# Semantics for the $\lambda$ -calculus

# Contents

Chapter 1. Definitions	5
1. Algebraic Theories	5
2. Algebras	5
3. Presheaves	6
4. $\lambda$ -theories	6
5. Alternate definitions	7
Chapter 2. Category Theoretic Preliminaries	9
1. Notation	9
2. Adjunctions	9
3. Kan Extensions	9
4. The Karoubi envelope	11
5. Monoids as categories	13
Chapter 3. Lemmas	17
1. The endomorphism theory	17
2. The theory presheaf	18
3. The '+l' presheaf	18
4. Exponentiability of the theory presheaf	19
Chapter 4. Theorems	21
1. Scott's Representation Theorem	21
2. Locally cartesian closedness of the category of retracts	22
3. The Fundamental Theorem of the $\lambda$ -calculus	22
Bibliography	27

#### CHAPTER 1

# **Definitions**

### 1. Algebraic Theories

DEFINITION 1 (algebraic theory). We define an algebraic theory T to be a sequence of sets  $T_n$  indexed over  $\mathbb N$  with for all  $1 \le i \le n$  elements ("variables" or "projections")  $x_{n,i}:T_n$  (we usually leave n implicit), together with a substitution operation

$$-\bullet$$
  $-: T_m \times T_n^m \to T_n$ 

for all m, n, such that

$$x_{j} \bullet g = g_{j}$$

$$f \bullet (x_{l,i})_{i} = f$$

$$(f \bullet g) \bullet h = f \bullet (g_{i} \bullet h)_{i}$$

for all  $1 \leq j \leq l$ ,  $f: T_l$ ,  $g: T_m^l$  and  $h: T_n^m$ .

DEFINITION 2 (algebraic theory morphism). A morphism F between algebraic theories T and T' is a sequence of functions  $F_n:T_n\to T'_n$  (we usually leave the n implicit) such that

$$F_n(x_j) = x_j$$
  
$$F_n(f \bullet g) = F_m(f) \bullet (F_n(g_i))_i$$

for all  $1 \le j \le n$ ,  $f: T_m$  and  $g: T_n^m$ .

REMARK 1. We can construct binary products of algebraic theories, with sets  $(T \times T')_n = T_n \times T'_n$ , variables  $(x_i, x_i)$  and substitution

$$(f, f') \bullet (g, g') = (f \bullet g, f' \bullet g').$$

In the same way, the category of algebraic theories has all limits.

#### 2. Algebras

DEFINITION 3 (algebra). An algebra A for an algebraic theory T is a set A, together with an action

$$\bullet: T_n \times A^n \to A$$

for all n, such that

$$x_j \bullet a = a_j$$
$$(f \bullet g) \bullet a = f \bullet (g_i \bullet a)_i$$

for all  $j, f: T_m, g: T_n^m$  and  $a: A^n$ .

DEFINITION 4 (algebra morphism). For an algebraic theory T, a morphism F between T-algebras A and A' is a function  $F:A\to A$  such that

$$F(f \bullet a) = f \bullet (F(a_i))_i$$

for all  $f: T_n$  and  $a: A^n$ .

Remark 2. The category of algebras has all limits. The set of a limit of algebras is the limit of the underlying sets.

REMARK 3. Note that for an algebraic theory T, the  $T_n$  are all algebras for T, with the action given by  $\bullet$ .

#### 3. Presheaves

DEFINITION 5 (presheaf). A presheaf P for an algebraic theory T is a sequence of sets  $P_n$  indexed over  $\mathbb{N}$ , together with an action

$$\bullet: P_m \times T_n^m \to P_n$$

for all m, n, such that

$$t \bullet (x_{l,i})_i = t$$
$$(t \bullet f) \bullet g = t \bullet (f_i \bullet g)_i$$

for all  $t: P_l, f: T_m^l$  and  $g: T_n^m$ .

DEFINITION 6 (presheaf morphism). For an algebraic theory T, a morphism F between T-presheaves P and P' is a sequence of functions  $F_n: P_n \to P'_n$  such that

$$F_n(t \bullet f) = F_m(t) \bullet f$$

for all  $t: P_m$  and  $f: T_n^m$ .

We will write PT for the category of T-presheaves and their morphisms.

REMARK 4. The category of presheaves has all limits. The *n*th set  $\overline{P}_n$  of a limit  $\overline{P}$  of presheaves  $P_i$  is the limit of the *n*th sets  $P_{i,n}$  of the presheaves in the limit diagram.

# 4. $\lambda$ -theories

DEFINITION 7 ( $\lambda$ -theory). A  $\lambda$ -theory is an algebraic theory L, together with sequences of functions  $\lambda_n: L_{n+1} \to L_n$  and  $\rho_n: L_n \to L_{n+1}$ , such that

$$\lambda_m(f) \bullet h = \lambda_n(f \bullet (h_1, \dots, h_m, x_{n+1}))$$
  
$$\rho_n(g \bullet h) = \rho_m(g) \bullet (h_1, \dots, h_m, x_{n+1})$$

for all  $f: L_{m+1}, g: L_m$  and  $h: L_n^m$ .

DEFINITION 8 ( $\beta$ - and  $\eta$ -equality). We say that a  $\lambda$ -theory L satisfies  $\beta$ -equality (or that it is a  $\lambda$ -theory with  $\beta$ ) if  $\rho_n \circ \lambda_n = \mathrm{id}_{L_n}$  for all n. We say that is satisfies  $\eta$ -equality if  $\lambda_n \circ \rho_n = \mathrm{id}_{L_{n+1}}$  for all n.

DEFINITION 9 ( $\lambda$ -theory morphism). A morphism F between  $\lambda$ -theories L and L' is an algebraic theory morphism F such that

$$F_n(\lambda_n(f)) = \lambda_n(F_{n+1}(f))$$
  
$$\rho_n(F_n(g)) = F_{n+1}(\rho_n(g))$$

for all  $f: L_{n+1}$  and  $g: L_n$ .

Remark 5. The category of lambda theories has all limits, with the underlying algebraic theory of a limit being the limit of the underlying algebraic theories.

A  $\lambda$ -theory algebra or presheaf is a presheaf for the underlying algebraic theory.

# 5. Alternate definitions

DEFINITION 10. Lawvere theory: (TODO)

DEFINITION 11. Relative monad: (TODO)

DEFINITION 12. Abstract clone: (TODO)

DEFINITION 13. Cartesian Operad: (TODO)

(https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/lambda+theory)

#### CHAPTER 2

# Category Theoretic Preliminaries

I will assume a familiarity with the category-theoretical concepts presented in [AW23]. These include categories, functors, isomorphisms, natural transformations, adjunctions, equivalences and limits.

#### 1. Notation

For an object c in a category C, I will write c:C.

For a morphism f between objects c and c' in a category C, I will write f: C(c,c') or  $f:c\to c'$ .

For composition of morphisms f: C(c,d) and g: C(d,e), I will write  $f \cdot g$ . For composition of functors  $F: A \to B$  and  $G: B \to C$ , I will write  $F \bullet G$ .

## 2. Adjunctions

An adjoint equivalence of categories has multiple definitions. The one we will use here is the following:

Definition 14. An adjoint equivalence between categories  ${\cal C}$  and  ${\cal D}$  is a pair of adjoint functors

$$D \overset{L}{\underbrace{\bigsqcup_{R}}} C$$

such that the unit  $\eta: \mathrm{id}_C \Rightarrow L \bullet R$  and counit  $\epsilon: R \bullet L \Rightarrow \mathrm{id}_D$  are isomorphisms of functors.

# 3. Kan Extensions

One of the most general and abstract concepts in category theory is the concept of *Kan extensions*. In [ML98], Section X.7, MacLane notes that

The notion of Kan extensions subsumes all the other fundamental concepts of category theory.

In this thesis, we will use left Kan extension a handful of times. It comes in handy when we want to extend a functor along another functor in the following way:

Let A, B and C be categories and let  $F: A \to B$  be a functor.

DEFINITION 15. Precomposition gives a functor between functor categories  $F_*:[B,C]\to [A,C]$ . If  $F_*$  has a left adjoint, we will denote call this adjoint functor the *left Kan extension* along F and denote it  $\operatorname{Lan}_F:[A,C]\to [B,C]$ .



Analogously, when  $F_*$  has a right adjoint, one calls this the *right Kan extension* along F and denote it  $\operatorname{Ran}_F: [A,C] \to [B,C]$ .

If a category has limits (resp. colimits), we can construct the right (resp. left) Kan extension in a 'pointwise' fashion (see Theorem X.3.1 in [ML98] or Theorem 2.3.3 in [KS06]). Below, I will outline the parts of the construction that we will need explicitly in this thesis.

Lemma 1. If C has colimits,  $Lan_F$  exists.

PROOF. First of all, for objects b:B, we take

$$\operatorname{Lan}_F G(b) := \operatorname{colim}\left( (F \downarrow b) \to A \xrightarrow{G} C \right).$$

Here,  $(F \downarrow b)$  denotes the comma category with as objects the morphisms B(F(a), b) for all a : A, and as morphisms from f : B(F(a), b) to f' : B(F(a'), b) the morphisms g : A(a, a') that make the diagram commute:

$$F(a) \xrightarrow{F(g)} F(a')$$

$$f' \xrightarrow{b} f'$$

and  $(F \downarrow b) \to A$  denotes the projection functor that sends  $f: B(F(a_1), b)$  to  $a_1$ .

Now, a morphism h: B(b,b') gives a morphism of diagrams, sending the F(a) corresponding to f: B(G(a),b) to the F(a) corresponding to  $f \cdot h: B(G(a),b')$ . From this, we get a morphism  $\operatorname{Lan}_F G(h): C(\operatorname{Lan}_F G(b), \operatorname{Lan}_F G(b'))$ .

The unit of the adjunction is a natural transformation  $\eta: \mathrm{id}_{[A,C]} \Rightarrow \mathrm{Lan}_F \bullet F_*$ . We will define this pointwise, for G: [A,C] and a:A. Our diagram contains the G(a) corresponding to  $\mathrm{id}_{F(a)}: (F \downarrow F(a))$  and the colimit cocone gives a morphism

$$\eta_G(a): C(G(a), \operatorname{Lan}_F G(F(a))),$$

the latter being equal to  $(\operatorname{Lan}_F \bullet F_*)(G)(a)$ .

The counit of the adjunction is a natural transformation  $\epsilon: F_* \bullet \operatorname{Lan}_F \Rightarrow \operatorname{id}_{[B,C]}$ . We will also define this pointwise, for G: [B,C] and b: B. The diagram for  $\operatorname{Lan}_F(F_*G)(b)$  consists of G(F(a)) for all f: B(F(a),b). Then, by the universal property of the colimit, the morphisms G(f): C(G(F(a)), G(b)) induce a morphism

$$\epsilon_G(b): C(\operatorname{Lan}_F(F_*G)(b), G(b)).$$

Lemma 2. If  $F: A \to B$  is a fully faithful functor, and C is a category with colimits,  $\eta$  is a natural isomorphism.

PROOF. To show that  $\eta$  is a natural isomorphism, we have to show that  $\eta_G(a')$ :  $G(a') \Rightarrow \operatorname{Lan}_F G(F(a'))$  is an isomorphism for all G: [A, C] and a': A. Since a left adjoint is unique up to natural isomorphism, we can assume that  $\operatorname{Lan}_F G(F(a'))$  is given by

$$\operatorname{colim}((F \downarrow F(a')) \to A \xrightarrow{G} C).$$

Now, the diagram for this colimit consists of G(a) for each arrow f: B(F(a), F(a')). Since F is fully faithful, we have  $f = F(\overline{f})$  for some  $\overline{f}: A(a, a')$ . If we now take the arrows  $G(\overline{f}): C(G(a), G(a'))$ , the universal property of the colimit gives an arrow

$$\varphi: C(\operatorname{Lan}_F G(F(a')), G(a'))$$

which constitutes an inverse to  $\eta_G(a')$ .

Remark 6. In the same way, if C has limits,  $\epsilon$  is a natural isomorphism.

COROLLARY 1. If C has limits or colimits, precomposition of functors [B, C] along a fully faithful functor is (split) essentially surjective.

PROOF. For each G:[A,C] we take  $\operatorname{Lan}_F G:[B,C]$ , and we have  $F_*(\operatorname{Lan}_F G)\cong G$ .

COROLLARY 2. If C has colimits (resp. limits), left (resp. right) Kan extension of functors [A, C] along a fully faithful functor is fully faithful.

PROOF. Since left Kan extension along F is the left adjoint to precomposition, we have

$$[A, C](\operatorname{Lan}_F G, \operatorname{Lan}_F G') \cong [B, C](G, F_*(\operatorname{Lan}_F G')) \cong [B, C](G, G').$$

## 4. The Karoubi envelope

Let C be a category. If we have a retraction-section pair  $c \stackrel{r}{\rightleftharpoons} d$  we have (by definition)  $s \cdot r = \mathrm{id}_d$ . On the other hand,  $s \cdot r : c \to c$  is an idempotent morphism. Conversely, we can wonder whether for any idempotent morphism  $a : c \to c$ , we can find a retraction-section pair  $r : c \to d$  and  $s : d \to c$  such that  $a = r \cdot s$ . If this is the case, we say that the idempotent a splits. If a does not split, we can wonder whether we can find an embedding  $\iota_C : C \hookrightarrow \overline{C}$  such that the idempotent  $\iota_C(a) : \iota_C(c) \to \iota_C(c)$  does split.

DEFINITION 16. We define the category  $\overline{C}$ . The objects of  $\overline{C}$  are tuples (c,a) with c:C, a:C(c,c) such that  $a\cdot a=a$ . The morphisms between (c,a) and (d,b) are morphisms f:C(a,b) such that  $a\cdot f\cdot b=f$ . The identity morphism on (c,a) is given by a and  $\overline{C}$  inherits morphism composition from C.

This category is called the  $Karoubi\ Envelope$ , the  $idempotent\ completion$ , the  $category\ of\ retracts$ , or the  $Cauchy\ completion$  of C.

REMARK 7. Note that for a morphism  $f : \overline{C}((c, a), (d, b))$ ,

$$a \cdot f = a \cdot a \cdot f \cdot b = a \cdot f \cdot b = f$$

and in the same way,  $f \cdot b = f$ .

DEFINITION 17. We have an embedding  $\iota_C:C\to \overline{C}$ , sending c:C to  $(c,\mathrm{id}_c)$  and f:C(c,d) to f.

LEMMA 3. Every object  $c: \overline{C}$  is a retract of  $\iota_C(c_0)$  for some  $c_0: C$ .

PROOF. Note that  $c=(c_0,a)$  for some  $c_0:C$  and an idempotent  $a:c\to c$ . We have morphisms  $\iota_C(c) \xleftarrow{a_{\to}} (c,a)$ , both given by a. We have  $a_{\leftarrow} \cdot a_{\to} = a = \mathrm{id}_{(c,a)}$ , so (c,a) is a retract of  $\iota_C(c)$ .

Lemma 4. Every idempotent splits in  $\overline{C}$ .

PROOF. Take an idempotent  $e : \overline{C}(c, c)$ . Note that c is given by an object  $c_0 : C$  and an idempotent  $a : C(c_0, c_0)$ . Also, e is given by some idempotent  $e : C(c_0, c_0)$  with  $a \cdot e \cdot a = e$ .

Now, we have  $(c_0,e):\overline{C}$  and morphisms  $(c_0,a) \xrightarrow[e_{\leftarrow}]{e_{\rightarrow}} (c_0,e)$ , both given by e. We have  $e_{\leftarrow} \cdot e_{\rightarrow} = e = \mathrm{id}_{(c_0,e)}$ , so  $(c_0,e)$  is a retract of  $(c_0,a)$ . Also,  $e = e_{\rightarrow} \cdot e_{\leftarrow}$ , so e is split.

REMARK 8. Note that the embedding is fully faithful, since

$$\overline{C}((c, \mathrm{id}_c), (d, \mathrm{id}_d)) = \{ f : C(c, d) \mid \mathrm{id}_c \cdot f \cdot \mathrm{id}_d = f \} = C(c, d).$$

Remark 9. Let D be a category. Suppose that we have a retraction-section pair in D, given by  $d \stackrel{r}{\underset{s}{\longleftarrow}} d'$ . Now, suppose that we have an object c:D and a morphism f with  $(r\cdot s)\cdot f=f$ . Then we get a morphism  $s\cdot f:d'\to c$  such that f factors as  $r\cdot (s\cdot f)$ . Also, for any g with  $r\cdot g=f$ , we have

$$g = s \cdot r \cdot g = s \cdot f.$$

$$d \xrightarrow{r} d' \xrightarrow{s} d$$

$$\downarrow s \cdot f \qquad f$$

Therefore, d' is the equalizer of  $d \xrightarrow[r \cdot s]{\operatorname{id}_d} d$ . In the same way, it is also the coequalizer of this diagram.

Now, note that if we have a coequalizer c' of  $\mathrm{id}_c$  and a, and an equalizer d' of  $\mathrm{id}_d$  and b, the universal properties of these give an equivalence

$$D(c',d') \cong \{f : D(c,d') \mid a \cdot f = f\} \cong \{f : D(c,d) \mid a \cdot f = f = f \cdot b\}.$$

$$c \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_c} c \longrightarrow c'$$

$$d \xleftarrow{\mathrm{id}_d} d \longleftarrow d'$$

Since a functor preserves retracts, and since every object of  $\overline{C}$  is a retract of an object in C, one can lift a functor from C (to a category with (co)equalizers) to a functor on  $\overline{C}$ .

For convenience, the lemma below works with pointwise left Kan extension using colimits, but one could also prove this using just (co)equalizers (or right Kan extension using limits).

LEMMA 5. Let D be a category with colimits. We have an adjoint equivalence between [C, D] and  $[\overline{C}, D]$ .

PROOF. We already have an adjunction  $\operatorname{Lan}_{\iota_C} \dashv \iota_{C*}$ . Also, since  $\iota_C$  is fully faithful, we know that  $\eta$  is a natural isomorphism. Therefore, we only have to show that  $\epsilon$  is a natural isomorphism. That is, we need to show that  $\epsilon_G(c,a):D(\operatorname{Lan}_{\iota_C}(\iota_{C*}G)(c,a),G(c,a))$  is an isomorphism for all  $G:[\overline{C},D]$  and  $(c,a):\overline{C}$ .

One of the components in the diagram of  $\operatorname{Lan}_{\iota_C}(\iota_{C*}G)(c,a)$  is the  $\iota_{C*}G(c)=G(c,\operatorname{id}_c)$  corresponding to  $a:\iota_C(c)\to(c,a)$ . This component has a morphism into our colimit

$$\varphi: C(G(\iota_C(c)), \operatorname{Lan}_{\iota_C}(\iota_{C*}G)(c, a)).$$

Note that we can view a as a morphism  $a:\overline{C}((c,a),\iota_C(c))$ . This gives us our inverse morphism

$$G(a) \cdot \varphi : C(G(c, a), \operatorname{Lan}_{\iota_C}(\iota_{C*}G)(c, a)).$$

Lemma 6. The formation of the opposite category commutes with the formation of the Karoubi envelope.

PROOF. An object in  $\overline{C^{\text{op}}}$  is an object  $c: C^{\text{op}}$  (which is just an object c: C), together with an idempotent morphism  $a: C^{\text{op}}(c,c) = C(c,c)$ . This is the same as an object in  $\overline{C}^{\text{op}}$ .

A morphism in  $\overline{C^{\mathrm{op}}}((c,a),(d,b))$  is a morphism  $f:C^{\mathrm{op}}(c,d)=C(d,c)$  such that

$$b \cdot_C f \cdot_C a = a \cdot_{C^{op}} f \cdot_{C^{op}} b = f.$$

A morphism in  $\overline{C}^{\text{op}}((c,a),(d,b)) = \overline{C}((d,b),(c,a))$  is a morphism f:C(d,c) such that  $b\cdot f\cdot a=f$ .

Now, in both categories, the identity morphism on (c, a) is given by a.

Lastly,  $\overline{C^{\mathrm{op}}}$  inherits morphism composition from  $C^{\mathrm{op}}$ , which is the opposite of composition in C. On the other hand, composition in  $\overline{C}^{\mathrm{op}}$  is the opposite of composition in  $\overline{C}$ , which inherits composition from C.

COROLLARY 3. As the category **SET** is cocomplete, we have an equivalence between the category of presheaves on C and the category of presheaves on  $\overline{C}$ .

# 5. Monoids as categories

Take a monoid M.

DEFINITION 18. We can construct a category  $C_M$  with  $C_{M0} = \{\star\}$ ,  $C_M(\star, \star) = M$ . The identity morphism on  $\star$  is the identity 1 : M. The composition is given by multiplication  $g \cdot_{C_M} f = f \cdot_M g$ .

Remark 10. An isomorphism of monoids gives an (adjoint) equivalence of categories.

DEFINITION 19. A right monoid action of M on a set X is a function  $X \times M \to X$  such that for all x: X, m, m': M,

$$x1 = x$$
 and  $(xm)m' = x(m \cdot m')$ .

DEFINITION 20. A morphism between sets X and Y with a right M- action is an M-equivariant function  $f: X \to Y$ : a function such that f(xm) = f(x)m for all x: X and m: M.

Lemma 7. Presheaves on  $C_M$  are equivalent to sets with a right M-action.

PROOF. This correspondence sends a presheaf F to the set  $F(\star)$ , and conversely, the set X to the presheaf F given by  $F(\star) := X$ . The M-action corresponds to the presheaf acting on morphisms as xm = F(m)(x). A morphism (natural transformation) between presheaves  $F \Rightarrow G$  corresponds to a function  $F(\star) \to G(\star)$  that is M-equivariant, which is exactly a monoid action morphism.

Definition 21. We can view M as a set  $U_M$  with right M-action  $mn = m \cdot_M n$  for  $m: U_M$  and n: M.

REMARK 11. Since the category of sets with an M-action is equivalent to a presheaf category, it has all limits. However, we can make this concrete. The set of the product  $\prod_i X_i$  is the product of the underlying sets. The action is given pointwise by  $(x_i)_i m = (x_i m)_i$ .

DEFINITION 22. Given an object c in a category C with terminal object t. The global elements of c are the morphisms C(t,c).

Note that the initial set with M-action is  $\{\star\}$ , with action  $\star m = \star$ .

LEMMA 8. The global elements of a set with right M-action correspond to the elements that are invariant under the M-action.

PROOF. A global element of X is a morphism  $\varphi : \{\star\} \to X$  such that for all m : M,  $\varphi(\star)m = \varphi(\star m) = \varphi(\star)$ . Therefore, it is given precisely by the element  $\varphi(\star) : X$ , which must be invariant under the M-action.

Lemma 9. The category C of sets with an M-action has exponentials.

PROOF. Given sets with M-action X and Y. Consider the set  $C(M \times X, Y)$  with an M-action given by  $\phi m'(m, x) = \phi(m'm, x)$ . This is the exponential object  $X^Y$ , with the evaluation morphism  $X \times X^Y \to Y$  given by  $(x, \phi) \mapsto \phi(1, x)$ .

**5.1. Extension and restriction of scalars.** Let  $\varphi: M \to M'$  be a morphism of monoids.

LEMMA 10. We get a restriction of scalars functor  $\varphi_*$  from sets with a right M'-action to sets with a right M-action.

PROOF. Given a set X with right M'-action, take the set X again, and give it a right M-action, sending (x, m) to  $x\varphi(m)$ .

On morphisms, send an M'-equivariant morphism  $f: X \to X'$  to the M-equivariant morphism  $f: X \to X'$ .

Since **SET** has colimits, and restriction of scalars corresponds to precomposition of presheaves (on  $C_{M'}$ ), we can give it a left adjoint. This is the (pointwise) left Kan extension, which boils down to:

LEMMA 11. We get an extension of scalars functor  $\varphi^*$  from sets with a right M-action to sets with a right M'-action.

PROOF. Given a set X with right M-action. Take  $Y = X \times M' / \sim$  with the relation  $(xm, m') \sim (x, f(m) \cdot m')$  for m : M. This has a right M'-action given by (x, m')n' = (x, m'n').

On morphisms, it sends  $f: X \to X'$  to the morphism  $(x, m') \mapsto (f(x), m')$ .  $\square$ 

LEMMA 12. For  $U_M$  the set M with right M-action, we have  $\varphi^*(U_M) \cong U_{M'}$ .

PROOF. The proof relies on the fact that for all  $m: U_M$  and m': M', we have

$$(m, m') \sim (1, \varphi(m)m').$$

Consider the category D with  $D_0 = M'$  and

$$D(m', \overline{m}') = \{m : M \mid \varphi(m) \cdot m' = \overline{m}'\}.$$

If a category has an object t, such that there is a morphism to it from every other object in the category, t is said to be weakly terminal.

LEMMA 13. Suppose that D has a weakly terminal element. Then for  $I_M$  the terminal set with right M-action, we have  $\varphi^*(I_M) \cong I_{M'}$ .

PROOF. If D has a weakly terminal object, there exists  $\overline{m}': M'$  such that for all m': M', there exists m: M such that  $\varphi(m) \cdot m' = \overline{m}'$ .

The proof relies on the fact that every element of  $\varphi^*(I_M)$  is given by some  $(\star, m')$ , but then

$$(\star, m') = (\star \cdot m, m') \sim (\star, \varphi(m) \cdot m') = (\star, \overline{m'}),$$

so  $\varphi^*(I_M)$  has exactly 1 element.

REMARK 12. For  $\varphi^*$  to preserve terminal objects, we actually only need D to be connected. The fact that  $\varphi^*(I_M)$  is a quotient by a symmetric and transitive relation then allows us to 'walk' from any  $(\star, m_1')$  to any other  $(\star, m_2')$  in small steps.

For any  $m'_1, m'_2 : M'$ , consider the category  $D_{m'_1, m'_2}$ , given by

$$D_{m',m',0} = \{ (m', m_1, m_2) : M' \times M \times M \mid m'_i = \varphi(m_i) \cdot m' \}$$

and

$$D_{m_1',m_2'}((m',m_1,m_2),(\overline{m}',\overline{m}_1,\overline{m}_2)) = \{m: M \mid \varphi(m) \cdot m' = \overline{m}', m_i = \overline{m}_i \cdot m\}.$$

LEMMA 14. Suppose that  $D_{m'_1,m'_2}$  has a weakly terminal object for all  $m'_1,m'_2$ : M'. Then for sets A and B with right M-action, we have  $\varphi^*(A \times B) \cong \varphi^*(A) \times \varphi^*(B)$ .

PROOF. Now, any element in  $\varphi^*(A) \times \varphi^*(B) = (A \times M' / \sim) \times (B \times M' / \sim)$  is given by some  $(a, m'_1, b, m'_2)$ .

The fact that  $D_{m'_1,m'_2}$  has a weakly terminal object means that we have some  $\overline{m}':M'$  and  $\overline{m}_1,\overline{m}_2:M$  with  $m'_i=\varphi(\overline{m}_i)\cdot\overline{m}'$ , such that for all m':M' and  $m_1,m_2:M$  with  $m'_i=\varphi(m_i)\cdot m'$ , there exists m:M such that  $\varphi(m)\cdot m'=\overline{m}'$  and  $m_i=\overline{m}_i\cdot m$ .

Therefore,

$$(a, m_1', b, m_2') = (a, \varphi(\overline{m}_1) \cdot \overline{m}', b, \varphi(\overline{m}_2) \cdot \overline{m}') \sim (a\overline{m}_1, \overline{m}', b\overline{m}_2, \overline{m}'),$$

so this is equivalent to some element in  $\varphi^*(A \times B) = (A \times B \times M' / \sim)$ .

The second part of weak terminality means that this equivalence is actually well-defined: equivalent elements in  $\varphi^*(A) \times \varphi^*(B)$  are sent to equivalent elements in  $\varphi^*(A \times B)$ .

#### CHAPTER 3

# Lemmas

#### 1. The endomorphism theory

DEFINITION 23 (Endomorphism theory). Suppose that we have a category C and an object X:C, such that all powers  $X^n$  of X are also in C. The endomorphism theory E(X) of X is the algebraic theory given by  $E(X)_n = C(X^n, X)$  with projections as variables  $x_{n,i}:X^n\to X$  and a substitution that sends  $f:X^m\to X$  and  $g_1,\ldots,g_m:X^n\to X$  to  $f\circ\langle g_i\rangle_i:X^n\to X^m\to X$ .

Lemma 15. E(X) is indeed an algebraic theory.

PROOF. For  $1 \leq j \leq l$ ,  $f: E(X)_l$ ,  $g: E(X)_m^l$  and  $h: E(X)_n^m$ , we have

$$x_j \bullet g = x_j \circ \langle g_i \rangle_i = g_j,$$
  
$$f \bullet (x_{l,i})_i = f \circ \langle x_{l,i} \rangle_i = f \circ \mathrm{id}_{X^l} = f$$

and

$$(f \bullet g) \bullet h = f \circ \langle g_i \rangle_i \circ \langle h_i \rangle_i = f \circ \langle g_i \circ \langle h_{i'} \rangle_{i'} \rangle_i = f \bullet (g_i \bullet h)_i.$$

DEFINITION 24 (Endomorphism  $\lambda$ -theory). Now, suppose that the exponential object  $X^X$  exists, and that we have morphisms back and forth  $abs: X^X \to X$  and  $app: X \to X^X$ . Let, for Y: C,  $\varphi_Y$  be the isomorphism  $C(X \times Y, X) \xrightarrow{\sim} C(Y, X^X)$ . We can give E(X) a  $\lambda$ -theory structure by setting, for  $f: E(X)_{n+1}$  and  $g: E(X)_n$ ,

$$\lambda(f) = abs \circ \varphi_{X^n}(f)$$
  $\rho(g) = \varphi_{X^n}^{-1}(app \circ g).$ 

LEMMA 16. E(X) is indeed a  $\lambda$ -theory.

PROOF. Note that  $\varphi: C(-\times X, X) \xrightarrow{\sim} C(-, X^X)$  is a natural isomorphism, so for  $g: E(X)_n^m$ , the following diagram commutes

$$C(X^{m} \times X, X) \xrightarrow{-\circ(\langle g_{i}\rangle_{i} \times \operatorname{id}_{X})} C(X^{n} \times X, X^{X})$$

$$\varphi_{X^{m}}^{-1} \nearrow \varphi_{X^{m}} \qquad \varphi_{X^{n}}^{-1} \nearrow \varphi_{X^{n}}$$

$$C(X^{m}, X^{X}) \xrightarrow{-\circ\langle g_{i}\rangle_{i}} C(X^{n}, X^{X})$$

and note that  $\langle g_i \rangle_i \times \mathrm{id}_X = \langle g_1, \dots, g_m, x_{n+1} \rangle$ . Then we have, for all  $f : E(X)_m$ 

$$\lambda_m(f) \bullet g = abs \circ \varphi_{X^m}(f) \circ \langle g_i \rangle_i$$

$$= abs \circ \varphi_{X^n}(f \circ \langle g_1, \dots, g_m, x_{n+1} \rangle)$$

$$= \lambda_n(f \bullet (g_1, \dots, g_m, x_{n+1}))$$

and

$$\rho_n(f \bullet g) = \varphi_{X^n}^{-1}(app \circ f \circ \langle g_i \rangle_i)$$

$$= \varphi_{X^m}^{-1}(app \circ f) \circ \langle g_1, \dots, g_m, x_{n+1} \rangle$$

$$= \rho_m(f) \bullet (g_1, \dots, g_m, x_{n+1}).$$

18 3. LEMMAS

### 2. The theory presheaf

Definition 25 (The theory presheaf). Let T be an algebraic theory. We can turn T into an T-presheaf T by setting  $T_n = T_n$  and using the substitution from T:

$$\bullet: \tilde{T}_m \times T_n^m \to \tilde{T}_n.$$

Lemma 17.  $\tilde{T}$  is indeed a presheaf.

PROOF. For all  $t : \tilde{T}_l$ ,  $f : T_m^l$  and  $g : T_n^m$ ,

$$t \bullet (x_{l,i})_i = t$$

and

$$(t \bullet f) \bullet g = t \bullet (f_i \bullet g)_i$$

because T is an algebraic theory.

LEMMA 18. Given an algebraic theory T and a T-presheaf Q, we have for all n a bijection of sets

$$\varphi: PT(\tilde{T}^n, Q) \cong Q_n.$$

PROOF. Take  $\varphi(f)=f_n(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$ . Conversely, take  $\varphi^{-1}(q)$  to be the presheaf morphism that sends  $t:T_m^n$  to  $q \bullet t : Q_m$ . This is indeed a presheaf morphism, since for all  $t : T_l^n$  and  $f : T_m^l$ ,

$$\varphi^{-1}(q)(t \bullet f) = q \bullet t \bullet f = \varphi^{-1}(q)(t) \bullet f.$$

Now, for a presheaf morphism  $f: T^n \to Q$  and  $t: T_m^n$ , we have

$$\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(f))(t) = f_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) \bullet t = f_n((x_1, \dots, x_n) \bullet t) = f_n(t_1, \dots, t_n) = f_n(t).$$

Conversely, given  $q:Q_n$ , we have

$$\varphi(\varphi^{-1}(q)) = q \bullet (x_1, \dots, x_n) = q.$$

which concludes the proof.

# 3. The '+l' presheaf

Let  $\iota_{m,n}: T_m \to T_{m+n}$  denote the function that sends f to  $f \bullet (x_{m+n,1}, \ldots, x_{m+n,m})$ . Note that

$$\iota_{m,n}(f) \bullet g = f \bullet (g_i)_{i < m}$$

and

$$\iota_{m,n}(f \bullet g) = f \bullet g \bullet (x_i)_i = f \bullet (g_i \bullet (x_i)_i)_i = f \bullet (\iota_{m,n}(g_i))_i.$$

For tuples  $x: X^m$  and  $y: X^n$ , let x+y denote the tuple  $(x_1, \ldots, x_m, y_1, \ldots, y_n)$ :  $X^{m+n}$ .

Definition 26 (The '+1' presheaf). Given a T-presheaf Q, we can construct a presheaf A(Q,l), given by  $A(Q,l)_n = Q_{n+l}$ . Then, for  $q: A(Q,l)_m$  and  $f: T_n^m$ , the substitution is given by

$$q \bullet_{A(Q,l)} f = q \bullet_Q ((\iota_{n,l}(f_i))_i + (x_{n+i})_i)$$

Lemma 19. The +l presheaf is a presheaf

PROOF. We have, for  $q: A(Q, l)_n$ ,

$$q \bullet_{A(Q,l)} (x_i)_i = q \bullet_Q ((\iota_{n,l}(x_i))_i + (x_{n+i})_i)$$
$$= q \bullet_Q ((x_i)_i + (x_{n+i})_i)$$
$$= q \bullet_Q (x_i)_i$$
$$= q.$$

We have, for  $q: A(Q,k)_l$ ,  $f: T_m^l$  and  $g: T_n^m$ ,

$$q \bullet_{A(Q,k)} f \bullet_{A(Q,k)} g = q \bullet_{Q} ((\iota_{m,l}(f_{i}))_{i} + (x_{m+i})_{i}) \bullet_{Q} ((\iota_{n,l}(g_{i}))_{i} + (x_{n+i})_{i})$$

$$= q \bullet_{Q} (((\iota_{m,l}(f_{i}) \bullet_{T} ((\iota_{n,l}(g_{j}))_{j} + (x_{n+j})_{j}))_{i} + (x_{m+i} \bullet_{T} ((\iota_{n,l}(g_{j}))_{j} + (x_{n+j})_{j}))_{i}))$$

$$= q \bullet_{Q} ((f_{i} \bullet_{T} (\iota_{n,l}(g_{j}))_{j})_{i} + (x_{n+i})_{i})$$

$$= q \bullet_{Q} ((\iota_{n,l}(f_{i} \bullet_{T} g))_{i} + (x_{n+i})_{i})$$

$$= q \bullet_{A(Q,k)} (f_{i} \bullet_{T} g).$$

# 4. Exponentiability of the theory presheaf

Lemma 20. For all l, the presheaf  $\tilde{T}^l$  is exponentiable.

PROOF. We will show that A(-,l) constitutes a right adjoint to the functor  $-\times \tilde{T}^l$ . We will do this using universal arrows ([ML98], Chapter IV.1, Theorem 2 (iv)). To that end, we will need for all Q:PT a universal arrow  $\varphi:A(Q,l)\times \tilde{T}^l\to Q$ .

For  $q: A(Q,l)_n = Q_{n+l}$  and  $t: \tilde{T}_n^l$ , we take  $\varphi(q,t) = q \bullet_Q ((x_{n,i})_i + t)$ . This is a presheaf morphism, since for all  $q: A(Q,l)_m^l$ ,  $t: \tilde{T}_m^l$  and  $f: T_n^m$ ,

$$\begin{split} \varphi((q,t) \bullet_{A(Q,l) \times \tilde{T}^l} f) &= \varphi(q \bullet_{A(Q,l)} f, t \bullet_{\tilde{T}^l} f) \\ &= q \bullet_{A(Q,l)} f \bullet_Q ((x_i)_i + (t \bullet_{\tilde{T}^l} f)) \\ &= q \bullet_Q ((\iota_{n,l}(f_i))_i + (x_{n+i})_i) \bullet_Q ((x_i)_i + (t \bullet_{\tilde{T}^l} f)) \\ &= q \bullet_Q ((\iota_{n,l}(f_i) \bullet_T ((x_j)_j + (t \bullet_{\tilde{T}^l} f)))_i + (x_{n+i} \bullet_T ((x_j)_j + (t \bullet_{\tilde{T}^l} f)))_i) \\ &= q \bullet_Q ((f_i \bullet_T (x_j)_j)_i + ((t \bullet_{\tilde{T}^l} f)_i)_i) \\ &= q \bullet_Q ((f_i)_i + (t_i \bullet_{\tilde{T}} f)_i) \\ &= q \bullet_Q ((x_i \bullet_T f)_i + (t_i \bullet_T f)_i) \\ &= q \bullet_Q ((x_i)_i + t) \bullet_Q f \\ &= \varphi(q, t) \bullet_Q f. \end{split}$$

Now, given any presheaf Q': PT we need to show that any morphism  $\psi: Q' \times \tilde{T}^l \to Q$  factors uniquely as  $\varphi \circ (\tilde{\psi} \times \operatorname{id}_{\tilde{T}^l})$  for some  $\tilde{\psi}: Q' \to A(Q, l)$ . So, given such a  $\psi$ , and given  $q: Q'_n$ , we take  $\tilde{\psi}(q) = \psi(\iota_{n,l}(q), (x_{n+i})_i)$ 

This is a presheaf morphism, since for all  $q:Q_m'$  and  $f:T_n^m$ ,

$$\tilde{\psi}(q \bullet f) = \psi(\iota_{n,l}(q \bullet f), (x_{n+i})_i) 
= \psi(q \bullet (\iota_{n,l}(f_i))_i, (x_{n+i})_i) 
= \psi((\iota_{m,l}(q), (x_{m+i})_i) \bullet_{Q' \times \tilde{T}^l} ((\iota_{n,l}(f_i))_i + (x_{n+i})_i)) 
= \psi(\iota_{m,l}(q), (x_{m+i})_i) \bullet_Q ((\iota_{n,l}(f_i))_i + (x_{n+i})_i) 
= \tilde{\psi}(q) \bullet_{A(Q,l)} f.$$

Note that indeed  $\varphi \circ (\tilde{\psi} \times id_{\tilde{T}^l}) = \psi$ :

$$\varphi(\tilde{\psi}(q),t) = \varphi(\psi(\iota_{n,l}(q),(x_{n+i})_i),t)$$

$$= \psi(\iota_{n,l}(q),(x_{n+i})_i) \bullet ((x_i)_i + t)$$

$$= \psi(\iota_{n,l}(q) \bullet ((x_i)_i + t),(x_{n+i})_i \bullet ((x_i)_i + t))$$

$$= \psi(q \bullet (x_i)_i,(t_i)_i)$$

$$= \psi(q,t).$$

20 3. LEMMAS

Now, suppose that we have another  $\tilde{\psi}': Q' \to A(Q, l)$  such that  $\varphi \circ (\tilde{\psi}' \times \mathrm{id}_{\tilde{T}^l}) = \psi$ . Then we have

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\psi}(q) &= \psi(\iota_{n,l}(q), (x_{n+i})_i) \\ &= (\varphi \circ (\tilde{\psi}' \times \operatorname{id}_{\tilde{T}^l}))(\iota_{n,l}(q), (x_{n+i})_i) \\ &= \varphi(\tilde{\psi}'(\iota_{n,l}(q)), (x_{n+i})_i) \\ &= \tilde{\psi}'(\iota_{n,l}(q)) \bullet ((x_i)_i + (x_{n+i})_i) \\ &= \iota_{n,l}(\tilde{\psi}'(q)) \bullet ((x_i)_i + (x_{n+i})_i) \\ &= \tilde{\psi}'(q) \bullet (x_i)_i \\ &= \tilde{\psi}'(q), \end{split}$$

so  $\tilde{\psi}$  is unique, which completes the proof.

Now, this adjunction  $- \times \tilde{T}^l \dashv A(-,l)$  induces a natural isomorphism

$$\varphi: PT(-\times \tilde{T}^l, \tilde{T}) \xrightarrow{\sim} PT(-, A(\tilde{T}, l))$$

LEMMA 21. For all  $f: PT(\tilde{T}^n \times \tilde{T}^l, \tilde{T})$ ,

$$\varphi_{\tilde{T}^n}(f)(q) = f(\iota_{m,l}(q), (x_{m+i})_i)$$

PROOF. (TODO)

LEMMA 22. For all  $f: PT(\tilde{T}^n, A(\tilde{T}, l))$ ,

$$\varphi_{\tilde{T}^n}^{-1}(f)(q,t) = f(q) \bullet ((x_i)_i + t).$$

Proof. (TODO)  $\Box$ 

#### CHAPTER 4

# Theorems

# 1. Scott's Representation Theorem

Theorem 1. Any  $\lambda$ -theory L is isomorphic to the endomorphism  $\lambda$ -theory  $E(\tilde{L})$  of  $\tilde{L}$  in the presheaf category of L.

PROOF. First of all, remember that  $\tilde{L}$  is indeed exponentiable and that  $\tilde{L}^{\tilde{L}} = A(\tilde{L},1)$ . Now, since L is a  $\lambda$ -theory, we have functions back and forth  $\lambda: A(\tilde{L},1) \to \tilde{L}$  and  $\rho: \tilde{L} \to A(\tilde{L},1)$ . These are presheaf morphisms because for all  $f: A(\tilde{L},1)_m$  and  $g: \tilde{L}_m$  and  $t: T_m^m$ ,

$$\lambda(f \bullet_{A(\tilde{L},1)} t) = \lambda(f \bullet_{\tilde{L}} ((\iota_{m,1}(t_i))_i + (x_{n+1}))) = \lambda(f) \bullet_{\tilde{L}} t$$

and

$$\rho(g \bullet_{\tilde{L}} t) = \rho(g) \bullet_{\tilde{L}} ((\iota_{m,1}(t_i))_i + (x_{n+1})) = \rho(g) \bullet_{A(\tilde{L}_{i,1})} t.$$

Therefore,  $E(\tilde{L})$  is indeed a  $\lambda$ -theory.

For any presheaf Q and for any n, we have a bijection  $PL(L^n, Q) \cong Q_n$ . Then we have  $\varphi : E(\tilde{L})_n \cong L_n$ . This bijection is an isomorphism of  $\lambda$ -theories, since it preserves the  $x_i$ ,  $\bullet$ ,  $\rho$  and  $\lambda$ : for all  $1 \leq j \leq n$ ,  $f : E(\tilde{L})_m$ ,  $g : E(\tilde{L})_{m+1}$  and  $h : E(\tilde{L})_n^m$ .

$$\varphi(x_j) = x_j(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

$$= x_j;$$

$$\varphi(f \bullet h) = f \circ \langle h_i \rangle_i ((x_i)_i)$$

$$= f((h_i((x_j)_j))_i)$$

$$= f((x_i)_i \bullet (h_i((x_j)_j))_i)$$

$$= f((x_i)_i) \bullet (h_i((x_j)_j))_i$$

$$= \varphi(f) \bullet (\varphi(h_i))_i;$$

$$\varphi(\rho(f)) = \rho(f)((x_i)_i)$$

$$= \rho(f((x_i)_i)) \bullet (x_i)_i$$

$$= \rho(f((x_i)_i))$$

$$= \rho(\varphi(f));$$

$$\varphi(\lambda(g)) = \lambda(g)((x_i)_i)$$

$$= \lambda(\varphi_{X^n}(g)((x_i)_i))$$

$$= \lambda(g(\iota_{m,l}((x_i)_i) + (\iota_{m+1})))$$

$$= \lambda(g((x_i)_i))$$

$$= \lambda(\varphi(g)).$$

#### 2. Locally cartesian closedness of the category of retracts

DEFINITION 27 (Category of retracts). The category of retracts for a  $\lambda$ -theory L is the category with objects  $f: L_n$  such that  $f \bullet f = f$  and it has as morphisms  $g: f \to f'$  the terms  $g: L_n$  such that  $f' \bullet g \bullet f = g$ . The object  $f: L_n$  has identity element f, and we have composition  $g \circ g' = g \bullet g'$ . These are morphisms (**TODO**)

Lemma 23. The category of retracts is indeed a category.

Theorem 2. The category of retracts is locally cartesian closed (TODO).

#### 3. The Fundamental Theorem of the $\lambda$ -calculus

DEFINITION 28 ( $\Lambda$ ). There is a special  $\lambda$ -theory, given by the  $\lambda$ -calculus itself.  $\Lambda_n$  is the set of  $\lambda$ -terms with n free variables, the  $x_i$  are the free variables, and  $\bullet$  is given by substitution.  $\lambda$  sends  $f: \Lambda_{n+1}$  to  $\lambda x_{n+1}, f$  and  $\rho$  sends  $f: \Lambda_n$  to  $\iota_{n,1}(f)x_{n+1}$  in  $\Lambda_n$ .

Lemma 24.  $\Lambda$  is indeed a  $\lambda$ -theory.

LEMMA 25.  $\Lambda$  is the initial  $\lambda$ -theory.

PROOF. Given a  $\lambda$ -theory L, we construct a morphism  $f: \Lambda \to L$  by induction on the  $\lambda$ -terms. We set  $f(x_i) = x_i$ ,  $f(\lambda(t)) = \lambda(f(t))$  and  $f(st) = \rho(f(s)) \bullet ((x_i)_i + (f(t)))$ .

This is a  $\lambda$ -theory morphism because (TODO)

It is unique, since 
$$(TODO)$$

Definition 29 (Pullback of algebras). If we have a morphism of algebraic theories  $f: T' \to T$ , we have a functor  $AT \to AT'$ .

On objects, it sends a T-algebra A to a T'-algebra with set A and action  $g \bullet_{T'} a = f(g) \bullet_T a$ . This is a T'-algebra because **(TODO)**.

On morphisms, it sends  $\varphi:A\to A$  to  $\varphi:A\to A$ . This is a T'-algebra morphism because for all  $g:T'_n$  and  $a:A^n$ , we have

$$\varphi(g \bullet_{T'} a) = \varphi(f(g) \bullet_T a) = f(g) \bullet_T \varphi(a) = g \bullet_{T'} \varphi(a).$$

Lemma 26. This is indeed a functor.

Proof. (TODO) 
$$\Box$$

DEFINITION 30 (Term algebra). Given an algebraic theory T, for every n,  $T_n$  together with the action operator  $\bullet: T_m \times T_n^m \to T_n$  gives a T-algebra.

Lemma 27.  $T_n$  is indeed a T-algebra.

Proof. (TODO) 
$$\Box$$

DEFINITION 31. For all n, we have a functor from lambda theories to  $\Lambda$ -algebras. It sends the  $\lambda$ -theory L to the L-algebra  $L_n$  and then turns this into a  $\Lambda$ -algebra via the morpism  $\Lambda \to L$ .

It sends morphisms  $f: L \to L'$  to  $f_n: L_n \to L'_n$ . This is a  $\Lambda$ -algebra morphism because **(TODO)** 

Lemma 28. This indeed constitutes a functor.

Proof. (TODO) 
$$\Box$$

Remark 13. Note that for a monoid M, if we view M as a category, the category  $[M^{op}, \mathbf{SET}]$  consists of sets with a right M-action.

DEFINITION 32 (The exponential object in the presheaf category). Given a monoid M, if we have two presheaves (sets with right M-actions) P and P', we have a set of M-equivariant maps

$$F_{P,P'} = \left\{ f: M \times P \to P' \mid \prod_{p:P,m,m':M} f(m,p)m' = f(mm',pm') \right\}$$

with a right M-action, given by fm'(m,p) = f(m'm,p). This is again M-equivariant because

$$fm'(m,p)m'' = f(m'm,p)m'' = f(m'mm'',pm'') = fm'(mm'',pm''),$$

so  $F_{P,P'}$  is a presheaf.

Now, to show that  $F_{P,P'}$  is the exponential object  ${P'}^P$ , we show that for any P,  $F_{P,-}$  is the left adjoint of  $- \times P$ . So we need for all P' : PT, a universal arrow  $\varphi : F_{P,P'} \times P \to P'$ .

First of all, we have an evaluation map  $\varphi: F_{P,P'} \times P \to P'$  given by  $(f,p) \mapsto f(I,p)$  for I the unit of the monoid. This map is equivariant because for all m,

$$(f,p)m = (fm,pm) \mapsto fm(I,pm) = f(m,pm) = f(I,p)m.$$

Now, given any presheaf Q and any morphism  $\psi: Q \times P \to P'$ , take  $\tilde{\psi}: Q \to F_{P,P'}$  given by  $\psi(q)(m,p) = \psi(qm,p)$ . This is equivariant because

$$\tilde{\psi}(q)m(m',p) = \tilde{\psi}(q)(mm',p) = \psi(qmm',p) = \tilde{\psi}(qm)(m',p)$$

and we have

$$\varphi(\tilde{\psi}(q), p) = \tilde{\psi}(q)(I, p) = \psi(q, p).$$

Now, suppose that we have  $\tilde{\psi}': Q \to F_{P,P'}$  such that  $\varphi \circ (\tilde{\psi}' \times id_P) = \psi$ . Then for all q: Q, m: M and p: P,

$$\tilde{\psi}(q)(m,p) = \psi(qm,p) = \varphi(\tilde{\psi}'(qm),p) = \tilde{\psi}'(qm)(I,p) = \psi'(q)m(I,p) = \psi'(q)(m,p),$$
  
so  $\tilde{\psi}$  is unique and  $F_{P,P'}$  is an exponential object.

DEFINITION 33 (n-functional terms). Let A be a  $\Lambda$ -algebra. We define

$$A(n) = \{a : A \mid (\lambda x_2 x_3 \dots x_{n+1}, x_1 x_2 x_3 \dots x_{n+1}) \bullet a = a\}.$$

Definition 34. Take 
$$\mathbf{1}_n = (\lambda x_1 \dots x_n, x_1 \dots x_n) \bullet () : A$$
.

Definition 35. We define composition as  $a \circ b = (\lambda x_3, x_1(x_2x_3)) \circ (a, b)$  for a, b : A.

Lemma 29. This composition is associative.

DEFINITION 36 (The monoid of a  $\Lambda$ -algebra). Now we make A(1) into a monoid with unit  $\lambda x_1, x_1$ .

Lemma 30. This is indeed a monoid.

From here on, we will assume that  $\Lambda$  (and therefore, any  $\lambda$ -theory) satisfies  $\beta$ -equality.

LEMMA 31. For a:A, a is in A(n) iff  $\mathbf{1}_n \circ a = a$ .

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{1}_n \circ a &= (\lambda x_3, x_1(x_2 x_3)) \bullet (((\lambda x_1 \dots x_n, x_1 \dots x_n) \bullet ()), a) \\ &= (\lambda x_3, x_1(x_2 x_3)) \bullet (((\lambda x_2 \dots x_{n+1}, x_2 \dots x_{n+1}) \bullet a), x_1 \bullet a) \\ &= ((\lambda x_3, x_1(x_2 x_3)) \bullet ((\lambda x_2 \dots x_{n+1}, x_2 \dots x_{n+1}), x_1)) \bullet a \\ &= (\lambda x_2, (\lambda x_3 \dots x_{n+2}, x_3 \dots x_{n+2})(x_1 x_2)) \bullet a \\ &= (\lambda x_2 x_3 \dots x_{n+1}, x_1 x_2 \dots x_{n+1}) \bullet a. \end{aligned}$$

DEFINITION 37 (The presheaf category of a  $\Lambda$ -algebra). Let A be a  $\Lambda$ -algebra. If we view the monoid A(1) as a one-object category, we define the category PA to be the category of presheaves  $[A(1)^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathbf{SET}]$ .

Definition 38 (The objects A(n) in PA). Given a:A(n) and b:A(1), we have

$$\mathbf{1}_n \circ (a \circ b) = (\mathbf{1}_n \circ a) \circ b = a \circ b,$$

so  $a \circ b : A(n)$  and we have a right A(1)-action on A(n), which makes A(n) into an object in PA.

LEMMA 32. We have  $A(1)^{A(1)} \cong A(2)$ .

PROOF. We have a bijection  $\varphi: A(2) \cong F_{A(1),A(1)}$ , given by

$$\varphi(a)(b,b') = (\lambda x_4, x_1(x_2x_4)(x_3x_4)) \bullet (a,b,b').$$

Note that  $\varphi(d)$  is equivariant because **(TODO)** Now,  $\varphi$  is a presheaf morphism because **(TODO)** 

Take  $p = \lambda x_1, x_1(\lambda x_2 x_3, x_2)$  and  $q = \lambda x_1, x_1(\lambda x_2 x_3, x_3)$ . These are elements of A(1). Note that for terms  $c_1, c_2$ 

$$p(\lambda x_1, x_1 c_1 c_2) = (\lambda x_1, x_1 c_1 c_2)(\lambda x_2 x_3, x_2)$$
$$= (\lambda x_1 x_3, x_2)c_1 c_2$$
$$= c_1$$

In the same way,  $q \circ (\lambda x_1 x_2, x_2 c_1 c_2) = c_2$ .

An inverse is given by

$$\psi: f \mapsto \lambda x_1 x_2, f(p,q)(\lambda x_3, x_3 x_1 x_2).$$

This is a presheaf morphism because (TODO)

This is an inverse, because given  $f: F_{A(1),A(1)}$  and  $(a_1,a_2): A(1)\times A(1)$ , we have

$$\varphi(\psi(f))(a_{1}, a_{2}) = u(\lambda x_{1}x_{2}, f(p, q)(\lambda x_{3}, x_{3}x_{1}x_{2}))(a_{1}, a_{2})$$

$$= \lambda x_{1}, (\lambda x_{2}x_{3}, f(p, q)(\lambda x_{4}, x_{4}x_{2}x_{3}))(a_{1}x_{1})(a_{2}x_{1})$$

$$= \lambda x_{1}, f(p, q)(\lambda x_{2}, x_{2}(a_{1}x_{1})(a_{2}x_{1}))$$

$$= f(p, q) \circ (\lambda x_{1}, (\lambda x_{2}, x_{2}(a_{1}x_{1})(a_{2}x_{1})))$$

$$= f(p \circ (\lambda x_{1}, (\lambda x_{2}, x_{2}(a_{1}x_{1})(a_{2}x_{1}))), q \circ (\lambda x_{1}, (\lambda x_{2}, x_{2}(a_{1}x_{1})(a_{2}x_{1}))))$$

$$= f(\lambda x_{1}, p(\lambda x_{2}, x_{2}(a_{1}x_{1})(a_{2}x_{1})), \lambda x_{1}, q(\lambda x_{2}, x_{2}(a_{1}x_{1})(a_{2}x_{1})))$$

$$= f(\lambda x_{1}, a_{1}x_{1}, \lambda x_{1}, a_{2}x_{1})$$

$$= f(a_{1}, a_{2}).$$

The last line is because  $a_i : A(1)$  and therefore  $\lambda x_1, a_i x_1 = a_i$ .

On the other hand, if we have  $a_1:A(2)$ , we have

$$\psi(\varphi(a_1)) = \psi((a_2, a_3) \mapsto \lambda x_1, a_1(a_2x_1)(a_3x_1))$$

$$= \lambda x_1 x_2, (\lambda x_3, a_1(px_3)(qx_3))(\lambda x_3, x_3 x_1 x_2)$$

$$= \lambda x_1 x_2, a_1(p(\lambda x_3, x_3 x_1 x_2))(q(\lambda x_3, x_3 x_1 x_2))$$

$$= \lambda x_1 x_2, a_1 x_1 x_2$$

$$= a_1.$$

The last line is because  $a_1: A(2)$  and therefore  $\lambda x_1 x_2, a_1 x_1 x_2 = a_1$ .

Therefore, this map is a bijection and an isomorphism.

DEFINITION 39 (Endomorphism  $\lambda$ -theory of a  $\Lambda$ -algebra). PA borrows products from **SET**. Therefore, the algebraic theory E(A(1)) exists. Now note that A(1) is exponentiable and  $A(1)^{A(1)} \cong A(2)$ . Note that  $A(2) \subseteq A(1)$  and that  $(\lambda x_2 x_3, x_1 x_2 x_3) \bullet -$  gives a function from A(1) to A(2). This gives E(A(1)) a  $\lambda$ -theory structure.

Definition 40 (Pullback functor on presheaves for a  $\Lambda$ -algebra). Let  $f: A \to A'$  be a  $\Lambda$ -algebra morphism. Then for all a: A(n),

$$\mathbf{1}_n \circ f(a) = f(\mathbf{1}_n) \circ f(a) = f(\mathbf{1}_n \circ a),$$

so we have an induced morphism  $f: A(n) \to A'(n)$ .

Now, given a presheaf P: PA'. We can create a presheaf  $f^*P: PA$  by taking the set of P, and, for p: P and a: A, setting  $pa = p \circ f(a)$ . This is indeed a presheaf because **(TODO)** 

Now, given a morphism  $g: P \to P'$ , we get a morphism by taking the function on the sets of P and P'. This is a morphism because **(TODO)** 

Lemma 33. The above indeed constitutes a functor.

Left Kan extension then gives a left adjoint  $f_*: PA \to PA'$ .

LEMMA 34. We have  $f_*(A(1)) \cong A'(1)$ .

Lemma 35.  $f_*$  preserves finite products.

DEFINITION 41. Since  $f_*$  preserves finite products, given an element of  $g: E(A(1))(n) = PA(A(1)^n, A(1))$ , we get

$$\#f_*(g): PA'(f(A(1)^n), f(A(1))) \cong PA'(A'(1)^n, A'(1)) = E(A'(1))(n).$$

LEMMA 36.  $\#f_*: E(A(1)) \to E(A'(1))$  is a map of  $\lambda$ -theories.

Definition 42. We have an isomorphism  $E(A(1))(0) \cong A$  given by  $a \mapsto aI$ .

Lemma 37. This is indeed an isomorphism of  $\Lambda$ -algebras.

LEMMA 38. Given  $g: A \to A'$ ,

Theorem 3. There exists an adjoint equivalence between the category of  $\lambda$ -theories, and the category of algebras of  $\Lambda$ .

PROOF. We will show that the functor  $L \mapsto L_0$  is an equivalence of categories. It is essentially surjective, because L is isomorphic (**TODO**) to E(A(1)).

Now, given morphisms  $f, f': L \to L'$ . Suppose that  $f_0 = f'_0$ . Suppose that L and L' have  $\beta$ -equality. Then, given  $l: L_n$ , we have

$$f_n(l) = \rho^n(\lambda^n(f_n(l))) = \rho^n(f_0(\lambda^n(l))) = \rho^n(f_0'(\lambda^n(l))) = \rho^n(\lambda^n(f_n'(l))) = f_n'(l),$$
 so the functor is faithful.

The functor is full because a  $\Lambda$ -algebra morphism  $f: A \to A'$  induces a functor  $f^*: PA' \to PA$ , and via left Kan extension we get a left adjoint  $f_*: PA \to PA'$  with  $f_*(A(1)) \cong A'(1)$ . Now,  $f_*$  preserves (finite) products, so we have maps  $PA(A(1)^n, A(1)) \to PA'(A'(1)^n, A'(1))$  and so a map  $E(A(1)) \to E(A'(1))$ . This map, when restricted to a map  $PA(1, A(1)) \to PA'(1, A(1))$ , and transported along the isomorphism  $a \mapsto aI$  (**TODO**), is equal to f (**TODO**).

Lemma 39. The category of T-algebras has coproducts.

DEFINITION 43 (Theory of extensions). Let T be an algebraic theory and A a T-algebra. We can define an algebraic theory  $T_A$  called 'the theory of extensions of A' with  $(T_A)_n = T_n + A$ . The left injection of the variables  $x_i : T_n$  gives the variables. Now, take  $h: (T_n + A)^m$ . Sending  $g: T_m$  to  $\varphi(g) := g \bullet h$  gives a T-algebra morphism  $T_m \to T_n + A$  since

$$\varphi(f \bullet g) = f \bullet g \bullet h = f \bullet (g_i \bullet h) = f \bullet (\varphi(g_i))_i.$$

This, together with the injection morphism of A into  $T_n + A$ , gives us a T-algebra morphism from the coproduct:  $T_m + A \to T_n + A$ . We especially have a function on sets  $(T_m + A) \times (T_n + A)^m \to T_n + A$ , which we will define our substitution to be.

Lemma 40.  $T_A$  is indeed an algebraic theory.

# Bibliography

- $\left[ \mathrm{AW23}\right]$  Benedikt Ahrens and Kobe Wullaert. Category theory for programming, 2023.
- [KS06] Masaki Kashiwara and Pierre Schapira. Categories and sheaves, volume 332 of Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.
- $[\text{ML98}] \begin{tabular}{ll} \textbf{Saunders Mac Lane. } Categories for the working mathematician, volume 5 of $Graduate$ \\ \textit{Texts in Mathematics.} \end{tabular} \begin{tabular}{ll} \textbf{Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1998.} \end{tabular}$