

# Chem237: Lecture 13

Shane Flynn

5/3/18

## 1 Note

9-9-19; Just copied the lecture notes I have over, this has not been reviewed/edited/made ready for the world.

## Gaussian Elimination

Reduce your matrix to an upper-triangular (or lower triangular) form by performing operations such that the determinant doesn't change.

Consider the square  $N$  by  $N$  matrix  $\mathbf{A}$ , which has column vectors labeled  $\vec{a}_i$

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & \cdots & A_{1N} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{1N} & \cdots & A_{NN} \end{bmatrix} = [\vec{a}_1 \quad \cdots \quad \vec{a}_N] \quad (1)$$

To compute the determinant of  $\mathbf{A}$  we know the following

$$\det(\mathbf{A}) = \det(\vec{a}_1 \cdots \vec{a}_N) = \det(\vec{a}_1 - \lambda_{a2}, \vec{a}_2 \cdots \vec{a}_N) \quad (2)$$

Let  $\lambda_1 = \frac{A_{N1}}{A_{N2}}$  then we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \star & A_{12} & \cdots & A_{1N} \\ \star & A_{22} & \cdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & A_{N2} & \cdots & A_{NN} \end{bmatrix} \quad (3)$$

Next step set  $\lambda_2 = \frac{A_{N2}}{A_{N3}}$  then we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \star & \star & \cdots & \vdots \\ \star & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & A_{NN} \end{bmatrix} \quad (4)$$

In this way we can reduce every element of the  $N$ th row to 0 except for the final term  $A_{NN}$ . We can then repeat this process for the  $N-1$  row (Second to last row), which will convert every element up to  $N-1$  in the row to 0.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \star & \star & \cdots & \vdots \\ \star & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \star \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & A_{NN} \end{bmatrix} \quad (5)$$

Repeating the process iteratively eventually ends in

$$\begin{bmatrix} \star & \star & \star & \star \\ 0 & \star & \star & \star \\ 0 & 0 & \star & \star \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \star \end{bmatrix} \quad (6)$$

What happens if you have a 0 at some point during the iterations? Just move it and keep going.

## 1.1 Numerical Linear Algebra

Using the definition of the determinant the scaling would be  $N!$  which is very bad. Using the algorithm from above leads to  $N^3$  scaling, which is a common result for numerical linear algebra algorithms.  $a_1 - \lambda_{a2} = 2$  operations scaling as  $N$ , Apply to row =  $N$ , apply to other rows =  $N$ .

## 1.2 Linear Independence

Consider a set of  $n$  vectors  $\vec{a}_1 \cdots \vec{a}_N$ . These vectors are linearly independent if for any  $\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_N$

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i \vec{a}_i \neq 0 \quad (7)$$

and vice versa linearly dependent if

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i \vec{a}_i = 0 \quad (8)$$

Theorem: determinate matrix formed by  $N$  vectors  $\det(\vec{a}_1 \cdots \vec{a}_N) = 0$  iff  $\vec{a}_1 \cdots \vec{a}_N$  are linearly dependent, and vice versa linearly independent vectors produce a determinate  $\neq 0$ .