## ASEN 5519 - ALGORITHMIC MOTION PLANNING FALL 2020

## Homework 4

Assigned October 23; Due November 6

**Exercise 1.** Implement an  $A^*$  search algorithm that takes weighted directed graph G = (V, E, W), where

- $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  is a set of nodes,
- $E \subseteq V \times V$  is a set of edges (ordered pairs of vertices),
- $W: E \to \mathbb{R}$  is a weight function,

and an initial node  $v_{\text{start}} \in V$ , a final node  $v_{\text{goal}} \in V$ , and a heuristic cost to goal function  $h: V \to \mathbb{R}$  as input and returns a path  $q = q_0 q_1 \dots q_m$  such that  $q_0 = v_{\text{start}}$ ,  $q_m = v_{\text{goal}}$ , and minimizes the path length

$$W(q) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} W((q_i, q_{i+1})).$$

- (a) Solve the example on slide 22 of Lecture 10 using your  $A^*$  implementation. Report the path, path length, and number of iterations it took to find this path.
- (b) How can you turn your  $A^*$  search implementation to Dijkstra's?
- (c) Solve the example in part (a) using Dijkstra's algorithm. Report the path, path length, and number of iterations it took to find this path.
- (d) Between  $A^*$  and Dijkstra's algorithm, which one performs better? Which one would you choose to search a large graph for the shortest path between (i)  $v_{\text{start}}$  and  $v_{\text{goal}}$  and (ii)  $v_{\text{start}}$  and every  $v \in V$ ?
- (e) How would you modify your implementation for a graph that is undirected?

Exercise 2. Implement a simple probabilistic roadmap (PRM) planner that samples n configurations (before validity check) and connects each valid sample to every valid configuration that is within radius r from it through a straight line. Assume that the C-space is a rectangle in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with  $x \in [x_{\min}, x_{\max}]$  and  $y = [y_{\min}, y_{\max}]$ . The program should take n, r, obstacles, C-space boundaries, and  $q_{\text{start}}$  and  $q_{\text{goal}}$  as input and return the roadmap, a path from  $q_{\text{start}}$  to  $q_{\text{goal}}$ , the path length, and the computation time.

- (a) Solve the planning problem in **Exercise 2.(a)** of **Homework 3** with boundaries  $x \in [-1, 11]$  and y = [-3, 3].
  - i. Plot the roadmap and the solution path for n = 200 and r = 1. Indicate the path length in the title of the plot.

ii. Vary n and r and benchmark your solutions in three categories of number of valid solutions, path length, and computation time. For benchmarking, use 100 runs for each

$$(n,r) \in \{(200,0.5),(200,1),(200,1.5),(200,2),(500,0.5),(500,1),(500,1.5),(500,2)\}.$$

Show your results using boxplots.

- iii. Based on your empirical evaluations, what are the optimal values for n and r? Justify your answer.
- iv. Augment your PRM planner with a path smoothing technique and re-evaluate your benchmarks. What are the optimal values for n and r with path smoothing? Justify your answer.
- (b) Solve the planning problems in **Exercise 7** of **Homework 1** using your PRM (no path smoothing). For  $W_1$ , the boundaries are  $x, y \in [-1, 13]$ . For  $W_2$ , the boundaries are  $x \in [-6, 36]$  and  $y \in [-6, 6]$ . For each  $W_1$  and  $W_2$ , perform the following steps:
  - i. Plot the roadmap and the solution path for n = 200 and r = 2. Indicate the path length in the title of the plots.
  - ii. Vary n and r and benchmark your solutions in three categories of number of valid solutions, path length, and computation time. For benchmarking, use 100 runs for each

$$(n,r) \in \{(200,1), (200,2), (500,1), (500,2), (1000,1), (1000,2)\}.$$

Show your results using boxplots.

- iii. Based on your empirical evaluations, what are the optimal values for n and r in  $W_1$  and  $W_2$ ? Justify your answer.
- iv. Enable path smoothing option in your PRM and re-evaluate your benchmarks. What are the optimal values for n and r with path smoothing? Justify your answer.
- (c) Does your PRM implementation need to change in order for it to solve the planning problem in **Exercise 4** of **Homework 3**? Justify your answer.

Exercise 3. Implement the basic GoalBiasRRT planner with step size r and goal bias probability of  $p_{\text{goal}}$ . Assume that the C-space is a rectangle in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with  $x \in [x_{\min}, x_{\max}]$  and  $y = [y_{\min}, y_{\max}]$ . The program should take r,  $p_{\text{goal}}$ , maximum number of iterations n, obstacles, C-space boundaries,  $q_{\text{start}}$ ,  $q_{\text{goal}}$ , and radius  $\epsilon$  (centered at  $q_{\text{goal}}$ ) for the termination condition at goal as input and return a path from  $q_{\text{start}}$  to  $q_{\text{goal}}$ , the path length, and the computation time.

Solve the planning problems in **Exercise 2.(a)-(b)** using your basic RRT implementation with n = 5000, r = 0.5,  $p_{\text{goal}} = 0.05$ , and  $\epsilon = 0.25$ .

- (a) For each environment, plot one solution path and its corresponding tree. Indicate the path length in the title of the plot.
- (b) For each environment, use 100 runs to benchmark your implementation in three categories of number of valid solutions, path length, and computation time. Show your results using boxplots.
- (c) Does your RRT implementation need to change in order for it to solve the planning problem in **Exercise 4** of **Homework 3**? Justify your answer.

**Exercise 4.** You have implemented four planners (gradient descent with a potential function, wavefront, PRM, and RRT) to solve planning problems in **Exercise 2.(a)-(c)**. Reflect on the performance of these planners and provide a discussion on their advantages and disadvantages.