholars contend that start-up teams' composition also serves as a signal (Spence, 1974) that investors use to bridge the gap for their lack of understanding of the team members' perceptions of the firm's quality (Plummer et al., 2016). Concretely, investors use data related to start-up teams' human capital such as the demographic characteristics, educational backgrounds or functional skills

diversity because it is direct and easy to access information (Colombo and Grilli, 2005; Beckman et al., 2007; Eddleston et al., 2016).

2.1.4 Transition : lack and social proof

However, empirical research has some things to do

2.2 Signals from founders human capital social proof

2.2.1 Skills

Entrepreneurship researchers have extensively explored financing digital firms in recent years (Klein et al., 2020). This literature has discovered, among other things, that start-up teams often exhibit characteristics that signal quality to investors, potentially enabling them to access external funding (Roure and Keeley, 1990; Reese et al., 2020). Such characteristics include the founders' social capital (Shane and Cable, 2002), the team's demographics and size (Eisenhardt and Schoonhoven, 1990), and the founders' functional background (Ensley et al., 1998). In this regard, the recent emphasis on teams rather than single founders is noteworthy because, as acknowledged in the literature, entrepreneurial initiatives are most frequently the result of a team (Klotz et al., 2014). Additionally, this literature has discovered that the strength of task-relevant expertise as a signal of venture quality depends on a variety of variables, including the industry environment (Townsend and Busenitz, 2015), the match with an investor's characteristics (Aggarwal et al., 2015) or the investor's experience (Franke et al., 2008). Generally speaking, the entrepreneurship literature flexibly defines human capital and includes knowledge and skills (Ngoasong, 2017; Marvel et al., 2016).

To create a quality signal and attract investors' attention and hope for access to more financial resources, start-up teams must show investors that they have a strong enough future development potential (Ko and McKelvie, 2018). These early-stage firms' issue is that they need a track record to demonstrate to investors. In light of this, early-stage start-up teams aim to showcase qualities that will inform investors about their ability to serve a market in the future. Investors use a wide range of indicators, including start-up teams' skills as evaluation criteria, to decide whether to invest in a company and how much to invest. Furthermore, an even greater emphasis should be placed on the human capital of start-up teams because, during this stage, a small group of people is responsible for formulating the strategies and carrying them out operationally. The human capital is even more critical because liquidities are scarce, resources are not abundant, and capital is scarce (Beckman et al., 2007).

In this situation, we propose that start-up teams with higher levels of skills have a greater chance of achieving specific entrepreneurial milestones, a greater capacity to persuade investors, and a greater likelihood of attracting capital and investment (Zarutskie, 2010). First of all, start-up teams with higher levels of skills increase their willingness to take risks and their entrepreneurial behavior (Becherer and Maurer, 1999), which ultimately helps them capitalize on business opportunities they come across by taking advantage of them (Shane and Venkataraman, 2000; Chandler and Hanks, 1994). Because of this, start-up teams with higher levels of skills may be better able to manage the operational aspects of their business, especially in a digital environment where the acquired skills help entrepreneurs make use of the available technological tools (Nambisan, 2017). The development of new technologies and radically innovative products can be better understood at a higher level of skills'

proficiency to differentiate from the competition (Marvel and Lumpkin, 2007). Second, a high level of skills proficiency is beneficial for an organization's success because it allows for the acquisition of complementary resources and can make up for the lack of financial resources that is a problem for many digital firms in the early stages (Beckman et al., 2007). Finally, developing skills and knowledge is a prerequisite for further entrepreneurial learning and helps business owners acquire additional skills and knowledge that will help their company grow (Hunter, 1986).

Therefore, we propose that a high level of skills within a start-up team enhances the quality of the signal intended for investors looking to engage financially in the early stages. The investors are alarmed by this sign because it suggests that higher skill levels may translate into future success, which should draw early-stage investors.

H1: Start-up teams with greater skill levels will get more fundings from investors

2.2.2 Diversity and cognitive distance : interaction

To accurately examine the impact of start-up team skills on the future success of a digital firm, we must examine not only the level of the skills but also the variety of these skills because they are at the heart of the dynamics of start-up teams in the early stage phases (Grillitsch and Schubert, 2021). Indeed, the success of entrepreneurial ventures is frequently the result of collaborations rather than solitary initiatives, necessitating the combination of knowledge, the synergy of skills, and, consequently, the involvement of multiple individuals.

Empirical studies support the idea that multiple forms of diversity and organiza-

tional performance positively (Zhou and Rosini, 2015). According to the literature, there are two types of diversity: surface-level differences (e.g., race, ethnic origin, age, etc.), and deep differences (e.g., education, skills, capacities, attitudes and personalities) (Bell, 2007). For instance, empirical studies suggest that the diversity of educational background within a firm’s management team contributes a wide range of skills and abilities to the organization (Beckman et al., 2007; Zarutskie, 2010). The underlying argument is that groups with various skills take better decisions because they have access to more information (Hong and Page, 2001). Therefore, the solutions to new issues encountered during entrepreneurial cycles might result from recombining existing knowledge under new forms. This article argues that start-up teams with diversified skill sets have a better chance of luring investors.

The first reason relates to the decision-making process. In fact, during the early stages, start-up teams must make decisions of various magnitudes in order to develop the firm. Therefore, the success of the digital firm depends on the quality of decisions, and the diversity of skills of a start-up team can improve this process through the synthesis of different resources (Sirmon et al., 2011). Furthermore, a team’s functional diversity indicates the presence of more significant cognitive resources at their disposal (Bunderson and Sutcliffe, 2002). For instance, a recent meta-analysis by Jin et al. (2017) shows that entrepreneurial teams with a wide range of skill sets use various market entry, internationalization or innovation strategies (Boeker, 1989). Therefore, a start-up team can make better decisions by utilizing the synergy skills’ diversity. Thus, investors may use start-up teams’ skills diversity as a signal to assess the performance of a start-up team, which in turn affects the odds of receiving fundings.

The second reason related to the connection between start-up teams’ skills diver-

sity and their social capital. The analysis of social capital mechanisms in an entrepreneurial context is well documented in the literature. One way investors use the social capital of start-up teams is as a potential control for asymmetry of information (Ko and McKelvie, 2018). For instance, Shane and Cable (2002) demonstrate how social capital plays a role in the interpersonal relationships between the start-up teams and investors, even going so far as to facilitate fundraising thanks to access to high-level partners. Various prior affiliations can lead to new contacts and insights (Beckman et al., 2007). According to empirical studies, a social connection to a potential investor can increase confidence and provide a channel for the two parties while reducing the informational gap that might otherwise exist between them (Huang and Knight, 2017; Shane and Cable, 2002; Shane and Stuart, 2002). In addition to the direct connections between start-up team members and external investors, researchers suggest that the social capital of a start-up team serves as a signal, influencing investors' perceptions of the underlying quality of the company (Hoenig and Henkel, 2015; Shane and Stuart, 2002). The researchers' mechanism demonstrates that investors use a combination of indicators to triangulate a firm's quality and that the composition of a start-up team and their relationships act as indicators of this quality (Plummer et al., 2016; Semrau and Werner, 2014)

So, if a start-up team's diversity of skills is the result of different social capital and this capital influences the start-up teams' ability to raise funds from investors, start-up teams with diverse skill will raise more funds than less diversified ones.

H2: Start-up teams with greater skill diversity will get more fundings from investors

Early on, start-up teams frequently lack the cash flow needed to cover the costs

that will later help them develop their technical and commercial activities. In this stage, the start-up teams of digital firms concentrate primarily on searching for an exploitable idea and selecting a coherent digital business model. Getting external funding allows early-stage businesses to surpass the liability of newness and smallness limitations and finance the development of products or services. Even though open-source software tools and cloud computing have proliferated and generally reduced experimentation costs, business founders still incur initial costs.

However produced conflicting results. Past findings suggest that adding more human capital to a start-up team does not necessarily translate into greater success (Pierce and Aguinis, 2013). On the one hand, empirical studies suggest that a certain level of human capital stimulates the discovery of new business opportunities (Shane and Venkataraman, 2000; Marvel et al., 2016), increases the likelihood of developing radically new and commercially viable products (Marvel and Lumpkin, 2007), and increases the odds of securing external funding (Beckman et al., 2007). The rationality of investing time in years of professional experience and education to learn new skills to increase the odds of raising money from investors, however, is called into question (Audretsch and Lehmann, 2004). On the other hand, studies looking at the impact of start-up teams' diverse skill sets have shown that they help find more opportunities (Shane, 2000), solve complex problems (Hong and Page, 2001) and stimulate fundraising (Ko and McKelvie, 2018). However, other studies suggest a trade-off regarding the diversity of human capital within start-up teams because too much diversity can result in high transaction costs, such as conflict and tension due to extensive cognitive gaps between individuals (Nooteboom et al., 2007). For example, [x said that, and y said that].

In conclusion, although the level and diversity of start-up teams’ human capital have significant implications for the success of firms in their early stages, empirical results suggest that there is a need for new research on the levels and degrees of variety of start-up teams’ skills. Examining the internal team configurations of digital start-up teams through the lenses of skills is one way to respond to this call.

H3: relation with cognitive distance

3 Methodology

3.1 Data sources and collection process

To test our hypotheses, we built a dataset with information on digital firms, their fundraising activities, and granular information on the competencies of start-up teams. Table TABLE1 lists our empirical variables, definitions, and sources. Table TABLE2 provides the general statistics and distribution across sizes and sectors. Table TABLE3 provides the descriptive statistics of the fundraising activities of the 498 digital firms in our sample. We detail the collection process below.

INSERT TABLE 1 HERE

First, we draw on Crunchbase, Dealroom, and BPI France databases as a starting point. These databases provide information on the firm’s headquarters, founders’ names, fundraising activity, business models, and date foundation. We collected this data in March 2020 and kept firms that (i) were founded between 2010 and 2018, (ii) had their headquarters in the Metropolis of Greater Paris

(France), (iii) were independent (no subsidiaries), (iv) operate in business to business markets and (v) used digital Software-as-a-Service (SaaS) business models. From these filters, we ended up with 498 SaaS digital firms¹. These criteria were chosen as a way to pinpoint the mechanisms that matter most to start-up teams working a given context. In fact, the dynamics of composition for start-up teams based in other regions may differ significantly; sampling start-up teams from a broad landscape could introduce noise into a focused investigation. Therefore, we could create accurate theoretical models for one particular area (Metropolis of Greater Paris) and domain (the digital) through focused research, in order to produce practical guidance for start-up teams' leaders and members in that location².

INSERT TABLES 2 AND 3 HERE

Secondly, we use LinkedIn, a social networking service providing information on individuals' professional trajectories, to collect human capital - skills data of entrepreneurial teams of 498 digital firms, representing a total of x individuals.

¹Regarding the filters (iv) and (v), we manually checked each firm's websites to check if their offers included hardware devices and if they depended on a parent company. These filters eliminated x firms (x firms with hardware business propositions and x subsidiaries)

²We chose to study SaaS-based digital firms because their scalability echoes the efficient, predictable, and repeatable systems that provide investors with new opportunities offered by the non-linear revenues of digital technologies (the hardware being complicated to finance by investors) (Nambisan, 2017). Also, we chose the period 2010-2018 because it fits with private firms' mass adoption of cloud technologies in pre-existing markets. Indeed, these technologies recently revolutionized the software industry in various markets, e.g., supply chain, financial, accounting, human resources, or customer relationships, making it a topic of interest in various industries (Luoma et al., 2018). Furthermore, we chose the Metropolis of Greater Paris (France) because it is a significant global city with labor and financial capital pools and proximate clients. The Metropolis of Greater Paris' financing and business landscape, especially its venture capital market, is one of Europe's largest, most structured, and most dynamic. From 2016 to 2020, SaaS-based firms accounted for 50% of the total amount raised in France, 75% of French fundraising rounds in Paris, and more than 85% of the amount and in values (BPI, 2020)

Virtual skill endorsement (skills endorsed and validated by peers on LinkedIn) is a socially constructed online reputation considered a piece of valuable information. Skill endorsement a way of self-presentation through which the job seekers brand themselves to the potential recruiters (Rapanta and Cantoni, 2017). Using LinkedIn has proven its relevance in recent entrepreneurship studies because it profiles detailed individual-level human capital data not available through more traditional sources. We selected carefully all founders that possess equity in the firm (Knight et al., 2020; Xie et al., 2020). Table TABLE4 list the descriptives statistics of all variables (means, std dev, min, max).

INSERT TABLE 4 HERE

3.2 Dependent variable: fundraising

La performance des firmes digitales a été opérationnalisée de nombreuses façons parce qu'il n'y a pas de consensus dans la littérature sur la façon de mesurer leur performance. Par exemple, les chercheurs ont opérationnalisé la performance en termes de croissance (des ventes, d'emplois, de revenus), de rentabilité, de survie, d'innovation ou d'introduction en bourse (IPO) (Delmar et al., 2003).

L'obtention d'un financement externe par un investisseur est la façon dont nous évaluons la performance des firmes digitales. Nous avons choisi la métrique *fundraising* car des recherches antérieures indiquent que recevoir un financement d'un investisseur est un prédicteur important de la survie et de la croissance future d'une firme (Beckman et al., 2007). Notamment, l'insuffisance des ressources financières est fréquemment citée comme la principale cause de l'échec des nouvelles entreprises au

début de leur cycle de vie (Franke et al., 2008; Eddleston et al., 2016). Nous avons donc deux populations bien distinctes dans notre échantillon : les firmes ayant reçu un financement de la part d'investisseurs externes, et celles n'en ayant pas reçu.

Nous avons ajouté à cette dummy variable le montant des fonds reçus par les start-up de la part d'investisseurs externes lors du premier tour de financement, qui est lié à leurs évaluations des performances futures de la start-up. Conformément aux études précédentes, nous utilisons le logarithme du premier tour de financement (*log fundraising*). Cette variable s'étend de x à une valeur maximale de x .

Enfin, nous avons ajouté la variable (*time to fundraising*) car du point de vue d'une start-up team, il est souhaitable d'obtenir un financement externe, idéalement peu de temps après la création de l'entreprise, afin d'embaucher plus de personnel et de faire croître l'entreprise. Nous utilisons Crunchbase pour identifier la date de création de l'entreprise et la date à laquelle le premier financement externe a été annoncé. Cette variable s'étend de x à une valeur maximale de x .

3.3 Independent variables

Le niveau de compétences est mesuré au travers d'une variable continue que nous nommons *level skills*, allant de x à x (x = faible niveau de compétences ; x = niveau maximum de compétences). Tous les individus sont placés sur ce continuum dans chacun des cluster de compétence que nous avons récupéré de LinkedIn. Nous attribuons à chaque start-ups teams de notre échantillon le score le plus élevé associé à l'un de ses fondateurs. Nous avons construit cette variable comme une variable continue car nous soutenons qu'un clustering dur (catégories) ne rendrait pas compte de la versatilité de l'effet proportionnel qu'il pourrait avoir sur la collecte de fonds (fuzzy

clustering). Par conséquent, un score élevé correspond à un avantage supplémentaire pour les start-ups teams.

La variété des compétences est mesuré au travers d'une variable continue que nous nommons *variety skills*, allant de x à x (x = faible variété de compétences ; x = maximum variété compétences). Une start-ups team est considérée comme plus variée sur le plan fonctionnel si les individus sont également répartis dans toutes les différentes catégories fonctionnelles (Blau, 1977 / Hirshman), qui sont des groupes ayant des backgrounds communs. Following Harrison and Klein (2007), we interpret a *variety skills* as *the composition of differences in skills among agents of a unit member*, being here the start-up team. Based on LinkedIn individuals' skills and competencies data (from 1,100 unique agents, we gather 10,638 skills, including 5,449 unique skills). We assigned each founders in the dataset a score in ten functional areas (strategy, marketing, entrepreneurship, sales, software development, product, finance, management, human resources, and design). We used a bottom-up hierarchical clustering approach with Kruskal's minimum spanning tree algorithm (Kruskal, 1956) and considered the occurrences and co-occurrences of skills between founders. Therefore, the similarity between any pair of skills is naturally defined as the "intersection over union". Consequently, we set a founders' affinity to any skill cluster in the tree by measuring the skills they share. Instead of assigning a founder to the cluster with the highest affinity (hard clustering) that would not account for its versatility, we describe a founder with his set of affinities to the skills of interest (fuzzy clustering). Finally, we aggregated the founders's variety scores at the start-up team level. We can follow Mintzberg et Waters (1982), Pavett et Lou (1983) et Shein (1987) : il existe 3 rôles dans les entreprises : entrepreneurial (sales SaaS, Biz strat, designer, creation), manager (HR,

finance, lawyer) et techniques (utilisation d'outils, procédures, techniques).

3.4 Control variables

We have included several control variables because the influence of other factors could skew our estimation.

First, we used the variable *size* to control for start-up team's numerosity. Indeed a higher skill level and a greater variety of skills and conflicts among the members of an organization are implied by a larger population of individuals (Eisenhardt and Schoonhoven, 1990). Our sample has groups ranging in size from x to x .

Secondly, we used the variable *previous founder* whose minimum and maximum values are x and x , respectively, to control the number of firms previously founded by the individuals in our sample. Indeed, a more extensive entrepreneurial experience can increase investor confidence, send a signal of competence, and have an impact on the amount of raised funds. Prior successful foundational experience — mainly financial — increases the likelihood of financing and firm valuation (Hsu, 2007).

Thirdly, using the variable *previous fundraising*, we controlled whether members of the start-up team had previously raised money from investors. Though the investors believe it, it is exceedingly difficult to determine whether serial entrepreneurs are better or worse than the other founders. Even serial entrepreneurs who have failed receive much better terms from investors even though their businesses perform less well when they receive funding (Nahata, 2019).

With the variable *previous exit*, we partially controlled whether members of the start-up team had previously completed a successful exit. Indeed, Gompers et al. (2010) suggests founders who start successful firms have much higher success rates in

subsequent firms than founders of unsuccessful firms or first-time founders.

Also, with the *previous career* control variable, we could determine whether the start-up team members had any significant prior professional experience. Indeed, using human capital and signaling theory, Subramanian et al. (2022) investigated whether and how the human capital indicators of founders' educational attainment, professional experience, and personality traits affect early-stage venture capital (VC) investment. They concluded that founders with extensive professional experience attract higher initial investments than other founders.

Sixth, we used the *previous education* variable to determine whether the members of a start-up team attended a prestigious university. Indeed, X demonstrated that founders with degrees from first-plan institutions attracted significant early-stage investments. Additionally, teams founded by Ph.D. holders are more likely to receive funding and higher valuations, suggesting a signal effect. We Therefore have added the *previous Ph.D.* variable (Hsu, 2007).

Finally, we controlled for the birth date and industry in which the firm operates because there may be some confusion due to the financial circumstances in which digital firms operate. We have created six sectoral economic indicators (RH, BI), and one for each year between 2010 and 2018.

To add : Ratzinger et al. (2018)

3.5 Econometric Specification

Dans cette étude, nous utilisons un Modèle linéaire généralisé utilisant une distribution binomiale négative. La régression binomiale négative sert à modéliser les variables de comptage, généralement pour les variables de résultat de comptage surdispersées

(ici, le montant levé par la firme digitale) <https://stats.oarc.ucla.edu/r/dae/negative-binomial-regression/>

4 Results

4.1 Findings

4.2 Robustness tests

5 Discussion

This article investigates how start-up teams' compositions affect investors' assessments. By highlighting the significance of start-up teams' skill level and skill diversity in obtaining private funding, we try to understand why the results of previous studies on start-up teams' human capital could have been more consistent. Indeed, empiric results from entrepreneurship research have highlighted the founders' human capital as the primary driver of their ability to expand. However, these studies needed did not demonstrate how the skill level and skill diversity within a start-up team can influence a digital firm's success. By examining these two metrics together, this article attempts to center the investigation on the dynamics of early-stage start-up teams and determine how vital these characteristics are to the dynamics of interactions among team members and how they relate to the structure's proper operation. Given the significance of digital firms as a catalyst for economic development, these perspectives are significant for researchers, entrepreneurs, and policymakers.

The lack of research on multiple levels regarding human capital is the primary

motivation behind this study. One issue is that the results of (Marvel et al., 2016) show a strong bias toward the individual level, with little consideration given to the founding team or potential inter-individual synergies. Another reason is that the study context varies depending on the sample sizes and populations being looked at. For example, some analyze high-tech and textile industries, while others use samples of student entrepreneurs. After that, it becomes difficult to analyze this relationship without considering the specific circumstances and conditions that apply to a given event or situation, making the study’s context — in this case, the digital environment — an essential factor. The insufficient precision of the independent variables used and constructed to represent the variables of human and social capital is a third reason (Harrison and Klein, 2007). In addition to the challenge of incorporating multiple signals and their interactions into empirical studies, previous empirical studies frequently used raw measures of human capital, such as the level of years of education, entrepreneurial experiences, or professional experiences. Therefore, there is a clear need for more precise approaches that reflect a finer variation of human and social capital-related aspects. This article focuses on the latter limit, i.e., we examined the combined effects of two variables and made suggestions regarding how they affect the ability of start-up teams to raise capital from investors. For this, we used a sample of 498 digital businesses with Paris headquarters that use the Software-as-a-Service (SaaS) business model, of which x raised money while x did not do so between 2010 and 2020.

Our findings demonstrate that, in line with the signal and human capital theory, a start-up team that is diverse in terms of skills is more likely to be financed by investors. Another significant moderator of the investment decision is the team size.

On the other hand, consistent with our arguments, we discovered that investors favor start-up teams that have (i) either a high level of skills, (ii) either a high level of variety of skills, but not both at once. Because of this, start-up teams that contain highly skilled individuals in related fields, i.e., e. the diversity of their expertise is low, receive more financial resources. High levels of skills, however, hurt the amount and speed of funding start-up teams can secure from investors when their skills are diverse.

The article makes several contributions. First, our findings offer fresh avenues for reflection on the composition of start-up teams and the signal generated among investors. Indeed, past empirical studies have long focused on one of the two dimensions of start-up teams' skills. On the one hand, they either focus on their proficiency level, referring to the novice vs. expert, operationally measured by years of experience. On the other hand, they focus on the level of skills' variety, referring to the specialist vs. generalist and operationally measured by the Hirschman or Blau Index). However, when separated, a firm's success is not explained by either of these two dimensions. Furthermore, not only do some levels of skills only apply to some contexts, but certain levels of variety of skills only apply to some contexts. Indeed, the digital context, in contrast to the industrial context, is governed by other social and technological specificities that require different signals. We suggest a methodology in which we assess these two aspects jointly in a digital context. Second, many empirical studies looking at how start-up teams' composition affects investors' evaluations focused on indicators such as education (Franke et al., 2008), entrepreneurial experience (Beckman et al., 2007), industry experience (Becker-Blease and Sohl, 2015), or leadership experience (Hoenig and Henkel, 2015). In this article, we suggest a skill-based approach,

i. e. we focus on "outcomes of human capital" (i. e., their knowledge, skills, and abilities) because they are thought to be more accurate and direct indicators than "investment in human capital" measures like education and years of experience (Unger et al., 2011; Marvel et al., 2016). Third, this is a chance to focus on the most crucial systems for teams in that area. As previously mentioned, selecting teams from a wide swath of the landscape could introduce noise into a targeted investigation because the dynamics of composition for teams located in other regions may be quite different. By focusing our research on a small landscape area, we can create accurate theoretical models for that area and create helpful suggestions for team leaders and members of start-ups operating in that area

References

- R. Aggarwal, D. Kryscynski, and H. Singh. Evaluating venture technical competence in venture capitalist investment decisions. *Management Science*, 61(11):2685–2706, 2015.
- D. B. Audretsch and E. E. Lehmann. Financing high-tech growth: the role of banks and venture capitalists. *Schmalenbach Business Review*, 56(4):340–357, 2004.
- D. B. Audretsch and R. Thurik. Linking entrepreneurship to growth. 2001.
- E. Autio. Entrepreneurship support in europe: Trends and challenges for eu policy. *London, England: Imperial College Business School*, 2016.
- J. A. Baum and B. S. Silverman. Picking winners or building them? alliance, intellectual, and human capital as selection criteria in venture financing and performance of biotechnology startups. *Journal of business venturing*, 19(3):411–436, 2004.
- R. C. Becherer and J. G. Maurer. The proactive personality disposition and entrepreneurial behavior among small company presidents. *Journal of small business management*, 37(1):28, 1999.
- J. R. Becker-Blease and J. E. Sohl. New venture legitimacy: the conditions for angel investors. *Small Business Economics*, 45(4):735–749, 2015.
- C. M. Beckman, M. D. Burton, and C. O’Reilly. Early teams: The impact of team demography on vc financing and going public. *Journal of business venturing*, 22(2):147–173, 2007.

- S. T. Bell. Deep-level composition variables as predictors of team performance: a meta-analysis. *Journal of applied psychology*, 92(3):595, 2007.
- S. Bernstein, A. Korteweg, and K. Laws. Attracting early-stage investors: Evidence from a randomized field experiment. *The Journal of Finance*, 72(2):509–538, 2017.
- W. Boeker. Strategic change: The effects of founding and history. *Academy of Management journal*, 32(3):489–515, 1989.
- J. S. Bunderson and K. M. Sutcliffe. Comparing alternative conceptualizations of functional diversity in management teams: Process and performance effects. *Academy of management journal*, 45(5):875–893, 2002.
- G. N. Chandler and S. H. Hanks. Founder competence, the environment, and venture performance. *Entrepreneurship theory and practice*, 18(3):77–89, 1994.
- M. G. Colombo and L. Grilli. Founders’ human capital and the growth of new technology-based firms: A competence-based view. *Research policy*, 34(6):795–816, 2005.
- A. C. Cooper, F. J. Gimeno-Gascon, and C. Y. Woo. Initial human and financial capital as predictors of new venture performance. *Journal of business venturing*, 9(5):371–395, 1994.
- F. Delmar, P. Davidsson, and W. B. Gartner. Arriving at the high-growth firm. *Journal of business venturing*, 18(2):189–216, 2003.
- W. Drover, L. Busenitz, S. Matusik, D. Townsend, A. Anglin, and G. Dushnitsky. A review and road map of entrepreneurial equity financing research: venture cap-

- ital, corporate venture capital, angel investment, crowdfunding, and accelerators. *Journal of management*, 43(6):1820–1853, 2017.
- C. Duhigg. What google learned from its quest to build the perfect team. *The New York Times Magazine*, 26(2016):2016, 2016.
- K. A. Eddleston, J. J. Ladge, C. Mitteness, and L. Balachandra. Do you see what i see? signaling effects of gender and firm characteristics on financing entrepreneurial ventures. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 40(3):489–514, 2016.
- K. M. Eisenhardt and C. B. Schoonhoven. Organizational growth: Linking founding team, strategy, environment, and growth among us semiconductor ventures, 1978-1988. *Administrative science quarterly*, pages 504–529, 1990.
- M. D. Ensley, J. W. Carland, and J. C. Carland. The effect of entrepreneurial team skill heterogeneity and functional diversity on new venture performance. *Journal of Business and Entrepreneurship*, 10(1):1, 1998.
- European-Commission. 'digital transformation of european industry and enterprises' – report from the strategic policy forum on digital entrepreneurship. *Report from the Strategic Policy Forum*, pages 1–14, 2015.
- N. Franke, M. Gruber, D. Harhoff, and J. Henkel. What you are is what you like—similarity biases in venture capitalists' evaluations of start-up teams. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 21(6):802–826, 2006.
- N. Franke, M. Gruber, D. Harhoff, and J. Henkel. Venture capitalists' evaluations of start-up teams: Trade-offs, knock-out criteria, and the impact of vc experience. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 32(3):459–483, 2008.

- M. M. Ghassemi, C. Song, and T. Alhanai. The automated venture capitalist: Data and methods to predict the fate of startup ventures. 2015.
- P. Gompers, A. Kovner, J. Lerner, and D. Scharfstein. Performance persistence in entrepreneurship. *Journal of financial economics*, 96(1):18–32, 2010.
- M. Grillitsch and T. Schubert. Does the timing of integrating new skills affect start-up growth? *Strategic Entrepreneurship Journal*, 15(4):647–684, 2021.
- D. A. Harrison and K. J. Klein. What’s the difference? diversity constructs as separation, variety, or disparity in organizations. *Academy of management review*, 32(4):1199–1228, 2007.
- D. Hoenig and J. Henkel. Quality signals? the role of patents, alliances, and team experience in venture capital financing. *Research Policy*, 44(5):1049–1064, 2015.
- L. Hong and S. E. Page. Problem solving by heterogeneous agents. *Journal of economic theory*, 97(1):123–163, 2001.
- D. H. Hsu. Experienced entrepreneurial founders, organizational capital, and venture capital funding. *Research policy*, 36(5):722–741, 2007.
- L. Huang and A. P. Knight. Resources and relationships in entrepreneurship: An exchange theory of the development and effects of the entrepreneur-investor relationship. *Academy of Management Review*, 42(1):80–102, 2017.
- J. E. Hunter. Cognitive ability, cognitive aptitudes, job knowledge, and job performance. *Journal of vocational behavior*, 29(3):340–362, 1986.

- L. Jin, K. Madison, N. D. Kraiczy, F. W. Kellermanns, T. R. Crook, and J. Xi. Entrepreneurial team composition characteristics and new venture performance: A meta-analysis. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 41(5):743–771, 2017.
- J. B. Kamm, J. C. Shuman, J. A. Seeger, and A. J. Nurick. Entrepreneurial teams in new venture creation: A research agenda. *Entrepreneurship theory and practice*, 14(4):7–17, 1990.
- S. N. Kaplan, B. A. Sensoy, and P. Strömberg. Should investors bet on the jockey or the horse? evidence from the evolution of firms from early business plans to public companies. *The Journal of Finance*, 64(1):75–115, 2009.
- M. Klein, F. Neitzert, T. Hartmann-Wendels, and S. Kraus. Start-up financing in the digital age—a systematic review and comparison of new forms of financing. *The Journal of Entrepreneurial Finance*, 21(2):3, 2020.
- A. C. Klotz, K. M. Hmieleski, B. H. Bradley, and L. W. Busenitz. New venture teams: A review of the literature and roadmap for future research. *Journal of management*, 40(1):226–255, 2014.
- A. P. Knight, L. L. Greer, and B. De Jong. Start-up teams: A multidimensional conceptualization, integrative review of past research, and future research agenda. *Academy of Management Annals*, 14(1):231–266, 2020.
- E.-J. Ko and A. McKelvie. Signaling for more money: The roles of founders’ human capital and investor prominence in resource acquisition across different stages of firm development. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 33(4):438–454, 2018.

- J. B. Kruskal. On the shortest spanning subtree of a graph and the traveling salesman problem. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical society*, 7(1):48–50, 1956.
- E. Luoma, G. Laatikainen, and O. Mazhelis. Exploring business model changes in software-as-a-service firms. In *International Conference of Software Business*, pages 108–124. Springer, 2018.
- M. R. Marvel and G. T. Lumpkin. Technology entrepreneurs’ human capital and its effects on innovation radicalness. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 31(6): 807–828, 2007.
- M. R. Marvel, J. L. Davis, and C. R. Sproul. Human capital and entrepreneurship research: A critical review and future directions. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 40(3):599–626, 2016.
- R. Nahata. Success is good but failure is not so bad either: Serial entrepreneurs and venture capital contracting. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 58:624–649, 2019.
- S. Nambisan. Digital entrepreneurship: Toward a digital technology perspective of entrepreneurship. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 41(6):1029–1055, 2017.
- M. Z. Ngoasong. Digital entrepreneurship in a resource-scarce context: A focus on entrepreneurial digital competencies. *Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development*, 2017.
- B. Nooteboom, W. Van Haverbeke, G. Duysters, V. Gilsing, and A. Van den Oord. Optimal cognitive distance and absorptive capacity. *Research policy*, 36(7):1016–1034, 2007.

- K. A. Packalen. Complementing capital: The role of status, demographic features, and social capital in founding teams' abilities to obtain resources. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 31(6):873–891, 2007.
- J. R. Pierce and H. Aguinis. The too-much-of-a-good-thing effect in management. *Journal of Management*, 39(2):313–338, 2013.
- L. A. Plummer, T. H. Allison, and B. L. Connelly. Better together? signaling interactions in new venture pursuit of initial external capital. *Academy of Management Journal*, 59(5):1585–1604, 2016.
- C. Rapanta and L. Cantoni. The linkedin endorsement game: Why and how professionals attribute skills to others. *Business and Professional Communication Quarterly*, 80(4):443–459, 2017.
- D. Ratzinger, K. Amess, A. Greenman, and S. Mosey. The impact of digital start-up founders' higher education on reaching equity investment milestones. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, 43(3):760–778, 2018.
- D. Reese, V. Rieger, and A. Engelen. Should competencies be broadly shared in new ventures' founding teams? *Strategic Entrepreneurship Journal*, 2020.
- N. Rosenbusch, J. Brinckmann, and V. Müller. Does acquiring venture capital pay off for the funded firms? a meta-analysis on the relationship between venture capital investment and funded firm financial performance. *Journal of business venturing*, 28(3):335–353, 2013.
- J. B. Roure and R. H. Keeley. Predictors of success in new technology based ventures. *Journal of business venturing*, 5(4):201–220, 1990.

- J.-M. Sahut, L. Iandoli, and F. Teulon. The age of digital entrepreneurship. *Small Business Economics*, 56(3):1159–1169, 2021.
- T. Semrau and A. Werner. How exactly do network relationships pay off? the effects of network size and relationship quality on access to start-up resources. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 38(3):501–525, 2014.
- S. Shane. Prior knowledge and the discovery of entrepreneurial opportunities. *Organization science*, 11(4):448–469, 2000.
- S. Shane and D. Cable. Network ties, reputation, and the financing of new ventures. *Management science*, 48(3):364–381, 2002.
- S. Shane and T. Stuart. Organizational endowments and the performance of university start-ups. *Management science*, 48(1):154–170, 2002.
- S. Shane and S. Venkataraman. The promise of entrepreneurship as a field of research. *Academy of management review*, 25(1):217–226, 2000.
- D. G. Sirmon, M. A. Hitt, R. D. Ireland, and B. A. Gilbert. Resource orchestration to create competitive advantage: Breadth, depth, and life cycle effects. *Journal of management*, 37(5):1390–1412, 2011.
- M. Spence. *Market signaling: Informational transfer in hiring and related screening processes*. Number 143. cambridge: harvard university press, 1974.
- M. Spence. Job market signaling. In *Uncertainty in economics*, pages 281–306. Elsevier, 1978.

- H. C. Subramanian, V. Venkataraman, and H. Jiang. Backing the right jockey? founder traits and early-stage funding in digital entrepreneurship. In *Academy of Management Proceedings*, volume 2022, page 17128. Academy of Management Briarcliff Manor, NY 10510, 2022.
- D. M. Townsend and L. W. Busenitz. Turning water into wine? exploring the role of dynamic capabilities in early-stage capitalization processes. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 30(2):292–306, 2015.
- J. M. Unger, A. Raugrch, M. Frese, and N. Rosenbusch. Human capital and entrepreneurial success: A meta-analytical review. *Journal of business venturing*, 26(3):341–358, 2011.
- X.-Y. Xie, W. Feng, and Q. Hu. Does new venture team power hierarchy enhance or impair new venture performance? a contingency perspective. *Journal of business venturing*, 35(6):106059, 2020.
- R. Zarutskie. The role of top management team human capital in venture capital markets: Evidence from first-time funds. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 25(1):155–172, 2010.
- W. Zhou and E. Rosini. Entrepreneurial team diversity and performance: Toward an integrated model. *Entrepreneurship Research Journal*, 5(1):31–60, 2015.