

hw4

Original language:

$$\begin{aligned}t &::= \lambda x : T. t \mid (t \ t) \mid x \\v &::= \lambda x : T. t \\T &::= T \rightarrow T\end{aligned}$$

1. New language:

$$\begin{aligned}t &::= \lambda x : T. t \mid (t \ t) \mid x \mid \text{True} \mid \text{False} \mid \text{if } t_1 \ t_2 \ t_3 \mid \text{AND } t_1 \ t_2 \\v &::= \lambda x : T. t \mid \text{True} \mid \text{False} \\T &::= T \rightarrow T \mid \text{Bool}\end{aligned}$$

New rules:

This one just says True and False are of type Booleans

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \text{True} : \text{Bool}} \quad \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \text{False} : \text{Bool}}$$

This is basic typing

$$\frac{(x \mapsto \text{Bool}) \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x : T} T\text{Bool}$$

These are for evaluating (IFApp 1, 2, 3)

$$\frac{\frac{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}{\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 \rightarrow \text{if } t'_1 \ t_2 \ t_3}}{\frac{t_2 \rightarrow t'_2}{\text{if } v_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 \rightarrow \text{if } v_1 \ t'_2 \ t_3}} \quad \frac{t_3 \rightarrow t'_3}{\text{if } v_1 \ v_2 \ t_3 \rightarrow \text{if } v_1 \ v_2 \ t'_3}}$$

The IFtrue and IFfalse are trivial, not going to list them

This one is for actually type checking

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash v_1 : \text{Bool} \quad \Gamma \vdash v_2 : T \quad \Gamma \vdash v_3 : T}{\Gamma \vdash \text{if } v_1 \ v_2 \ v_3 : T} T\text{If}$$

Not going to write down the eval rules for AND, pretend they exist (very similar) with the name ANDApp 1, 2

Type checking:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash v_1 : \text{Bool} \quad \Gamma \vdash v_2 : \text{Bool}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{AND } v_1 \ v_2 : \text{Bool}} T\text{Bool}$$

2. Progress

Case 1:

if $\Gamma \vdash t : Bool$ then t is a value ELSE IF $\Gamma \vdash t : T$ then t is a value ELSE $t \rightarrow t'$ for some t'

Case 2:

$$\Gamma \vdash \text{if } t_1 \ t_2 \ t_3 : T$$

Assume progress for t_1 and t_2 and t_3

If t_1 or t_2 or t_3 are not values then IFApp 1 2 and 3 will apply (respectively)

If they are all values and t_1 is a bool and $t_2 == t_3$ then TIf applies, otherwise we are in a broken state

Case 3:

$$\Gamma \vdash \text{AND } t_1 \ t_2 : Bool$$

Assume progress for t_1 and t_2

If t_1 or t_2 are not values then ANDApp 1 and 2 will apply (respectively)

If they are all values then TBool applies

Preservation

Permutation

Case 1: x

$\Gamma \vdash x : Bool \Rightarrow (x \mapsto Bool) \in \Gamma$ by type inversion. By def permutation,

$(x \mapsto Bool) \in \Delta$. $\Delta \vdash x : Bool$ by TBool

Case 2: $\Gamma \vdash \text{if } t_1 \ t_2 \ t_3 : T$

$\Gamma \vdash \text{if } t_1 \ t_2 \ t_3 : T \Rightarrow (t_1 \mapsto Bool) \in \Gamma, (t_2 \mapsto T) \in \Gamma, (t_3 \mapsto T) \in \Gamma$ by type inversion. Induction hyp says permutation holds and $\Delta \vdash t_1 : Bool$ and $\Delta \vdash t_2 : T$ and $\Delta \vdash t_3 : T$ Thus $\Delta \vdash (\text{if } t_1 \ t_2 \ t_3) : T$ holds by TIf

Case 3: $\Gamma \vdash \text{AND } t_1 \ t_2$

$\Gamma \vdash \text{AND } t_1 \ t_2 : Bool \Rightarrow (t_1 \mapsto Bool) \in \Gamma, (t_2 \mapsto Bool) \in \Gamma$ by type inversion. Induc hyp says permutation holds and $\Delta \vdash t_1 : Bool$ and $\Delta \vdash t_2 : Bool$ Thus $\Delta \vdash (\text{AND } t_1 \ t_2) : Bool$ Holds by TAnd

Weakening

Case 1: x

$\Gamma \vdash x : Bool \Rightarrow (x \mapsto Bool) \in \Gamma$ by type inversion. With the assumption

$x \neq y, (x \mapsto Bool) \in (y \mapsto T), \Gamma$ by def lookup. Thus $(y \mapsto T), \Gamma \vdash x : Bool$ by applying TBool

Case 2: $\Gamma \vdash \text{if } t_1 \ t_2 \ t_3 : T$

$\Gamma \vdash \text{if } t_1 \ t_2 \ t_3 : T \Rightarrow (t_1 \mapsto Bool) \in \Gamma, (t_2 \mapsto T) \in \Gamma, (t_3 \mapsto T) \in \Gamma$ by type inversion. Induction hyp says $(y \mapsto S), \Gamma \vdash t_1 : Bool$, $(y \mapsto S), \Gamma \vdash t_2 : T$, and $(y \mapsto S), \Gamma \vdash t_3 : T$ hold thus

$(y \mapsto S), \Gamma \vdash (\text{if } t_1 \ t_2 \ t_3) : T$ holds by TIf

Case 3: $\Gamma \vdash AND\ t_1\ t_2$

$\Gamma \vdash AND\ t_1\ t_2 : Bool \Rightarrow (t_1 \mapsto Bool) \in \Gamma, (t_2 \mapsto Bool) \in \Gamma$ by type inversion. Induc hyp says $(y \mapsto S), \Gamma \vdash t_1 : Bool$ and $(y \mapsto S), \Gamma \vdash t_2 : Bool$ hold Thus $(y \mapsto S), \Gamma \vdash (AND\ t_1\ t_2) : Bool$ Holds by TAnd

Subst

Case 1: TBool

$t = z \Rightarrow z : Bool$

$(z \mapsto Bool) \in (x \mapsto S), \Gamma$: Type inverting t: Bool and replacing t with z

$z = x$: $[x \mapsto s]x = s$ Vars are unique. Know $Bool = S : s : Bool$. If you sub s in for x, you get s. s : Bool so preservation holds

$z \neq x$ $[x \mapsto s]z = z$

Case 2: Tif

$t = (if\ t_1\ t_2\ t_3)$

$(x \mapsto X), \Gamma \vdash t : T$

Type inversion

$(x \mapsto S), \Gamma \vdash t_1 : Bool$

$(x \mapsto S), \Gamma \vdash t_2 : T$

$(x \mapsto S), \Gamma \vdash t_3 : T$

Induction hyp

$\Gamma \vdash [x \mapsto s]t_1 : Bool$

$\Gamma \vdash [x \mapsto s]t_2 : T$

$\Gamma \vdash [x \mapsto s]t_3 : T$

By Tif: $\Gamma \vdash [x \mapsto s](if\ t_1\ t_2\ t_3) : T$ so type pres over subst

Case 3: TBool

$t = (AND\ t_1\ t_2)$

$(x \mapsto X), \Gamma \vdash t : Bool$

Type inversion

$(x \mapsto S), \Gamma \vdash t_1 : Bool$

$(x \mapsto S), \Gamma \vdash t_2 : Bool$

Induction hyp

$\Gamma \vdash [x \mapsto s]t_1 : Bool$

$\Gamma \vdash [x \mapsto s]t_2 : Bool$

By TBool: $\Gamma \vdash [x \mapsto s](AND\ t_1\ t_2) : Bool$ so type pres over subst

Proof:

Case 1: x

true and false are vals no t' st $t \rightarrow t'$

Case 2: $\Gamma \vdash if\ t_1\ t_2\ t_3 : T$

Type inversion

$t_1 : Bool$

$t_2 : T$

$t_3 : T$

5 eval rules: IFApp 1, 2, 3, and IfTrue/IfFalse

TypeInf cases:

$t_1 : Bool$ (type inv), $t_1 : T_1 \rightarrow t'_1 : Bool$ (ind hyp), $t_2 : T$, $t_3 : T(bthtypeinv) \vdash (if\ t'_1\ t_2\ t_3) : T$

Essentially either t1 is bool or it evals to bool in either case if will eval to T

$v_1 : Bool$ (type inv), $t_2 : T \rightarrow t'_2 : T$ (ind hyp), $t_2 : T$, $t_3 : T(bthtypeinv) \vdash (if\ v_1\ t'_2\ t_3) : T$

So in this case t1 is now a value v1 and t2 is either T or it evals to T in either case if will eval to T

$v_1 : Bool$ (type inv), $t_3 : T \rightarrow t'_3 : T$ (ind hyp), $v_2 : T$, $t_3 : T(bthtypeinv) \vdash (if\ v_1\ v_2\ t'_3) : T$

Same thing but with t3 instead

Since we proved subst, subst will hold as well

Preservation holds

Case 3: $\Gamma \vdash AND\ t_1\ t_2$

Type inversion

$t_1 : Bool$

$t_2 : Bool$

4 eval rules (F/F, T/F, T/T, F/T) and 2 to eval both sides

Type inf cases:

$t_1 : Bool$ (type inv), $t_1 : T_1 \rightarrow t'_1 : Bool$ (ind hyp), $t_2 : Bool$ (type inv) $\vdash (AND\ t'_1\ t_2) : Bool$

Essentially either t1 is bool or it evals to bool in either case AND will eval to Bool

$v_1 : Bool$ (type inv), $t_2 : T_1 \rightarrow t'_2 : Bool$ (ind hyp), $t_2 : Bool$ (type inv) $\vdash (AND\ v_1\ t'_2) : Bool$

Essentially either t2 is bool or it evals to bool in either case AND will eval to Bool

Since we proved subst, subst will hold as well

Preservation holds

Done yippee

3. Let

$$\frac{}{\text{Let } x = v_1 \text{ in } t_2 \rightarrow [x \mapsto v_1]t_2} \text{ELetV}$$

\quad

$\frac{}{\text{Let } x = t_1 \text{ in } t_2 \rightarrow \text{Let } x = t'_1 \text{ in } t_2} ; \text{ELet}$

Typerule

$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_1 \quad (x \mapsto T_1), \Gamma \vdash t_2 : T_2}{\Gamma \vdash \text{Let } x = t_1 \text{ in } t_2 : T_2} ; \text{TLet}$

4. *Proof Progress* : $\$ \Gamma \vdash \text{Let } x = t_1 \text{ in } t_2 : T_2 \$$ Assume progress for t_1 and t_2 then E Let applies to t_1