Introduction to Machine Learning

Linear Regression

Varun Chandola

April 3, 2017

Outline

Contents

1	Linear Regression		
	1.1	Problem Formulation	2
	1.2	Geometric Interpretation	3
	1.3	Learning Parameters	3
2	Recap		5
	2.1	Issues with Linear Regression	6
3	Bayesian Linear Regression		6
4	Bayesian Regression		6
	4.1	Estimating Bayesian Regression Parameters	7
	4.2	Prediction with Bayesian Regression	8
5	Handling Non-linear Relationships		9
	5.1	Handling Overfitting via Regularization	9
Ta	king	the next step	
H	ypot	hesis Space, \mathcal{H}	

• Conjunctive

- Disjunctive
 - Disjunctions of k attributes
- Linear hyperplanes
- $\mathbf{c}_* \notin \mathcal{H}$
- Non-linear network

Input Space, x

- $\mathbf{x} \in \{0, 1\}^d$
- ullet $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$

Input Space, y

- $y \in \{0, 1\}$
- $y \in \{-1, +1\}$
- $y \in \mathbb{R}$

1 Linear Regression

1.1 Problem Formulation

- There is one scalar **target** variable y (instead of hidden)
- There is one vector **input** variable x
- Inductive bias:

$$y = \mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{x}$$

Linear Regression Learning Task

Learn **w** given training examples, $\langle \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{y} \rangle$.

The training data is denoted as $\langle \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{y} \rangle$, where \mathbf{X} is a $N \times D$ data matrix consisting of N data examples such that each data example is a D dimensional

vector. \mathbf{y} is a $N\times 1$ vector consisting of corresponding target values for the examples in $\mathbf{X}.$

1. Probabilistic Interpretation

 \bullet y is assumed to be normally distributed

$$y \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}^{\top}\mathbf{x}, \sigma^2)$$

• or, equivalently:

$$y = \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x} + \epsilon$$

where $\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$

- \bullet y is a linear combination of the input variables
- Given \mathbf{w} and σ^2 , one can find the probability distribution of y for a given \mathbf{x}

1.2 Geometric Interpretation

2. Geometric Interpretation

 \bullet Fitting a straight line to d dimensional data

$$y = \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x}$$

$$y = \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x} = w_1 x_1 + w_2 x_2 + \ldots + w_d x_d$$

- Will pass through origin
- Add intercept

$$y = w_0 + w_1 x_1 + w_2 x_2 + \ldots + w_d x_d$$

• Equivalent to adding another column in X of 1s.

1.3 Learning Parameters

• Find w and σ^2 that maximize the likelihood of training data

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{MLE} &= & (\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{y} \\ \widehat{\sigma}_{MLE}^2 &= & \frac{1}{N}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{w})^{\top}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{w}) \end{aligned}$$

The derivation of the MLE estimates can be done by maximizing the loglikelihood of the data set. The likelihood of the training data set is given by:

$$L(\mathbf{w}) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} exp(-\frac{(y_i - \mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{x_i})^2}{2\sigma^2})$$

The log-likelihood is given by:

$$LL(\mathbf{w}) = -\frac{1}{2}\log 2\pi - \log \sigma - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - \mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{x}_i)^2$$

This can be rewritten in matrix notation as:

$$LL(\mathbf{w}) = -\frac{1}{2}\log 2\pi - \log \sigma - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{w})^{\top}(-\mathbf{X}\mathbf{w})$$

To maximize the log-likelihood, we first compute its derivative with respect to ${\bf w}$ and σ .

$$\frac{\partial LL(\mathbf{w})}{\partial \mathbf{w}} = -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{w}} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{w})^{\top} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{w})$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{w}} (\mathbf{y}^{\top} \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{w} - 2\mathbf{y}^{\top} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{w})$$

Note that, we use the fact that $(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{w})^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{w}$, since both quantities are scalars and the transpose of a scalar is equal to itself. Continuing with the derivative:

$$\frac{\partial LL(\mathbf{w})}{\partial \mathbf{w}} = -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (2\mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{X} - 2\mathbf{y}^{\top} \mathbf{X})$$

Setting the derivative to 0, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{2}\mathbf{w}^{\top}\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{2}\mathbf{y}^{\top}\mathbf{X} &= 0 \\ \mathbf{w}^{\top}\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{X} &= \mathbf{y}^{\top}\mathbf{X} \\ (\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{X})^{\top}\mathbf{w} &= \mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{y} \text{ (Taking transpose both sides)} \\ (\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{X})\mathbf{w} &= \mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{w} &= (\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{y} \end{aligned}$$

In a similar fashion, one can set the derivative to 0 with respect to σ and plug in the the optimal value of ${\bf w}$

• Minimize squared loss

$$J(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - \mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{x}_i)^2$$

- Make prediction $(\mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{x}_i)$ as close to the target (y_i) as possible
- Least squares estimate

$$\widehat{\mathbf{w}} = (\mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{y}$$

The derivation of the least squares estimate follows the exact computation as the MLE derivation above, once the expression of the squared loss is converted into matrix notation, i.e.,

$$J(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - \mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{x}_i)^2$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X} \mathbf{w})^{\top} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X} \mathbf{w})$$

• Minimize the squared loss using Gradient Descent

$$J(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - \mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{x}_i)^2$$

• Why?

The analytical approach discussed earlier involves a matrix inversion $((\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{X})^{-1})$ which is a $(D+1)\times(D+1)$ matrix. Alternatively, one could solve a system of equations. When D is large, this inversion can be computationally expensive $(O*D^3)$ for standard matrix inversion. Moreover, often, the linear system might have singularities and inversion or solving the system of equations might yield numerically unstable results.

To compute the gradient update rule one can differentiate the error with respect to each entry of \mathbf{w} .

$$\frac{\partial J(\mathbf{w})}{\partial w_j} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_j} \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_i)^2$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^N (\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_i - y_i) x_{ij}$$

Using the above result, one can perform repeated updates of the weights:

$$w_j := w_j - \alpha \frac{\partial J(\mathbf{w})}{\partial w_j}$$

2 Recap

Geometric

$$y = \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x}$$

1. Least Squares

$$\widehat{\mathbf{w}} = (\mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{y}$$

2. Gradient Descent

$$J(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - \mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{x}_i)^2$$

Bayesian

$$p(y) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}^{\top}\mathbf{x}, \sigma^2)$$

1. Maximum Likelihood Estimation

$$\begin{split} \widehat{\mathbf{w}} &= & (\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{y} \\ \widehat{\sigma}_{MLE}^2 &= & \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{w})^{\top}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{w}) \end{split}$$

2.1 Issues with Linear Regression

- 1. Not truly Bayesian
- 2. Susceptible to outliers
- 3. Too simplistic Underfitting
- 4. No way to control overfitting
- 5. Unstable in presence of correlated input attributes
- 6. Gets "confused" by unnecessary attributes

3 Bayesian Linear Regression

4 Bayesian Regression

- "Penalize" large values of w
- A zero-mean Gaussian prior

$$p(\mathbf{w}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}|0, \tau^2 I)$$

• What is posterior of w

$$p(\mathbf{w}|\mathcal{D}) \propto \prod_{i} \mathcal{N}(y_i|\mathbf{w}^{\top}\mathbf{x}_i, \sigma^2)p(\mathbf{w})$$

- Posterior is also Gaussian
- MAP estimate of w

$$\underset{\mathbf{w}}{\arg\max} \sum_{i=1}^{N} log \mathcal{N}(y_i | \mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{x}_i, \sigma^2) + \log \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w} | 0, \tau^2 I)$$

7

4.1 Estimating Bayesian Regression Parameters

• Prior for w

$$\mathbf{w} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{0}, \tau^2 \mathbf{I}_D)$$

• Posterior for w

$$\begin{aligned} p(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{X}) &= \frac{p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{w})p(\mathbf{w})}{p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{X})} \\ &= \mathcal{N}(\bar{\mathbf{w}} = (\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{X} + \frac{\sigma^2}{\tau^2}\mathbf{I}_N)^{-1}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{y}, \sigma^2(\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{X} + \frac{\sigma^2}{\tau^2}\mathbf{I}_N)^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

- \bullet Posterior distribution for \mathbf{w} is also Gaussian
- What will be MAP estimate for **w**?

The denominator term in the posterior above can be computed as the marginal likelihood of data by marginalizing \mathbf{w} :

$$p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{X}) = \int p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{w}) p(\mathbf{w}) d\mathbf{w}$$

One can compute the posterior for \mathbf{w} as follows. We first show that the likelihood of \mathbf{y} , i.e., all target values in the training data, can be jointly modeled as a Gaussian as follows:

$$p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{w}) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(y_i - \mathbf{w}^{\top}\mathbf{x}_i)\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{N/2}} exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{w}|^2\right)$$
$$= \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{w}, \sigma^2\mathbf{I}_N)$$

Ignoring the denominator which does not depend on w:

$$p(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{X}) \propto exp(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{w})^{\top}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{w}))exp(-\frac{1}{2\tau^2}\mathbf{w}^{\top}\mathbf{w})$$
$$\propto exp(-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{w} - \bar{\mathbf{w}})^{\top}(\frac{1}{\sigma^2}\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{X} + \frac{1}{\tau^2}\mathbf{I}_N)(\mathbf{w} - \bar{\mathbf{w}}))$$

where
$$\bar{\mathbf{w}} = (\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{X} + \frac{\sigma^2}{\tau^2}\mathbf{I}_N)^{-1}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{y}$$
.

4.2 Prediction with Bayesian Regression

- For a new \mathbf{x}^* , predict y^*
- Point estimate of y^*

$$y^* = \widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{MLE}^{\top} \mathbf{x}^*$$

 \bullet Treating y as a Gaussian random variable

$$p(y^*|\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathcal{N}(\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{MLE}^{\top}\mathbf{x}^*, \widehat{\sigma}_{MLE}^2)$$

$$p(y^*|\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathcal{N}(\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{MAP}^{\top}\mathbf{x}^*, \widehat{\sigma}_{MAP}^2)$$

 \bullet Treating y and \mathbf{w} as random variables

$$p(y^*|\mathbf{x}^*) = \int p(y^*|\mathbf{x}^*, \mathbf{w}) p(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{w}$$

• This is also Gaussian!

5 Handling Non-linear Relationships

• Replace **x** with non-linear functions $\phi(\mathbf{x})$

$$p(y|\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}))$$

- \bullet Model is still linear in \mathbf{w}
- Also known as basis function expansion

Example 1.

$$\boldsymbol{\phi}(x) = [1, x, x^2, \dots, x^d]$$

• Increasing d results in more complex fits

5.1 Handling Overfitting via Regularization

How to Control Overfitting?

- Use simpler models (linear instead of polynomial)
 - Might have poor results (underfitting)
- Use regularized complex models

$$\widehat{\mathbf{\Theta}} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{\Theta}} J(\mathbf{\Theta}) + \alpha R(\mathbf{\Theta})$$

 \bullet R() corresponds to the penalty paid for complexity of the model

Examples of Regularization

Ridge Regression

$$\widehat{\mathbf{w}} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{w}} J(\mathbf{w}) + \alpha \|\mathbf{w}\|^2$$

- Also known as l_2 or Tikhonov regularization
- Helps in reducing impact of correlated inputs

Least Absolute Shrinkage and Selection Operator - LASSO

$$\widehat{\mathbf{w}} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{w}} J(\mathbf{w}) + \alpha |\mathbf{w}|$$

- Also known as l_1 regularization
- Helps in feature selection favors sparse solutions

Exact Loss Function

$$J(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x}_i)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda ||\mathbf{w}||_2^2$$

MAP Estimate of w

$$\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{MAP} = (\lambda \mathbf{I}_D + \mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{y}$$

References