Google Tools for Data


Hal Varian *Google*

March 28, 2014


What day of the week are there the most searches for [hangover]?

- 1. Sunday
- 2. Monday
- 3. Tuesday
- 4. Wednesday
- 5. Thursday
- 6. Friday
- 7. Saturday

Searches for [hangover]




Searches for [hangover] and [vodka]




Looking for gifts when single

- 1. [gift for boyfriend]
- 2. [gift for girlfriend]



Looking for gifts when married

- 1. [gift for husband]
- 2. [gift for wife]




Problem motivation

- Want to use Google Trends data to nowcast economic series
 - unemployment may be predicted by "job search" queries
 - auto purchases may be predicted by "vehicle shopping" queries
 - often a contemporaneous relationship, hence "nowcasting"
 - useful due to reporting lags and revisions
- Fat regression problem: there are many more predictors than observations
- Millions of queries, hundreds of categories
 - lacktriangle number of observations ~ 100 for monthly economic data
 - \blacktriangleright number of predictors \sim 150 for "economic" categories in Trends
- How do we choose which variables to include?

Example: unemployment

- Sometimes Google Correlate works
- ▶ Load in: data on initial claims for unemployment benefits
- Returns: 100 queries, including [sign up for unemployment]



Build a simple AR model

- Use deseasonalized initial claims (y_t)
- Use deseasonalized, detrended searches for [sign up for unemployment] (x_t)

base:
$$y_t = a_0 + a_1 y_{t-1} + e_t$$

regr: $y_t = a_0 + a_1 y_{t-1} + b x_t + e_t$


- Estimate regressions using rolling window
- ➤ One-step-ahead MAE during recession is about 8.7% lower when [sign up for unemployment] query is included

But simple correlation has limits . . .

User uploaded activity for **Auto Sales NSA (corrected)** and United States Web Search activity for **indian restaurants** (r=0.7848)

Eine chart Escatter plot - Auto Sales NSA (corrected) - indian restaurants Hint: Drag to Zoom, and then correlate over that time only. 2 Normalized Search Activity (σ) -2 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013

Spurious correlation is a danger



How to avoid spurious correlation?

- Control for trend and seasonality
 - Build a model for the predictable (trend + seasonality) part of time series
 - In time series this is called whitening or prewhitening
 - Find regressors that predict the residuals after removing trend and seasonality
- How to choose regressors?
 - Simple correlation is too limited
 - Human judgment doesn't scale

Some approaches to variable selection

- Human judgment: what we mostly do
- Significance testing: forward and backward stepwise regression
- Complexity criteria: AIC, BIC, etc
- Dimensionality reduction: principle component, factor models, partial least squares
- Machine learning: Penalized regression, lasso, LARS, ridge regression, elastic net

Our approach

- ► Bayesian Structural Time Series (BSTS)
 - ▶ Decompose time series into trend + seasonality + regression
 - ▶ Use Kalman filter for trend + seasonality (whiten time series)
 - Spike and slab regression for variable selection
 - Estimate via Markov Chain Monte Carlo simulation of posterior distribution
 - Bayesian model averaging for final forecast

How BSTS helps reduce overfitting

- ► Kalman filter used to whiten the series
 - Remove common seasonality and trend, regressors chosen to predict residuals
 - Estimation of (seasonality, trend, regression) is simultaneous
 - Same spirit as Granger causality
- Overfitting due to spurious correlation with regressors
 - Remove "one time" events (can be automated)
 - Apply human judgment
- Overfitting due to many regressors
 - Informative prior to suggest likely number of regressions or regressor categories
 - Bayesian model averaging over many small regressions ("ensemble estimation")



Basic structural model with regression

- Consider classic time series model with constant level, linear time trend, and regressors
 - $y_t = \mu + bt + \beta x_t + e_t$
- "Local linear trend" is a stochastic generalization of this
 - Observation: $y_t = \mu_t + z_t + e_{1t} = \text{level} + \text{regression}$
 - ▶ State 1: $\mu_t = \mu_{t-1} + b_{t-1} + e_{2t} = \text{random walk} + \text{trend}$
 - ▶ State 2: $b_t = b_{t-1} + e_{3t} = \text{random walk for trend}$
 - State 3: $z_t = \beta x_t = \text{regression}$
- ▶ Parameters to estimate: regression coefficients β and variances of (e_{it}) for i = 1, ..., 3
- ▶ Use these variances to construct optimal Kalman forecast: $\hat{y}_t = \hat{y}_{t-1} + k_t \times (y_{t-1} \hat{y}_{t-1}) + x_t \beta$
- \triangleright k_t depends on the estimated variances



Intuition for Kalman filter

- Consider simple case without regressors and trend
 - ▶ Observation equation: $y_t = \mu_t + e_{1t}$
 - ▶ State equation: $\mu_t = \mu_{t-1} + e_{2t}$
- ▶ Two extreme cases
 - $e_{2t} = 0$ is constant mean model where best estimate is sample average through t 1: $\bar{y}_{t-1} = \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} y_s$
 - $e_{1t} = 0$ is random walk where best estimate is current value y_{t-1}
- ► For general case take weighted average of current and past observations, where weight depends on estimated variances



Nice features of Kalman approach

- ▶ No problem with unit roots or other kinds of nonstationarity
- No problem with missing observations
- No problem with mixed frequency
- No differencing or identification stage (easy to automate)
- Nice Bayesian interpretation
- Easy to compute estimates (particularly in Bayesian case)
- Nice interpretation of structural components
- Easy to add seasonality
- Good forecast performance

Spike and slab regression for variable choice

- Spike
 - lacktriangle Define vector γ that indicates variable inclusion
 - $\gamma_i = 1$ if variable i has non-zero coefficient in regression, 0 otherwise
 - ▶ Bernoulli prior distribution, $p(\gamma)$, for γ_i
 - Can use an informative prior; e.g., expected number of predictors
- Slab
 - Conditional on being in regression ($\gamma_i = 1$) put a (weak) prior on β_i , $p(\beta|\gamma)$.
- **E**stimate posterior distribution of (γ, β) using MCMC


Bayesian model averaging

- We simulate draws from posterior using MCMC
- ▶ Each draw has a set of variables in the regression (γ) and a set of regression coefficients (β)
- ightharpoonup Make a forecast of y_t using these coefficients
- This gives the posterior forecast distribution for y_t
- Can take average over all the forecasts for final prediction
- \blacktriangleright Can take average over draws of γ to see which predictors have high probability of being in regression

Torture test simulation for BSTS


- ▶ Pick k = 3 categories (out of 150) and their associated time series
- \triangleright Construct artificial time series = sum of these k + noise
- See if BSTS picks the right categories
 - ▶ 0 noise = perfect
 - ▶ 5% noise = perfect
 - ▶ 10% noise = misses one, but still does good forecast
 - performance deteriorates for higher noise levels
 - ... but it degrades gracefully

Example of torture test




Example 1: Consumer Sentiment

- Monthly UM Consumer sentiment from Jan 2004 to Apr 2012 (n=100)
- Google Insights for Search categories related to economics (k=150)
- No compelling intuition about what predictors should be



Consumer sentiment as leading indicator

▶ Leading indicator of retail sales in last recession




Variable selection


- ▶ Google Insights for Search categories related to economics (k = 150)
- Deseasonalize predictors using R command stl
- Detrend predictors using simple linear regression
- Let bsts choose predictors

UM Consumer Sentiment Predictors

Probability of inclusion



Posterior distribution of one-step ahead forecast



State decomposition

Recall observation equation:


$$y_t = \mu_t + x_t \beta + e_{1t}$$

We can plot the posterior distribution of each of these components. The regression component can be further expanded

$$y_t = \mu_t + x_{1t}\beta_1 + \dots + x_{pt}\beta_p + e_{1t}$$


Natural to order predictors by probability of inclusion and look at cumulative plot.

Trend and regression decomposition




Trend






add Financial Planning

2. add Financial.Planning (mae=4.8529)





add Business News

3. add Business.News (mae=3.9888)




add Investing





add Search Engines

5. add Search.Engines (mae=3.2748)




Example 2: gun sales

Use FBI's National Instant Criminal Background Check





Google Correlate Results

- ▶ [stack on] has highest correlation
- [gun shops] is chosen by bsts
- Regression model gives 11% improvement in one-step ahead MAE




Trend






Seasonal

2. add seasonal (mae=0.33654)



Gun Shops

3. add gun.shops (mae=0.15333)




Google Trends predictors

- ▶ 586 Google Trends verticals, deseasonalized and detrended
- ▶ 107 monthly observations


Category	mean	inc.prob
Recreation::Outdoors::Hunting:and:Shooting	1,056,208	0.97
Travel::Adventure:Travel	-84,467	0.09

Table: Google Trends predictors for NICS checks.

State decomposition




Trend





Seasonal






Hunting and Shooting






Searches for [gun shop]




Fun with priors

- Can use prior to improve estimate of trend component
 - Google data starts in 2004, only one recession
 - ► Can estimate parameters of trend model with no regressors
 - Use this as prior for estimate of trend in estimation period
- Can use prior to influence variable choice in regression
 - Influence the expected number of variables in regression (parsimony)
 - Give higher weight to certain verticals (e.g., economics related)
 - Exclude obvious spurious correlation (e.g., pop song titles)

New Homes Sold in the US




Run correlate




BSTS variable selection


With all correlates




Eliminate spurious correlates



State decomposition




Predictors





Trend






Seasonal






Appreciation rate

3. add appreciation.rate (mae=0.2241)




4. add irs.1031 (mae=0.14654)




Century 21 realtors

5. add century.21.realtors (mae=0.077138)




Real estate appraisal






Estate appraisal

7. add estate.appraisal (mae=0.16587)




Real estate purchase

8. add real.estate.purchase (mae=0.13757)



80-20 mortgage

9. add X80.20.mortgage (mae=0.11207)




Causal inference

- ▶ In order to determine the causal impact of an intervention
 - Estimate what would have happened without the intervention ("the counterfactual")
 - Compare counterfactual to actual outcome
- We can use BSTS (and related tools) to build predictive model for counterfactual


Example: impact of ad spend

- ▶ An online advertiser increased ad spending for 6 weeks. What was the impact on visitors to its web site? Two approaches:
 - 1. Extrapolation
 - Use BSTS to determine best predictors using Trends categories.
 - Turned out to be Photo and Video Sharing and Photo and Video Services.
 - Build predictive model of counterfactual using these predictors
 - 2. Dummy variable for campaign period

Extrapolation



Dummy variable




Survey Amplification

- Google Consumer Surveys uses an online survey in place of an ad
- User completes survey to get access to online content
- ▶ Win, Win, Win
 - Survey writer: pays about 10 cents a survey
 - Publisher gets 5 cents per response
 - User gets access to premium content

Example of survey

Bloomberg Businessweek

Businessweek Archives




Assembled in America?


SINGLE ANSWER

I prefer to buy products that are assembled in America

Results for respondents with demographics. Weighted by Age, Region. (694 responses) Confidence too close to call.




Predictors from Trends



Where to advertise

Top cities		Bottom cities	
1. Kershaw, SC	83.2	Calipatria, CA	40.2
2. Summersville, WV	82.8	Fremont, CA	40.2
3. Grundy, VA	82.8	Mountain View, CA	40.8
4. Chesnee, SC	82.7	San Jose, CA	41.4
5. Duffield, VA	82.5	Berkeley, CA	41.4
6. Norton, VA	82.3	Redmond, WA	41.5
7. Jonesville, VA	82.2	Glendale, CA	41.5
8. Walnut Cove, NC	82.2	Cupertino, CA	41.6

Predictors of Obama Vote



Vote by State

Survey Amplification: Obama Support

