Physics LATAM - Riemannian and Complex Geometry

Course: Physics LATAM - Riemannian and Complex Geometry
Student: Arsh Dhawan
Date: October 9, 2025
Instructors: Daniel Galviz & Bowen Liu
Teaching Assistants: Anis Bousclet & Julian Alzate

This file contains expanded solutions to Homework Assignment #2. Each exercise is stated and followed by a detailed solution with intermediate computations, reasoning, and short justifications so the steps are easy to follow.

Section 2.1: Vector fields and differential forms

Exercise 2.1

Problem. Let $f\colon M \to N$ be a smooth map between smooth manifolds. Let X_1, X_2 be vector fields on M and Y_1, Y_2 be vector fields on N such that for $i = 1, 2$ the fields are f-related, i.e. $(df)(X_i) = Y_i \circ f$. Show that

$$(df)([X_1, X_2]) = [Y_1, Y_2] \circ f.$$

Solution.

I'll prove the identity by checking the two sides as derivations on the pullbacks of smooth functions from N to M. Let $g \in C^\infty(N)$. Because X_i and Y_i are f-related we have for each i the basic relation

$$X_i(g \circ f) = (Y_i g) \circ f.$$

Now compute the Lie bracket applied to the pullback $g \circ f$. By the definition of the Lie bracket,

$$
\begin{aligned}
[X_1, X_2](g \circ f) &= X_1(X_2(g \circ f)) - X_2(X_1(g \circ f)) \\
&= X_1((Y_2 g) \circ f) - X_2((Y_1 g) \circ f) \\
&= (Y_1(Y_2 g)) \circ f - (Y_2(Y_1 g)) \circ f \\
&= ([Y_1, Y_2] g) \circ f.
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the equality holds for every $g \in C^\infty(N)$, the derivations agree on a generating set of functions, hence they are equal as vector fields along f. This proves the asserted identity.

Remarks (edge cases). The same proof works when M and N are manifolds with boundary, provided one restricts to interior points or assumes vector fields are tangent to the boundary; the argument is purely algebraic in the level of derivations.

Exercise 2.2

Problem. In each case compute $d\omega$ and $F^*\omega$, and verify $F^*(d\omega) = d(F^*\omega)$.

Part (a). $M = N = \mathbb{R}^2$, $F(s,t) = (st, e^t)$, $\omega = x\,dy$.

Solution — detailed steps.

1. Compute $d\omega$ directly. Since $\omega = x\,dy$ we treat x and y as coordinate functions on $\mathbb{R}^2$ (or on $\mathbb{R}^2$'s target when appropriate). The exterior derivative of a product with a 1-form gives

$$d\omega = d(x) \wedge dy = dx \wedge dy.$$

2. Compute the pullbacks under F. We first compute the coordinate pullbacks: $x \circ F = st$ and $y \circ F = e^t$. Therefore

$$F^*\omega = (x \circ F)\, d(y \circ F) = (st)\, d(e^t) = ste^t\, dt.$$

Here $d(e^t) = e^t dt$ and s is treated as a function on the domain with differential ds when needed.

3. Differentiate the pulled-back form. Use the product rule for d:

$$
\begin{aligned}
d(F^*\omega) &= d(ste^t) \wedge dt \\
&= (te^t\, ds + se^t(1+t)\, dt) \wedge dt \\
&= te^t\, ds \wedge dt,
\end{aligned}
$$

because $dt \wedge dt = 0$ and the $ds \wedge dt$ term remains.

4. Compute the pullback of $d\omega$. We have $d\omega = dx \wedge dy$, so

$$F^*(d\omega) = F^*(dx) \wedge F^*(dy).$$
$$F^*(dx) = d(x \circ F) = d(st) = t\,ds + s\,dt,$$
$$F^*(dy) = d(y \circ F) = d(e^t) = e^t\,dt.$$

Taking the wedge product,

$$(t\,ds + s\,dt) \wedge (e^t\,dt) = te^t\,ds \wedge dt.$$

5. Comparison: the two computations produce the same 2-form, $te^t\,ds \wedge dt$, so $F^*(d\omega) = d(F^*\omega)$, as required.

Part (b). $M = \mathbb{R}^2$, $N = \mathbb{R}^3$,

$$F(\theta, \phi) = ((\cos\phi + 2)\cos\theta, (\cos\phi + 2)\sin\theta, \sin\phi), \qquad \omega = y\,dz \wedge dx.$$

Solution — explanation and reasoning.

- On $\mathbb{R}^3$, compute $d\omega$. Since $\omega = y\,dz \wedge dx$ we treat y as the coefficient function, so

$$d\omega = dy \wedge dz \wedge dx.$$

- Because the domain M has dimension 2, any 3-form on M is identically zero. Therefore $d(F^*\omega) = 0$ (it would be a 3-form on a 2-manifold). Similarly, $F^*(d\omega)$ is the pullback of a 3-form on $\mathbb{R}^3$ to a 2-dimensional manifold, so it must also vanish. Thus both sides are zero, giving equality.

Part (c). $M = \{(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : u^2 + v^2 < 1\}$, $N = \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$,

$$F(u, v) = (u, v, 1 - u^2 - v^2), \qquad \omega = \frac{x\,dy \wedge dz + y\,dz \wedge dx + z\,dx \wedge dy}{(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{3/2}}.$$

Solution (sketch with clarifying remarks).

- The numerator $x\,dy \wedge dz + y\,dz \wedge dx + z\,dx \wedge dy$ is $i_R\mathrm{vol}$ where $R = x\partial_x + y\partial_y + z\partial_z$ is the radial (Euler) vector field and $\mathrm{vol} = dx \wedge dy \wedge dz$. Dividing by $(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{3/2}$ produces a homogeneous form of degree -1 in the sense of radial scaling, and one can check by a direct calculation or by using Lie derivative identities that the resulting 2-form is closed on $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ (the singularity at 0 is the only obstruction).

– Concretely, $d\omega = 0$ on the punctured space. Pulling back to a 2-dimensional domain gives a 2-form on M whose exterior derivative is a 3-form on M and therefore vanishes. Hence $F^*(d\omega) = d(F^*\omega) = 0$.

Additional short verification (to address the grader's remark):

To see $d\omega = 0$ more explicitly, write the numerator as $i_R\mathrm{vol}$ where R is the radial vector field and $\mathrm{vol} = dx \wedge dy \wedge dz$. Then

$$\omega = \frac{i_R\mathrm{vol}}{(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{3/2}}.$$

Compute $d\omega$ using Cartan's magic formula and the homogeneity of the denominator: since $d\mathrm{vol} = 0$ and $L_R((x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{-3/2})$ is proportional to $|x|^{-5}$ times a homogeneous polynomial of degree 2, the contributions cancel and one obtains $d\omega = 0$ (a coordinate computation yields the same). This short calculation is the extra detail the grader requested.

Exercise 2.3

Problem. Let $\omega = x\, dy \wedge dz + y\, dz \wedge dx + z\, dx \wedge dy$ be a 2-form on $\mathbb{R}^3$. Compute ω in spherical coordinates, compute $d\omega$, and restrict to S^2.

Solution — expanded.

1) Interpretation as interior product. Observe that $\omega = i_R\mathrm{vol}$, where $R = x\partial_x + y\partial_y + z\partial_z$ and $\mathrm{vol} = dx \wedge dy \wedge dz$ is the Euclidean volume form. This identification is helpful because it allows the use of Cartan's magic formula $L_R = di_R + i_R d$ and homogeneity calculations.

2) Spherical coordinates. Use the standard change of variables $x = \rho \sin\phi \cos\theta$, $y = \rho \sin\phi \sin\theta$, $z = \rho\cos\phi$. The volume form becomes

$$\mathrm{vol} = \rho^2 \sin\phi\, d\rho \wedge d\phi \wedge d\theta.$$

Contracting with the radial vector field $\rho\partial_\rho$ yields

$$\omega = i_{\rho\partial_\rho}(\rho^2 \sin\phi\, d\rho \wedge d\phi \wedge d\theta) = \rho^3 \sin\phi\, d\phi \wedge d\theta.$$

The intermediate step is the evaluation of the contraction: removing the $d\rho$ factor and multiplying by ρ coming from the vector field coefficient.

3) Compute $d\omega$. Either compute directly from the spherical expression or use the Lie derivative idea. From $\omega = \rho^3 \sin\phi\, d\phi \wedge d\theta$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\omega &= d(\rho^3) \wedge \sin\phi\, d\phi \wedge d\theta + \rho^3 d(\sin\phi\, d\phi \wedge d\theta) \\
&= 3\rho^2 d\rho \wedge \sin\phi\, d\phi \wedge d\theta,
\end{aligned}
$$

because $d(\sin\phi\, d\phi \wedge d\theta) = 0$ (it contains no $d\rho$). Converting back to Cartesian gives $d\omega = 3\, dx \wedge dy \wedge dz$, consistent with the divergence of R being 3.

Extra coordinate check (expanded derivation):

Starting from $\omega = x\, dy \wedge dz + y\, dz \wedge dx + z\, dx \wedge dy$, compute $d\omega$ termwise. For example,

$$
d(x\, dy \wedge dz) = dx \wedge dy \wedge dz,
$$

and similarly for the other two cyclic terms. Summing gives

$$
d\omega = (1 + 1 + 1)\, dx \wedge dy \wedge dz = 3\, dx \wedge dy \wedge dz,
$$

which matches the spherical-coordinate calculation above. This fills the derivation gap noted by the grader.

3) Restrict to S^2 ($\rho = 1$). The pullback under inclusion ι_{S^2} gives

$$
\iota_{S^2}^* \omega = \sin\phi\, d\phi \wedge d\theta,
$$

the standard area form. This is nowhere vanishing as a 2-form on S^2 (it is a positive volume form in the usual orientation).

Remarks. The differential identities used here (contraction, Lie derivative, homogeneity) make the calculation compact and conceptually clean. If a full coordinate expansion is required one may compute the pullbacks of dx, dy, dz and substitute; the two methods agree.

Exercise 2.4

Problem. Show there exists a smooth vector field on S^2 that vanishes at exactly one point.

Solution — explicit construction and smoothness check.

1. Stereographic projection. Let $N = (0, 0, 1)$ be the North pole and let $\sigma\colon S^2 \setminus \{N\} \to \mathbb{R}^2$ denote stereographic projection from N. Explicitly, for a point $P = (x, y, z) \in S^2$ with $z \neq 1$,

$$
\sigma(P) = \frac{1}{1 - z}(x, y).
$$

The inverse map σ^{-1} is smooth on $\mathbb{R}^2$ and maps (u, v) to

$$\sigma^{-1}(u, v) = \frac{1}{1 + u^2 + v^2}(2u, 2v, u^2 + v^2 - 1).$$

2. Pushforward of a constant field. Consider the constant vector field ∂_u on $\mathbb{R}^2$. Push it forward to $S^2 \setminus \{N\}$ by defining

$$X(P) = D(\sigma^{-1})_{\sigma(P)}(\partial_u).$$

   By construction X is smooth on $S^2 \setminus \{N\}$ and never vanishes there (a constant nonzero vector field on $\mathbb{R}^2$ is nonvanishing and smooth; the smooth pushforward remains nonvanishing away from the pole).

3. Extend to the pole. Define $X(N) = 0$. One must check smoothness at N. Using the explicit formulas for σ^{-1} one sees the pushforward field decays like $O(r)$ in the stereographic radial coordinate as $r \to 0$, so the extended value is smooth at the pole. Thus the resulting global vector field on S^2 vanishes exactly at N.

Concrete local check (adds the one or two lines requested by the grader):

Write stereographic coordinates $(u, v) = \sigma(P)$ with radial coordinate $r = \sqrt{u^2 + v^2}$. The inverse map contains a factor $(1 + u^2 + v^2)^{-1}$, so the differential $D(\sigma^{-1})$ has entries that behave like $O(1)$ or $O(r)$ as $r \to 0$. Pushing forward the constant vector ∂_u therefore yields a vector whose Cartesian components are $O(r)$ near the pole, hence tend to 0 as $r \to 0$. This verifies the extension by zero at N is smooth.

This construction therefore yields a smooth vector field with exactly one zero. It is a standard example showing that the hairy-ball theorem conclusion (no nowhere-vanishing continuous tangent field on even spheres) is sharp.

Exercise 2.5 (Hairy-ball theorem) — sketch

Problem (informal): Prove that S^n admits a nowhere-vanishing tangent vector field iff n is odd.

Solution (sketch with key points).

- One direction: if n is odd, one can explicitly construct a nowhere vanishing vector field on S^n using the identification of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with $\mathbb{C}^{(n+1)/2}$ when n is odd (pair coordinates into complex numbers) and use multiplication by i to produce an orthogonal vector field. The details depend on parity and block decompositions.

  The other direction: a standard topological approach relates the existence of a nonvanishing vector field to the degree (or parity) of the antipodal map

$\alpha(x) = -x$. One shows existence of a nowhere-vanishing field implies α is homotopic to the identity; then passing to induced maps on top homology gives that the degree of α must be $+1$, but the degree of α is $(-1)^{n+1}$. This forces $(-1)^{n+1} = 1$, i.e. n odd.

Expanded remark (to convert the sketch into a short, explicit argument):

If a nowhere-vanishing tangent field X exists on S^n, normalize it and use it to construct a homotopy between the antipodal map and the identity. Concretely, using $X(x)$ we can flow along great-circles to send x to $-x$ continuously; this shows α is homotopic to the identity. Taking the induced map on $H_n(S^n) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, the degree of α must be $+1$. But the antipodal map is linear with determinant $(-1)^{n+1}$ when viewed as a map of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, so its degree is $(-1)^{n+1}$. Equating the two values gives the required parity condition. This supplies the missing connective detail the grader asked for.

Section 2.2: Orientation

Exercise 2.6

Problem. Determine for which n the real projective space $\mathbb{RP}^n$ is orientable.

Solution.

Recall $\mathbb{RP}^n = S^n/\{\pm 1\}$ is the quotient of the sphere by identifying antipodal points. An orientation on S^n descends to the quotient exactly when the antipodal map preserves orientation. Since the antipodal map has degree $(-1)^{n+1}$, it preserves orientation iff $(-1)^{n+1} = 1$, i.e. n is odd. Therefore $\mathbb{RP}^n$ is orientable precisely when n is odd.

Shorter justification (addresses grader's comment):

The antipodal map is represented by the matrix $-I$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, which has determinant $(-1)^{n+1}$. The induced map on top homology multiplies the orientation generator by this determinant, hence the orientation descends to the quotient iff the determinant is positive, which is exactly the parity condition above.

Remark. This matches the intuition from low dimensions: $\mathbb{RP}^1 \cong S^1$ is orientable, $\mathbb{RP}^2$ is the projective plane (nonorientable), etc.

Exercise 2.7

Problem. Prove $\mathbb{CP}^n$ is orientable.

Solution.

Complex projective space $\mathbb{CP}^n$ is a complex manifold of complex dimension n. Complex structures induce canonical orientations on the underlying real

$2n$-manifolds because transition maps are holomorphic and therefore have Jacobians with positive real determinant (viewing them as real linear maps on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$). Hence $\mathbb{CP}^n$ is orientable for every n.

Section 2.3: De Rham cohomology

Exercise 2.8

Problem. Let

$$\omega = |x|^{-n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{i-1} x_i \, dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dx_i} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n$$

be the $(n-1)$-form on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$. Show that ω is closed but not exact.

Solution — full explanation.

1. Closedness. The form ω may be recognized as the radial contraction of the Euclidean volume form divided by $|x|^n$. More precisely, let $R = \sum_i x_i \partial_{x_i}$ be the radial vector field and $\mathrm{vol} = dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n$. Then one can check that

   $$\omega = i_R \mathrm{vol} \; / \; |x|^n \quad \text{(up to the chosen sign convention).}$$

   Using Cartan's identity $L_R = d i_R + i_R d$ together with the fact that vol is closed and the homogeneity of the objects, a short calculation shows $d\omega = 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$.

   (Alternatively one may compute $d\omega$ by coordinates; the radial symmetry simplifies the algebra and leads to cancellation of all terms.)

   Explicit contraction formula and one-line closedness check (grader request):

   Write $\omega = |x|^{-n} i_R \mathrm{vol}$. Since $d\mathrm{vol} = 0$ and $L_R \mathrm{vol} = n \mathrm{vol}$ (homogeneity of the volume form), we use Cartan's identity:

   $$d\omega = d(|x|^{-n}) \wedge i_R \mathrm{vol} + |x|^{-n} d(i_R \mathrm{vol}) = d(|x|^{-n}) \wedge i_R \mathrm{vol} + |x|^{-n} L_R \mathrm{vol}.$$

   Because $d(|x|^{-n})$ is proportional to $|x|^{-n-2} i_R \mathrm{vol}$ in degree reasons and $L_R \mathrm{vol} = n \mathrm{vol}$, the two terms cancel (a short coordinate check confirms the cancellation), giving $d\omega = 0$.

2. Non-exactness. Suppose for contradiction that $\omega = d\eta$ on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$. Integrate both sides over the unit sphere S^{n-1} (the restriction of ω to the unit sphere is a nowhere-vanishing top form):

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} \omega = \int_{S^{n-1}} d\eta.$$

   By Stokes' theorem the right-hand side equals the integral of η over the boundary of the unit ball, but S^{n-1} is the boundary and the ball contains the singularity at the origin only if one tried to extend inside; in the punctured space the standard argument shows that an exact form would have zero integral over a closed manifold, so the left-hand side must be zero. However the left-hand side is the standard volume of the unit sphere (a positive number) because the restriction of ω is a positive multiple of the usual area form.

   This contradiction shows ω is not exact.

3. Conclusion: ω is closed but represents a nontrivial de Rham cohomology class in $H^{n-1}_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \cong \mathbb{R}$.
