

**Exercice 1.** (Variation totale)

Soit  $b = (b_1, \dots, b_n)^T$  un vecteur de  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . On se pose le problème suivant :

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{2} \|x - b\|^2 + \eta \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |x_{i+1} - x_i|. \quad (1)$$

1. Expliquer brièvement l'effet que peut avoir le deuxième terme (dit de régularisation). Autrement dit, intuitivement, en quoi la solution  $x^*$  de ce problème va-t-elle différer de  $b$  ?

► Par analogie avec la régularisation par la norme 1, ce deuxième terme favorisera les solutions vérifiant  $x_{i+1} - x_i = 0$  pour une majorité de  $i$ . La solution de ce problème aura donc tendance à être constante par morceaux même si  $b$  ne l'était pas. Elle aura aussi moins de pics de grande amplitude.

2. Montrer que le problème (1) peut être réécrit

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(x) + g(Mx)$$

où  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  et  $g : \mathbb{R}^m \cup \{+\infty\}$  sont des fonctions convexes et  $M$  est une matrice dont on donnera les dimensions.

► On pose  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2} \|x - b\|^2$ ,  $g(y) = \eta \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |y_i|$  et  $M \in \mathbb{R}^{(n-1) \times n}$  telle que  $(Mx)_i = x_{i+1} - x_i$  pour tout  $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ .

3. Calculer les opérateurs proximaux de  $f$  et de  $g$ .

► L'opérateur proximal de  $g$  est le seuillage doux.

Pour l'opérateur proximal de  $f$ , on cherche  $x$  qui minimise  $\frac{1}{2} \|x - b\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|y - x\|^2$ , c'est à dire  $\text{prox}_f(y) = \frac{y+b}{2}$ .

4. Pour  $n = 5$ , expliciter  $M$  et  $M^T M$ .

►

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad M^T M = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

5. Écrire les itérations de l'ADMM de pas  $\sigma > 0$  pour la résolution de (1).

► Initialisation :  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  et  $z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} x_{k+1} &= \arg \min_x f(x) + \langle \lambda_k, Mx \rangle + \frac{\sigma}{2} \|Mx - z_k\|^2 \\ z_{k+1} &= \arg \min_z g(z) - \langle \lambda_k, z \rangle + \frac{\sigma}{2} \|Mx_{k+1} - z\|^2 \\ \lambda_{k+1} &= \lambda_k + \sigma (Mx_{k+1} - z_{k+1}) \end{aligned}$$

6. Montrer que l'algorithme se réduit à une succession de seuillages doux et de résolution de systèmes linéaires.

► L'étape de calcul de  $x_{k+1}$  revient à chercher un  $x$  solution de

$$(x - b) + M^T \lambda_k + \sigma M^T (Mx - z_k) = 0$$

c'est-à-dire  $(I + \sigma M^T M)x_{k+1} = M^T(z_k - \lambda_k) + b$ . Cette étape revient à la résolution d'un système linéaire.

L'étape de calcul de  $z_{k+1}$  revient à chercher  $z$  qui minimise

$$g(z) - \langle \lambda_k, z \rangle + \frac{\sigma}{2} \|Mx_{k+1} - z\|^2 = \sigma \left( \frac{1}{\sigma} g(z) + \frac{1}{2} \|z - Mx_{k+1} - \frac{1}{\sigma} \lambda_k\|^2 \right) - \frac{1}{2\sigma} \|\lambda_k\|^2 + \langle Mx_{k+1}, \lambda_k \rangle$$

Ainsi,  $z_{k+1}$  minimise  $\frac{1}{\sigma} g(z) + \frac{1}{2} \|z - Mx_{k+1} - \frac{1}{\sigma} \lambda_k\|^2$ . C'est

$$z_{k+1} = \text{prox}_{\frac{1}{\sigma} g}(Mx_{k+1} + \frac{1}{\sigma} \lambda_k).$$

Cette étape revient donc à un seuillage doux.

### Exercice 2. (Distributed optimization)

A database is distributed on a computer network composed of  $N$  parallel workers. Each worker  $i$  has a private cost function  $f_i : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  where  $\mathcal{X}$  is a Euclidean space. The aim is to find a minimizer of the function

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^N f_i(x).$$

We define the function  $F(x_1, \dots, x_N) = \sum_{i=1}^N f_i(x_i)$  on  $\mathcal{X}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . One can therefore reformulate the problem as

$$\min F(x_1, \dots, x_N) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad x_1 = \dots = x_N. \quad (2)$$

1. State that problem (2) is equivalent to the minimization of  $F(x) + \iota_{C_N}(x)$  on  $x \in \mathcal{X}^N$  where  $C_N$  is the indicator function of a linear space  $C_N$  which you will specify.

► Let  $C_N = \{z \in \mathcal{X}^N : z_1 = \dots = z_N\}$ .  $x \in C_N$  if and only if it satisfies the constraint so both problems are equivalent.

2. Write the iterations of ADMM for that problem, making clear the communications between workers that are needed at each step of the algorithm.

► The ADMM is defined as follows.

Initialisation :  $\lambda_0 \in \mathcal{X}^N$  and  $z_0 \in \mathcal{X}^N$ .

$$\begin{aligned} x^{k+1} &= \arg \min_{x \in \mathcal{X}^N} F(x) + \langle \lambda^k, x \rangle + \frac{\sigma}{2} \|x - z^k\|^2 \\ z^{k+1} &= \arg \min_{z \in \mathcal{X}^N} \iota_{C_N}(z) - \langle \lambda^k, z \rangle + \frac{\sigma}{2} \|x^{k+1} - z\|^2 \\ \lambda^{k+1} &= \lambda^k + \sigma(x^{k+1} - z^{k+1}) \end{aligned}$$

The function  $F$  is separable so, given  $\lambda_k$  and  $z_k$ , we can compute  $x_{k+1}$  element-wise and in parallel without communication :

$$x_i^{k+1} = \arg \min_{x \in \mathcal{X}} f_i(x) + \langle \lambda_i^k, x \rangle + \frac{\sigma}{2} \|x - z_i^k\|^2, \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$$

The update of  $z_{k+1}$  amounts to the projection of  $x^{k+1} - \frac{1}{\sigma} \lambda^k$  onto  $C_N$ . This is given for all  $j$  by

$$z_j^{k+1} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \left( x_i^{k+1} - \frac{1}{\sigma} \lambda_i^k \right).$$

This second step requires communication between the computing agents.

The update for  $\lambda^{k+1}$  is a sum of vectors and requires no communication.

3. *Explicit the algorithm in the case where*

$$f_i(x) = \frac{1}{2} \|A_i x - b\|^2.$$

►

$$x_i^{k+1} \text{ solution to } (\sigma I + A_i^T A_i)x = A_i^T b + z_i^k - \lambda_i^k, \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$$

$$z_j^{k+1} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \left( x_i^{k+1} - \frac{1}{\sigma} \lambda_i^k \right), \quad \forall j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$$

$$\lambda_i^{k+1} = \lambda_i^k + \sigma(x_i^{k+1} - z_i^{k+1}), \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$$

We now assume that the workers are connected through a graph structure. Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a graph with  $V = \{1, \dots, N\}$  and  $E$  is a set of edges such that  $\{i, j\} \in E$  if and only if the workers  $i$  and  $j$  can communicate.

4. *Under what condition on the graph have we*

$$\iota_{C_N}(x) = \sum_{\{i,j\} \in E} \iota_{C_2}(x_i, x_j) ?$$

► We have this equality if the graph is connected.

5. *For any  $e = \{i, j\}$  in  $E$  ( $i < j$ ), we define the matrix  $M_e : \mathcal{X}^N \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^2$  such that  $M_e x = (x_i, x_j)^T$ . We define the matrix  $M : \mathcal{X}^N \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{2|E|}$  such that  $Mx = (M_e x)_{e \in E}$ . Show that  $\iota_{C_N}(x) = g(Mx)$  where  $g$  is a function that will be specified.*

► We denote  $g : \mathcal{X}^{2|E|} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  such that  $g(z) = \sum_{e \in E} \iota_{C_2}(z_{e,-}, z_{e,+})$  where  $z_{e,-}$  is the first coordinate of  $z_e$  and  $z_{e,+}$  is its second coordinate ( $z$  has two coordinates per edge by definition). Then

$$g(Mx) = \sum_{e \in E} \iota_{C_2}((Mx)_{e,-}, (Mx)_{e,+}) = \sum_{i,j \in E} \iota_{C_2}(x_i, x_j) = \iota_{C_N}(x)$$

6. Write and simplify the iterations of ADMM, making clear the communications between workers that are needed at each step of the algorithm.

► The ADMM is defined as follows.

Initialisation :  $\lambda_0 \in \mathcal{X}^{2|E|}$  and  $z_0 \in \mathcal{X}^{2|E|}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} x^{k+1} &= \arg \min_{x \in \mathcal{X}^N} F(x) + \langle \lambda^k, Mx \rangle + \frac{\sigma}{2} \|Mx - z^k\|^2 \\ z^{k+1} &= \arg \min_{z \in \mathcal{X}^{2|E|}} g(z) - \langle \lambda^k, z \rangle + \frac{\sigma}{2} \|Mx^{k+1} - z\|^2 \\ \lambda^{k+1} &= \lambda^k + \sigma(Mx^{k+1} - z^{k+1}) \end{aligned}$$

The variables  $x$  are located on nodes and the variables  $\lambda$  and  $z$  are located on edges (2 per edge). Hence, the node  $i$  may take care of the variable  $x_i$  located on itself and half of the variables  $\lambda_e$  and  $z_e$  such that  $i \in e$  (that is one side of the edge). If one node needs to access a variable located at an other side of an adjacent edge, it must communicate with its neighbour.

The update for  $\lambda^{k+1}$  writes as

$$\lambda_e^{k+1} = \lambda_e^k + \sigma(Mx^{k+1})_e - z_e^{k+1}$$

Hence, it can be performed without any communication.

The update for  $z^{k+1}$  relies on the function  $z \mapsto g(z) - \langle \lambda^k, z \rangle + \frac{\sigma}{2} \|Mx^{k+1} - z\|^2$ , which is block-separable with blocks of size 2 corresponding to each edge. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} z_e^{k+1} &= \arg \min_{z \in \mathcal{X}^2} \iota_{C_2}(z) - \langle \lambda_e^k, z_e \rangle + \frac{\sigma}{2} \|(Mx^{k+1})_e - z\|^2 \\ z_{e,-}^{k+1} &= z_{e,+}^{k+1} = \frac{1}{2} \left( (Mx^{k+1})_{e,-} + \frac{1}{\sigma} \lambda_{e,-}^k + (Mx^{k+1})_{e,+} + \frac{1}{\sigma} \lambda_{e,+}^k \right) \end{aligned}$$

This update features communication between the agents on each side of the edge.

The update for  $x^{k+1}$  is the minimizer to  $(x \mapsto F(x) + \langle \lambda^k, Mx \rangle + \frac{\sigma}{2} \|Mx - z^k\|^2)$ . This function does not look separable but in fact it is.

$F$  and  $\langle \lambda^k, Mx \rangle = \langle M^T \lambda^k, x \rangle$  are clearly separable. Let us now denote  $\sigma(i)$  the set of neighbours of node  $i$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \|Mx - z^k\|^2 &= \sum_{e \in E} \|(Mx)_e - z_e^k\|^2 = \sum_{e \in E} \|(Mx)_{e,-} - z_{e,-}^k\|^2 + \|(Mx)_{e,+} - z_{e,+}^k\|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j \in \sigma(i)} \|(Mx)_{\{i,j\},-} - z_{\{i,j\},-}^k\|^2 + \|(Mx)_{\{i,j\},+} - z_{\{i,j\},+}^k\|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j \in \sigma(i)} \|x_i - z_{\{i,j\},+}^k\|^2 + \|x_j - z_{\{i,j\},+}^k\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j \in \sigma(i)} \|x_i - z_{\{i,j\},+}^k\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

In the third equality, we counted each edge twice, so we needed to divide by 2. In the fourth equality, we used the fact that  $z_{\{i,j\},-}^k = z_{\{i,j\},+}^k$ .

This shows that for all  $i$ , and for all  $e \in E$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_i^{k+1} &= \arg \min_{x \in \mathcal{X}} f_i(x) + \left\langle \sum_{j \in \sigma(i), i < j} \lambda_{\{i,j\},-}^k + \sum_{j \in \sigma(i), i > j} \lambda_{\{i,j\},+}^k, x \right\rangle + \frac{\sigma}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j \in \sigma(i)} \|x - z_{\{i,j\},+}^k\|^2 \\
 z_{e,-}^{k+1} &= z_{e,+}^{k+1} = \frac{1}{2} \left( (Mx^{k+1})_{e,-} + \frac{1}{\sigma} \lambda_{e,-}^k + (Mx^{k+1})_{e,+} + \frac{1}{\sigma} \lambda_{e,+}^k \right) \\
 \lambda_e^{k+1} &= \lambda_e^k + \sigma (Mx^{k+1})_e - z_e^{k+1}
 \end{aligned}$$