# Summary of the things that I learned

Arnoud van der Leer

#### CHAPTER 1

# Lessons about coq and unimath

When writing coq code, make sure you understand why a proof should work, instead of blindly unfolding and applying Lemmas. That improves the overall quality of the proofs.

A proof closed with Qed is opaque, whereas a proof that closes with Defined is transparent (i.e. is remembered can be unfolded). Which one is the right one requires some thought.

Only use destruct in opaque proofs.

Path induction (or induction on proofs of equality) helps a lot when proving something about a transportf.

## 1. Default API for any object

- object\_data: A definition for the data of the object;
- make\_object\_data: A function to make the object data out of its parts;
- (Coercions from object\_data to some of its parts;)
- (Explicit functions to access the constituents;)
- is\_object: A definition for the properties of the object;
- make\_is\_object: A function to make the properties part of the object;
- make\_object: A function to make the object out of its data and property components;
- (A coercion from the object to its data;)
- A lemma that the properties form a proposition.
- object\_eq: A lemma about sufficient (and necessary) conditions for two terms of type object to be equal (usually some conditions on the constituents of object\_data);

# 2. Cleaning up code

It is okay to simplify proofs as much as possible. When one wants to step through a proof, they can add simpls to their own liking.

cbn is stronger than simpl, so it can be good to try and replace cbns with simpls when cleaning up code.

#### 3. Things I was not able to find on my own

isweq\_iso weqdnicoprod

#### CHAPTER 2

# Week 08

## 1. Univalent Categories

A univalent category is a category in which the univalence axiom holds. I.e., a category  $\mathcal{C}$  in which, for all  $A, B \in \mathcal{C}_0$ , the canonical map  $(A =_{\mathcal{C}} B) \to (A \cong B)$  is an equivalence.

#### 2. Categories

An n-category is a category with 0-cells (objects), 1-cells (morphisms), 2-cells (morphisms between morphisms), up to n-cells and various compositions:  $A \to B \to C$ .  $A \xrightarrow{f,g,h} B$ ,  $f \Rightarrow g \Rightarrow h$ .  $A \xrightarrow{f,g} B \xrightarrow{f',g'} C$ ,  $\alpha: f \Rightarrow g$ , and identities  $\alpha': f' \Rightarrow g'$  gives  $\alpha'* \alpha: f' \circ f \Rightarrow g' \circ g$ . These all need to work together 'nicely'. An  $\omega$ -category is the same, but all the way up.

A topological space gives a (weak)  $\omega$ -category. 0-cells are points, 1-cells are paths, 2-cells are homotopies etc. Composition is by glueing. It is a 'groupoid', in the sense that all homotopies of dimension  $\geq 1$  are invertible. However, glueing is not associative, so it is a 'weak'  $\omega$ -category.

A category with only one object  $\star$  is equivalent to a monoid (with elements being the set  $\mathcal{C}(\star,\star)$ ). A 2-category with only one 0-cell is the same thing as a monoidal category (objects: the 1-cells. Morphisms: the 2-cells). A monoidal category with just one object gives 2 monoid structures on its set of morphisms. These are the same, and commutative.

A **monoid** is a set with a multiplication and a unit. A **monad** on a category  $\mathcal{C}$  is a functor  $T: \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ , together with natural transformations  $\mu: T \circ T \to T$  (satisfying associativity) and  $\eta: 1_{\mathcal{A}} \to T$  (acting as a two-sided unit).

A **presheaf** on a category  $\mathcal{A}$  is a functor  $\mathcal{A}^{opp} \to \mathbf{Set}$ .

Given a category  $\mathcal{E}$  and an object  $E \in \mathcal{E}_0$ , the **slice category**  $\mathcal{E}/E$  with objects being the maps  $D \xrightarrow{p} E$  and morphisms being commutative triangles.

A **multicategory**, not necessarily the same as an n-category, is a category in which arrows go from multiple objects to one, instead of from one object to one. I.e. it is a category with a class  $C_0$  of objects, for all n, and all  $a, a_1, \ldots, a_n \in C_0$ , a class  $C(a_1, \ldots, a_n; a)$  of 'morphisms', and a composition

$$C(a_1,\ldots,a_n;a)\times C(a_{1,1},\ldots,a_{1,k_1};a_1)\times\cdots\times C(a_{n,1},\ldots,a_{n,k_n};a_n)\to C(a_{1,1},\ldots,a_{n,k_n};a),$$
  
written  $(\theta,\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_n)\mapsto \theta(\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_n)$  and for each  $a\in C_0$  an identity  $1_a\in C(a;a).$ 

It must satisfy associativity

and identity

 $\theta \circ (\theta_1 \circ (\theta_{1,1}, \dots, \theta_{1,k_1}), \dots, \theta_n \circ (\theta_{n,1}, \dots, \theta_{n,k_n})) = (\theta \circ (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)) \circ (\theta_{1,1}, \dots, \theta_{n,k_n})$ 

$$\theta \circ (1_{a_1}, \dots, 1_{a_n}) = \theta = 1_a \circ \theta.$$

2. WEEK 08

6

A map of multicategories is a function  $f_0: C_0 \to C_0'$  and maps  $C(a_1, \ldots, a_n; a) \to C(f_0(a_1), \ldots, f_0(a_n); f_0(a))$ , preserving composition and identities.

For C a multicategory, a C-algebra is a map from C into the multicategory **Set** (with objects  $\mathbf{Set}_0$  and maps  $\mathbf{Set}(a_1, \ldots, a_n; a) = \mathbf{Set}(a_1 \times \cdots \times a_n; a)$ ). I.e., for each  $a \in C_0$ , a set X(a), and for each map  $\theta : a_1, \ldots, a_n \to a$ , a function  $X(\theta) : X(a_1) \times X(a_n) \to X(a)$ . An example is, for a multicategory C, to take X(a) = C(a) (maps from the empty sequence into a).

Of course, there is a concept of **free multicategory**: Given a set X, and for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $x, x_1, \ldots, x_n \in X$ , a set  $X(x_1, \ldots, x_n; x)$ , we get a multicategory X' with  $X'_0 = X_0$ , and  $X'(x_1, \ldots, x_n; x)$  given by formal compositions of elements of the  $X(y_1, \ldots, y_m; y)$ .

A **bicategory** consists of a class  $\mathcal{B}_0$  of 0-cells, or objects; For each  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}_0$ , a category  $\mathcal{B}(A, B)$  of 1-cells (objects) and 2-cells (morphisms); for each  $A, B, C \in \mathcal{B}_0$ , a functor  $\mathcal{B}(B, C) \times \mathcal{B}(A, B) \to \mathcal{B}(A, C)$  written  $(g, f) \mapsto g \circ f$  on 1-cells and  $(\delta, \gamma) \mapsto \delta * \gamma$  on 2-cells; For each  $A \in \mathcal{B}_0$  an object  $1_A \in \mathcal{B}(A, A)$ ; isomorphisms representing associativity and identity axioms (e.g.  $f \circ 1_A \cong f \in \mathcal{B}(A, B)$ ), natural in their arguments, satisfying pentagon and triangle axioms.

The collection of categories Cat forms a bicategory. In analogy, we define a monad in a bicategory to be an object A, together with a 1-cell  $t:A\to A$  and 2-cells  $\mu:t\circ t\to t$  and  $\eta:1_A\to t$  satisfying a couple of commutativity axioms (those of 1.1.3 in [Lei03]).

#### 3. Operads

**3.1. Definitions.** An **operad** is a multicategory with only one object. More explicitly, an operad has a set P(k) for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , whose elements can be thought of as k-ary operations. It also has, for all  $n, k_1, \ldots, k_n \in \mathbb{N}$ , a *composition* function

$$P(n) \times P(k_1) \times \cdots \times P(k_n) \to P(k_1 + \cdots + k_n)$$

and an element  $1 = 1_P \in P(1)$  called the **identity**, satisfying

$$\theta \circ (1, 1, \dots, 1) = \theta = \theta \circ 1$$

for all  $\theta$ , and

$$\theta \circ (\theta_1 \circ (\theta_{1,1}, \dots, \theta_{1,k_1}), \dots, \theta_n \circ (\theta_{n,1}, \dots, \theta_{n,k_n})) = (\theta \circ (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)) \circ (\theta_{1,1}, \dots, \theta_{n,k_n})$$

for all  $\theta \in P(n)$ ,  $\theta_1 \in P(k_1)$ , ...,  $\theta_n \in P(k_n)$  and all  $\theta_{1,1} \dots \theta_{n,k_n}$ .

A morphism of operads is a family

$$f_n: (P(n) \to Q(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$$

of functions, preserving composition and identities.

A P-algebra for P an operad, is a set X and, for each n, and  $\theta \in P(n)$ , a function  $\overline{\theta}: X^n \to X$ , satisfying the evident axioms (identity is the identity function, the function of a composition is the composition of the functions?).

**3.2. Examples.** For any vector space V, there is an operad with  $P(k) = V^{\otimes k} \to V$ .

The terminal operad 1 has  $P(n) = \{\star_1\}$  for all n. An algebra for 1 is a set X together with a function  $X^n \to X$ , denoted as  $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \mapsto (x_1 \cdot \cdots \cdot x_n)$ , satisfying

$$((x_{1,1} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{1,k_1}) \cdot \cdots \cdot (x_{n,1} \cdot \cdots \cdot x_{n,k_n})) = (x_{1,1} \cdot \cdots \cdot x_{n,k_n})$$

and

$$x = (x)$$
.

The category of 1-algebras is the category of monoids.

There exist various sub-operads of 1. For example, the smallest one has  $P(1) = \{\star\}$  and  $P(n) = \emptyset$  for  $n \neq 1$ .

Or the operad with  $P(0) = \emptyset$  and  $P(n) = \{\star_n\}$  for n > 0, which has semigroups as its algebras (sets with associative binary operations).

The suboperad with  $P(n) = \{\star_n\}$  exactly when  $n \leq 1$  has as its algebras the pointed sets.

The **operad of curves** has  $P(n) = \{\text{smooth maps } \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^n\}.$ 

Given a monad on **Set**, we get a natural operad structure  $T(n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , with T(n) the set of words in n variables and composition given by 'substitution'.

Given a monoid M (a category with one object), there is a operad given by  $P(n) = M^n$  and composition

$$(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n)\circ((\alpha_{1,1},\ldots,\alpha_{1,k_1}),\ldots,(\alpha_{n,1},\ldots,\alpha_{n,k_n})).$$

The Little 2-disks operad D has

 $D(n) = \{\text{set of non-overlapping disks contained within the unit disk}\},$ 

with composition being geometric "substitution". I.e.: scale and move a unit disk and its contained disks to match one of the smaller disks, and replace the smaller disk with the transformed contents of our original unit disk. See also: this image that explains a lot

Given sets X(n) for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the **free operad** X' on these is defined exactly by  $X(n) \subseteq X'(n)$ ,  $1 \in X'(1)$  and for all  $m, n_1, \ldots, n_m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $f \in X(m)$  and  $f_i \in X'(n_i)$ , we have  $f \circ (f_1, \ldots, f_m) \in X'(n_1 + \cdots + n_m)$ .

## 4. T-operads

**4.1. Definitions.** A category is **cartesian** if it has all pullbacks. A functor is cartesian if it preserves pullbacks. A natural transformation  $\alpha: S \to T$  is cartesian if for all  $f: A \to B$ , the naturality diagram

$$SA \xrightarrow{Sf} SB$$

$$\downarrow^{\alpha_A} \qquad \downarrow^{\alpha_B}$$

$$TA \xrightarrow{Tf} TB$$

is a pullback. A monad  $(T, \mu, \eta)$  on a category  $\mathcal{E}$  is cartesian if the category  $\mathcal{E}$ , the functor T and the natural transformations  $\mu$  and  $\eta$  are cartesian.

We can represent (the morphism structure of) an ordinary category using diagrams  $C_0 \stackrel{\text{domain}}{\longleftrightarrow} C_1 \stackrel{\text{codomain}}{\longleftrightarrow} C_0$ ,  $C_1 \times_{C_0} C_1 \stackrel{\text{composition}}{\longleftrightarrow} C_1$  and  $C_0 \stackrel{\text{id}}{\longleftrightarrow} C_1$  together with some axioms. For a multicategory, we need to slightly modify this, using a functor  $T: \mathbf{Set} \to \mathbf{Set}$ ,  $A \mapsto \bigsqcup A^n$ , to  $TC_0 \stackrel{d}{\longleftrightarrow} C_1 \stackrel{c}{\hookrightarrow} C_0$  and  $C_1 \times_{TC_0} TC_1 \stackrel{\circ}{\to} C_1$ . Given a cartesian monad  $(T, \mu, \eta)$  on a category  $\mathcal{E}$ , we can define a bicategory

Given a cartesian monad  $(T, \mu, \eta)$  on a category  $\mathcal{E}$ , we can define a bicategory  $\mathcal{E}_{(T)}$ , with the class of 0-cells being  $\mathcal{E}_0$ , the 1-cells  $E \to E'$  being diagrams  $TE \overset{d}{\leftarrow} M \overset{c}{\to} E'$ , 2-cells  $(M, d, c) \to (N, q, p)$  are maps  $M \to N$  such that the diagram with E, E', M, N commutes. The composite of 1-cells  $TE \overset{d}{\leftarrow} M \overset{c}{\to} E'$  and  $TE \overset{d'}{\longleftrightarrow} M \overset{d'}{\to} E'$ 

2. WEEK 08

 $M' \xrightarrow{c'} E''$  is given by

$$TE \stackrel{\mu_E}{\longleftarrow} T^2E \stackrel{Td}{\longleftarrow} TM \leftarrow TM \times_{TE'} M' \rightarrow M' \stackrel{c'}{\longrightarrow} E''$$

in which the coproduct in the middle is formed using Tc and d. We can define a T-multicategory to be a monad on  $\mathcal{E}_{(T)}$ . Equivalently, we can define it as an object  $C_0 \in \mathcal{E}$ , together with a diagram  $t: TC_0 \stackrel{d}{\leftarrow} C_1 \stackrel{c}{\rightarrow} C_0$  and maps  $C_1 \circ C_1 :=$  $TC_1 \times_{TC_0} C_1 \xrightarrow{\circ} C_1$  and  $C_0 \xrightarrow{id} C_1$  satisfying associativity and identity axioms.

A T-operad is a T-multicategory such that  $C_0$  is the terminal object of  $\mathcal{E}$ . Equivalently, it is an object over T1, (so we have a morphism  $P \to T1$ ), together with maps  $P \times_{T_1} TP \to P$  and  $1 \xrightarrow{id} P$ , both over T1, satisfying associativity and identity axioms.

**4.2.** Examples. For T the identity monad on Set, a T-operad is exactly a monoid (or an operad with only unary functions) (since there is always a unique map to  $\{1\}$ ).

If  $\mathcal{E}$  is **Set**, the terminal object 1 will always be  $\{1\}$ .

For the free monoid monad  $TA = \coprod A^n$ , the T-operads are precisely the operads that we defined before.

For the monad TA = 1 + A, we can view TA as a subset of the free monoid on A, and this gives an operad with 0-ary and 1-ary functions. The 1-ary arrows form a monoid, and the 0-ary arrows are a set, with an action of the monoid.

#### 5. Cartesian Operads

**5.1.** Theory. Using Towards a doctrine of operads.

NLab uses notation: Fin for what we would call a standard skeleton of finite sets (i.e. the category of finite sets  $\{0,\ldots,n-1\}$  and maps between them).  $A^B$ denotes all morphisms/functors  $B \to A$ . I.e., the class of functors  $\mathbf{Fin} \to \mathbf{Set}$  is denoted **Set<sup>Fin</sup>**.

Take 
$$I = \mathbf{Fin}(1, -) : \mathbf{Set}^{\mathbf{Fin}} = \mathbf{Fin} \to \mathbf{Set}$$
.

Take  $I = \mathbf{Fin}(1, -) : \mathbf{Set}^{\mathbf{Fin}} = \mathbf{Fin} \to \mathbf{Set}$ . Let  $[\mathbf{Set}^{\mathbf{Fin}}, \mathbf{Set}^{\mathbf{Fin}}]$  be the category of finite-product-preserving, cocontinuous endofunctors on  $\mathbf{Set}^{\mathbf{Fin}}$ . The map  $Ev_I : [\mathbf{Set}^{\mathbf{Fin}}, \mathbf{Set}^{\mathbf{Fin}}] \to \mathbf{Set}^{\mathbf{Fin}}$ , given by  $F \mapsto F(I)$  is an equivalence. [Set  $^{\mathbf{Fin}}$ , Set  $^{\mathbf{Fin}}$ ] has a monoidal product  $\odot$  given by endofunctor composition, and we can transfer this to  $\mathbf{Set^{Fin}}$ .

Concretely, we have  $F \odot G = \int_{-\infty}^{n \in \mathbf{Fin}} F(n) G^n$ .

5.2. Cartesian operads. A cartesian operad is a monoid in this monoidal category (**Set**<sup>Fin</sup>,  $\odot$ , I). I.e., it is a triple  $(M, \mu, \eta)$ , with  $M \in$ **Set**<sup>Fin</sup>,  $\mu : M \odot M \rightarrow$ M and  $\eta: I \to M$ .

More concretely, this is a functor  $M: \mathbf{Fin} \to \mathbf{Set}$ , together with maps

$$m_{n,k}: M(n) \times M(k)^n \to M(k),$$

natural in k and dinatural in n, and an element  $e \in M(1)$ .

Dinaturality in n means the following. Fix  $k \in \mathbf{Fin}$ . We have the functors  $\mathbf{Fin}^{\mathrm{op}} \times \mathbf{Fin} \to \mathbf{Set}$  given by

$$F:(n,n')\mapsto M(n')\times M(k)^n$$
 and  $G:(n,n')\mapsto M(k)$ .

For all  $n \in \mathbf{Fin}_0$ , we have a morphism

$$\bullet: F(n,n) = M(n) \times M(k)^n \to M(k) = G(n,n).$$

Naturality means that for all  $a: n \to n'$ ,

$$G(n, a) \circ \bullet \circ F(a, n) = F(a, n') \circ \bullet \circ G(n', a).$$

i.e., for all  $f \in M(n), g_1, ..., g_{n'} \in M(k)$ ,

$$f \bullet (g_{a(1)}, \dots, g_{a(n)}) = M(a)(f) \bullet (g_1, \dots, g_{n'}).$$

Now, if we have, in **Fin**, a decomposition  $k = k_1 + \cdots + k_n$ , and we have inclusion maps  $i_j : k_j \hookrightarrow k$ , then we have

$$M(n) \times M(k_1) \times \cdots \times M(k_n) \xrightarrow{1 \times M(i_1) \times \cdots \times M(i_n)} M(n) \times M(k)^n \xrightarrow{m_{n,k}} M(k),$$
 which gives an operad structure.

**5.3. Clones.** In other parts of mathematics, a cartesian operad is called a **clone**. An abstract clone consists of sets M(n) for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , for all  $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$  a function  $\bullet : M(n) \times M(k)^n \to M(k)$  and for each  $1 \le i \le n \in \mathbb{N}$ , an element  $\pi_{i,n} \in M(n)$  such that for  $f \in M(i), g_1, \ldots, g_i \in M(j)$  and  $h_1, \ldots, h_j \in M(k)$ ,

$$f \bullet (g_1 \bullet (h_1, \dots, h_j), \dots, g_i \bullet (h_1 \dots, h_j)) = (f \bullet (g_1, \dots, g_i)) \bullet (h_1, \dots, h_j),$$
  
for  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in M(k),$ 

$$\pi_{i,n} \bullet (f_1,\ldots,f_n) = f_i,$$

and for  $f \in M(n)$ ,

$$f \bullet (\pi_{1,n}, \dots, \pi_{n,n}) = f.$$

(It is claimed that this automatically gives naturality)

Naturality means for all  $a \in \mathbf{Fin}(n, n')$ , for all  $f \in M(n), g_1, \ldots, g_{n'} \in M(k)$ ,

$$f \bullet (g_{a(1)}, \dots, g_{a(n)}) = (f \bullet (\pi_{a(1), n'}, \dots, \pi_{a(n), n'})) \bullet (g_1, \dots, g_{n'}).$$

However, by associativity and since  $\pi_{i,n} \bullet (f_1, \ldots, f_n)$ , we have

$$(f \bullet (\pi_{a(1),n'}, \dots, \pi_{a(n),n'})) \bullet (g_1, \dots, g_{n'})$$

$$= (f \bullet (\pi_{a(1),n'} \bullet (g_1, \dots, g_{n'}), \dots, \pi_{a(n),n'} \bullet (g_1, \dots, g_{n'})))$$

$$= f \bullet (g_{a(1)}, \dots, g_{a(n)}).$$

Naturality in k is as follows. Fix  $n \in \mathbf{Fin}_0$ . We have functors  $F, G : \mathbf{Fin} \to \mathbf{Set}$ , given by

$$F: k \mapsto M(n) \times M(k)^n$$
 and  $G: k \mapsto M(k)$ .

For  $a: k \to k'$ , we must have

$$G(a) \circ \bullet = \bullet \circ F(a).$$

That is, for all  $f \in M(n), g_1, \ldots, g_n \in M(k)$ ,

$$M(a)(f \bullet (g_1, \dots, g_n)) = f \bullet (M(a)(g_1), \dots, M(a)(g_n)).$$

Now, M(a) is given by

$$M(a)(f) = f \bullet (\pi_{a(1),k'}, \dots, \pi_{a(k),k'}).$$

Therefore, we have

$$M(a)(f \bullet (g_1, ..., g_n))$$
=  $(f \bullet (g_1, ..., g_n)) \bullet (\pi_{a(1),k'}, ..., \pi_{a(k),k'})$   
=  $f \bullet (g_1 \bullet (\pi_{a(1),k'}, ..., \pi_{a(k),k'}), ..., g_n \bullet (\pi_{a(1),k'}, ..., \pi_{a(k),k'}))$   
=  $f \bullet (M(a)(g_1), ..., M(a)(g_n)).$ 

10 2. WEEK 08

So the associativity and projection axioms ensure naturality.

# Week 09

## 1. Adjunctions

For three sets X, Y, Z, we have a bijection

$$\mathbf{Set}(X \times Y, Z) \cong \mathbf{Set}(X, Y \to Z)$$

that is natural in X and Z. This is an example of a general notion:

For functors  $F: \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$  and  $G: \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{C}$ , F is a **left adjoint** for G if there is an isomorphism  $\mathcal{C}(FX,Y) \cong \mathcal{D}(X,GY)$  that is dinatural in X and Y.

**1.1. Examples.** A special case is for  $G: \mathcal{C} \to \mathbf{Set}$  the forgetful functor: if we have a left adjoint  $F: \mathbf{Set} \to \mathcal{C}$  to G, we call F(X) the **free**  $\mathcal{C}$  of X (for example: free group, free monoid, free category, etc.). That means that  $\mathcal{C}(F(X),Y) \cong \mathbf{Set}(X,G(Y))$ , or in other words:

Adjunctions can be composed: If F and G both forget part of the structure of an object, then we can construct the free object 'piecewise' using the composition of the left adjoints.

Let  $G: \mathbf{Group} \to \mathbf{Set}$  be the forgetful functor and  $F: \mathbf{Set} \to \mathbf{Group}$  be the free group functor. For any set S, we have an inclusion  $i: S \hookrightarrow FS$ . Now, given any group Y and any morphism of sets from S to Y (formally:  $f \in \mathbf{Set}(S,GY)$ ). Then the fact that  $\mathbf{Set}(FS,Y) \cong \mathbf{Set}(S,GY)$  is expressed in the fact that f factors uniquely as  $g \circ i$ .

$$S \xrightarrow{i} F(S)$$

$$\downarrow f \qquad \qquad \downarrow \exists ! g$$

$$Y$$

In topology, the forgetful functor from topological spaces to sets has a left adjoint that turns a set S into a space F(S) with the discrete topology, since it has the smallest topology on S that still can factor all morphisms  $S \to [0,1]$  for example.

In algebra, the inclusion functor from the category of monoids (sets with an associative operation and a unit) to the category of semigroups (sets with an associative operation) has a left adjoint that sends the semigroup S to the monoid  $S \sqcup \{\star\}$  and gives it operations such that  $\star$  is the unit.

In algebra, the inclusion functor from the category of rings to the category of rings (rings but without an identity) has a left adjoint that sends the ring R to  $R \times \mathbb{Z}$  and defines (r, x)(s, y) = (rs + xs + ry, xy).

For  $\mathbf{Dom_m}$  the category of integral domains with injective morphisms. Then the forgetful functor  $\mathbf{Fields} \to \mathbf{Dom_m}$  has a left adjoint that sends a domain to its field of fractions.

11

12 3. WEEK 09

For  $\rho: R \to S$  a morphism of rings, we can view every S-module as an R-module: we have a functor  $S\mathbf{-Mod} \to R\mathbf{-Mod}$ . This has a left adjoint,  $M \mapsto M \otimes_R S$ .

#### 2. Operads and cartesian operads

- **2.1.** Recap operads and cartesian operads. In this section, we will represent a cartesian operad C by a clone:
  - For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , a set C(n);
  - For all  $1 \le i \le n$  projections  $\pi_{n,i} \in P(n)$ ;
  - For all m, n, a function  $\rho_{m,n} : C(m) \times C(n)^m \to C(n)$ .

such that

• For  $f \in C(l)$ ,  $g_1, \ldots, g_l \in C(m)$  and  $h_1, \ldots, h_m \in C(n)$ ,

$$\rho(f, (\rho(g_1, (h_1, \dots, h_m)), \dots, \rho(g_l, (h_1, \dots, h_m)))) = \rho(\rho(f, (g_1, \dots, g_l)), (h_1, \dots, h_l)).$$

- for  $f_1, ..., f_n \in M(n)$  and  $1 \le i \le n, \rho(\pi_{i,n}, (f_1, ..., f_n)) = f_i$ ;
- For  $f \in M(n)$ ,  $\rho(f, (\pi_{1,n}, \dots, \pi_{n,n})) = f$ .

and a morphism of cartesian operads  $\varphi:C\to C'$  by componentwise functions  $\varphi_n:C(n)\to C'(n)$  such that

- For all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $\varphi_n(\pi_{n,i}) = \pi'_{n,i}$ ;
- For all  $m, n, f \in C(m), g_1, \ldots, g_m \in C(n)$

$$\rho(\varphi(f), (\varphi(q_1), \dots, \varphi(q_m))) = \varphi(\rho(f, (q_1, \dots, q_m))).$$

We will represent an operad P by

- For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , a set P(n);
- An element  $e \in P(1)$ ;
- For all  $m, n_1, \ldots, n_m \in \mathbb{N}$ , a function

$$\gamma_{m,n_1,\ldots,n_m}: C(m)\times C(n_1)\times\cdots\times C(n_m)\to C(n_1+\cdots+n_m)$$

(we will usually just call this  $\gamma$ ).

such that

• For all  $f \in P(n)$ ,

$$\gamma(f, (1, ..., 1)) = f = \gamma(1, f);$$

• For all  $f \in P(l)$ ,  $g_1 \in P(m_1)$ , ...,  $g_l \in P(m_l)$  and all  $h_{1,1} \in P(n_{1,1})$ , ...,  $h_{l,m_l} \in P(n_{l,m_l})$ ,

$$f \circ (g_1 \circ (h_{1,1}, \dots, h_{1,m_1}), \dots, g_l \circ (h_{l,1}, \dots, h_{l,m_l})) = (f \circ (g_1, \dots, g_l)) \circ (h_{1,1}, \dots, h_{l,m_l}).$$

and a morphism of operads  $\psi: P \to P'$  by componentwise functions  $\psi_n: P(n) \to P'(n)$  such that

- $\psi_1(e) = e'$ :
- For all  $f \in P(m), g_1 \in P(n_1), \ldots, g_m \in P(n_m),$

$$\gamma(\psi(f),(\psi(g_1),\ldots,\psi(g_m)))\psi(\gamma(f,(g_1,\ldots,g_m))).$$

**2.2. Free operad?** Now, for all  $1 \le i \le m$ , we have an injection  $j_i : C(n_i) \to C(n_1 + \dots + n_m)$ . Intuitively, it maps terms in a context with  $n_i$  variables to terms in a context with  $n_1 + \dots + n_m$  variables, by mapping variable  $1 \le k \le n_1$  to variable  $n_1 + \dots + n_{i-1} + k$ . This gives a morphism

$$\gamma: C(m) \times C(n_1) \times \cdots \times C(n_m) \xrightarrow{id \times j_1 \times \cdots \times j_m} C(m) \times C(n_1 + \cdots + n_m)^m \xrightarrow{\rho} C(n_1 + \cdots + n_m),$$

which gives an operad structure on the same sets. This gives a functor from cartesian operads to operads.

Then, the question arises whether this functor has a left adjoint.

Still open

### 3. Cartesian multicategory

Yet another way to think of a cartesian operad is as a one-object cartesian multicategory. A **cartesian multicategory** is a multicategory with an  $S_n$ -action on the hom-sets (in other words: we can permute the arguments of the morphsims) and duplication/diagonal/contraction operations

$$\operatorname{Hom}(c_1,\ldots,c_k,c_k,\ldots,c_n;c) \to \operatorname{Hom}(c_1,\ldots,c_k,\ldots,c_n;c)$$

and deletion/projection/weakening operations

$$\operatorname{Hom}(c_1,\ldots,c_k,\ldots,c_n;c) \to \operatorname{Hom}(c_1,\ldots,c_{k-1},c_{k+1},\ldots,c_n;c)$$

## 4. The paper

A summary of the results in the paper:

- (1) A definition of the category of algebraic theories (clones).
- (2) A definition of the category of T-algebras (for T an algebraic theory), the pullback of an algebra, the free algebra, and some properties of these.
- (3) A definition of a presheaf (like an algebra, but with a right action instead of a left one) and some properties of this.
- (4) A sidenote about the more classical approach to algebra.
- (5) A definition for a  $\lambda$ -theory and some properties of its constituents.
- (6) A definition for an interpretation of the  $\lambda$ -calculus in a  $\lambda$ -theory, and some properties.
- (7) The notion that the algebraic theory  $\Lambda$  of all terms of the  $\lambda$ -calculus is the initial  $\lambda$ -theory.
- (8) The notion that we can add constants to a theory to make sure that it 'has enough points'.
- (9) A (new) proof that any  $\lambda$ -theory is isomorphic to the endomorphism  $\lambda$ -theory of some object.
- (10) A section that concludes an equivalence between the presheaf categories of a Lawvere theory, a  $\lambda$ -theory and the category of retracts.
- (11) The definition of  $\Lambda$ -algebra and a couple of its properties. In particular, a functor from  $\lambda$ -theories to  $\Lambda$ -algebras.
- (12) An equivalene between  $\Lambda$ -algebras and their presheaves.
- (13) A characterisation of the function space  $U^U$  for  $U \in PA$  the universal.
- (14) An equivalence of categories between the category of  $\Lambda$ -algebras and  $\lambda$ -theories.
- (15) The Fundamental Theorem of the  $\lambda$ -calculus: there is an adjoint equivalence between  $\lambda$ -theories and  $\Lambda$ -algebras.

14 3. WEEK 09

(16) A remark that this is much harder without the category theoretic framework

#### CHAPTER 4

## Week 11

## 1. A Formalization of Operads in Coq

A paper was uploaded to the arXiv (see [FTBF23]). Unfortunately, this paper did not contain any code, which makes it harder to compare their technique to mine. The paper is about the formalization of a symmetric multicategory (kind of. They do not require  $(\tau\sigma)f = \tau(\sigma f)$  for  $\sigma, \tau \in S_n$  and  $f \in \mathcal{C}(a_1, \ldots, a_n; a)$ )

The operad data presented in this paper is a bit different (but equivalent) from the data that I have seen so far. Notably: the composition is on one element at a time:

$$\mathcal{C}(a_1,\ldots,a_n;a)\times\mathcal{C}(b_1,\ldots,b_m;a_i)\to\mathcal{C}(a_1,\ldots a_{i-1},b_1,\ldots,b_m,a_{i+1},\ldots,a_n;a),$$
  
in which  $a_1,\ldots a_{i-1},b_1,\ldots,b_m,a_{i+1},\ldots,a_n$  is denoted  $\underline{a}\bullet_i\underline{b}.$ 

I expect the axioms to become a bit more complicated this way. For example, now there are two associativity axioms, and even to state them we need a proof that  $(\underline{c} \bullet_i \underline{a}) \bullet_{l-1+j} \underline{b} = (\underline{c} \bullet_j \underline{b}) \bullet_i \underline{a}$ .

Also, their signature of Hom is  $\mathbf{Type} \to \mathbf{List} \ \mathbf{Type} \to \mathbf{Type}$ . The advantage of using  $\mathbf{List} \ \mathbf{Type}$  instead of  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\mathbf{stn} \ n \to \mathbf{Type})$  is that it sounds simpler. The disadvantage is that, for example, to say something about  $c_i$ , one needs a function to fetch the ith element of  $\underline{c}$  and a proof that i is less than the length of  $\underline{c}$ .

To get elements in the right space, they add a lot of typecasting functions. For example, the function  $C_{assoc}: \mathcal{O}((\underline{c} \bullet_i \underline{a}) \bullet_{l-1+j} \underline{b}; d) \to \mathcal{O}((\underline{c} \bullet_j \underline{b}) \bullet_i \underline{a}; d)$  I believe we call such a function a 'transport function'. The paper claims that if A = B, a typecast function will be the identity. The way that it is currently stated, it is not compatible with univalence, I believe.

I feel like the paper lacks a section explaining the choices that were made and evaluating their results.

# Bibliography

[FTBF23] Zachary Flores, Angelo Taranto, Eric Bond, and Yakir Forman. A formalization of operads in coq, 2023.

[Lei03] Tom Leinster. Higher operads, higher categories, 2003.