

## Semantics for the $\lambda$ -calculus



# Contents

Chapter 1. Category Theoretic Preliminaries	5
1. Notation	5
2. Universal Arrows	5
3. Adjunctions	5
3.1. Adjoint equivalences	5
3.2. Exponential objects	6
4. (Co)slice categories	6
5. (Weakly) terminal objects	6
6. Kan Extensions	7
7. The Karoubi envelope	8
8. Monoids as categories	10
8.1. Extension and restriction of scalars	11
Chapter 2. Univalent Foundations	13
1. Equality and homotopy	13
2. hProps and hSets	13
3. Transports and transport hell	13
4. The univalence axiom	13
5. Univalent categories	13
Chapter 3. Algebraic Structures	15
1. Algebraic Theories	15
2. Algebras	15
3. Presheaves	16
4. $\lambda$ -theories	16
5. Examples	17
5.1. The free algebraic theory on a set	17
5.2. The free $\lambda$ -theory on a set	18
5.3. The free object algebraic theory	19
5.4. The terminal theory	20
5.5. The endomorphism theory	20
5.6. The theory algebra	21
5.7. The theory presheaf	21
5.8. The " + I " presheaf	21
Chapter 4. Previous work in categorical semantics	23
1. The correspondence between categories and $\lambda$ -calculi	23
2. Scott's Representation Theorem	23
3. The Taylor Fibration	23
Chapter 5. The paper	25
1. Scott's Representation Theorem	25
2. Locally cartesian closedness of the category of retracts	25
3. Equivalences	25

4. The Fundamental Theorem of the $\lambda$ -calculus	25
5. An alternative proof for the fundamental theorem	29
6. Theory of extensions	29
Chapter 6. The formalization	31
1. Statistics	31
2. Components	31
3. Displayed categories	31
3.1. Defininig objects by their category instead of the other way around	31
3.2. $\_ax$ for categories and their objects	31
3.3. 'Cartesian vs cartesian'	31
4. Inductive types	31
5. The formalization of the $\lambda$ -calculus	31
6. Tuples	31
7. Products	31
8. The $n + p$ -presheaf	31
9. Quotients	31
10. The Karoubi envelope	31
11. Univalence	31
Bibliography	33
Appendix A. Alternative definitions	35
1. Abstract Clone	35
2. Lawvere theory	35
2.1. Algebras for Lawvere Theories	35
3. Relative Monad	35
4. Cartesian Operad	35
Appendix. Index	39

## CHAPTER 1

# Category Theoretic Preliminaries

I will assume a familiarity with the category-theoretical concepts presented in [AW23]. These include categories, functors, isomorphisms, natural transformations, adjunctions, equivalences and limits.

### 1. Notation

For an object  $c$  in a category  $C$ , I will write  $c : C$ .

For a morphism  $f$  between objects  $c$  and  $c'$  in a category  $C$ , I will write  $f : C(c, c')$  or  $f : c \rightarrow c'$ .

For composition of morphisms  $f : C(c, d)$  and  $g : C(d, e)$ , I will write  $f \cdot g$ .

For composition of functors  $F : A \rightarrow B$  and  $G : B \rightarrow C$ , I will write  $F \bullet G$ .

### 2. Universal Arrows

([ML98], Chapter IV.1, Theorem 2 (iv)) (**TODO**)

### 3. Adjunctions

Recall that an *adjunction*  $L \dashv R$  is a pair of functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & L & \\ D & \xleftarrow{\quad} & C \\ & R & \end{array}$$

with natural transformations (the unit and counit)

$$\eta : \text{id}_C \Rightarrow L \bullet R \quad \text{and} \quad \epsilon : R \bullet L \Rightarrow \text{id}_D$$

such that the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_L} & L \\ \eta \bullet L \searrow & & \nearrow L \bullet \epsilon \\ & L \bullet R \bullet L & \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_R} & R \\ R \bullet \eta \searrow & & \nearrow \epsilon \bullet R \\ & R \bullet L \bullet R & \end{array}$$

commute. Here the natural transformation  $\eta \bullet L : L \bullet R \bullet L$  is the natural transformation  $\eta$  whiskered on the right by  $L$ , and the other whiskered transformations are similar.

An alternative characterization of an adjunction  $L \dashv R$  is as a natural bijection

$$\varphi : D(L(c), d) \xrightarrow{\sim} C(c, R(d)).$$

Naturality means that for all  $f : C(c', c)$ ,  $g : D(d, d')$  and  $h : D(L(c), d)$ ,

$$\varphi(L(f) \cdot h \cdot g) = f \cdot \varphi(h) \cdot R(g).$$

**3.1. Adjoint equivalences.** An adjoint equivalence of categories has multiple definitions. The one we will use here is the following:

**DEFINITION 1.** An *adjoint equivalence* between categories  $C$  and  $D$  is a pair of adjoint functors  $L \dashv R$  like above such that the unit  $\eta : \text{id}_C \Rightarrow L \bullet R$  and counit  $\epsilon : R \bullet L \Rightarrow \text{id}_D$  are isomorphisms of functors.

**3.2. Exponential objects.** Note that in the category of sets, for all  $X, Y : \mathbf{SET}$ , we have a set  $(X \rightarrow Y)$ . Also, for all  $X, Y, Z$ , there is a (natural) bijection

$$(X \times Y \rightarrow Z) \cong (X \rightarrow (Y \rightarrow Z))$$

which we can also write as

$$\mathbf{SET}(X \times Y, Z) \cong \mathbf{SET}(X, (Y \rightarrow Z)).$$

In other words, we have functors  $X \mapsto X \times Y$  and  $Z \mapsto (Y \rightarrow Z)$ , and these two form an adjunction. The following generalizes this

DEFINITION 2. A category  $C$  has *exponential objects* (or *exponentials*) if for all  $c : C$ , the functor  $c' \mapsto c' \times c$  has a right adjoint, which we denote  $d \mapsto d^c$ .

LEMMA 1. For  $c, d, d^c : C$ , we have a natural bijection

$$C(d' \times c, d) \cong C(d', d^c)$$

iff we have a universal arrow ...

PROOF. (TODO) □

REMARK 1. It is actually very well possible that a category does not have all exponentials, but it has some objects  $c, d, d^c : C$  with a natural bijection

$$C(d' \times c, d) \cong C(d', d^c).$$

Then  $d^c$  is still called an exponential object.

#### 4. (Co)slice categories

Given an object in a category  $c : C$ , the morphisms to and from  $c$  constitute the slice and coslice categories

DEFINITION 3. The *slice category*  $C \downarrow c$  is the category with as objects the morphisms to  $c$ :

$$(C \downarrow c)_0 = \sum_{c' : C} C(c', c).$$

The morphisms from  $(c', f)$  to  $(c'', f')$  are the morphisms  $g : c' \rightarrow c''$  making the following diagram commute.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} c' & \xrightarrow{g} & c'' \\ & \searrow f & \swarrow f' \\ & c & \end{array}$$

The *coslice category*  $c \downarrow C$  is similar, but with the morphisms from  $c$ :

$$(c \downarrow C)_0 = \sum_{c' : C} C(c, c').$$

#### 5. (Weakly) terminal objects

DEFINITION 4. If a category has an object  $t$ , such that there is a (not necessarily unique) morphism to it from every other object in the category,  $t$  is said to be a *weakly terminal object*.

DEFINITION 5. Given an object  $c$  in a category  $C$  with terminal object  $t$ . A *global element* of  $c$  is a morphism  $C(t, c)$ .

## 6. Kan Extensions

One of the most general and abstract concepts in category theory is the concept of *Kan extensions*. In [ML98], Section X.7, MacLane notes that

The notion of Kan extensions subsumes all the other fundamental concepts of category theory.

In this thesis, we will use left Kan extension a handful of times. It comes in handy when we want to extend a functor along another functor in the following way:

Let  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $C$  be categories and let  $F : A \rightarrow B$  be a functor.

DEFINITION 6. Precomposition gives a functor between functor categories  $F_* : [B, C] \rightarrow [A, C]$ . If  $F_*$  has a left adjoint, we will denote call this adjoint functor the *left Kan extension* along  $F$  and denote it  $\text{Lan}_F : [A, C] \rightarrow [B, C]$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{F} & B \\ \text{\scriptsize } F_*G \swarrow & & \searrow \text{\scriptsize } G \\ & C & \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{F} & B \\ \text{\scriptsize } G \swarrow & & \searrow \text{\scriptsize } \text{Lan}_F G \\ & C & \end{array}$$

Analogously, when  $F_*$  has a right adjoint, one calls this the *right Kan extension* along  $F$  and denote it  $\text{Ran}_F : [A, C] \rightarrow [B, C]$ .

If a category has limits (resp. colimits), we can construct the right (resp. left) Kan extension in a ‘pointwise’ fashion (see Theorem X.3.1 in [ML98] or Theorem 2.3.3 in [KS06]). Below, I will outline the parts of the construction that we will need explicitly in this thesis.

LEMMA 2. *If  $C$  has colimits,  $\text{Lan}_F$  exists.*

PROOF. First of all, for objects  $b : B$ , we take

$$\text{Lan}_F G(b) := \text{colim} \left( (F \downarrow b) \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{G} C \right).$$

Here,  $(F \downarrow b)$  denotes the comma category with as objects the morphisms  $B(F(a), b)$  for all  $a : A$ , and as morphisms from  $f : B(F(a), b)$  to  $f' : B(F(a'), b)$  the morphisms  $g : A(a, a')$  that make the diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(a) & \xrightarrow{F(g)} & F(a') \\ \text{\scriptsize } f \swarrow & & \searrow \text{\scriptsize } f' \\ & b & \end{array}$$

and  $(F \downarrow b) \rightarrow A$  denotes the projection functor that sends  $f : B(F(a), b)$  to  $a$ .

Now, a morphism  $h : B(b, b')$  gives a morphism of diagrams, sending the  $F(a)$  corresponding to  $f : B(F(a), b)$  to the  $F(a)$  corresponding to  $f \cdot h : B(F(a), b')$ . From this, we get a morphism  $\text{Lan}_F G(h) : C(\text{Lan}_F G(b), \text{Lan}_F G(b'))$ .

The unit of the adjunction is a natural transformation  $\eta : \text{id}_{[A, C]} \Rightarrow \text{Lan}_F \bullet F_*$ . We will define this pointwise, for  $G : [A, C]$  and  $a : A$ . Our diagram contains the  $G(a)$  corresponding to  $\text{id}_{F(a)} : (F \downarrow F(a))$  and the colimit cocone gives a morphism

$$\eta_G(a) : C(G(a), \text{Lan}_F G(F(a))),$$

the latter being equal to  $(\text{Lan}_F \bullet F_*)(G)(a)$ .

The counit of the adjunction is a natural transformation  $\epsilon : F_* \bullet \text{Lan}_F \Rightarrow \text{id}_{[B, C]}$ . We will also define this pointwise, for  $G : [B, C]$  and  $b : B$ . The diagram for  $\text{Lan}_F(F_* G)(b)$  consists of  $G(F(a))$  for all  $f : B(F(a), b)$ . Then, by the universal property of the colimit, the morphisms  $G(f) : C(G(F(a)), G(b))$  induce a morphism

$$\epsilon_G(b) : C(\text{Lan}_F(F_* G)(b), G(b)).$$

□

LEMMA 3. *If  $F : A \rightarrow B$  is a fully faithful functor, and  $C$  is a category with colimits,  $\eta : \text{id}_{[A,C]} \Rightarrow \text{Lan}_F \bullet F_*$  is a natural isomorphism.*

PROOF. To show that  $\eta$  is a natural isomorphism, we have to show that  $\eta_G(a') : G(a') \Rightarrow \text{Lan}_F G(F(a'))$  is an isomorphism for all  $G : [A, C]$  and  $a' : A$ . Since a left adjoint is unique up to natural isomorphism ([AW23], Exercise 153), we can assume that  $\text{Lan}_F G(F(a'))$  is given by

$$\text{colim}((F \downarrow F(a')) \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{G} C).$$

Now, the diagram for this colimit consists of  $G(a)$  for each arrow  $f : B(F(a), F(a'))$ . Since  $F$  is fully faithful, we have  $f = F(\bar{f})$  for some  $\bar{f} : A(a, a')$ . If we now take the arrows  $G(\bar{f}) : C(G(a), G(a'))$ , the universal property of the colimit gives an arrow

$$\varphi : C(\text{Lan}_F G(F(a')), G(a'))$$

which constitutes an inverse to  $\eta_G(a')$ . The proof of this revolves around properties of the colimit and its (induced) morphisms.  $\square$

REMARK 2. In the same way, if  $C$  has limits,  $\epsilon$  is a natural isomorphism.

COROLLARY 1. *If  $C$  has limits or colimits, precomposition of functors  $[B, C]$  along a fully faithful functor is (split) essentially surjective.*

PROOF. For each  $G : [A, C]$  we take  $\text{Lan}_F G : [B, C]$ , and we have  $F_*(\text{Lan}_F G) \cong G$ .  $\square$

COROLLARY 2. *If  $C$  has colimits (resp. limits), left (resp. right) Kan extension of functors  $[A, C]$  along a fully faithful functor is fully faithful.*

PROOF. Since left Kan extension along  $F$  is the left adjoint to precomposition, we have

$$[A, C](\text{Lan}_F G, \text{Lan}_F G') \cong [B, C](G, F_*(\text{Lan}_F G')) \cong [B, C](G, G').$$

$\square$

## 7. The Karoubi envelope

Let  $C$  be a category. If we have a retraction-section pair  $c \xrightleftharpoons[s]{r} d$  we have (by definition)  $s \cdot r = \text{id}_d$ . On the other hand,  $r \cdot s : c \rightarrow c$  is an idempotent morphism, since  $r \cdot s \cdot r \cdot s = r \cdot s$ . Conversely, we can wonder whether for some idempotent morphism  $a : c \rightarrow c$ , we can find a retraction-section pair  $(r, s)$  such that  $a = r \cdot s$ . If this is the case, we say that the idempotent  $a$  *splits*. If  $a$  does not split, we can wonder whether we can find an embedding  $\iota_C : C \hookrightarrow \bar{C}$  such that the idempotent  $\iota_C(a) : \iota_C(c) \rightarrow \iota_C(c)$  does split. This is one way to arrive at the *Karoubi envelope*.

DEFINITION 7. We define the category  $\bar{C}$ . The objects of  $\bar{C}$  are tuples  $(c, a)$  with  $c : C$ ,  $a : C(c, c)$  such that  $a \cdot a = a$ . The morphisms between  $(c, a)$  and  $(d, b)$  are morphisms  $f : C(a, b)$  such that  $a \cdot f \cdot b = f$ . The identity morphism on  $(c, a)$  is given by  $a$  and  $\bar{C}$  inherits morphism composition from  $C$ .

This category is called the *Karoubi envelope*, the *idempotent completion*, the *category of retracts*, or the *Cauchy completion* of  $C$ .

REMARK 3. Note that for a morphism  $f : \bar{C}((c, a), (d, b))$ ,

$$a \cdot f = a \cdot a \cdot f \cdot b = a \cdot f \cdot b = f$$

and in the same way,  $f \cdot b = f$ .



DEFINITION 8. We have an embedding  $\iota_C : C \rightarrow \overline{C}$ , sending  $c : C$  to  $(c, \text{id}_c)$  and  $f : C(c, d)$  to  $f$ .

LEMMA 4. Every object  $c : \overline{C}$  is a retract of  $\iota_C(c_0)$  for some  $c_0 : C$ .

PROOF. Note that  $c = (c_0, a)$  for some  $c_0 : C$  and an idempotent  $a : c \rightarrow c$ . We have morphisms  $\iota_C(c) \xrightleftharpoons[a_{\leftarrow}]{a_{\rightarrow}} (c, a)$ , both given by  $a$ . We have  $a_{\leftarrow} \cdot a_{\rightarrow} = a = \text{id}_{(c, a)}$ , so  $(c, a)$  is a retract of  $\iota_C(c)$ .  $\square$

LEMMA 5. In  $\overline{C}$ , every idempotent splits.

PROOF. Take an idempotent  $e : \overline{C}(c, c)$ . Note that  $c$  is given by an object  $c_0 : C$  and an idempotent  $a : C(c_0, c_0)$ . Also,  $e$  is given by some idempotent  $e : C(c_0, c_0)$  with  $a \cdot e \cdot a = e$ .

Now, we have  $(c_0, e) : \overline{C}$  and morphisms  $(c_0, a) \xrightleftharpoons[e_{\leftarrow}]{e_{\rightarrow}} (c_0, e)$ , both given by  $e$ . We have  $e_{\leftarrow} \cdot e_{\rightarrow} = e = \text{id}_{(c_0, e)}$ , so  $(c_0, e)$  is a retract of  $(c_0, a)$ . Also,  $e = e_{\rightarrow} \cdot e_{\leftarrow}$ , so  $e$  is split.  $\square$

REMARK 4. Note that the embedding is fully faithful, since

$$\overline{C}((c, \text{id}_c), (d, \text{id}_d)) = \{f : C(c, d) \mid \text{id}_c \cdot f \cdot \text{id}_d = f\} = C(c, d).$$

REMARK 5. Let  $D$  be a category. Suppose that we have a retraction-section pair in  $D$ , given by  $d \xrightleftharpoons[s]{r} d'$ . Now, suppose that we have an object  $c : D$  and a morphism  $f$  with  $(r \cdot s) \cdot f = f$ . Then we get a morphism  $s \cdot f : d' \rightarrow c$  such that  $f$  factors as  $r \cdot (s \cdot f)$ . Also, for any  $g$  with  $r \cdot g = f$ , we have

$$g = s \cdot r \cdot g = s \cdot f.$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} d & \xrightarrow{r} & d' & \xrightarrow{s} & d \\ & \searrow f & \downarrow s \cdot f & \swarrow f & \\ & & c & & \end{array}.$$

Therefore,  $d'$  is the equalizer of  $d \xrightleftharpoons[r \cdot s]{\text{id}_d} d$ . In the same way, it is also the coequalizer of this diagram.

Now, note that if we have a coequalizer  $c'$  of  $\text{id}_c$  and  $a$ , and an equalizer  $d'$  of  $\text{id}_d$  and  $b$ , the universal properties of these give an equivalence

$$D(c', d') \cong \{f : D(c, d') \mid a \cdot f = f\} \cong \{f : D(c, d) \mid a \cdot f = f = f \cdot b\}.$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} c & \xrightleftharpoons[a]{\text{id}_c} & c & \longrightarrow & c' \\ & \downarrow & \searrow & & \downarrow \\ d & \xleftarrow[b]{\text{id}_d} & d & \longleftarrow & d' \end{array}$$

Since a functor preserves retracts, and since every object of  $\overline{C}$  is a retract of an object in  $C$ , one can lift a functor from  $C$  (to a category with (co)equalizers) to a functor on  $\overline{C}$ .

For convenience, the lemma below works with pointwise left Kan extension using colimits, but one could also prove this using just (co)equalizers (or right Kan extension using limits).

LEMMA 6. Let  $D$  be a category with colimits. We have an adjoint equivalence between  $[C, D]$  and  $[\overline{C}, D]$ .

PROOF. We already have an adjunction  $\text{Lan}_{\iota_C} \dashv \iota_{C*}$ . Also, since  $\iota_C$  is fully faithful, we know that  $\eta$  is a natural isomorphism. Therefore, we only have to show that  $\epsilon$  is a natural isomorphism. That is, we need to show that  $\epsilon_G(c, a) : D(\text{Lan}_{\iota_C}(\iota_{C*}G)(c, a), G(c, a))$  is an isomorphism for all  $G : [\overline{C}, D]$  and  $(c, a) : \overline{C}$ .

One of the components in the diagram of  $\text{Lan}_{\iota_C}(\iota_{C*}G)(c, a)$  is the  $\iota_{C*}G(c) = G(c, \text{id}_c)$  corresponding to  $a : \iota_C(c) \rightarrow (c, a)$ . This component has a morphism into our colimit

$$\varphi : C(G(\iota_C(c)), \text{Lan}_{\iota_C}(\iota_{C*}G)(c, a)).$$

Note that we can view  $a$  as a morphism  $a : \overline{C}((c, a), \iota_C(c))$ . This gives us our inverse morphism

$$G(a) \cdot \varphi : C(G(c, a), \text{Lan}_{\iota_C}(\iota_{C*}G)(c, a)).$$

□

LEMMA 7. *The formation of the opposite category commutes with the formation of the Karoubi envelope.*

PROOF. An object in  $\overline{C^{\text{op}}}$  is an object  $c : C^{\text{op}}$  (which is just an object  $c : C$ ), together with an idempotent morphism  $a : C^{\text{op}}(c, c) = C(c, c)$ . This is the same as an object in  $\overline{C}^{\text{op}}$ .

A morphism in  $\overline{C^{\text{op}}}((c, a), (d, b))$  is a morphism  $f : C^{\text{op}}(c, d) = C(d, c)$  such that

$$b \cdot_C f \cdot_C a = a \cdot_{C^{\text{op}}} f \cdot_{C^{\text{op}}} b = f.$$

A morphism in  $\overline{C}^{\text{op}}((c, a), (d, b)) = \overline{C}((d, b), (c, a))$  is a morphism  $f : C(d, c)$  such that  $b \cdot f \cdot a = f$ .

Now, in both categories, the identity morphism on  $(c, a)$  is given by  $a$ .

Lastly,  $\overline{C^{\text{op}}}$  inherits morphism composition from  $C^{\text{op}}$ , which is the opposite of composition in  $C$ . On the other hand, composition in  $\overline{C}^{\text{op}}$  is the opposite of composition in  $\overline{C}$ , which inherits composition from  $C$ . □

COROLLARY 3. *As the category **SET** is cocomplete, we have an equivalence between the category of presheaves on  $C$  and the category of presheaves on  $\overline{C}$ :*

$$[C^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{SET}] \cong [\overline{C^{\text{op}}}, \mathbf{SET}] \cong [\overline{C}^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{SET}].$$

## 8. Monoids as categories

Take a monoid  $M$ .

DEFINITION 9. We can construct a category  $C_M$  with  $C_{M0} = \{\star\}$ ,  $C_M(\star, \star) = M$ . The identity morphism on  $\star$  is the identity  $1 : M$ . The composition is given by multiplication  $g \cdot_{C_M} f = f \cdot_M g$ .

REMARK 6. Actually, we have a functor from the category of monoids to the category of setcategories (categories whose object type is a set).

A monoid morphism  $f : M \rightarrow M'$  is equivalent to a functor  $F_f : C_M \rightarrow C_{M'}$ . Any functor between  $C_M$  and  $C_{M'}$  sends  $\star_M$  to  $\star_{M'}$ . The monoid morphism manifests as  $F_f(m) = f(m)$  for  $m : C_M(\star, \star) = M$ .

LEMMA 8. *An isomorphism of monoids gives an (adjoint) equivalence of categories.*

PROOF. Given an isomorphism  $f : M \rightarrow M'$ . Then we have functors  $F_f : C_M \rightarrow C_{M'}$  and  $F_{f^{-1}} : C_{M'} \rightarrow C_M$ . Take the identity natural transformations  $\eta : \text{id}_{C_M} \Rightarrow F_f \bullet F_{f^{-1}}$  and  $\epsilon : F_{f^{-1}} \bullet F_f \Rightarrow \text{id}_{C_{M'}}$ . Of course these are natural isomorphisms. □

DEFINITION 10. A *right monoid action* of  $M$  on a set  $X$  is a function  $X \times M \rightarrow X$  such that for all  $x : X$ ,  $m, m' : M$ ,

$$x1 = x \quad \text{and} \quad (xm)m' = x(m \cdot m').$$

DEFINITION 11. A *morphism* between sets  $X$  and  $Y$  with a right  $M$ -action is an  $M$ -equivariant function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ : a function such that  $f(xm) = f(x)m$  for all  $x : X$  and  $m : M$ .

LEMMA 9. *Presheaves on  $C_M$  are equivalent to sets with a right  $M$ -action.*

PROOF. This correspondence sends a presheaf  $F$  to the set  $F(\star)$ , and conversely, the set  $X$  to the presheaf  $F$  given by  $F(\star) := X$ . The  $M$ -action corresponds to the presheaf acting on morphisms as  $xm = F(m)(x)$ . A morphism (natural transformation) between presheaves  $F \Rightarrow G$  corresponds to a function  $F(\star) \rightarrow G(\star)$  that is  $M$ -equivariant, which is exactly a monoid action morphism.  $\square$

REMARK 7. Since the category of sets with an  $M$ -action is equivalent to a presheaf category, it has all limits. However, we can make this concrete. The set of the product  $\prod_i X_i$  is the product of the underlying sets. The action is given pointwise by  $(x_i)_i m = (x_i m)_i$ .

Note that the initial set with  $M$ -action is  $\{\star\}$ , with action  $\star m = \star$ .

LEMMA 10. *The global elements of a set with right  $M$ -action correspond to the elements that are invariant under the  $M$ -action.*

PROOF. A global element of  $X$  is a morphism  $\varphi : \{\star\} \rightarrow X$  such that for all  $m : M$ ,  $\varphi(\star)m = \varphi(\star m) = \varphi(\star)$ . Therefore, it is given precisely by the element  $\varphi(\star) : X$ , which must be invariant under the  $M$ -action.  $\square$

LEMMA 11. *The category  $C$  of sets with an  $M$ -action has exponentials.*

PROOF. Given sets with  $M$ -action  $X$  and  $Y$ . Consider the set  $C(M \times X, Y)$  with an  $M$ -action given by  $\phi m'(m, x) = \phi(m'm, x)$ . This is the exponential object  $X^Y$ , with the (universal) evaluation morphism  $X \times X^Y \rightarrow Y$  given by  $(x, \phi) \mapsto \phi(1, x)$ .  $\square$

DEFINITION 12. We can view  $M$  as a set  $U_M$  with right  $M$ -action  $mn = m \cdot_M n$  for  $m : U_M$  and  $n : M$ .

**8.1. Extension and restriction of scalars.** Let  $\varphi : M \rightarrow M'$  be a morphism of monoids.

Remember that sets with a right monoid action are equivalent to presheaves on the monoid category. Also,  $\varphi$  is equivalent to a functor between the monoid categories. The following is a specific case of the concepts in the section about Kan extension:

LEMMA 12. *We get a restriction of scalars functor  $\varphi_*$  from sets with a right  $M'$ -action to sets with a right  $M$ -action.*

PROOF. Given a set  $X$  with right  $M'$ -action, take the set  $X$  again, and give it a right  $M$ -action, sending  $(x, m)$  to  $x\varphi(m)$ .

On morphisms, send an  $M'$ -equivariant morphism  $f : X \rightarrow X'$  to the  $M$ -equivariant morphism  $f : X \rightarrow X'$ .  $\square$

Since **SET** has colimits, and restriction of scalars corresponds to precomposition of presheaves (on  $C_{M'}$ ), we can give it a left adjoint. This is the (pointwise) left Kan extension, which boils down to:

LEMMA 13. *We get an extension of scalars functor  $\varphi^*$  from sets with a right  $M$ -action to sets with a right  $M'$ -action.*

PROOF. Given a set  $X$  with right  $M$ -action. Take  $Y = X \times M' / \sim$  with the relation  $(xm, m') \sim (x, f(m) \cdot m')$  for  $m : M$ . This has a right  $M'$ -action given by  $(x, m')n' = (x, m'n')$ .

On morphisms, it sends the  $m$ -equivariant  $f : X \rightarrow X'$  to the morphism  $(x, m') \mapsto (f(x), m')$ .  $\square$

LEMMA 14. *For  $U_M$  the set  $M$  with right  $M$ -action, we have  $\varphi^*(U_M) \cong U_{M'}$ .*

PROOF. The proof relies on the fact that for all  $m : U_M$  and  $m' : M'$ , we have

$$(m, m') \sim (1, \varphi(m)m').$$

$\square$

Consider the category  $D$  with  $D_0 = M'$  and

$$D(m', \overline{m}') = \{m : M \mid \varphi(m) \cdot m' = \overline{m}'\}.$$

LEMMA 15. *Suppose that  $D$  has a weakly terminal element. Then for  $I_M$  the terminal object in the category of sets with a right  $M$ -action, we have  $\varphi^*(I_M) \cong I_{M'}$ .*

PROOF. If  $D$  has a weakly terminal object, there exists  $m_0 : M'$  such that for all  $m' : M'$ , there exists  $m : M$  such that  $\varphi(m) \cdot m' = m_0$ .

The proof relies on the fact that every element of  $\varphi^*(I_M)$  is given by some  $(\star, m')$ , but then

$$(\star, m') = (\star \cdot m, m') \sim (\star, \varphi(m) \cdot m') = (\star, \overline{m}'),$$

so  $\varphi^*(I_M)$  has exactly 1 element.  $\square$

REMARK 8. For  $\varphi^*$  to preserve terminal objects, we actually only need  $D$  to be connected. The fact that  $\varphi^*(I_M)$  is a quotient by a symmetric and transitive relation then allows us to ‘walk’ from any  $(\star, m'_1)$  to any other  $(\star, m'_2)$  in small steps.

For any  $m'_1, m'_2 : M'$ , consider the category  $D_{m'_1, m'_2}$ , given by

$$D_{m'_1, m'_2, 0} = \{(m', m_1, m_2) : M' \times M \times M \mid m'_i = \varphi(m_i) \cdot m'\}$$

and

$$D_{m'_1, m'_2}((m', m_1, m_2), (\overline{m}', \overline{m}_1, \overline{m}_2)) = \{m : M \mid \varphi(m) \cdot m' = \overline{m}', m_i = \overline{m}_i \cdot m\}.$$

LEMMA 16. *Suppose that  $D_{m'_1, m'_2}$  has a weakly terminal object for all  $m'_1, m'_2 : M'$ . Then for sets  $A$  and  $B$  with right  $M$ -action, we have  $\varphi^*(A \times B) \cong \varphi^*(A) \times \varphi^*(B)$ .*

PROOF. Now, any element in  $\varphi^*(A) \times \varphi^*(B) = (A \times M' / \sim) \times (B \times M' / \sim)$  is given by some  $(a, m'_1, b, m'_2)$ .

The fact that  $D_{m'_1, m'_2}$  has a weakly terminal object means that we have some  $\overline{m}' : M'$  and  $\overline{m}_1, \overline{m}_2 : M$  with  $m'_i = \varphi(\overline{m}_i) \cdot \overline{m}'$ . Therefore,

$$(a, m'_1, b, m'_2) = (a, \varphi(\overline{m}_1) \cdot \overline{m}', b, \varphi(\overline{m}_2) \cdot \overline{m}') \sim (a\overline{m}_1, \overline{m}', b\overline{m}_2, \overline{m}'),$$

so this is equivalent to some element in  $\varphi^*(A \times B) = (A \times B \times M' / \sim)$ .

The fact that  $(\overline{m}', \overline{m}_1, \overline{m}_2)$  is weakly terminal also means that for all  $m' : M'$  and  $m_1, m_2 : M$  with  $m'_i = \varphi(m_i) \cdot m'$ , there exists  $m : M$  such that  $\varphi(m) \cdot m' = \overline{m}'$  and  $m_i = \overline{m}_i \cdot m$ . This means that the equivalence that we established is actually well-defined: equivalent elements in  $\varphi^*(A) \times \varphi^*(B)$  are sent to equivalent elements in  $\varphi^*(A \times B)$ .  $\square$

## CHAPTER 2

# Univalent Foundations

1. Equality and homotopy
2. hProps and hSets
3. Transports and transport hell
4. The univalence axiom
5. Univalent categories



## CHAPTER 3

# Algebraic Structures

### 1. Algebraic Theories

DEFINITION 13. We define an *algebraic theory*  $T$  to be a sequence of sets  $T_n$  indexed over  $\mathbb{N}$  with for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$  elements ("variables" or "projections")  $x_{n,i} : T_n$  (we usually leave  $n$  implicit), together with a substitution operation

$$- \bullet - : T_m \times T_n^m \rightarrow T_n$$

for all  $m, n$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} x_j \bullet g &= g_j \\ f \bullet (x_{l,i})_i &= f \\ (f \bullet g) \bullet h &= f \bullet (g_i \bullet h)_i \end{aligned}$$

for all  $1 \leq j \leq l$ ,  $f : T_l$ ,  $g : T_m^l$  and  $h : T_n^m$ .

DEFINITION 14. A *morphism*  $F$  between algebraic theories  $T$  and  $T'$  is a sequence of functions  $F_n : T_n \rightarrow T'_n$  (we usually leave the  $n$  implicit) such that

$$\begin{aligned} F_n(x_j) &= x_j \\ F_n(f \bullet g) &= F_m(f) \bullet (F_n(g_i))_i \end{aligned}$$

for all  $1 \leq j \leq n$ ,  $f : T_m$  and  $g : T_n^m$ .

REMARK 9. We can construct binary products of algebraic theories, with sets  $(T \times T')_n = T_n \times T'_n$ , variables  $(x_i, x'_i)$  and substitution

$$(f, f') \bullet (g, g') = (f \bullet g, f' \bullet g').$$

In the same way, the category of algebraic theories has all limits.

### 2. Algebras

DEFINITION 15. An *algebra*  $A$  for an algebraic theory  $T$  is a set  $A$ , together with an action

$$\bullet : T_n \times A^n \rightarrow A$$

for all  $n$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} x_j \bullet a &= a_j \\ (f \bullet g) \bullet a &= f \bullet (g_i \bullet a)_i \end{aligned}$$

for all  $j$ ,  $f : T_m$ ,  $g : T_n^m$  and  $a : A^n$ .

DEFINITION 16. For an algebraic theory  $T$ , a *morphism*  $F$  between  $T$ -algebras  $A$  and  $A'$  is a function  $F : A \rightarrow A'$  such that

$$F(f \bullet a) = f \bullet (F(a_i))_i$$

for all  $f : T_n$  and  $a : A^n$ .

REMARK 10. The category of algebras has all limits. The set of a limit of algebras is the limit of the underlying sets.

REMARK 11. Note that for an algebraic theory  $T$ , the  $T_n$  are all algebras for  $T$ , with the action given by  $\bullet$ .

LEMMA 17. (*TODO*) *Fibration*

### 3. Presheaves

DEFINITION 17. A *presheaf*  $P$  for an algebraic theory  $T$  is a sequence of sets  $P_n$  indexed over  $\mathbb{N}$ , together with an action

$$\bullet : P_m \times T_n^m \rightarrow P_n$$

for all  $m, n$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} t \bullet (x_{l,i})_i &= t \\ (t \bullet f) \bullet g &= t \bullet (f_i \bullet g)_i \end{aligned}$$

for all  $t : P_l$ ,  $f : T_m^l$  and  $g : T_n^m$ .

DEFINITION 18. For an algebraic theory  $T$ , a *morphism*  $F$  between  $T$ -presheaves  $P$  and  $P'$  is a sequence of functions  $F_n : P_n \rightarrow P'_n$  such that

$$F_n(t \bullet f) = F_n(t) \bullet f$$

for all  $t : P_m$  and  $f : T_n^m$ .

We will write  $\mathbf{Pshf}_T$  for the category of  $T$ -presheaves and their morphisms.

REMARK 12. The category of presheaves has all limits. The  $n$ th set  $\bar{P}_n$  of a limit  $\bar{P}$  of presheaves  $P_i$  is the limit of the  $n$ th sets  $P_{i,n}$  of the presheaves in the limit diagram.

LEMMA 18. (*TODO*) *Fibration*

### 4. $\lambda$ -theories

Let  $\iota_{m,n} : T_m \rightarrow T_{m+n}$  be the function that sends  $f$  to  $f \bullet (x_{m+n,1}, \dots, x_{m+n,m})$ . Note that

$$\iota_{m,n}(f) \bullet g = f \bullet (g_i)_{i \leq m} \quad \text{and} \quad \iota_{m,n}(f \bullet g) = f \bullet (\iota_{m,n}(g_i))_i.$$

For tuples  $x : X^m$  and  $y : X^n$ , let  $x+y$  denote the tuple  $(x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_n) : X^{m+n}$ .

DEFINITION 19 ( $\lambda$ -theory). A  $\lambda$ -theory is an algebraic theory  $L$ , together with sequences of functions  $\lambda_n : L_{n+1} \rightarrow L_n$  and  $\rho_n : L_n \rightarrow L_{n+1}$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_m(f) \bullet h &= \lambda_n(f \bullet ((\iota_{n,1}(h_i))_i + (x_{n+1}))) \\ \rho_n(g \bullet h) &= \rho_m(g) \bullet ((\iota_{n,1}(h_i))_i + (x_{n+1})) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $f : L_{m+1}$ ,  $g : L_m$  and  $h : L_n^m$ .

DEFINITION 20 ( $\beta$ - and  $\eta$ -equality). We say that a  $\lambda$ -theory  $L$  satisfies  $\beta$ -equality (or that it is a  $\lambda$ -theory with  $\beta$ ) if  $\rho_n \circ \lambda_n = \text{id}_{L_n}$  for all  $n$ . We say that it satisfies  $\eta$ -equality if  $\lambda_n \circ \rho_n = \text{id}_{L_{n+1}}$  for all  $n$ .

DEFINITION 21 ( $\lambda$ -theory morphism). A *morphism*  $F$  between  $\lambda$ -theories  $L$  and  $L'$  is an algebraic theory morphism  $F$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} F_n(\lambda_n(f)) &= \lambda_n(F_{n+1}(f)) \\ \rho_n(F_n(g)) &= F_{n+1}(\rho_n(g)) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $f : L_{n+1}$  and  $g : L_n$ .

REMARK 13. The category of lambda theories has all limits, with the underlying algebraic theory of a limit being the limit of the underlying algebraic theories.

A  $\lambda$ -theory algebra or presheaf is a presheaf for the underlying algebraic theory.



## 5. Examples

There is a lot of different examples of algebraic theories and their algebras. Some of these even turn out to be  $\lambda$ -theories. In this section, we will discuss a couple of these.

### 5.1. The free algebraic theory on a set.

EXAMPLE 1. Let  $S$  be a set. We can construct an algebraic theory  $F(S)$  by taking  $F(S)_n = S \sqcup \{1, \dots, n\}$  with projections  $x_i = i$  and substitution

$$i \bullet g = g_i \qquad s \bullet g = s$$

for  $i : \{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $s : S$ .

If we have a function  $f : S \rightarrow S'$ , we get a morphism  $F(f) : F(S) \rightarrow F(S')$  given by

$$F(f)_n(i) = i \qquad F(f)_n(s) = f(s)$$

for  $i : \{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $s : S$ .

Also,  $F$  obviously respects the identity and substitution morphisms, so it is a functor.

Note that we have a forgetful functor  $(\cdot)_0$  that sends a morphism of algebraic theories  $g : T \rightarrow T'$  to the function  $f_0 : T_0 \rightarrow T'_0$ .

LEMMA 19. *The algebraic theory  $F(S)$  defined above, is the free algebraic theory on the set  $S$ .*

PROOF. Let  $T$  be an algebraic theory. We have an equivalence

$$\mathbf{AlgTh}(F(S), T) \cong \mathbf{SET}(S, T_0),$$

sending  $f : \mathbf{AlgTh}(F(S), T)$  to  $f_0 : S = S \sqcup \emptyset \rightarrow T_0$  (this is trivially natural in  $S$  and  $T$ ) and  $f : \mathbf{SET}(S, T_0)$  to the functions  $g_n : F(S)_n \rightarrow T_n$  given by

$$g_n(i) = x_i \qquad g_n(s) = f(s) \bullet ().$$

□

The proofs that  $F(S)$  is an algebraic theory and that  $F(f)$  and  $g$  are algebraic theory morphisms is an easy exercise in case distinction.

COROLLARY 4.  *$F(\emptyset)$  is the initial algebraic theory.*

PROOF. For  $S = \emptyset$ , the equivalence of hom-sets becomes

$$\mathbf{AlgTh}(F(\emptyset), T) \cong \mathbf{SET}(\emptyset, T_0)$$

and the latter has exactly one element. □

LEMMA 20. *There is an adjoint equivalence between the category  $\mathbf{Alg}_{F(S)}$  and the coslice category  $((\mathbf{TODO}) \downarrow S \downarrow \mathbf{SET})$ .*

PROOF. For the equivalence, we send a  $F(S)$ -algebra  $A$  to the set  $A$  with morphism  $s \mapsto s \bullet ()$ . An algebra morphism  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is sent to the coslice morphism  $f : (S \rightarrow A) \rightarrow (S \rightarrow B)$ . This constitutes a functor.

Note that the category of  $F(S)$ -algebras is univalent.

Also, the functor is fully faithful, since one can show that for  $F(S)$ -algebras, the coslice morphism  $\varphi : (f : S \rightarrow A) \rightarrow (f' : S \rightarrow B)$  also has the structure of an algebra morphism  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ .

Lastly, the functor is essentially surjective, since we can lift a coslice  $f : S \rightarrow X$  to a  $F(S)$ -algebra  $X$ , with action

$$i \bullet x = x_i \quad \text{and} \quad s \bullet x = f(s).$$

Therefore, the functor  $\mathbf{Alg}_{F(S)} \rightarrow S \downarrow \mathbf{SET}$  is an adjoint equivalence.

The proofs of these facts work by simple case distinction, and by using the properties of the coslice and algebra morphisms.  $\square$

$F(\emptyset)$  is, in some sense, the smallest nontrivial algebraic theory. Then  $F(S)$  is the smallest nontrivial algebraic theory that has the elements of  $S$  as constants.

**5.2. The free  $\lambda$ -theory on a set.** Like with the free algebraic theory, we will construct the free  $\lambda$ -theory as the smallest nontrivial  $\lambda$ -theory (which is the  $\lambda$ -calculus) with some additional constants.

Let  $S$  be a set. Consider the sequence of inductive types  $(\Lambda(S)_n)_n$  with the following constructors:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Var}_n &: \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \Lambda(S)_n; \\ \mathbf{App}_n &: \Lambda(S)_n \rightarrow \Lambda(S)_n \rightarrow \Lambda(S)_n; \\ \mathbf{Abs}_n &: \Lambda(S)_{n+1} \rightarrow \Lambda(S)_n; \\ \mathbf{Con}_n &: S \rightarrow \Lambda(S)_n. \end{aligned}$$

Define a substitution operator  $\bullet : \Lambda(S)_m \times \Lambda(S)_n^m \rightarrow \Lambda(S)_n$  by induction on the first argument:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Var}_m(i) \bullet g &= g_i; \\ \mathbf{App}_m(a, b) \bullet g &= \mathbf{App}_n(a \bullet g, b \bullet g); \\ \mathbf{Abs}_m(a) \bullet g &= \mathbf{Abs}_n(a \bullet ((g_i \bullet (x_{n+1,j}))_i + (x_{n+1}))); \\ \mathbf{Con}_m(s) \bullet g &= \mathbf{Con}_n(s). \end{aligned}$$

And then quotient  $\Lambda(S)$  by the relation generated by

$$\mathbf{App}_m(\mathbf{Abs}_m(f), g) \sim f \bullet ((x_{n,i})_i + (g))$$

for all  $f : \Lambda(S)_{n+1}$  and  $g : \Lambda(S)_n$ .

**EXAMPLE 2.** We can give the sequence of sets  $\Lambda(S)$  an algebraic theory structure with variables  $x_{m,i} = \mathbf{Var}_m(i)$  and the substitution operator  $\bullet$  defined above. We can give  $\Lambda(S)$  a  $\lambda$ -theory structure with  $\beta$ -equality by taking

$$\lambda_n(f) = \mathbf{Abs}_n(f) \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_n(f) = \mathbf{App}_{n+1}(f \bullet (\mathbf{Var}_{n+1}(i))_i, \mathbf{Var}_{n+1}(n+1)).$$

Now, given a function  $S \rightarrow S'$ , we define a morphism  $\mathbf{AlgTh}(\Lambda(S), \Lambda(S'))$  by induction, sending  $\mathbf{Var}(i)$ ,  $\mathbf{App}(a, b)$  and  $\mathbf{Abs}(a)$  in  $\Lambda(S)$  to their corresponding elements in  $\Lambda(S')$  and sending  $\mathbf{Con}(s)$  to  $\mathbf{Con}(f(s))$ .

Note that, like with the previous example, we have a forgetful functor  $(\cdot)_0 : \mathbf{AlgTh} \rightarrow \mathbf{SET}$ .

**LEMMA 21.**  $\Lambda(S)$  is the free  $\lambda$ -theory on  $S$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $L$  be a  $\lambda$ -theory. We have an equivalence

$$\mathbf{AlgTh}(\Lambda(S), L) \cong \mathbf{SET}(S, L_0),$$

sending  $f : \mathbf{AlgTh}(\Lambda(S), L)$  to  $f_0|_S : S \rightarrow L_0$  (again, trivially natural in  $S$  and  $L$ ) and conversely,  $g : \mathbf{SET}(S, L_0)$  to the inductively defined  $f : \mathbf{AlgTh}(\Lambda(S), L)$  given by

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{Var}(i)) &= x_i; \\ f(\mathbf{App}(a, b)) &= \rho(f(a)) \bullet ((x_{n,i})_i + (b)); \\ f(\mathbf{Abs}(a)) &= \lambda(f(a)); \\ f(\mathbf{Con}(s)) &= g(s) \bullet (). \end{aligned}$$

□

The proofs that  $\Lambda(S)$  is indeed a  $\lambda$ -theory and that  $\Lambda(f)$  and  $g$  are  $\lambda$ -theory morphisms, mainly work by definition of  $\bullet$ ,  $\lambda$  and  $\rho$ , by induction on the terms of  $\Lambda(S)$  and by invoking the properties of the  $\lambda$ -theory  $L$ .

COROLLARY 5. *The ‘pure’ lambda calculus is the initial  $\lambda$ -theory.*

PROOF. If we take  $S = \emptyset$ ,  $\Lambda(\emptyset)$  is the lambda calculus, which we will call  $\Lambda$ . We have, like with the free algebraic theory, that  $\Lambda(\emptyset)$  is the initial  $\lambda$ -theory. □

### 5.3. The free object algebraic theory.

EXAMPLE 3. Take a category  $C$ , with a forgetful functor  $G : C \rightarrow \mathbf{SET}$  and a free functor  $F : \mathbf{SET} \rightarrow C$ . Let  $\eta : \text{id}_{\mathbf{SET}} \Rightarrow F \bullet G$  be the unit of the adjunction and let  $\varphi : C(F(c), d) \cong \mathbf{SET}(c, G(d))$  be the natural equivalence of homsets.

We define an algebraic theory  $T$  with  $T_n = G(F(\{1, \dots, n\}))$ , projections  $x_{n,i} = \eta_{\{1, \dots, n\}}(i)$ . For the substitution, note that we take  $t_1, \dots, t_m : T_n$ , so we have  $t : \{1, \dots, m\} \rightarrow G(F(\{1, \dots, n\}))$ . We then take

$$s \bullet t = G(\varphi^{-1}(t))(s).$$

Now, given an object  $c : C$ , we can create a  $T$ -algebra  $\alpha(c)$ , with set  $G(c)$  and action

$$s \bullet t = G(\varphi^{-1}(t))(s).$$

Also, given a morphism  $f : C(c, d)$ . This gives a morphism  $G(f) : \alpha(c) \rightarrow \alpha(d)$ . Therefore,  $\alpha : C \rightarrow \mathbf{Alg}_T$  is a functor.

The proofs that  $T$  is an algebraic theory, that  $G(c)$  is an algebra and that  $G(f)$  is an algebra morphism mainly rely on the fact that  $\varphi$  is natural.

So we have a functor from  $C$  to the category of  $T$ -algebras. One can wonder whether there also is a functor the other way, or whether  $\alpha$  is even an equivalence. This is hard to characterize precisely, but in algebra, there is a broad class of examples where the functor is an equivalence, so where  $C$  is equivalent to  $\mathbf{Alg}_T$ . That is probably why  $T$  is called an *algebraic theory*.

The idea is that if an object of  $C$  is a set, together with some operations between its elements, one can carefully choose some elements of  $T_0, T_1, T_2$  etc., which act on an algebra like the specified operations.

EXAMPLE 4. For  $C$  the category of monoids,  $\alpha : C \rightarrow \mathbf{Alg}_T$  is an adjoint equivalence.

Note that  $T_n$  is the free monoid on  $n$  elements. Its elements can be viewed as strings  $(x_1 x_5 x_3 x_{18} \dots x_7)$  with the characters  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , with the  $x_i$  the generators of the monoid, acting as the projections of the algebraic theory.

Let  $A$  be a  $T$ -algebra. We can give  $A$  a monoid structure by taking, for  $a, b : A$ ,

$$ab = (x_1 x_2) \bullet (a, b)$$

and unit element

$$1 = () \bullet ().$$

Then the laws like associativity follow from those laws on the monoid and from the fact that the action on the algebra commutes with the substitution:

$$a(bc) = (x_1(x_2 x_3)) \bullet (a, b, c) = ((x_1 x_2) x_3) \bullet (a, b, c) = (ab)c.$$

Note that if we take a monoid, turn it into a  $T$ -algebra and then into a monoid again, we still have the same underlying set, and it turns out that the monoid operation and unit element are equal to the original monoid operation and unit

element. Therefore,  $\alpha$  is essentially surjective. It is also fully faithful, since any  $T$ -algebra morphism respects the action of  $T$ , which makes it into a monoid morphism. Therefore,  $\alpha$  is an adjoint equivalence.

REMARK 14. In the same way, one can characterize groups, rings and  $R$ -algebras (for  $R$  a ring) as algebras of some algebraic theory. On the other hand, one can not use this method to describe fields as algebras for some theory  $T$ , because one would need to describe the inverse  $z \mapsto z^{-1}$  operation as  $t \bullet (z)$  for some  $t : T_1$ , with  $zz^{-1} = 1$ , but since the elements of the algebraic theory act on all (combinations of) elements of the algebra, one would be able to take the inverse  $0^{-1} = t \bullet (0)$  with  $00^{-1} = 1$ , which would make no sense.

REMARK 15. Another counterexample is the category **Top** of topological spaces. We have a forgetful functor  $G : \mathbf{Top} \rightarrow \mathbf{SET}$  that just forgets the topology. On the other hand, we have a free functor  $F : \mathbf{SET} \rightarrow \mathbf{Top}$  which endows a set with the discrete topology. The construction above yields the initial algebraic theory  $T_n = \{1, \dots, n\}$ , with an algebra action on every topological space  $i \bullet (a_1, \dots, a_n) = a_i$ . Now, note that we can endow the set  $\{\top, \perp\}$  with four different, nonisomorphic topologies, which all yield the same  $T$ -algebra. In other words: the  $T$ -algebra structure does not preserve the topological information. Therefore, the functor  $\alpha : \mathbf{Top} \rightarrow \mathbf{Alg}_T$  is not an equivalence.

#### 5.4. The terminal theory.

EXAMPLE 5. We can create a (somewhat trivial) algebraic theory  $T$  by taking  $T_n = \{\star\}$ , with projections  $x_i = \star$  and substitution  $\star \bullet \star = \star$ . Taking  $\lambda(\star) = \star$  and  $\rho(\star) = \star$ , we give it a  $\lambda$ -theory structure (with  $\beta$  and  $\eta$ -equality). Checking that this is indeed an algebraic theory and even a  $\lambda$ -theory is trivial.

Now, given any other algebraic theory  $T'$ , there exists a unique function  $T'_n \rightarrow T_n$  for every  $n$ , sending everything to  $\star$ . These functions actually constitute an algebraic theory morphism  $T' \rightarrow T$ . If  $T'$  is a  $\lambda$ -theory, the algebraic theory morphism is actually a  $\lambda$ -theory morphism. Again, checking this is trivial.

Therefore,  $T$  is the terminal algebraic theory and  $\lambda$ -theory.

LEMMA 22.  $\{\star\}$  is the only algebra of the terminal theory.

PROOF. Let  $A$  be a  $T$ -algebra. First of all, we have an element  $\star_A = \star_T \bullet_0 ()$ . Secondly, for all elements  $\star, \star' : A$ , we have

$$\star = x_1 \bullet (\star, \star') = \star \bullet (\star, \star') = x_2 \bullet (\star, \star') = \star'.$$

Therefore,  $A = \{\star\}$ , which allows exactly one possible  $T$ -action:

$$\star \bullet (\star, \dots, \star) = \star.$$

□

#### 5.5. The endomorphism theory.

DEFINITION 22. Suppose that we have a category  $C$  and an object  $X : C$ , such that all powers  $X^n$  of  $X$  are also in  $C$ . The *endomorphism theory*  $E(X)$  of  $X$  is the algebraic theory given by  $E(X)_n = C(X^n, X)$  with projections as variables  $x_{n,i} : X^n \rightarrow X$  and a substitution that sends  $f : X^m \rightarrow X$  and  $g_1, \dots, g_m : X^n \rightarrow X$  to  $f \circ \langle g_i \rangle_i : X^n \rightarrow X^m \rightarrow X$ .

DEFINITION 23. Now, suppose that the exponential object  $X^X$  exists, and that we have morphisms back and forth  $abs : X^X \rightarrow X$  and  $app : X \rightarrow X^X$ . Let, for  $Y : C$ ,  $\varphi_Y$  be the isomorphism  $C(X \times Y, X) \xrightarrow{\sim} C(Y, X^X)$ . We can give  $E(X)$  a  $\lambda$ -theory structure by setting, for  $f : E(X)_{n+1}$  and  $g : E(X)_n$ ,

$$\lambda(f) = abs \circ \varphi_{X^n}(f) \quad \rho(g) = \varphi_{X^n}^{-1}(app \circ g).$$

The proofs that  $E(X)$  is an algebraic theory and a  $\lambda$ -theory, use properties of the product, and naturality of the isomorphism  $\varphi_Y$ .

### 5.6. The theory algebra.

EXAMPLE 6. Let  $T$  be an algebraic theory and  $n$  a natural number. We can endow the  $T_n$  with a  $T$ -algebra structure, by taking the substitution operator of  $T$  as the  $T$ -action. Since this commutes with the substitution operator and the projections,  $T_n$  is a  $T$ -algebra.

### 5.7. The theory presheaf.

EXAMPLE 7. Let  $T$  be an algebraic theory. We can endow  $T$  with a  $T$ -presheaf structure, by taking the substitution operator of  $T$  as the action on  $T$ . Since this commutes with the substitution operator and the projections,  $T$  is a  $T$ -presheaf.

LEMMA 23. *Given an algebraic theory  $T$  and a  $T$ -presheaf  $Q$ , we have for all  $n$  a bijection of sets*

$$\varphi : \mathbf{Pshf}_T(T^n, Q) \cong Q_n.$$

PROOF. For  $f : \mathbf{Pshf}_T(T^n, Q)$ , take  $\varphi(f) = f_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ .

Conversely, for all  $q : Q_n$  and all  $t_1, \dots, t_n : T_m^n$  take

$$\varphi^{-1}(q)_m(t_1, \dots, t_n) = q \bullet t.$$

□

### 5.8. The “+l” presheaf.

EXAMPLE 8 (The ‘+l’ presheaf). Given a  $T$ -presheaf  $Q$ , we can construct a presheaf  $A(Q, l)$  with  $A(Q, l)_n = Q_{n+l}$  and, for  $q : A(Q, l)_m$  and  $f : T_m^n$ , action

$$q \bullet_{A(Q, l)} f = q \bullet_Q ((\iota_{n, l}(f_i))_i + (x_{n+i})_i).$$

LEMMA 24. *For all  $l$  and  $T$ -presheaves  $Q$ ,  $A(Q, l)$  is the exponential object  $Q^{T^l}$ .*

PROOF. We will show that  $A(-, l)$  constitutes a right adjoint to the functor  $- \times T^l$ . We will do this using universal arrows.

For  $Q$  a  $T$ -presheaf, take the arrow  $\varphi : A(Q, l) \times T^l \rightarrow Q$  given by  $\varphi(q, t) = q \bullet_Q ((x_{n, i})_i + t)$  for  $q : A(Q, l)_n = Q_{n+l}$  and  $t : T_n^l$ .

Now, given a  $T$ -presheaf  $Q'$  and a morphism  $\psi : Q' \times T^l \rightarrow Q$ . Define  $\tilde{\psi} : Q'_n \rightarrow A(Q, l)_n$  by  $\tilde{\psi}(q) = \psi(\iota_{n, l}(q), (x_{n+i})_i)$ .

Then  $\psi$  factors as  $\varphi \circ (\tilde{\psi} \times \text{id}_{T^l})$ . Also, some equational reasoning shows that  $\tilde{\psi}$  is unique, which proves that  $\varphi$  indeed is a universal arrow. □



## CHAPTER 4

### Previous work in categorical semantics

#### 1. The correspondence between categories and $\lambda$ -calculi

In [SH80], Scott and Lambek argue that there is a correspondence between simply typed  $\lambda$ -calculi and cartesian closed categories (categories with products and ‘function objects’). (See page 413).

Types in the  $\lambda$ -calculus correspond to objects in the category.

Types  $A \rightarrow B$  in the  $\lambda$ -calculus correspond to exponential objects  $B^A$  in the category.

Terms in the  $\lambda$ -calculus of type  $B$ , with free variables  $x_1 : A_1, \dots, x_n : A_n$ , correspond to morphisms  $A_1 \times \dots \times A_n \rightarrow B$ .

A free variable  $x_i : A_i$  in a context with free variables  $x_1 : A_1, \dots, x_n : A_n$  corresponds to the projection morphism  $\pi_i : A_1 \times \dots \times A_n \rightarrow A_i$ .

Given a term  $s : B_1 \rightarrow B_2$  and a term  $t : B_1$ , both with free variables  $x_1 : A_1, \dots, x_n : A_n$ , corresponding to morphisms  $\bar{s} : A_1 \times \dots \times A_n \rightarrow B_2$  and  $\bar{t} : A_1 \times \dots \times A_n \rightarrow B_1$ , the application  $st : B_2$  corresponds to the composite of the product morphism with the evaluation morphism  $A_1 \times \dots \times A_n \rightarrow B_2^{B_1} \times B_1 \rightarrow B_2$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & A_1 \times \dots \times A_n & & & \\
 & \swarrow \bar{s} & \downarrow \langle \bar{s}, \bar{t} \rangle & \searrow \bar{t} & \\
 B_2^{B_1} & \xleftarrow{\pi_1} & B_2^{B_1} \times B_1 & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & B_1 \\
 & & \downarrow ev & & \\
 & & B_2 & & 
 \end{array}$$

Given a term  $t : B$  with free variables  $x_1 : A_1, \dots, x_n : A_n$ , the abstraction  $(\lambda x_n, t) : A_n \rightarrow B$  corresponds to using the adjunction  $- \times A_n \dashv (-)^{A_n}$ :

$$C(A_1 \times \dots \times A_{n-1} \times A_n, B) \simeq C(A_1 \times \dots \times A_{n-1}, B^{A_n}).$$

(TODO) How about the untyped  $\lambda$ -calculus?

#### 2. Scott’s Representation Theorem

#### 3. The Taylor Fibration





## CHAPTER 5

# The paper

### 1. Scott's Representation Theorem

**THEOREM 1.** *Any  $\lambda$ -theory  $L$  is isomorphic to the endomorphism  $\lambda$ -theory  $E(L)$  of  $L : \mathbf{Pshf}_L$ , which is  $L$ , viewed as a presheaf in its own presheaf category.*

**PROOF.** First of all, remember that  $L$  is indeed exponentiable and that  $L^L = A(L, 1)$ . Now, since  $L$  is a  $\lambda$ -theory, we have sequences of functions back and forth  $\lambda_n : A(L, 1)_n \rightarrow L_n$  and  $\rho_n : L_n \rightarrow A(L, 1)_n$ . These commute with the  $L$ -actions, so they constitute presheaf morphisms and  $E(L)$  is indeed a  $\lambda$ -theory.

Lemma 23 gives a sequence of bijections  $\varphi_n : \mathbf{Pshf}_L(L^n, L) \cong L_n$  for all  $n$ , sending  $F : \mathbf{Pshf}_L(L^n, L)$  to  $F(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , and conversely sending  $s : L_n$  to  $((t_1, \dots, t_n) \mapsto s \bullet (t_1, \dots, t_n))$ . It considers  $\lambda$ -terms in  $n$  variables as  $n$ -ary functions on the  $\lambda$ -calculus. Therefore, it should come as no surprise that  $\varphi$  preserves the  $x_i$ ,  $\bullet$ ,  $\rho$  and  $\lambda$ , which makes it into an isomorphism of  $\lambda$ -theories and this concludes the proof.  $\square$

### 2. Locally cartesian closedness of the category of retracts

**DEFINITION 24** (Category of retracts). The category of retracts for a  $\lambda$ -theory  $L$  is the category with objects  $f : L_n$  such that  $f \bullet f = f$  and it has as morphisms  $g : f \rightarrow f'$  the terms  $g : L_n$  such that  $f' \bullet g \bullet f = g$ . The object  $f : L_n$  has identity element  $f$ , and we have composition  $g \circ g' = g \bullet g'$ . These are morphisms (**TODO**)

**LEMMA 25.** *The category of retracts is indeed a category.*

**PROOF.** (**TODO**)  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.** *The category of retracts is locally cartesian closed (**TODO**).*

### 3. Equivalences

#### 4. The Fundamental Theorem of the $\lambda$ -calculus

**DEFINITION 25** (Pullback of algebras). If we have a morphism of algebraic theories  $f : T' \rightarrow T$ , we have a functor  $AT \rightarrow AT'$ .

On objects, it sends a  $T$ -algebra  $A$  to a  $T'$ -algebra with set  $A$  and action  $g \bullet_{T'} a = f(g) \bullet_T a$ . This is a  $T'$ -algebra because (**TODO**).

On morphisms, it sends  $\varphi : A \rightarrow A$  to  $\varphi : A \rightarrow A$ . This is a  $T'$ -algebra morphism because for all  $g : T'_n$  and  $a : A^n$ , we have

$$\varphi(g \bullet_{T'} a) = \varphi(f(g) \bullet_T a) = f(g) \bullet_T \varphi(a) = g \bullet_{T'} \varphi(a).$$

**LEMMA 26.** *This is indeed a functor.*

**PROOF.** (**TODO**)  $\square$

**DEFINITION 26** (Term algebra). Given an algebraic theory  $T$ , for every  $n$ ,  $T_n$  together with the action operator  $\bullet : T_m \times T_n^m \rightarrow T_n$  gives a  $T$ -algebra.

**LEMMA 27.**  *$T_n$  is indeed a  $T$ -algebra.*

PROOF. **(TODO)** □

DEFINITION 27. For all  $n$ , we have a functor from lambda theories to  $\Lambda$ -algebras. It sends the  $\lambda$ -theory  $L$  to the  $L$ -algebra  $L_n$  and then turns this into a  $\Lambda$ -algebra via the morphism  $\Lambda \rightarrow L$ .

It sends morphisms  $f : L \rightarrow L'$  to  $f_n : L_n \rightarrow L'_n$ . This is a  $\Lambda$ -algebra morphism because **(TODO)**

LEMMA 28. *This indeed constitutes a functor.*

PROOF. **(TODO)** □

REMARK 16. Note that for a monoid  $M$ , if we view  $M$  as a category, the category  $[M^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{SET}]$  consists of sets with a right  $M$ -action.

DEFINITION 28 (The exponential object in the presheaf category). Given a monoid  $M$ , if we have two presheaves (sets with right  $M$ -actions)  $P$  and  $P'$ , we have a set of  $M$ -equivariant maps

$$F_{P,P'} = \left\{ f : M \times P \rightarrow P' \mid \prod_{p:P, m,m':M} f(m,p)m' = f(mm',pm') \right\}$$

with a right  $M$ -action, given by  $fm'(m,p) = f(m'm,p)$ . This is again  $M$ -equivariant because

$$fm'(m,p)m'' = f(m'm,p)m'' = f(m'mm'',pm'') = fm'(mm'',pm''),$$

so  $F_{P,P'}$  is a presheaf.

Now, to show that  $F_{P,P'}$  is the exponential object  $P'^P$ , we show that for any  $P$ ,  $F_{P,-}$  is the left adjoint of  $- \times P$ . So we need for all  $P' : PT$ , a universal arrow  $\varphi : F_{P,P'} \times P \rightarrow P'$ .

First of all, we have an evaluation map  $\varphi : F_{P,P'} \times P \rightarrow P'$  given by  $(f,p) \mapsto f(I,p)$  for  $I$  the unit of the monoid. This map is equivariant because for all  $m$ ,

$$(f,p)m = (fm,pm) \mapsto fm(I,pm) = f(m,pm) = f(I,p)m.$$

Now, given any presheaf  $Q$  and any morphism  $\psi : Q \times P \rightarrow P'$ , take  $\tilde{\psi} : Q \rightarrow F_{P,P'}$  given by  $\tilde{\psi}(q)(m,p) = \psi(qm,p)$ . This is equivariant because

$$\tilde{\psi}(q)m(m',p) = \tilde{\psi}(q)(mm',p) = \psi(qmm',p) = \tilde{\psi}(qm)(m',p)$$

and we have

$$\varphi(\tilde{\psi}(q),p) = \tilde{\psi}(q)(I,p) = \psi(q,p).$$

Now, suppose that we have  $\tilde{\psi}' : Q \rightarrow F_{P,P'}$  such that  $\varphi \circ (\tilde{\psi}' \times \text{id}_P) = \psi$ . Then for all  $q : Q$ ,  $m : M$  and  $p : P$ ,

$$\tilde{\psi}(q)(m,p) = \psi(qm,p) = \varphi(\tilde{\psi}'(qm),p) = \tilde{\psi}'(qm)(I,p) = \psi'(q)m(I,p) = \psi'(q)(m,p),$$

so  $\tilde{\psi}$  is unique and  $F_{P,P'}$  is an exponential object.

DEFINITION 29 (n-functional terms). Let  $A$  be a  $\Lambda$ -algebra. We define

$$A(n) = \{a : A \mid (\lambda x_2 x_3 \dots x_{n+1}, x_1 x_2 x_3 \dots x_{n+1}) \bullet a = a\}.$$

DEFINITION 30. Take  $\mathbf{1}_n = (\lambda x_1 \dots x_n, x_1 \dots x_n) \bullet () : A$ .

DEFINITION 31. We define composition as  $a \circ b = (\lambda x_3, x_1(x_2 x_3)) \circ (a,b)$  for  $a,b : A$ .

LEMMA 29. *This composition is associative.*

PROOF. **(TODO)** □

DEFINITION 32 (The monoid of a  $\Lambda$ -algebra). Now we make  $A(1)$  into a monoid with unit  $\lambda x_1, x_1$ .

LEMMA 30. *This is indeed a monoid.*

PROOF. **(TODO)** □

From here on, we will assume that  $\Lambda$  (and therefore, any  $\lambda$ -theory) satisfies  $\beta$ -equality.

LEMMA 31. *For  $a : A$ ,  $a$  is in  $A(n)$  iff  $\mathbf{1}_n \circ a = a$ .*

PROOF.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{1}_n \circ a &= (\lambda x_3, x_1(x_2x_3)) \bullet (((\lambda x_1 \dots x_n, x_1 \dots x_n) \bullet ()), a) \\ &= (\lambda x_3, x_1(x_2x_3)) \bullet (((\lambda x_2 \dots x_{n+1}, x_2 \dots x_{n+1}) \bullet a), x_1 \bullet a) \\ &= ((\lambda x_3, x_1(x_2x_3)) \bullet ((\lambda x_2 \dots x_{n+1}, x_2 \dots x_{n+1}), x_1)) \bullet a \\ &= (\lambda x_2, (\lambda x_3 \dots x_{n+2}, x_3 \dots x_{n+2})(x_1x_2)) \bullet a \\ &= (\lambda x_2x_3 \dots x_{n+1}, x_1x_2 \dots x_{n+1}) \bullet a. \end{aligned}$$

□

DEFINITION 33 (The presheaf category of a  $\Lambda$ -algebra). Let  $A$  be a  $\Lambda$ -algebra. If we view the monoid  $A(1)$  as a one-object category, we define the category  $PA$  to be the category of presheaves  $[A(1)^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{SET}]$ .

DEFINITION 34 (The objects  $A(n)$  in  $PA$ ). Given  $a : A(n)$  and  $b : A(1)$ , we have

$$\mathbf{1}_n \circ (a \circ b) = (\mathbf{1}_n \circ a) \circ b = a \circ b,$$

so  $a \circ b : A(n)$  and we have a right  $A(1)$ -action on  $A(n)$ , which makes  $A(n)$  into an object in  $PA$ .

LEMMA 32. *We have  $A(1)^{A(1)} \cong A(2)$ .*

PROOF. We have a bijection  $\varphi : A(2) \cong F_{A(1), A(1)}$ , given by

$$\varphi(a)(b, b') = (\lambda x_4, x_1(x_2x_4)(x_3x_4)) \bullet (a, b, b').$$

Note that  $\varphi(d)$  is equivariant because **(TODO)** Now,  $\varphi$  is a presheaf morphism because **(TODO)**

Take  $p = \lambda x_1, x_1(\lambda x_2x_3, x_2)$  and  $q = \lambda x_1, x_1(\lambda x_2x_3, x_3)$ . These are elements of  $A(1)$ . Note that for terms  $c_1, c_2$

$$\begin{aligned} p(\lambda x_1, x_1c_1c_2) &= (\lambda x_1, x_1c_1c_2)(\lambda x_2x_3, x_2) \\ &= (\lambda x_1x_3, x_2)c_1c_2 \\ &= c_1. \end{aligned}$$

In the same way,  $q \circ (\lambda x_1x_2, x_2c_1c_2) = c_2$ .

An inverse is given by

$$\psi : f \mapsto \lambda x_1x_2, f(p, q)(\lambda x_3, x_3x_1x_2).$$

This is a presheaf morphism because **(TODO)**

This is an inverse, because given  $f : F_{A(1), A(1)}$  and  $(a_1, a_2) : A(1) \times A(1)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi(\psi(f))(a_1, a_2) &= u(\lambda x_1 x_2, f(p, q)(\lambda x_3, x_3 x_1 x_2))(a_1, a_2) \\
&= \lambda x_1, (\lambda x_2 x_3, f(p, q)(\lambda x_4, x_4 x_2 x_3))(a_1 x_1)(a_2 x_1) \\
&= \lambda x_1, f(p, q)(\lambda x_2, x_2(a_1 x_1)(a_2 x_1)) \\
&= f(p, q) \circ (\lambda x_1, (\lambda x_2, x_2(a_1 x_1)(a_2 x_1))) \\
&= f(p \circ (\lambda x_1, (\lambda x_2, x_2(a_1 x_1)(a_2 x_1))), q \circ (\lambda x_1, (\lambda x_2, x_2(a_1 x_1)(a_2 x_1)))) \\
&= f(\lambda x_1, p(\lambda x_2, x_2(a_1 x_1)(a_2 x_1)), \lambda x_1, q(\lambda x_2, x_2(a_1 x_1)(a_2 x_1))) \\
&= f(\lambda x_1, a_1 x_1, \lambda x_1, a_2 x_1) \\
&= f(a_1, a_2).
\end{aligned}$$

The last line is because  $a_i : A(1)$  and therefore  $\lambda x_1, a_i x_1 = a_i$ .

On the other hand, if we have  $a_1 : A(2)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi(\varphi(a_1)) &= \psi((a_2, a_3) \mapsto \lambda x_1, a_1(a_2 x_1)(a_3 x_1)) \\
&= \lambda x_1 x_2, (\lambda x_3, a_1(p x_3)(q x_3))(\lambda x_3, x_3 x_1 x_2) \\
&= \lambda x_1 x_2, a_1(p(\lambda x_3, x_3 x_1 x_2))(q(\lambda x_3, x_3 x_1 x_2)) \\
&= \lambda x_1 x_2, a_1 x_1 x_2 \\
&= a_1.
\end{aligned}$$

The last line is because  $a_1 : A(2)$  and therefore  $\lambda x_1 x_2, a_1 x_1 x_2 = a_1$ .

Therefore, this map is a bijection and an isomorphism.  $\square$

**DEFINITION 35** (Endomorphism  $\lambda$ -theory of a  $\Lambda$ -algebra).  $PA$  borrows products from **SET**. Therefore, the algebraic theory  $E(A(1))$  exists. Now note that  $A(1)$  is exponentiable and  $A(1)^{A(1)} \cong A(2)$ . Note that  $A(2) \subseteq A(1)$  and that  $(\lambda x_2 x_3, x_1 x_2 x_3) \bullet -$  gives a function from  $A(1)$  to  $A(2)$ . This gives  $E(A(1))$  a  $\lambda$ -theory structure.

**DEFINITION 36** (Pullback functor on presheaves for a  $\Lambda$ -algebra). Let  $f : A \rightarrow A'$  be a  $\Lambda$ -algebra morphism. Then for all  $a : A(n)$ ,

$$\mathbf{1}_n \circ f(a) = f(\mathbf{1}_n) \circ f(a) = f(\mathbf{1}_n \circ a),$$

so we have an induced morphism  $f : A(n) \rightarrow A'(n)$ .

Now, given a presheaf  $P : PA'$ . We can create a presheaf  $f^*P : PA$  by taking the set of  $P$ , and, for  $p : P$  and  $a : A$ , setting  $pa = p \circ f(a)$ . This is indeed a presheaf because **(TODO)**

Now, given a morphism  $g : P \rightarrow P'$ , we get a morphism by taking the function on the sets of  $P$  and  $P'$ . This is a morphism because **(TODO)**

**LEMMA 33.** *The above indeed constitutes a functor.*

**PROOF.** **(TODO)**  $\square$

Left Kan extension then gives a left adjoint  $f_* : PA \rightarrow PA'$ .

**LEMMA 34.** *We have  $f_*(A(1)) \cong A'(1)$ .*

**PROOF.** **(TODO)**  $\square$

**LEMMA 35.**  *$f_*$  preserves finite products.*

**PROOF.** **(TODO)**  $\square$

DEFINITION 37. Since  $f_*$  preserves finite products, given an element of  $g : E(A(1))(n) = PA(A(1)^n, A(1))$ , we get

$$\#f_*(g) : PA'(f(A(1)^n), f(A(1))) \cong PA'(A'(1)^n, A'(1)) = E(A'(1))(n).$$

LEMMA 36.  $\#f_* : E(A(1)) \rightarrow E(A'(1))$  is a map of  $\lambda$ -theories.

PROOF. (TODO) □

DEFINITION 38. We have an isomorphism  $E(A(1))(0) \cong A$  given by  $a \mapsto aI$ .

LEMMA 37. This is indeed an isomorphism of  $\Lambda$ -algebras.

PROOF. (TODO) □

LEMMA 38. Given  $g : A \rightarrow A'$ ,

THEOREM 3. There exists an adjoint equivalence between the category of  $\lambda$ -theories, and the category of algebras of  $\Lambda$ .

PROOF. We will show that the functor  $L \mapsto L_0$  is an equivalence of categories.

It is essentially surjective, because  $L$  is isomorphic (TODO) to  $E(A(1))$ .

Now, given morphisms  $f, f' : L \rightarrow L'$ . Suppose that  $f_0 = f'_0$ . Suppose that  $L$  and  $L'$  have  $\beta$ -equality. Then, given  $l : L_n$ , we have

$$f_n(l) = \rho^n(\lambda^n(f_n(l))) = \rho^n(f_0(\lambda^n(l))) = \rho^n(f'_0(\lambda^n(l))) = \rho^n(\lambda^n(f'_n(l))) = f'_n(l),$$

so the functor is faithful.

The functor is full because a  $\Lambda$ -algebra morphism  $f : A \rightarrow A'$  induces a functor  $f^* : PA' \rightarrow PA$ , and via left Kan extension we get a left adjoint  $f_* : PA \rightarrow PA'$  with  $f_*(A(1)) \cong A'(1)$ . Now,  $f_*$  preserves (finite) products, so we have maps  $PA(A(1)^n, A(1)) \rightarrow PA'(A'(1)^n, A'(1))$  and so a map  $E(A(1)) \rightarrow E(A'(1))$ . This map, when restricted to a map  $PA(1, A(1)) \rightarrow PA'(1, A(1))$ , and transported along the isomorphism  $a \mapsto aI$  (TODO), is equal to  $f$  (TODO). □

## 5. An alternative proof for the fundamental theorem

### 6. Theory of extensions

LEMMA 39. The category of  $T$ -algebras has coproducts.

PROOF. (TODO) □

DEFINITION 39 (Theory of extensions). Let  $T$  be an algebraic theory and  $A$  a  $T$ -algebra. We can define an algebraic theory  $T_A$  called ‘the theory of extensions of  $A$ ’ with  $(T_A)_n = T_n + A$ . The left injection of the variables  $x_i : T_n$  gives the variables. Now, take  $h : (T_n + A)^m$ . Sending  $g : T_m$  to  $\varphi(g) := g \bullet h$  gives a  $T$ -algebra morphism  $T_m \rightarrow T_n + A$  since

$$\varphi(f \bullet g) = f \bullet g \bullet h = f \bullet (g_i \bullet h) = f \bullet (\varphi(g_i))_i.$$

This, together with the injection morphism of  $A$  into  $T_n + A$ , gives us a  $T$ -algebra morphism from the coproduct:  $T_m + A \rightarrow T_n + A$ . We especially have a function on sets  $(T_m + A) \times (T_n + A)^m \rightarrow T_n + A$ , which we will define our substitution to be.

LEMMA 40.  $T_A$  is indeed an algebraic theory.

PROOF. (TODO) □



## CHAPTER 6

# The formalization

### 1. Statistics

### 2. Components

### 3. Displayed categories

3.1. Defininig objects by their category instead of the other way around.

3.2. `_ax` for categories and their objects.

3.3. Cartesian vs cartesian'.

### 4. Inductive types

### 5. The formalization of the $\lambda$ -calculus

Defining Lambda Calculus in a different way (not as an axiomatized HIT) - As set quotient instead of HIT - With a signature

### 6. Tuples

$stnm \rightarrow A$  vs  $\text{vec } A$

### 7. Products

$T \times (T \times \cdots \times T)$  vs  $T \times T^{n \text{ vs } T(Sn)}$  Terminal as product over empty set over any set with a function to empty.

### 8. The $n + p$ -presheaf

$L(S\ n)$  (for lambda) vs  $L(n + 1)$  (stemming from the naive implementation of the  $L(n + p)$  presheaf)

### 9. Quotients

Quotients (by `hrel` or `eqrel`) vs coproducts (generalizing to arbitrary category with coproduct) vs a category with some structure

### 10. The Karoubi envelope

`KanExtension` instead of specific construction at `KaroubiEnvelope`

### 11. Univalence

Univalence bewijzen via `isweqhomot` vs direct





## Bibliography

- [ARV10] J. Adámek, J. Rosický, and E. M. Vitale. *Algebraic Theories: A Categorical Introduction to General Algebra*. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 2010.
- [AW23] Benedikt Ahrens and Kobe Wullaert. Category theory for programming, 2023.
- [KS06] Masaki Kashiwara and Pierre Schapira. *Categories and sheaves*, volume 332 of *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.
- [Lei04] Tom Leinster. *Higher Operads, Higher Categories*. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series. Cambridge University Press, 2004.
- [ML98] Saunders Mac Lane. *Categories for the working mathematician*, volume 5 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1998.
- [SH80] J. P. Seldin and J. R. Hindley, editors. *To H.B. Curry: Essays on Combinatory Logic and Formalism*. Academic Press, San Diego, CA, September 1980.



## APPENDIX A

### Alternative definitions

The literature, there are many different but equivalent definitions, carrying many different names, for the objects that called ‘algebraic theories’ in Section 1. What makes matters even more confusing is the fact that the literature does not provide a consistent bijection between names and definitions. This section will showcase some of the various definitions.

#### 1. Abstract Clone

DEFINITION 40 (abstract clone). An algebraic theory as presented in Section 1, is usually called an *abstract clone*. In this thesis, outside of this specific section, we will call it algebraic theory to be consistent with the names that Hyland attaches to objects.

#### 2. Lawvere theory

DEFINITION 41 (algebraic theory). An *algebraic theory* as presented in [ARV10] is a small category with finite products.

DEFINITION 42 (algebraic theory algebra). An *algebra* for an algebraic theory  $T$  is a finite-product-preserving functor  $T \rightarrow \mathbf{SET}$ .

This definition is more general than the definition of algebraic theory in Section 1. To make it equivalent, we have to be more specific about the objects of the category:

DEFINITION 43 (Lawvere theory). A *Lawvere theory*, or *one-sorted algebraic theory* is a category  $L$ , with  $L_0 = \{0, 1, \dots\}$ , such that  $n = 1^n$ , the  $n$ -fold product.

##### 2.1. Algebras for Lawvere Theories.

#### 3. Relative Monad

DEFINITION 44 (relative monad). Let  $S : C \rightarrow D$  be a functor. A *relative monad* on  $S$  is a functor  $T : C \rightarrow D$ , together with a natural transformation  $\eta : S \Rightarrow T$  and a transformation  $(-)^* : D(S(X), T(Y)) \rightarrow D(T(X), T(Y))$ , natural in both  $S$  and  $T$ , such that for all  $f : S(X) \rightarrow T(Y)$  and  $g : S(Y) \rightarrow T(Z)$ ,

$$f = \eta_X \cdot f^*, \quad \eta_X^* = \text{id}_{TX} \quad \text{and} \quad (f \cdot g^*)^* = f^* \cdot g^*.$$

#### 4. Cartesian Operad

DEFINITION 45 (multicategory). A *multicategory*  $C$  consists of

- A collection of objects  $C_0$ ;
- For all  $c_1, \dots, c_n, d : C_0$ , a collection of multimorphisms  $C((c_1, \dots, c_n), d)$ ;
- For all  $c : C_0$ , an identity morphism  $\text{id}_c : C(c, c)$ ;
- For all multimorphisms  $f : C((d_1, \dots, d_n), e)$  and  $g_i : C((c_{i,1}, \dots, c_{i,m_i}), d_i)$  for  $1 \leq n \leq i$ , a composite multimorphism

$$(g_1, \dots, g_n) \cdot f : C((c_{1,1}, \dots, c_{n,m_n}), e)$$

such that these satisfy certain identity and associativity axioms (see for example [Lei04], page 35).

Let  $S_n$  be the symmetric group on  $n$  elements (the group consisting of all ways to shuffle the numbers  $(1, \dots, n)$ ).

DEFINITION 46 (symmetric multicategory). A *symmetric* multicategory is a multicategory  $C$ , together with, for each  $\sigma : S_n$  and all  $c_1, \dots, c_n, d$ , a bijection

$$\bar{\sigma} : C((c_1, \dots, c_n), d) \rightarrow C((c_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, c_{\sigma(n)}), d),$$

such that for all  $\rho, \sigma : S_n$  and  $\theta : C((c_1, \dots, c_n), d)$ ,

$$\bar{\rho}(\bar{\sigma}(\theta)) = \overline{(\sigma\rho)}(\theta) \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{1_{S_n}}(\theta) = \theta,$$

compatible with multimorphism composition (see [Lei04], page 52, equation (2:7)).

In some sense, for a symmetric multicategory, the order of the objects in the domain of a multimorphism does not matter.

For a collection  $S$  and a tuple  $x = x_1, \dots, x_n : S$  and  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$ ,

- let  $u_i(x) : S^{n+i+1-j}$  denote the tuple  $x$ , but with the subtuple  $(x_i, \dots, x_j)$  repeated once:

$$(x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_j, x_i, \dots, x_j, \dots, x_n).$$

- let  $v_i(x) : S^{n-i-1+j}$  denote the tuple  $x$ , but with the subtuple  $(x_i, \dots, x_j)$  removed:

$$(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n).$$

DEFINITION 47 (cartesian multicategory). A *cartesian multicategory* is a multicategory  $C$ , with, for all  $c_1, \dots, c_n, d : C_0$  and  $1 \leq i \leq n$

- a *contraction* operation  $\bar{u}_i : C(u_{i,i}(c), d) \rightarrow C(c, d)$ ,
- a *deletion* operation  $\bar{v}_i : C(v_{i,i}(c), d) \rightarrow C(c, d)$ ,

that satisfy some axioms (**TODO**)

DEFINITION 48 (cartesian operad). A *cartesian operad* is a cartesian multicategory with one object.

LEMMA 41. *The following are equivalent:*

- (1) *An abstract clone.*
- (2) *A Lawvere theory.*
- (3) *A relative monad on the embedding  $\iota : \mathbf{FinSET} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{SET}$ .*
- (4) *A cartesian operad.*

PROOF. (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Given an abstract clone  $C$ , we construct a Lawvere theory  $L$  as follows: We have objects  $L_0 = \{0, 1, \dots\}$  and morphisms  $L(m, n) = C_m^n$ . The identity morphism is  $\text{id}_n = (x_i)_i : L(n, n)$  and for  $f : L(l, m)$ ,  $g : L(m, n)$ , we have composition

$$f \cdot g = (g_i \bullet f)_i : L(l, n).$$

Lastly, we have product projections  $\pi_{n,i} = x_{n,i} : L(n, 1)$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): Given a Lawvere theory  $L$ , we construct a relative monad  $(F, \eta)$  as follows: For  $n : \mathbf{FinSET}$ , take  $F(n) = L(n, 1)$  and for  $a : \mathbf{FinSET}(m, n)$ , let  $F(a) : \mathbf{SET}(F(m), F(n))$  be the morphism given by precomposition with the product morphism

$$\langle \pi_{n,a(1)}, \dots, \pi_{n,a(m)} \rangle : L(n, m).$$

The natural transformation is given by  $\eta_n(i) = \pi_{n,i} : F(n)$  for all  $i : \iota(n) = \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Lastly, for  $f : \mathbf{SET}(\iota(m), F(n))$ , let  $f : \mathbf{SET}(F(m), F(n))$  be given by precomposition with the product morphism

$$\langle f(1), \dots, f(m) \rangle : L(n, m)$$

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4): Given a relative monad  $(F, \eta)$ , we construct a cartesian operad  $A$  as follows: We take a multicategory with  $A_0 : \{\star\}$ . We take as the set of  $n$ -fold morphisms  $A((\star)_i, \star) = F(n)$ . We take an identity multimorphism  $\text{id}_\star = \eta_1(1) : A(\star, \text{star})$ . For some natural number  $m$ , natural numbers  $n_1, \dots, n_m$  and  $i : 1 \leq i \leq m$  let  $\phi_i : \mathbf{FinSET}(n_i, \sum n_i)$  be the standard injections into the coproduct. For  $f : F(m)$  and  $g_i : F(n_i)$ , we have  $F(\phi_i) : \mathbf{SET}(F(n_i), F(\sum n_i))$ , so we have  $(F(\phi_i)(g_i))_i : \mathbf{SET}(\iota(m), F(\sum n_i))$  and the composition is given by

$$f \cdot g = (F(\phi_i)(g_i))_i^*(f) : F\left(\sum n_i\right).$$

The permutation, contraction and deletion operations given by

$$\bar{\sigma} = F(\sigma) : A((\star_1, \dots, \star_n), \star) \rightarrow A((\star_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, \star_{\sigma(n)}), \star)$$

Given a cartesian operad  $A$  (**TODO**)

□



## Index

- $\lambda$ -theory, 16
  - morphism, 16
- adjoint equivalence, 5
- adjunction, 5
- algebra, 15
  - morphism, 15
- algebraic theory, 15
  - morphism, 15
- category of retracts, *see also*
  - Karoubi envelope
- Cauchy completion, *see also* Karoubi envelope
- endomorphism theory, 20
- exponential objects, 6
- extension of scalars, 12
- global element, 6
- idempotent completion, *see also*
  - Karoubi envelope
- Kan extension
  - left, 7
  - right, 7
- Karoubi envelope, 8
- monoid action, 11
  - morphism, 11
- presheaf, 16
  - morphism, 16
- restriction of scalars, 11
- slice category, 6
  - co-, 6
- split idempotent, 8
- weakly terminal object, 6