Test cases for simpsh: (Used LC_ALL=en_US.UTF-8)

User Time	System Time
0.000839s	0.0009086s
0.0005913s	0.001029s
0.000000	0.000505
U.UUU9986\$	0.0008565s

Test Cases for Dash: (Used LC_ALL=en_US.UTF-8)

Tests	User Time	System Time
sort -r <pg98.txt>output1.txt 2>error.txt;</pg98.txt>	0.143s	0.033s
tr [:lower:] [:upper:] <pg98.txt>output2.txt</pg98.txt>		
2>error.txt;		
(sort -r < pg98.txt cat pg98.txt - tr A-Z a-z	0.193s	0.043s
>output.txt) 2>>error.txt		
(time -p sleep 2 > test3.txt cat pg98.txt - tr	0.240s	0.053s
A-Z a-z sort -r > output.txt) 2>>error.txt		

Test Cases for Bash: (Used LC_ALL=en_US.UTF-8)

Tests	User Time	System Time
sort -r <pg98.txt>output1.txt 2>error.txt;</pg98.txt>	0.153s	0.0373s
tr [:lower:] [:upper:] <pg98.txt>output2.txt 2>error.txt;</pg98.txt>		
(sort -r < pg98.txt cat pg98.txt - tr A-Z a-z	0.1997s	0.0497s
>output.txt) 2>>error.txt		
(time -p sleep 2 > test3.txt cat pg98.txt - tr	0.232s	0.0553s
A-Z a-z sort -r > output.txt) 2>>error.txt		

From the data, is evident that our simpsh shell is significantly faster since it has a much shorter user and kernel time (almost 1000 times smaller). On the other hand, the bash and dash implementions of the same test cases results in very similar user and system time usages. However, bash seems to take marginally longer than dash in both user time and in system time to execute the tests cases. Therefore, based on the data evidenced, it is easy to conclude that the efficiency follows:

