
## County-Level Risk Assessment: Cass

#### **Basic Statistics**

Table 1: Basic Statistics (County level)

| Information                   | Value         |
|-------------------------------|---------------|
| Population                    | 12324 persons |
| Area sq. miles                | 376.47  sqm   |
| Gross Density(persons/sq mil) | 33  p/sqm     |
| Avg HH Size                   | 2.4 persons   |
| Median HH Income              | 53899  USD    |

#### Census Tracts within Cass



### Count of Tracts in each Category




Table 2: Percentage of Census Tracts under each Resilience Category(County level)

| Very High | High | Moderate | Low | Very Low |
|-----------|------|----------|-----|----------|
| 0         | 0    | 20       | 20  | 60       |


## Indicator Group Rankings

| Indicator Group  | High Risk Areas  | Low Risk Areas   |
|--|--|--|
| Community  High Risk O96 2096 4096 6096 8096 10096 Low Risk  | Young percent, NotMarried<br>Percent, LargeFam Percent,<br>SingleParent Percent, Elderly<br>growth percent, Percent<br>AssistanceNeed, Physically<br>Unhealthy Days, Inadequate<br>Facilities, COVID-19 death rate,<br>Percent Food Insecure, Percent<br>rural                                   | Females Percent, FemaleHeaded<br>HHs Percent, PrimeWorkingAge<br>percent, Food Environment<br>Index, MentalHealth Provider<br>Rate, Percent Vaccinated, Social<br>Association Rate                       |
| Economy High Risk 20% 40% 60% 80% 100%                       | Agro Percent,<br>UnstableEmployment Percent,<br>LowIncome Percent, PT LQ,<br>Agri LQ, Manufacturing LQ,<br>Percent Children in Poverty,<br>Gender Pay Gap, Percent<br>income required for childcare<br>expenses, CommuteTime, Vice<br>related business rate, Recreation<br>related business rate | poverty, Unemployment percent, WorkNearby percent, Sales LQ, construction LQ, Info LQ, Walkability Score, Civic related business rate, Education related business rate, Healthcare related business rate |
| High Risk  | OldHomes, Rented, Units<br>SingleFamily, MobileHomes   | Homewownership, Cost<br>IncomeRatio, Renter MHHI,<br>Segregation Index   |
| Environment  High Risk                                       | W NO3 ln   | PCT IRRIGATED ACRES ln, pct au ln, fungicide ln, std coal prim pop ln, std sandandgravel prim pop ln, std stone prim pop ln, Radon.x   |
| Infrastructure High Risk 100% 200% 400% 600% 800% 1000% Nisk | Percent PoorCondition Bridges,<br>Percent commuters by transit   | Percent BroadbandAccess,<br>Percent MediumFairCondition<br>Bridges   |

### Census Tracts within Cass



Rural Resilience within Cass




## Settlement Types



## Rural Indicator Rankings

| Indicator Group   | High Risk Areas  | Low Risk Areas  |
|---|--|---|
| Community High Risk   | Young percent, NotMarried<br>Percent, Avg HHsize, Percent<br>AssistanceNeed, Physically<br>Unhealthy Days, Inadequate<br>Facilities, COVID-19 death rate,<br>Percent Food Insecure, Percent<br>rural   | Females Percent, FemaleHeaded<br>HHs Percent, Educated percent,<br>PrimeWorkingAge percent,<br>Food Environment Index,<br>MentalHealth Provider Rate,<br>Percent Vaccinated, Social<br>Association Rate |
| Economy  High Risk   Low Risk   Low Risk   20%   40%   60%   80%   100% | UnstableEmployment Percent,<br>LowIncome Percent, PT LQ,<br>Manufacturing LQ, GINI Index,<br>Percent Children in Poverty,<br>Gender Pay Gap, Percent<br>income required for childcare<br>expenses, CommuteTime, Vice<br>related business rate, Recreation<br>related business rate | poverty, WorkNearby percent,<br>MBS LQ, construction LQ,<br>Walkability Score, Civic related<br>business rate, Education related<br>business rate, Healthcare related<br>business rate                  |
| High Risk   Low Risk   Low Risk   | OldHomes, Rented, Units<br>SingleFamily, MobileHomes,<br>Percent HousingProblems   | Homewownership, NewHomes,<br>Segregation Index  |
| Environment  High Fisk Low Fisk  0% 20% 40% 60% 80% 100%                | CO8, Pb3, NO2AM, NO21,<br>PM10, SO21, std metal prim<br>pop ln, Per PSWithSW.x, W Cd<br>ln, W Cr ln, W CN ln, W HG ln,<br>W NO3 ln   | PCT IRRIGATED ACRES ln, pct au ln, fungicide ln   |
| Infrastructure High Risk Low Risk                                       | Percent PoorCondition Bridges,<br>Percent commuters by transit   | Percent BroadbandAccess,<br>Percent MediumFairCondition<br>Bridges  |


### State-Level Places Map



#### Resilience Category



### Places Map within Cass County, IL



### Count of Places in each Category




Table 5: Percentage of Census Tracts under each Resilience Category(County level)

| Very High | High | Moderate | Low  | Very Low |
|-----------|------|----------|------|----------|
| 0 %       | 0 %  | 75 %     | 25 % | 25 %     |

## Places Indicator Rankings

| Indicator Group                                       | High Risk Areas   | Low Risk Areas  |
|---|---|---|
| Community High Risk                                   | Young percent, NotMarried<br>Percent, Physically Unhealthy<br>Days, Violent Crime Rate,<br>Inadequate Facilities,<br>COVID-19 death rate, Percent<br>Food Insecure, Percent rural   | Females Percent, Educated percent, PrimeWorkingAge percent, Food Environment Index, MentalHealth Provider Rate, Percent Vaccinated, Social Association Rate   |
| Economy  High Risk   Low Risk                         | Agro Percent, LowIncome<br>Percent, PT LQ, Agri, Agri LQ,<br>Wholesale LQ, GINI Index,<br>Percent Children in Poverty,<br>Gender Pay Gap, Percent<br>income required for childcare<br>expenses, CommuteTime, Vice<br>related business rate, Recreation<br>related business rate | MHHI, poverty, Service LQ,<br>Sales LQ, construction LQ,<br>Finance LQ, Management,<br>Others, Walkability Score, Civic<br>related business rate, Education<br>related business rate, Healthcare<br>related business rate |
| Housing  High Risk   Low Risk                         | OldHomes, Units SingleFamily, Percent HousingProblems   | Homewownership, NewHomes,<br>Renter MHHI, Segregation<br>Index  |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | CO8, Pb3, NO2AM, NO21,<br>PM10, SO21, std metal prim<br>pop ln, Per PSWithSW.x, W Cd<br>ln, W Cr ln, W CN ln, W HG ln,<br>W NO3 ln  | PCT IRRIGATED ACRES In, pct au ln, fungicide ln   |
| Infrastructure High Risk ON 2009 4096 6096 8096 10005 | Percent PoorCondition Bridges,<br>Percent commuters by transit  | Percent BroadbandAccess,<br>Percent MediumFairCondition<br>Bridges  |

#### Relevant Resources

The following represent key areas of concern (in order of importance) at the county level:

- Infrastructure
- Economy

The resources below have been extracted from the Disaster Planning Library to facilitate planning for highrisk areas observed through the assessment. Please go through the following tools as a starting point for your planning process and feel free to search the Disaster Planning Library for further information, if required. The resources here are included to facilitate the process of hazard mitigation planning, through the Planning for a Purpose Model of Illinois Extension (see Toolkit).

#### Infrastructure

#### Green Infrastructure

• Green Infrastructure: Smart Conservation For The 21st Century

Organization: Renewable Resources Journal

Year: 2002

Document type: General Resource/Foundational Research, Planning Tool

Disasters : Flood, Man-Made Disasters

Abstract: "Green infrastructure" is a term becoming more commonly used among natural resource professionals. While it means different things to different people, depending on the context in which it is used, for the purposes of this article, green infrastructure is an interconnected network of green space that conserves natural ecosystem values and functions and provides associated benefits to human populations. Green infrastructure is the ecological framework needed for environmental, social and economic sustainability- our nation's natural life support system. Planning utilizing green infrastructure differs from conventional open space planning because it looks at conservation values in concert with land development, growth management and built infrastructure planning. This article introduces green infrastructure as a strategic approach to land conservation that addresses the ecological and social impacts of sprawl and the accelerated consumption and fragmentation of open land. It describes the concept and value of green infrastructure and presents seven principles for successful green infrastructure initiatives.

Plan Components: Planning Processes

#### • Mitigation Assistance: Building Resilient Infrastructure And Communities

Organization: FEMA

Year: 2022

Document type: Policy

Disasters: Tornado, Flood, Drought, Extreme Weather, Earthquakes, Wildfires, Man-Made Disasters,

Biological Disasters, Soil Erosion/Landslides

Abstract: The purpose of this policy is to establish the framework and requirements for BRIC while allowing flexibility to promote continuous program improvement through priorities and criteria set forth in the annual Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO). The BRIC program is designed to promote a national culture of preparedness and public safety through encouraging investments to protect the nation's communities and infrastructure and through strengthening national mitigation capabilities to foster resilience.

Plan\_Components: Strategies, Funding Mechanisms

#### • What Is Green Infrastructure?

Organization: EPA

Year: 2022

Document type: Web-based Resource, Manual for an Local Organization

Disasters: Flood, Drought, Extreme Weather

Abstract: Description of green infrastructure with examples of different types of green infrastructure.

Plan\_Components : Strategies

#### • Green Infrastructure Modeling Tools

Organization: EPA

Year: 2022

Document type: General Resource/ Foundational Research, Web-based Resource, Planning Tool

Disasters: Flood, Extreme Weather

Abstract : Modeling tools support planning and design decisions on a range of scales from setting a green infrastructure target for an entire watershed to designing a green infrastructure practice for a particular site.

Plan\_Components : Strategies, Tools, Green Infrastructure

#### • Overcoming Barriers To Green Infrastructure

Organization: EPA

Year: 2022

Document type: General Resource/Foundational Research, Web-based Resource

Disasters: Flood, Drought

Abstract: Communities across the country are experiencing the benefits of green infrastructure. They have adopted performance standards or incentives promoting green infrastructure while others have built demonstration projects. Here we identify some of the barriers to adopting green infrastructure approaches and suggest strategies to overcome them.

Plan Components: Strategies, Green Infrastructure

# • Operation And Maintenance Of Green Infrastructure Receiving Runoff From Roads And Parking Lots

Organization: EPA

 $Year:\,2016$ 

Document type: General Resource/Foundational Research, Manual for an Local Organization

Disasters: Flood

Abstract: Operation and maintenance is a challenge that when not addressed properly can lead to failure of green infrastructure and high costs associated with restoration. This memorandum addresses common operation and maintenance questions and provides recommendations for evaluating the need and providing maintenance for green infrastructure, specifically bioretention and bioswales, that serves highly impervious roadways and parking lots.

Plan Components: Strategies, Tools, Green Infrastructure

#### • Green Infrastructure Funding Opportunities

Organization: EPA

Year: 2022

Document\_type: Web-based Resource, Funding Opportunities Disasters: Flood, Extreme Weather, Soil Erosion/Landslides

Abstract : Federal funding sources and funding resources from the EPA for green infrastructure

Plan Components: Funding Mechanisms, Tools

#### • Green Infrastructure Grant Opportunities

Organization: Illinois EPA

Year: 2021

Document type: Web-based Resource, Funding Opportunities

Disasters: Flood, Drought, Soil Erosion/Landslides

Abstract: The new Green Infrastructure Grant Opportunities (GIGO) Program funds projects to construct green infrastructure best management practices (BMPs) that prevent, eliminate, or reduce water quality impairments by decreasing stormwater runoff into Illinois' rivers, streams, and lakes.

Projects that implement treatment trains (multiple BMPs in a series) and/or multiple BMPs within the same watershed may be more effective and efficient than a single large green infrastructure BMP. Plan Components: Funding Mechanisms, Green Infrastructure

#### • Managing Wet Weather With Green Infrastructure Municipal Handbook Funding **Options**

Organization: EPA

Year: 2008

Document type: Manual for an Local Organization, Funding Opportunities

Disasters : Flood

Abstract : The Municipal Handbook is a series of documents

to help local officials implement green infrastructure in their communities. This chapter identifies and discusses the two most common funding options communities are using for green stormwater infrastructure – stormwater fees and loan programs.

Plan Components: Strategies, Funding Mechanisms, Case Studies, Green Infrastructure

#### • Managing Wet Weather With Green Infrastructure Municipal Handbook Green Infrastructure Retrofit Policies

Organization: EPA

Year: 2008

Document\_type: Planning Tool, Manual for an Local Organization, Policy

Abstract: The Municipal Handbook is a series of documents to help local officials implement green infrastructure in their communities. This chapter is about creating policies for green infrastructure.

Plan Components: Strategies, Planning Processes, Tools, Green Infrastructure

#### • Managing Wet Weather With Green Infrastructure Municipal Handbook Green Streets Organization: EPA

Year: 2008

Document type: Planning Tool, Manual for an Local Organization, Policy

Disasters: Flood

Abstract : The Municipal Handbook is a series of documents

to help local officials implement green infrastructure in their communities. This chapter looks at green streets.

Plan Components: Strategies, Green Infrastructure

#### • Managing Wet Weather With Green Infrastructure Municipal Handbook Rainwater Harvesting Policies

Organization: EPA

Year: 2008

Document type: Planning Tool, Manual for an Local Organization, Example Ordinances and Codes

Disasters: Flood, Drought

Abstract: The Municipal Handbook is a series of documents

to help local officials implement green infrastructure in their communities. This chapter looks at rainwater harvesting

Plan Components: Strategies, Case Studies, Tools, Green Infrastructure

#### • Managing Wet Weather With Green Infrastructure Municipal Handbook Incentive Mechanisms

Organization: EPA

Year: 2009

Document\_type: Planning Tool, Manual for an Local Organization, Example Ordinances and Codes,

Funding Opportunities

Disasters: Flood

Abstract : The Municipal Handbook is a series of documents

to help local officials implement green infrastructure in their communities.

This chapter looks at incentive mechanisms

Plan\_Components : Funding Mechanisms, Case Studies, Green Infrastructure

#### • Green Infrastructure Modeling Toolkit

Organization: EPA

Year: 2022

Document type: Web-based Resource

Disasters: Flood, Drought

Abstract: EPA has developed innovative models, tools, and technologies for communities to manage water runoff in urban and other environments. The resources in this toolkit incorporate green or a combination of green and gray infrastructure practices to help communities manage their water resources in a more sustainable way, increasing resilience to future changes.

 $Plan\_Components: Tools, Green Infrastructure$ 

#### • Green Infrastructure Methods

Organization: EPA

Document\_type: General Resource/Foundational Research, Funding Opportunities

Disasters: Flood, Drought

Abstract: FEMA is encouraging communities to incorporate methods to mitigate the impacts of climate change into eligible Hazard Mitigation Assistance (HMA) funded risk reduction activities by providing guidance on mitigating flood and drought conditions.

Plan\_Components: Strategies, Funding Mechanisms, Tools, Green Infrastructure

#### • Equity Guide For Green Stormwater Infrastructure Practitioners

Organization: Greenprint Partners

Year: 2022

Document\_type : General Resource/ Foundational Research, Planning Tool, Manual for an Local

Organization, Policy
Disasters: Flood, Drought

Abstract: The Equity Guide for Green Stormwater Infrastructure Practitioners is a resource developed by and for green infrastructure program managers representing local public sector stormwater management organizations across the United States and Canada. It offers an action and evaluation roadmap that defines: our industry's shared long-term equity goals, best practices that will move the needle, and sample metrics that help us track progress toward those goals over time. It also offers a variety of tools to support practitioners in customizing community- informed equity work plans and evaluation plans to local

contexts

Plan\_Components : Surveys/Assessments, Strategies, Planning Processes, Tools, Green Infrastruc-

ture, Information

#### • Cape Cod Green Infrastructure Guide

Organization: Tufts University

Year: 2015

Document\_type : General Resource/ Foundational Research, Web-based Resource

Disasters : Flood

Abstract: In 2015, this Green Infrastructure Guide was developed by Tufts University graduate students in the Water: Systems, Science & Society program to provide accessible opportunities for stakeholders and the public to learn about green technologies and the unique benefits they offer.

Plan\_Components : Green Infrastructure, Information

#### • Professional Practice Green Infrastructure: Constructed Wetlands

Organization: American Society of Landscape Architects

Document\_type: General Resource/Foundational Research, Web-based Resource

Disasters: Flood

Abstract: Constructed wetlands mimic the functions of natural wetlands to capture stormwater, reduce nutrient loads, and create diverse wildlife habitat. They are often created in engineered growth media in trenches, small islands, and pools. They are designed to contain water at all times – either standing water on the surface or water saturated just below the soil surface.

Plan Components: Tools, Green Infrastructure, Information

#### • Minnesota Green Stormwater Infrastructure Guide

Organization: MN Department of Natural Resources, NOAA

Year: 2022

Document\_type : General Resource/ Foundational Research, Planning Tool, Manual for an Local Organization

Disasters: Flood, Drought, Extreme Weather

Abstract: The purpose of this guide is to help homeowners and small business owners who are not subject to stormwater permits identify ways to improve and protect their property and the area's water quality through installation and management of green stormwater practices. The designs of these practices can be customized to fit specific needs and site-specific constraints.

Plan\_Components: Strategies, Planning Processes, Tools, Green Infrastructure, Information

#### • The Green Infrastructure Guide Planning For A Healthy Urban And Community Forest

Organization: The Regional Planning Partnership

Year: 2001

Document\_type : General Resource/ Foundational Research, Planning Tool, Manual for an Local Organization

Disasters: Flood, Drought, Biological Disasters, Soil Erosion/Landslides

Abstract: This handbook builds the case for the value of green infrastructure and provides suggestions about how to integrate green infrastructure planning with planning for development.

Plan\_Components: Planning Processes, Tools, Green Infrastructure, Information

#### • Approved Green Infrastructure Master Plan

Organization: Prince George's County Planning Department

Year: 2002

Document type: Specific Plan, Policy

Disasters: Flood, Drought, Man-Made Disasters

Abstract: This document contains text and maps of the Approved Countywide Green Infrastructure Plan for Prince George's County, Maryland. Green infrastructure is defined as an interconnected network of waterways, wetlands, woodlands, wildlife habitats, and other natural areas of countywide significance. The plan identifies a contiguous network of environmentally sensitive areas throughout the county and sets forth a goal, objectives, policies, and strategies to preserve, protect, and enhance these elements by the year 2025. The plan supports the desired development pattern in the General Plan. This is the first comprehensive functional master plan ever developed for environmental ecosystems in Prince George's County.

Plan Components: Planning Processes, Green Infrastructure

#### • Kane County 2040 Green Infrastructure Plan

Organization: Kane County Board, Quality of Kane, Greening Infrastructure, IDNR

Year: 2012

Document type: Specific Plan

Disasters: Flood, Soil Erosion/Landslides

Abstract: The ultimate goal of the Kane County 2040 Green Infrastructure Plan is to lay the

groundwork for green infrastructure planning and projects at the regional,

community, neighborhood and site levels addressing current issues of water

resource management, biodiversity, conservation, water supply, public health,

climate change and economic development.

Plan\_Components : Surveys/Assessments, Planning Processes, Funding Mechanisms, Green Infrastructure, Information

#### • Green Infrastructure Partnership Opportunity Program

Organization: Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago

Year: 2022

Document type: Specific Plan, Funding Opportunities

Disasters: Flood, Extreme Weather

Abstract: MWRD encourages and supports implementing green infrastructure (GI), which includes a range of engineered installations that store, infiltrate, and/or evaporate stormwater, thereby mimicking the natural water cycle. The MWRD recognizes that GI practices can be effective in reducing wetweather flows to combined sewer systems, reducing combined sewer overflows to local waterways, and reducing runoff volumes and improving water quality in separate sewer service areas. The MWRD also recognizes the vital role of local government in addressing flooding concerns through the innovative use of GI.

Plan\_Components: Planning Processes, Funding Mechanisms, Tools, Green Infrastructure

#### **Economy**

#### Agriculture and Rural Economy

# • Branching Out: Agroforestry As A Climate Change Mitigation And Adaptation Tool For Agriculture

Organization: Journal of Soil and Water Conservation

Year: 2012

Document\_type: General Resource/Foundational Research, Planning Tool

Disasters : Flood, Drought, Extreme Weather, Man-Made Disasters, Soil Erosion/Landslides, Agricultural Disasters

Abstract: US and Canadian agricultural lands are being pressed to provide more environmental and economic services, while at the same time their capacity to provide these services under potential climate change (CC) is being questioned (Field et al. 2007; CAST 2011). Producers are already experiencing weather patterns outside of climate norms (e.g., the 2011 droughts in Texas, and flooding along the Missouri River in the United States and

along the Red River in Canada) that have had significant impacts on production. Predictions of future climate conditions for the US Midwest include longer growing seasons that could potentially increase crop yields but also increase heat waves,

floods, droughts, and insect and weed issues that may then adversely impact production (USGCRP 2009). Climate change drives many stressors and interacts with many non-climatic stressors. This makes it difficult to forecast outcomes in any general way other than many existing threats to agricultural production, such as erosion and pests, which will most likely be exacerbated under shifting climate (Field et al. 2007; USGCRP 2009). Creating profitable and healthy operations under this unpredictable interplay of factors driven by shifting climate (and, along with it, shifting markets) will be a daunting task.

It will be essential that farmers, ranchers, and even communities have a variety of land management options to minimize the risks and maximize services under such uncertain conditions.

Plan\_Components : Strategies

#### • Disaster Assistance Programs At A Glance

Organization: USDA

Year: 2020

Document type: General Resource/Foundational Research

Disasters: Tornado, Flood, Drought, Extreme Weather, Earthquakes, Wildfires, Man-Made Disasters,

Biological Disasters, Soil Erosion/Landslides, Agricultural Disasters

Abstract : A clean easy to read guide to programs that USDA offers for farm production and

 $Plan\_Components: Funding Mechanisms$ 

#### • Soil Health Matrix Decision Tool

Organization: North Central Region Water Network

Year: 2022

Document\_type : Web-based Resource, Planning Tool Disasters : Man-Made Disasters, Agricultural Disasters

Abstract: This tool is designed to serve as a 101 tool for producers who are considering implementing new soil health practices on their operation. It is not meant to provide specific metrics on the impact of practice implementation. Instead, it is designed to give producers an overall feel for the soil health benefits of a range of management decisions and help narrow down which practices might be the best fit for their operation. After using this tool, it is critical for producers to work with advisors and educators about the specifics of their operation prior to implementation. The values in this tool are regional in nature.

Plan Components: Tools

#### • Dealing With Flooding

Organization: Iowa State University Extension and Outreach

Document type: General Resource/Foundational Research, Web-based Resource

Disasters: Flood

Abstract: Floods are one of the most common, and most costly, natural disasters. Preparing for flood situations can minimize injury to yourself and your family and speed the recovery process. These resources will help you prepare and recover from flooding situations.

Plan Components: Tools

## • Dairy And Livestock Farm Disaster Preparedness And Recovery Guide For Maine Farmers

Organization: University of Maine Cooperative Extension

Year: 2018

Document\_type : General Resource/ Foundational Research, Web-based Resource, Planning Tool, Manual for an Local Organization

Disasters : Flood, Extreme Weather, Wildfires, Man-Made Disasters, Biological Disasters, Agricultural

Disasters

Abstract: This fact sheet contains tip sheets and checklists

to help you prepare for and recover from an

on-farm or community disaster, especially if

you are unfamiliar with emergency management

limitations regarding livestock.

Plan Components: Strategies, Planning Processes, Tools, Information

#### Economic Recovery, Restoration and Resilience

#### • Managed Aquifer Recharge And The U.s. Army Corps Of Engineers: Water Security Through Resilience

Organization: Institute for water resources

Year: 2020

Document\_type: Manual for an Local Organization Disasters: Flood, Drought, Man-Made Disasters

Abstract: The Institute for Water Resources (IWR) is a U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) Field Operating Activity with centers located in Alexandria, VA, Davis, CA; New Orleans, LA;

Lakewood, CO; and Pittsburgh, PA. IWR was created in 1969 to analyze and anticipate changing water resources management conditions and develop methods and analytical tools to address economic, social, institutional, and environmental needs in water resources. Since its inception, IWR has been a leader in the development of strategies and tools for planning and executing USACE water resources and water management programs.

Plan\_Components : Strategies, Funding Mechanisms, Case Studies

#### • Mitigation Assistance: Building Resilient Infrastructure And Communities

Organization: FEMA

Year : 2022

Document\_type : Policy

Disasters: Tornado, Flood, Drought, Extreme Weather, Earthquakes, Wildfires, Man-Made Disasters,

Biological Disasters, Soil Erosion/Landslides

Abstract: The purpose of this policy is to establish the framework and requirements for BRIC

while allowing flexibility to promote continuous program improvement through

priorities and criteria set forth in the annual Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO).

The BRIC program is designed to promote a national culture of preparedness and public safety through encouraging investments to protect the nation's communities and infrastructure and through strengthening national mitigation capabilities to foster resilience.

Plan\_Components : Strategies, Funding Mechanisms

#### • Innovative Drought And Flood Mitigation Projects

Organization: FEMA

Year: 2017

Document type: General Resource/Foundational Research

Disasters: Flood, Drought, Extreme Weather, Soil Erosion/Landslides

Abstract: FEMA commissioned a report titled FEMA Mitigation Support for Planning and Implementation of Climate Resilient Infrastructure (CDM Smith, 2015a) in February 2015. In this report, over 70 climate resilient project options were identified that may reduce the risk of impacts to people and infrastructure attributed to climate change weather extremes. This list was reduced to 14 project types for further evaluation and analysis of various technical, economic-financial, implementation, and environmental considerations. Of the 14 project types 4 of these projects were selected based on their high performance

related to the aforementioned criteria and their ability to meet basic requirements consistent with HMA Guidance.

This document evaluates the four project types from the standpoint of HMA program requirements: technical feasibility and effectiveness, cost effectiveness, Environmental and Historic Preservation (EHP) requirements and identifies areas of potential overlap with other Federal Agencies to support FEMA's evaluation of Duplication of Programs (DOP) while also considering areas where Federal agencies could successfully coordinate to fund these project types from multiple Federal programs.

Plan Components: Surveys/Assessments, Case Studies

# • Ecosystem Service Benefits In Benefit-Cost Analysis For Fema's Mitigation Programs Policy

 ${\bf Organization: FEMA}$ 

Year: 2016

Document type: General Resource/Foundational Research, Policy

Disasters: Tornado, Flood, Drought, Extreme Weather, Earthquakes, Wildfires, Man-Made Disasters, Biological Disasters, Soil Erosion/Landslides, Agricultural Disasters

Abstract: This policy provides guidance for using ecosystem service benefits in the evaluation of the cost-effectiveness of mitigation projects funded under FEMA's Hazard Mitigation programs and rescinds FP-108-024-01 and eliminates the BCR 0.75 requirement, allowing

consideration of ecosystem service benefits for a project regardless of BCR value. FEMA

rescinds that policy in recognition that the natural environment is an important component of a community's resilience strategy.

Plan Components: Strategies

#### • Hazard Mitigation Assistance Guidance

Organization: FEMA

Year: 2015

Document\_type: Planning Tool, Manual for an Local Organization

Disasters: Tornado, Flood, Drought, Extreme Weather, Earthquakes, Wildfires, Man-Made Disasters,

Biological Disasters, Soil Erosion/Landslides, Agricultural Disasters

Abstract : The U.S. Department of Homeland Security (DHS) FEMA HMA programs present a critical

opportunity to reduce the risk to individuals and property from natural hazards, while

simultaneously reducing reliance on Federal disaster funds. HMA programs provide funding for eligible activities that are consistent with the National Mitigation Framework's Long-Term Vulnerability Reduction capability. HMA programs reduce community vulnerability to disasters and their effects, promote individual and community safety

and resilience and promote community vitality after an incident. Furthermore, HMA programs reduce response and recovery resource requirements in the wake of a disaster or incident, which results in a safer community that is less reliant on external financial assistance.

Plan Components: Strategies, Planning Processes, Funding Mechanisms

# • Supplemental Guidance For Conducting A Benefit-Cost Analysis (Bca) For A Floodplain And Stream Restoration Project

Organization: FEMA

Year: 2016

Document\_type: General Resource/ Foundational Research, Planning Tool Disasters: Flood, Drought, Extreme Weather, Soil Erosion/Landslides

 $Abstract: According \ to \ the \ FY2016 \ Pre-Disaster \ Mitigation \ (PDM) \ program \ Notice \ of \ Funding$ 

Opportunity (NOFO), Climate Resilient Mitigation Activities are eligible for PDM funding. The NOFO lists the Floodplain and Stream Restoration (FSR) project type as one of these eligible project types. Because the benefits that could be applicable to an FSR project have not yet been incorporated into the BCA Tool, this document was developed to assist users of FEMA's BCA Tool in performing a benefit cost analysis for an FSR project. The process for conducting a BCA may involve inputting data in existing data fields in the BCA Tool, using a FEMA-created spreadsheet, and/or calculating losses manually and then entering them into new loss category fields in the BCA Tool.

Plan Components: Planning Processes, Funding Mechanisms

#### • Building Codes Save: A Nationwide Study

Organization: FEMA

Year: 2020

Document type: General Resource/Foundational Research

Disasters : Tornado, Flood, Drought, Extreme Weather, Earthquakes, Wildfires, Soil Ero-

sion/Landslides

Abstract : The findings of the MAT investigations, the magnitude of recent hazard events,

and the escalating cost of natural disasters together revealed a compelling need to quantify the value of building codes in reducing damage from natural disasters nationwide.

Plan Components: Surveys/Assessments

#### • Protecting Communities And Saving Money

Organization: FEMA

Year: 2020

Document type: General Resource/Foundational Research

Disasters: Tornado, Flood, Drought, Extreme Weather, Earthquakes, Wildfires, Soil Ero-

sion/Landslides

Abstract: One of the most cost-effective ways to safeguard our communities against natural disasters is to adopt and follow hazard-resistant building codes. Not only are casualties reduced, but the cost of building damage is also reduced during a natural disaster. Building codes also help communities get back on their feet faster by minimizing indirect costs such as business interruptions and lost income. A new FEMA study has made the impact of building codes on sustainability clear. The cost of not adopting building codes is too high.

Plan\_Components : Surveys/Assessments, Strategies, Planning Processes, Funding Mechanisms, Case Studies

#### • Resilience Toolkit

Organization: ICC (International Code Council)

Year: 2022

Document type: Web-based Resource

Disasters : Tornado, Flood, Drought, Extreme Weather, Earthquakes, Wildfires, Soil Ero-

sion/Landslides

Abstract: Over the past twenty years communities worldwide have experienced disaster events that have significantly impacted their society, economy, and culture. As populations grow, urban areas expand, and interconnectedness increases, the potential for a disaster event to have deeper and further-reaching consequences also increases. As a result, there is a need to implement measures that increase resilience across the social, organizational, and infrastructural aspects of communities -community resilience.

Plan\_Components : Strategies, Planning Processes

#### • Coronavirus State And Local Fiscal Recovery Funds

Organization: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Year: 2022

Document\_type : Others

Disasters: Flood, Biological Disasters

Abstract: The Coronavirus State and Local Fiscal Recovery Funds (SLFRF) program, a part of the American Rescue Plan, delivers \$350 billion to state, local, and Tribal governments across the country to support their response to and recovery from the COVID-19 public health emergency.

Plan Components: Strategies, Funding Mechanisms

#### Community Solutions For Stormwater Management

Organization: EPA

Year: 2016

Document\_type: Planning Tool

Disasters: Flood, Man-Made Disasters

Abstract: The purpose of this draft guide is to assist EPA, states and local governments in developing new or improving existing long-term stormwater plans that inform stormwater management implemented by communities on the ground. The document describes how to develop a comprehensive long-term community stormwater plan that integrates stormwater management with communities' broader plans for economic development, infrastructure investment and environmental compliance.

Plan Components: Strategies, Planning Processes, Tools, Green Infrastructure

### • Living With Weather

Organization: Midwestern Regional Climate Center

Year: 2022

Document\_type: Web-based Resource, Planning Tool

Disasters: Tornado, Flood, Drought, Extreme Weather, Wildfires

Abstract: Weather extremes have occurred, do occur, and will occur and can variously affect your personal health and safety, energy and water availability and usage, home and business structures, agricultural and transportation resources, air and water quality. Information collected primarily from

governmental agencies is provided for easier living with extreme weather events (such as heat waves and droughts, thunderstorms, floods and ice storms and snowstorms).

Resources are outlined for individuals to better plan for their own safety, for communities to help their residents to plan for and cope with weather hazards, and to aid individuals and communities to begin their recovery from weather hazards.

Plan Components: Surveys/Assessments, Strategies, Tools, Information

#### • Flood Risk Overview For Illinois

Organization: Flood Factor

Document type: Web-based Resource, Planning Tool

Disasters: Flood, Extreme Weather

Abstract: There are 492,334 properties in Illinois that have greater than a 26% chance of being severely affected by flooding over the next 30 years. This represents 10% of all properties in the state. In addition to damage on properties, flooding can also cut off access to utilities, emergency services, transportation, and may impact the overall economic well-being of an area. Explore the maps below to learn more about the homes, roads, businesses, and services at risk in Illinois.

Plan Components: Strategies, Tools, Green Infrastructure, Information

#### • Sustainable Land Development Code City Of Greensburg, Kansas

Organization: GREENSBURG PLANNING COMMISSION

Year: 2011

Document type: Example Ordinances and Codes

Disasters: Tornado, Flood, Soil Erosion/Landslides, Agricultural Disasters

Abstract: City code for Greensburg, Kansas. The small rural town is a unique example in which the entire town was destroyed by a tornado. This gave leeway for a complete reimagination of the town and code, building a sustainable and resilient community from the ground up.

Plan Components: Strategies, Tools, Green Infrastructure, Information

#### • Forests For Indy Executive Summary: Urban Forest Protection Strategy

Organization: Indiana Forest Alliance and The Conservation Fund

Year: 2021

Document type: Specific Plan, Manual for an Local Organization

Disasters: Flood, water quality, heat, atmospheric CO2 Abstract: Coordinated by the Indiana Forest Alliance, this

data-driven framework fulfills a key directive in the White River Vision Plan: to "identify forests that are not protected, assess their quality and establish priorities for preserving high quality forests and forest cover in general." Forest

preservation also advances the goals of the

Thrive Indy plan by equitably expanding green

space, improving stormwater infiltration, and

building climate resilience — boosting livability

for every Indianapolis resident.

Plan Components: Surveys/Assessments, Strategies, Funding Mechanisms, Tools, Green Infrastructure

#### Mitigation Ideas A Resource For Reducing Risk To Natural Hazards

Organization: FEMA

Year: 2013

Document\_type: Planning Tool

Disasters: Tornado, Flood, Drought, Extreme Weather, Earthquakes, Wildfires, Soil Ero-

sion/Landslides

Abstract: The purpose of this document is to provide a resource that communities can use to identify

and evaluate a range of potential mitigation actions for reducing risk to natural hazards and disasters. The focus of this document is mitigation, which is action taken to reduce or eliminate long-term risk to hazards. Mitigation is different from preparedness, which is action taken to improve emergency response or operational preparedness.

Plan Components: Strategies, Green Infrastructure, Information

#### • Comprehensive Plan Village Of Savoy

Organization: Village of Savoy

Year: 2019

Document\_type : Specific Plan Disasters : Tornado, Flood, Wildfires

Abstract: The comprehensive plan is an official statement of a local government that establishes goals, policies, and actions for future development. As

the leading policy document guiding the development of local jurisdictions, the comprehensive plan has an important role to play in meeting local and regional challenges such as economic uncertainty, resource depletion, climate instability, and social disparities.

Plan\_Components: Surveys/Assessments, Strategies, Planning Processes, Green Infrastructure

#### • Cape Cod Green Infrastructure Guide

Organization: Tufts University

Year: 2015

Document\_type: General Resource/Foundational Research, Web-based Resource Disasters: Flood, Man-Made Disasters, Biological Disasters, Agricultural Disasters

Abstract: In 2015, this Green Infrastructure Guide was developed by Tufts University graduate students in the Water: Systems, Science & Society program to provide accessible opportunities for stakeholders and the public to learn about green technologies and the unique benefits they offer.

The group primarily focused on nitrogen mitigation strategies in Cape Cod. Excess nitrogen has led to eutrophication and degraded water habitats, resulting in significant environmental impacts. Nitrogen loading to Cape Cod's watersheds must be reduced in order to restore ecological health and preserve this unique system into the future.

Plan\_Components : Case Studies, Green Infrastructure, Information

#### Community Flood Resilience In Vinton: Engaging Residents Affected By The Floods Of 2008 And 2016

Organization: Iowa Watershed Approach, U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)

Year: 2020

Document\_type: Planning Tool, Manual for an Local Organization

Disasters: Flood

Abstract: Vinton was selected to be included in the Iowa Watershed Approach's

Flood Resilience Program, which recognizes that social resources are often absent or minimally evident when it comes to flood resiliency.

The program strives to improve the use of social resources in

watersheds by connecting local partners and stakeholders, enhancing

the presence of social resources in watershed planning efforts, and

increasing the awareness and communication about established and

novel flood resilience initiatives.

Plan Components: Surveys/Assessments, Strategies, Planning Processes, Case Studies

#### • Plan Today For Tomorrow's Flood

Organization: Purdue University

Year: 2010

Document\_type: Planning Tool

Disasters: Flood

Abstract: This publication raises the awareness of how floodwaters pose risks to both agricultural retailers and their communities. It includes the lessons many retail managers learned from their flooding experiences, and helps retailers examine what they need to do to create a flood preparation plan.

Plan Components: Planning Processes, Information

#### • Disaster Recovery Resource Fair

Organization: University of Minnesota Extension

Document type: Planning Tool, Manual for an Local Organization

Disasters: Disasters in general

Abstract: Holding Disaster Recovery Resource Fairs in the affected community can help reduce many barriers people may encounter as they attempt to access disaster assistance programs and services. A Disaster Recovery Resource Fair is a local, one-stop shop for disaster survivors to access multiple assistance programs at one location. They are held at times when survivors may be available to attend and are offered on more than one occasion. More people participate when the resource fair is held in a familiar place within the affected community and is organized, promoted, and staffed by people familiar to the disaster survivors. A Disaster Recovery Resource Fair might resemble a Community Health Fair, trade show, or Home & Garden Expo where individuals can interact with a variety of resources in one setting.

Plan\_Components: Planning Processes, Tools, Information

#### • Indiana Coad Guidance Manual

Organization: Purdue University

Year: 2012

Document type: Planning Tool, Manual for an Local Organization

Disasters: disasters in general

Abstract: This document was written to help all community organizations and individuals understand how collaborative efforts can prepare communities for disaster. The main goals of these collaborations are to help communities avoid some disasters and recover from other unavoidable disasters.

Plan\_Components: Strategies, Planning Processes, Information

#### **Development and Finances**

# • Supplemental Guidance For Conducting A Benefit-Cost Analysis (Bca) For A Floodwater Diversion And Storage Project

Disasters: Flood, Drought

Abstract: According to the FY2016 Pre-Disaster Mitigation (PDM) program Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO), Climate Resilient Mitigation Activities are eligible for PDM funding. The NOFO lists the Floodwater Diversion and Storage (FDS) project type as one of these eligible project types. Because the benefits that could be applicable to an FDS project have not yet been incorporated into the BCA Tool, this document was developed to assist users of FEMA's BCA Tool in performing a benefit cost analysis for an FDS project. The process for conducting a BCA may involve inputting data in existing data fields in the BCA Tool, using a FEMA-created spreadsheet, and/or calculating losses manually and then entering them into new loss category fields in the BCA Tool

Plan Components: Strategies, Planning Processes, Funding Mechanisms

# • Usda Forest Service Urban & Community Forestry 2022 Challenge Cost Share Grant Program

Organization: USDA, U.S. Forest Service

Year: 2022

Document type: Web-based Resource, Funding Opportunities

Disasters: Flood, Soil Erosion/Landslides

Abstract: Urban forests are trees for people, where they live, work and play, which includes natural

resources on public and private property that contribute to quality of life, supports community development, green infrastructure, and provide a wealth of benefits to cities and towns.

The USDA Forest Service Urban & Community Forestry (U&CF) Program is the only dedicated urban forest program in the federal government. It is a technical, financial, and educational assistance program delivers nature-based solutions to more than 84 percent of Americans. The program works in partnership to restore, sustain, and manage more than 140 million acres of urban and community forest lands for the benefit of communities in the United States. Healthy urban & community forests and green infrastructure are not only critical to all our nation's forests, but research and studies have also shown that our urban and community forests are essential to the economic, environmental, physical, and mental well-being of our citizens.

Plan Components: Funding Mechanisms, Tools, Green Infrastructure

#### • Equity Guide For Green Stormwater Infrastructure Practitioners

Organization: Greenprint Partners

Year: 2022

Document\_type: General Resource/Foundational Research, Planning Tool, Manual for an Local

Organization, Policy

Disasters: Flood, Drought

Abstract: The Equity Guide for Green Stormwater Infrastructure Practitioners is a resource developed by and for green infrastructure program managers representing local public sector stormwater management organizations across the United States and Canada. It offers an action and evaluation roadmap that defines: our industry's shared long-term equity goals, best practices that will move the needle, and sample metrics that help us track progress toward those goals over time. It also offers a variety of tools to support practitioners in customizing community- informed equity work plans and evaluation plans to local

contexts

Plan\_Components : Surveys/Assessments, Strategies, Planning Processes, Tools, Green Infrastructure, Information

#### • Hazard Mitigation Assistance Cost Share Guide

Organization: FEMA

Year: 2016

Document\_type : General Resource/ Foundational Research, Manual for an Local Organization, Funding Opportunities

Disasters: Tornado, Flood, Extreme Weather, Earthquakes, Wildfires, Soil Erosion/Landslides

Abstract: The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) offers three Hazard Mitigation Assistance (HMA) grant programs: the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP), the Pre-Disaster Mitigation (PDM) Program, and the Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Program. Each of the HMA programs have specific non-Federal, cost share contribution requirements administered in accordance with the Federal cost-sharing requirements outlined in Title 2 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Sections 200.29, 200.306, and 200.434 and consistent with Title 44 of the CFR, the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act, as amended, and the National Flood Insurance Act, as amended.

This Guide is intended to provide a brief overview that will be helpful to grant Applicants in making cost share decisions and meeting Federal cost share requirements in the context of HMA grant programs

Plan Components: Funding Mechanisms, Tools

#### • Fema Individual Assistance

Organization: FEMA

Year: 2021

Document\_type : Web-based Resource, Funding Opportunities

Disasters: Tornado, Flood, Drought, Extreme Weather, Earthquakes, Wildfires, Soil Ero-

sion/Landslides

Abstract : Individual disaster relief assistance. Plan\_Components : Funding Mechanisms

#### • Iema Public Assistance Program

Organization: IEMA

Year: 2022

Document\_type : General Resource/ Foundational Research, Web-based Resource, Funding Oppor-

tunities

Disasters: Tornado, Flood, Drought, Extreme Weather, Earthquakes, Wildfires, Soil Ero-

sion/Landslides

Abstract: The Public Assistance (PA) Program provides federal disaster assistance to states, local units of government, and certain private non-profit organizations, for debris removal, emergency protective measures and the permanent restoration or replacement of public facilities as a result of a major disaster or emergency declaration being made by the President.

Plan Components: Funding Mechanisms, Information

#### • Sustainable Communities Extension Program

Organization: Purdue University Extension, Illinois-Indiana Sea Grant

Document\_type: General Resource/Foundational Research, Web-based Resource

Disasters: Flood, Man-Made Disasters, Soil Erosion/Landslides

Abstract : The efforts of the Illinois-Indiana Sea Grant and Purdue University Extension Sustainable Communities Extension Program support community planning and sustainable development strategies in communities across Indiana and Great Lakes states.

Plan\_Components : Strategies, Planning Processes, Green Infrastructure

 ${\bf Appendix}~{\bf A}$  Interpretation of High Risk and Low Risk Areas at County Level

| Indicator    | Definition  | Low Risk<br>Interpretation  | High Risk<br>Interpretation   | Risk<br>Level |
|--------------|---|---|---|---------------|
| Agri         | Percentage<br>Employed in<br>Agricultural<br>Occupations                    | A lower percentage of workers in the agricultural sector indicates a greater economic diversity, lower dependence on weather conditions, higher resilience and lower risk | Agricultural sector is most directly affected by natural disasters and extreme weather events such that their productivity goes down drastically. Therefore a predominantly agrarian economy represents lower economic resilience and greater disaster risk | Low           |
| Agri LQ      | Location Quotient of Agricultural Occupations                               | A lower LQ for the<br>Agricultural Sector<br>indicates a low risk   | A higher LQ for<br>Agricultural<br>Businesses indicates a<br>higher risk  | High          |
| Agro Percent | Percentage<br>Employment<br>in Agriculture<br>and related<br>Sectors        | A lower percentage of workers in the agricultural sector indicates a greater economic diversity, lower dependence on weather conditions, higher resilience and lower risk | Agricultural sector is most directly affected by natural disasters and extreme weather events such that their productivity goes down drastically. Therefore a predominantly agrarian economy represents lower economic resilience and greater disaster risk | High          |
| Airports     | Non-<br>Commercial<br>-Civil Public<br>Use Airports<br>and Seaplane<br>base | A higher value is associated is low risk  | It indicates access to communication and transportation infrastructure which is essential in times of disasters. A lower value is associated with high risk   | Low           |

| Indicator        | Definition  | Low Risk<br>Interpretation   | High Risk<br>Interpretation  | Risk<br>Level |
|------------------|---|--|--|---------------|
| ALLNPDESperKM ln | All NPDES<br>permits per<br>1000 km of<br>stream, as<br>permits per<br>1000km<br>stream<br>length, log<br>transformed | A Lower value is associated with low risk  | It indicates pollution<br>of water resources. A<br>higher value is<br>associated with high<br>risk   | Low           |
| Arts             | Percentage<br>Employed in<br>Arts<br>Occupations  | A lower employment<br>in the arts sector<br>could indicate higher<br>resilience to economic<br>shocks and higher<br>incomes and is<br>therefore associated<br>with lower risk              | Although a higher proportion artists could signal a greater economic diversity, arts occupations are highly susceptible to natural disasters. Therefore a greater employment in arts industries could signal a higher disaster risk.             | Very<br>Low   |
| Arts LQ          | Location<br>Quotient of<br>Arts<br>Occupations  | A low LQ in the arts<br>sector is associated<br>with low risk  | A high LQ in the arts sector is associated with higher risk  | Very<br>Low   |
| Avg HHsize       | Average<br>Household<br>Size  | A smaller average<br>household size<br>indicates a greater<br>proportion<br>ofhousehold resources<br>available to every<br>individual in the<br>household and is<br>therefore a lower risk | Household sizes relate with the distribution of resources among individuals with the household. A greater average household size indicates a lesser proprotion of resources available to individuals and is therefore interpreted as a high risk | High          |
| Avg temp         | Average<br>Temperature  | A lower average<br>temperature is<br>associated with lower<br>risk   | A higher average<br>temperate is<br>associated with a<br>higher risk   | Moderate      |

| Indicator                      | Definition   | Low Risk<br>Interpretation   | High Risk<br>Interpretation   | Risk<br>Level |
|--------------------------------|--|--|---|---------------|
| Bridges                        | Number of<br>Bridges   | A higher value is associated is low risk   | It indicates access to transportation infrastructure which is essential in times of disasters. A lower value is associated with high riskA lower value is associated with high risk | Very<br>High  |
| Business establishments        | Number of<br>business es-<br>tablishments  | A higher value is associated is low risk   | It represents access to resources , and economic vilatity. A lower value is associated with high risk   | Very<br>High  |
| CaAve ln                       | Calcium (Ca)<br>precipitation<br>weighted<br>mean in<br>mg/L, log<br>transformed | A Lower value is associated with low risk  | It is a pollutant. A higher value is associated with high risk  | Moderate      |
| Civic related<br>business rate | Rate of<br>civic-related<br>business per<br>county                               | A higher value is associated is low risk   | Civic-related<br>businesses indicate a<br>strong,<br>built-environment<br>and development<br>industry. A lower<br>value is associated<br>with high risk                             | Very<br>Low   |
| CO8                            | Concentration of Pollutant   | A lower concentration<br>indicates less<br>pollution and is<br>associated with lower<br>risk | A higher concentration indicates more pollution and is associated with higher risk  | Moderate      |
| Commuters within County        | Number of resident workers who commute within county                             | A higher value is associated is low risk   | A lower commute<br>time is associated<br>with a health local<br>economy. A lower<br>value is associated<br>with high risk   | Low           |

| Indicator            | Definition  | Low Risk<br>Interpretation  | High Risk<br>Interpretation   | Risk<br>Level |
|----------------------|---|---|---|---------------|
| ${\bf Commute Time}$ | Time it takes<br>from home to<br>go to work in<br>minutes | A Lower value is associated with low risk   | Time spent in commute is associated with lack of productivity and extra expenses. A lower commute time is assocatied with a health local economy. A higher value is associated with high risk         | High          |
| construction         | Percentage<br>Employed in<br>Construction<br>Occupations  | Construction and allied industries represent possibilities for robust economic growth and government investment. A greater employment in the construction industry also signals potential for development of local infrastructure. A higher employment in this sector is therefore a low risk | Lower employment in<br>the construction<br>sector indicates lower<br>investment in built<br>environment and<br>infrastructure and<br>high disaster risks  | High          |
| construction LQ      | Location<br>Quotient of<br>Construction<br>Occupations    | A higher LQ for the<br>construction industry<br>indicates a low<br>disaster risk  | A lower LQ for the construction industry indictes a high disaster risk  | Very<br>Low   |
| Cost IncomeRatio     | Ratio of<br>Housing Cost<br>to Household<br>Income        | A lower cost to income ratio indicates that households are successfully able to meet their financial demands and are more resilient to disasters. It is therefore a low risk.   | A higher cost to income ratio indicates that households are unable to meet their financial needs and are more likely to suffer adversely during disasters. It is therefore associated with high risk. | Very<br>Low   |

| Indicator           | Definition  | Low Risk<br>Interpretation  | High Risk<br>Interpretation  | Risk<br>Level |
|---------------------|---|---|--|---------------|
| COVID-19 death rate | All deaths occurring between January 1, 2020 and December 31, 2020 due to COVID-19, per 100,000 population (ageadjusted). | A Lower value is associated with low risk   | A greater number of deaths owing to Covid-19 indicates pre-existing health conditions and comorbidities within the community. A higher value is associated with high risk  | Very<br>High  |
| D303 Percent ln     | % of stream length impaired in county, log-transfored   | A Lower value is associated with low risk   | It indicates pollution<br>of water resources. A<br>higher value is<br>associated with high<br>risk   | Low           |
| Disabled Percent    | Percentage of<br>Disabled<br>Persons  | A lower percentage of disabled individuals in the community indicates a lower risk owing to reduced requirements for preparation, disaster and accessibility planning               | A higher percentage of disabled individuals is associated with a high risk. They are disproportionately affected owing to inaccessible evacuation, response and recovery efforts and could often be left behind. | Moderate      |
| Docks               | Total Docks   | A higher value is associated is low risk  | It indicates access to<br>transportation<br>infrastructure which<br>is essential in times of<br>disasters. A lower<br>value is associated<br>with high risk  | Very<br>Low   |
| Edu                 | Percentage<br>Employed in<br>Education-<br>related<br>Occupations   | A higher employment<br>in the education<br>sector signals a more<br>skilled economy,<br>which is typically<br>more resilient to<br>natural disasters. It<br>is therefore a low risk | A lower employment<br>in the education<br>sector signals a less<br>resilient, less skilled<br>economy, and is<br>therefore associated<br>with high risk  | Very<br>High  |

| Indicator                       | Definition  | Low Risk<br>Interpretation  | High Risk<br>Interpretation   | Risk<br>Level |
|---------------------------------|---|---|---|---------------|
| Edu LQ                          | Location<br>Quotient of<br>Education-<br>related<br>Occupations | A high LQ in the<br>education sector<br>signals a higher<br>economic resilience<br>and low risk | A lower LQ in<br>education sector<br>signals a lower<br>economic resilience<br>and high risk  | Very<br>High  |
| Educated percent                | Percentage of<br>Educated<br>Persons                            | A greater person of<br>educated individuals<br>is interpreted as low<br>disaster risk           | Lack of education is associated with social marginalization, lack of preparation and disaster planning. Therefore a lower percentage of educated individuals is interpreted as high risk. | Very<br>High  |
| Education related business rate | Rate of<br>education-<br>related<br>business per<br>county      | A higher value is associated is low risk  | Education-related<br>businesses enable a<br>community to obtain<br>access to education<br>and child<br>development<br>resources. A lower<br>value is associated<br>with high risk         | Low           |
| Elderly growth percent          | Growth<br>Percent of<br>Elderly<br>Population                   | A lower percentage of older adults represents a lower disaster risk.                            | Older adults are more vulnerable to dosaster risks owing to functional problems, health and medication concerns. A greater percentage of older adults represents a higher risk.           | High          |

| Indicator                   | Definition  | Low Risk<br>Interpretation  | High Risk<br>Interpretation  | Risk<br>Level |
|-----------------------------|---|---|--|---------------|
| FemaleHeaded HHs<br>Percent | Percentage of<br>Households<br>with Female<br>Householder | A lower percentage of female headed households indicates a lower disaster risk, a more stable family and household structure, greater social integration and family support, and higher socio-economic status at the community level  | of female headed<br>households could<br>indicate a higher<br>disaster risk owing to<br>greater chances of<br>poverty, and<br>particular risk from<br>housing shocks and  | Low           |
| FemaleLaborforce percent    | Percentage of<br>Labor Force<br>constituted<br>by Women   | A higher participation of females in the workforce indicates increased incomes, and lowers or leads to sharing of unpaid care-work with other members of the family, leading to a more economically resilient community. Thus a higher female workforce participation indicates a low disaster risk | of women in the<br>labour force is<br>associated with lower  | High          |
| Females Percent             | Percentage of<br>Females                                  | A good percentage of<br>women represent a<br>stable sex ratio for<br>the area and is<br>therefore a positive<br>indicator   | A low percentage of<br>women could indicate<br>an unstable sex ratio,<br>thereby showing that<br>there are fewer<br>opportunities for<br>women in the area.<br>This could have<br>negative consequences<br>during a disaster | Moderate      |

| Indicator                 | Definition   | Low Risk<br>Interpretation  | High Risk<br>Interpretation   | Risk<br>Level |
|---------------------------|--|---|---|---------------|
| Finance                   | Percentage<br>Employed in<br>Finance<br>Occupations  | Finance, realestate, etc. jobs are positively correlated with high incomes, job security and high economic resilience during disasters.  Therefore a greater employment ins uch industries poses a low risk and indicates a diverse economic base. A higher employment in such industries is associated with a low risk | A lower employment in finance, real estate etc. signals lower incomes and less resilient jobs.  Therefore, a lower employment in this sector is associated with high risk | High          |
| Finance LQ                | Location Quotient of Finance Occupations   | A high LQ in finance,<br>real estate, etc.<br>signals a low risk  | A low LQ in finance,<br>real estate, etc.<br>signals a high risk  | Low           |
| Food Environment<br>Index | Index of factors that contribute to a healthy food environment, from 0 (worst) to 10 (best). | A higher value is associated is low risk  | A poor food<br>environment reduces<br>the physical and<br>mental vitality of a<br>community. A lower<br>value is associated<br>with high risk.                            | Low           |
| Freight railroad miles    | Route miles<br>of freight<br>railroad  | A higher value is associated is low risk  | It indicates access to<br>transportation<br>infrastructure which<br>is essential in times of<br>disasters. A lower<br>value is associated<br>with high risk               | Very<br>High  |

| Indicator      | Definition   | Low Risk<br>Interpretation  | High Risk<br>Interpretation  | Risk<br>Level |
|----------------|--|---|--|---------------|
| fungicide ln   | Fungicides<br>applied in<br>pounds, log-<br>transformed  | A Lower value is associated with low risk   | It indicates soil quality and environmental pollution. During disasters, pollutants from the soil can contaminate the environment. A higher value is associated with high risk | Very<br>Low   |
| Gender Pay Gap | A ratio of women's median earnings to men's median earnings for all full-time, year-round workers, presented as "cents on the dollar." | A Lower value is associated with low risk   | Gender inequality<br>determines a society's<br>ability to develop<br>economically and<br>equitably. A higher<br>value is associated<br>with high risk                          | Very<br>High  |
| GINI Index     | GINI Index<br>for Inequality<br>Determina-<br>tion   | A lower GINI Index<br>depicts lesser<br>inequality in the<br>industry and is<br>associated with low<br>risk                     | A higher inequality represents differential distribution of resources, greater poverty and lack of accessibility. A higher GINI Index is associated with high risk.            | Very<br>Low   |
| GroupQuarters  | GroupQuar-<br>ters as part of  | A lower concentration of group quarter residents is a low risk owing to greater access to recovery resources and social support | consist of unrelated   | Very<br>Low   |

| Indicator                           | Definition  | Low Risk<br>Interpretation  | High Risk<br>Interpretation   | Risk<br>Level |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|---------------|
| Healthcare related<br>business rate | Rate of<br>healthcare-<br>related<br>businesses per<br>county                                       | A higher value is associated is low risk  | Availability of health care businesses indicate access to medical resources during disasters. A lower value is associated with high risk  | High          |
| HelperPool                          | Percentage of<br>Helpers in a<br>time of crisis   | A higher proportion<br>of helpers indicates a<br>lower risk, since a<br>greater number of<br>persons will be<br>available for disaster<br>recovery and<br>mitigation efforts. | A goood number of participants in the helper pool could indicate greater support and emergency management in the community. A lower percentage of helpers represents a high risk. | Low           |
| herbicide ln                        | Herbicides<br>applied in<br>pounds, log-<br>transformed   | A Lower value is associated with low risk   | It indicates soil quality and environmental pollution. During disasters, pollutants from the soil can contaminate the environment. A higher value is associated with high risk    | Low           |
| Homewownership                      | Perecentage<br>Homewowners  | A higher percentage of homeownership is associated with greater income and family stability and greater access to relief during a disaster. It is therefore a low risk        | A lower percentage of homeownership signals lower incomes and support during disasters. It is therefore a higher risk.  | Moderate      |
| Inadequate Facilities               | Percentage of<br>households<br>with<br>inadequate<br>infrastructure<br>within their<br>housing unit | A Lower value is associated with low risk   | Inadequate infrastructure within the household lowers quality of life and residents' health. A higher value is associated with high risk  | High          |

| Indicator      | Definition   | Low Risk<br>Interpretation   | High Risk<br>Interpretation  | Risk<br>Level |
|----------------|--|--|--|---------------|
| Info           | Percentage<br>Employed in<br>Information-<br>based<br>Occupations  | Information industries are positively associated with mitigation planning and have the ability to shift to remote working during a disaster. Therefore, such a higher employment in such industries poses a low risk | A lower employment<br>in infirmation<br>industries signals a<br>less diverse economic<br>base and a higher<br>susceptibility to<br>natural disasters. It<br>is therefore associated<br>with higher disaster<br>risks | Moderate      |
| Info LQ        | Location<br>Quotient of<br>Information-<br>based<br>Occupations    | A lower LQ in the information sector signals a low risk  | A lower LQ in<br>information sector<br>signals a high risk   | Very<br>Low   |
| insecticide ln | Insecticide<br>applied in<br>pounds, log-<br>transformed           | A Lower value is associated with low risk  | It indicates soil quality and environmental pollution. During disasters, pollutants from the soil can contaminate the environment. A higher value is associated with high risk                                       | Low           |
| Kave ln        | Potassium (K) precipitation weighted mean in mg/L, log transformed | A Lower value is associated with low risk  | It is a pollutant. A higher value is associated with high risk   | High          |

| Indicator         | Definition   | Low Risk<br>Interpretation   | High Risk<br>Interpretation  | Risk<br>Level |
|-------------------|--|--|--|---------------|
| LargeFam Percent  | Percentage of<br>Large<br>Families as<br>part of all<br>Families | In case of smaller family sizes, a greater investment of the family's resources is possible leading to greater achievements. Therefore a smaller proportion of big families is a reduced social vulnerability during disasters                                 | In case of large families, the same limited resources are shared by a large group of individuals owing to less investment per person. This can result in differential academic achievements and occupational performance. Thus prevalence of a bigger family size would indicate a higher disaster risk. | High          |
| LowIncome Percent | Percentage<br>Low Income<br>Population                           | A lower proportion of<br>low income families<br>indicates a greater<br>access to resources for<br>preparation and<br>recovery from<br>disasters and is hence<br>a low disaster risk  | of low income<br>households lack of  | Low           |
| Management        | Percentage<br>Employed in<br>Management<br>Occupations           | Management, Business and Science jobs are invariably correlated with greater incomes, social mobility and lower dependence on place-based work. Therefore they are more resilient during disasters and higher employment in these sector represent lower risks | A lower proportion of employees in Management, Business and Science professions indicates lower incomes and a low skill economy and is therefore indicative of higher disaster risks   | Very<br>High  |

| Indicator        | Definition   | Low Risk<br>Interpretation   | High Risk<br>Interpretation   | Risk<br>Level |
|------------------|--|--|---|---------------|
| Management LQ    | Location<br>Quotient of<br>Management<br>Occupations           | A higher LQ in<br>management,<br>business, or science<br>indicates a low risk  | A lower LQ in<br>Management,<br>business or science<br>indicates a high risk  | Low           |
| Manufacturing    | Percentage<br>Employed in<br>Manufactur-<br>ing<br>Occupations | A lower concentration of manufacturing industries/employment indicates lower chances of disruption during disasters. It is therefore an area of low risk | Manufacturing industries are directly affected by natural disasters. Extreme events cause great damage to the manufacturing units and their associated supply chains. A higher concentration of Manufacturing Industries is associated with high risk | Moderate      |
| Manufacturing LQ | Location<br>Quotient of<br>Manufactur-<br>ing<br>Occupations   | A lower LQ for<br>manufacturing<br>industries indicates a<br>low risk  | A higher LQ for<br>manufacturing<br>industries indicates a<br>high risk   | Very<br>High  |
| Marinas          | Total Marinas  | A higher value is associated is low risk   | It indicates access to<br>transportation<br>infrastructure which<br>is essential in times of<br>disasters. A lower<br>value is associated<br>with high risk   | NA            |
| Max temp         | Maximum<br>Temperature   | A lower maximum<br>temperature is<br>associated with lower<br>risk   | A higher maximum<br>temperature is<br>associated with a<br>higher risk  | Moderate      |

| Indicator                     | Definition   | Low Risk<br>Interpretation   | High Risk<br>Interpretation  | Risk<br>Level |
|-------------------------------|--|--|--|---------------|
| MBS                           | Percentage<br>Employed in<br>Management,<br>Business and<br>Science<br>Occupations | Management, Business and Science jobs are invariably correlated with greater incomes, social mobility and lower dependence on place-based work. Therefore they are more resilient during disasters and higher employment in these sector represent lower risks | A lower proportion of employees in Management, Business and Science professions indicates lower incomes and a low skill economy and is therefore indicative of higher disaster risks                           | Very<br>High  |
| MBS LQ                        | Location<br>Quaotient of<br>Managemnt,<br>Business and<br>Science<br>Occupations   | A high Location<br>Quotient for<br>Management,<br>Business and Science<br>professions indicates<br>a more specialized,<br>high income economy.<br>It is indicative of low<br>disaster risk   | A low LQ for<br>Management,<br>Business and Science<br>professions indicates<br>a less specialized<br>economy and is<br>therefore indicative of<br>lower economic<br>diversity, lower<br>incomes and high risk | Very<br>High  |
| MedianHomeValue               | Median Home<br>Value   | A higher home value<br>indicates higher<br>incomes, higher<br>accessibility to funds<br>during disaster and is<br>therefore associated<br>with low risk  | A lower home value<br>indicates lower<br>incomes and is<br>therefore associated<br>with high risk  | Very<br>High  |
| MentalHealth<br>Provider Rate | Ratio of population to mental health providers.                                    | A higher value is associated is low risk   | A lower proportion of physicians indicates that community members may not have access to mental health resources during disasters. A lower value is associated with high risk                                  | Very<br>Low   |

| Indicator   | Definition   | Low Risk<br>Interpretation  | High Risk<br>Interpretation   | Risk<br>Level |
|-------------|--|---|---|---------------|
| МННІ        | Median<br>Household<br>Income                                      | A higher income indicates greater avaiability of resources to cope with disasters as well as to prevent them. Therefore it is a low risk            | Low income HHs tend to locate or concentrate in areas prone to natural disasters sich as floodplains, because the land is cheaper. Moreover they face resource deficiencies when a disaster strikes. A lower Median Household Income is associated with a higher disaster risk. | High          |
| Min temp    | Minimum<br>Temperature   | A higher minimum<br>temperature is<br>associated with lower<br>risk   | A lower minimum<br>temperature is<br>associated with a<br>higher risk   | Moderate      |
| MobileHomes | Mobile Homes as part of  | A lower proportion of<br>mobile homes<br>indicates greater<br>structural resilience<br>of the housing sector<br>and more stable<br>economy          | A greater proportion<br>of mobile homes is<br>associated with<br>greater risk owing to<br>structural problems<br>and lower incomes.   | Very<br>High  |
| NewHomes    | Percentage of<br>New Homes<br>as part of<br>Total Housing<br>Stock | A higher proprotion<br>of new homes<br>indicates a greater<br>structural resilience<br>to natural disasters<br>and is associated with<br>a low risk | A lower porportion of<br>new homes indicates<br>the possibility of<br>greater structural<br>damage during<br>disasters. It is<br>therefore associated<br>with a high risk   | High          |
| NO21        | Concentration of Pollutant   | A lower concentration indicates less pollution and is associated with lower risk  | A higher concentration indicates more pollution and is associated with higher risk  | Low           |
| NO2AM       | Concentration of Pollutant   | A lower concentration indicates less pollution and is associated with lower risk  | A higher concentration indicates more pollution and is associated with higher risk  | Moderate      |

| Indicator          | Definition  | Low Risk<br>Interpretation  | High Risk<br>Interpretation  | Risk<br>Level |
|--------------------|---|---|--|---------------|
| NO3Ave.x           | Nitrate (NO3)<br>precipitation<br>weighted<br>mean in<br>mg/L, log<br>transformed                     | A Lower value is associated with low risk   | It is a pollutant. A higher value is associated with high risk   | Moderate      |
| NonWhite Percent   | Percentage of<br>Non-White<br>Popula-<br>tion**Minority<br>Populations,<br>add Hispanic<br>Population | A lower population of ethnic minorities might indicate a less diverse population. However, in case of disaster vulnerability, a lower population of racial minorities has been represented as a lower vulnerability, owing to its correlations with poverty and health care outcomes. | Race and ethnicity are highly correlated with poverty and thus often with health outcomes. Owing to historical, systemic and structural barriers, racial minorities are often more susceptible to disasters and often lack the support mechanisms required to cope. As a result, a higher population of racial or ethnic minorities might (in conjunction with income, poverty, employment, education and other indicators) represent a greater vulnerability. | High          |
| NotMarried Percent | Percentage of<br>Unmarried<br>Persons   | A lower percentage of<br>unmarried individuals<br>could indicate a lower<br>vulnerability to<br>disasters owing to the<br>availability of social<br>support that comes<br>with having a family  | of unmarried individuals could indicate lack of family   | Moderate      |
| O3                 | Concentration of Pollutant  | A lower concentration indicates less pollution and is associated with lower risk  | A higher concentration indicates more pollution and is associated with higher risk   | Low           |

| Indicator            | Definition   | Low Risk<br>Interpretation   | High Risk<br>Interpretation  | Risk<br>Level |
|----------------------|--|--|--|---------------|
| Old percent          | Percentage of<br>Elders**  | A lower percentage<br>population of elders<br>indicates a lower<br>vulnerability.  | A higher percentage<br>of elder population<br>indicates a greater<br>vulnerability, owing<br>to physical infirmities,<br>functional limitations<br>and care needs. | Very<br>Low   |
| OldHomes             | Old Homes as<br>part of Total  | A lower proportion of old homes signals new construction that is more structurally resilient to natural disasters. It is therefore associated with low disaster risk                                   |  | High          |
| Other county workers | Number of<br>workers from<br>other counties<br>who commute<br>to work in the<br>county | A Lower value is associated with low risk  | Asmaller commute<br>time is assocatied<br>with a health local<br>economy. A higher<br>value is associated<br>with high risk  | Low           |
| Others               | Percentage<br>Employed in<br>Other<br>Occupations                                      | Employment across<br>other sectors<br>represents<br>diversification of the<br>economic base and is<br>therefore positively<br>correlated with<br>economic resilience.<br>It is therefore a low<br>risk | A lower employment<br>in other sectors<br>represents a less<br>diverse and creative<br>economy. It is<br>therefore associated<br>with high risks                   | Very<br>High  |
| Others LQ            | Location<br>Quotient of<br>Other<br>Occupations  | A higher LQ in other industries is associated with low risk  | A lower LQ in other<br>industries is<br>associated with high<br>risk   | Moderate      |

| Indicator                | Definition  | Low Risk<br>Interpretation   | High Risk<br>Interpretation  | Risk<br>Level |
|--------------------------|---|--|--|---------------|
| Overcrowding             | Overcrowded<br>Homes as<br>part of Total                                  | A lower proportion of vercrowded units signals higher incomes and well-maintained, structurally sound housing units which are resilient to disasters. It is therefore associated with low risk | housing unit,  | Low           |
| Passenger railroad miles | Route miles<br>of passenger<br>railroad and<br>rail transit               | A higher value is associated is low risk   | It indicates access to<br>transportation<br>infrastructure which<br>is essential in times of<br>disasters. A lower<br>value is associated<br>with high risk                    | High          |
| Pb3                      | Concentration of Pollutant  | A lower concentration<br>indicates less<br>pollution and is<br>associated with lower<br>risk   | A higher concentration indicates more pollution and is associated with higher risk   | Moderate      |
| pct au ln                | Animal Units,<br>animal units<br>per county<br>acres, log-<br>transformed | A Lower value is associated with low risk  | It indicates soil quality and environmental pollution. During disasters, pollutants from the soil can contaminate the environment. A higher value is associated with high risk | Very<br>Low   |

| Indicator                 | Definition   | Low Risk<br>Interpretation                 | High Risk<br>Interpretation  | Risk<br>Level |
|---------------------------|--|--|--|---------------|
| pct disease acres ln      | Chemicals used to control Diseases in crops and orchards, acres applied per county acres, log- transformed | A Lower value is associated with low risk  | It indicates soil quality and environmental pollution. During disasters, pollutants from the soil can contaminate the environment. A higher value is associated with high risk | High          |
| pct harvested acres ln    | Harvested<br>acres, acres<br>harvested per<br>county acres,<br>log-<br>transformed                         | A Lower value is associated with low risk  | It indicates soil quality and environmental pollution. During disasters, pollutants from the soil can contaminate the environment. A higher value is associated with high risk | Low           |
| PCT IRRIGATED<br>ACRES In | Irrigated acres, acres irrigated per county acres, log-transformed   | A higher value is associated is low risk   | A lower value is<br>associated with high<br>risk, since poor<br>irrigation can lead to<br>agricultural failure   | Very<br>Low   |
| pct manure acres ln       | Manure, acres applied per county acres , log-transformed   | A Lower value is associated with low risk  | It indicates soil quality and environmental pollution. During disasters, pollutants from the soil can contaminate the environment. A higher value is associated with high risk | Moderate      |
| Per PSWithSW.x            | Percent of<br>Public Supply<br>Population<br>which is on<br>Surface Water                                  | A Lower value is associated with high risk | It indicates access to<br>water resources. A<br>higher value is<br>associated with low<br>risk   | Moderate      |

| Indicator                                   | Definition  | Low Risk<br>Interpretation   | High Risk<br>Interpretation  | Risk<br>Level |
|---|---|--|--|---------------|
| Per TotPopSS.x                              | Percent of<br>Population on<br>Self Supply                  | A Lower value is associated with high risk   | It indicates access to<br>water resources. A<br>higher value is<br>associated with low<br>risk   | Moderate      |
| Percent Medium-<br>FairCondition<br>Bridges | % of Medium<br>to Fair<br>Condition<br>Bridges              | A higher value is associated is low risk   | It indicates access to<br>transportation<br>infrastructure which<br>is essential in times of<br>disasters. A lower<br>value is associated<br>with high risk  | Very<br>High  |
| Percent<br>AssistanceNeed                   | Percentage of<br>Population<br>with<br>Assisstance<br>Need  | A lower percentage of<br>people withfood<br>assistance indicates<br>higher incomes and<br>economic resilience,<br>and is therefore a low<br>risk area  | A high proportion of persons with assistance needs indicates poverty and lack of socio-economic resilience. Therefore it is interpreted as a high risk.  | High          |
| Percent<br>BachelorsDegree                  | Percentage of<br>Population<br>with<br>Bachelors'<br>Degree | A greater proportion of persons with bachelors' degree indicates a greater likelihood of being employed by a variety of employers, along with a higher concentration of high skilled high income positions. Therefore it is interpreted as a lowrisk | A lower porportion of persons with a bachelor's degree indicates a lower number of persons with skills for career advancement. It indicates lack of skilled labour in the workforce and tehrefore lower incomes and social mobility. Therefore it is interpreted as a high risk factor | Very<br>High  |
| Percent Children in Poverty                 | Percentage of<br>people under<br>age 18 in<br>poverty.      | A Lower value is associated with low risk  | Children living in poverty indicate inadquate resources available for growth, education and development. A higher value is associated with high risk   | Moderate      |

| Indicator                       | Definition  | Low Risk<br>Interpretation  | High Risk<br>Interpretation  | Risk<br>Level |
|---------------------------------|---|---|--|---------------|
| Percent Commuters               | Percentage of<br>Population<br>who<br>Commute to<br>work<br>regularly             | A lower percentage of commuters indicates that most workers live near where they work and can continue their jobs irrespective of transportation network disruptions. Therefore it is an area of low risk | invariably affect<br>transportation routes<br>and make it difficult<br>for persons requiring   | Very<br>Low   |
| Percent commuters<br>by transit | Percent of<br>resident<br>workers who<br>commute by<br>transit                    | A Lower value is associated with low risk   | A lower commute<br>time is assocatied<br>with a health local<br>economy. A higher<br>value is associated<br>with high risk   | Low           |
| Percent<br>Disconnected Youth   |   | A Lower value is associated with low risk   | Teens and young adults need to either be in school, or part of the workforce, to be able to cultivate a healthy, self-sufficient lifestyle, involved hopeful and forward looking endeavours. Disconnected youth have been positively associated with poverty, unempoyment and crime. A higher value is associated with high risk | Low           |
| Percent Exercise<br>Access      | Percentage of population with adequate access to locations for physical activity. | A higher value is associated is low risk  | Lower physical<br>exercise increases<br>health risks with age.<br>A lower value is<br>associated with high<br>risk   | Very<br>High  |

| Indicator  | Definition  | Low Risk<br>Interpretation  | High Risk<br>Interpretation   | Risk<br>Level |
|--|---|---|---|---------------|
| Percent Food<br>Insecure                             | Percentage of population who lack adequate access to food.  | A Lower value is associated with low risk   | Access to food indicates community health. A higher value is associated with high risk  | Moderate      |
| Percent<br>HousingProblems                           | Percentage of households with at least 1 of 4 housing problems: overcrowding, high housing costs, lack of kitchen facilities, or lack of plumbing facilities. | A Lower value is associated with low risk   | Unavailability of housing infrastructure adversely affects residents' health.A higher value is associated with high risk  | Low           |
| Percent income<br>required for<br>childcare expenses | Childcare costs for a household with two children as a percent of median household income   | A Lower value is associated with low risk   | A high burden of childcare costs indicates that a family may not be able to provide for its other necessary expenditures. A higher value is associated with high risk | Very<br>High  |
| Percent<br>LanguageBarrier                           | Percentage of<br>Population<br>facing<br>Language<br>Barrier  | A lower proportion of persons with language problems indicates greater accessibility to disaster relief efforts and is therefore an area of lowe risk |   | Very<br>High  |

| Indicator                               | Definition  | Low Risk<br>Interpretation  | High Risk<br>Interpretation   | Risk<br>Level |
|---|---|---|---|---------------|
| Percent Limited Access to Healthy Foods | Percentage of population who are low-income and do not live close to a grocery store. | A Lower value is associated with low risk   | Persons who are low income lack access to healthy food, especially if they live away from grocery stores and lack transportation. A higher value is associated with high risk | Low           |
| Percent NoHealthIns                     | Percentage of<br>Population<br>without<br>Health<br>Insurance                         | Greater health insurance coverage is correlated with better health conditions and higher economic productivity.  Therefore a higher number of persons with health insurance is a low risk |   | Moderate      |
| Percent<br>PoorCondition<br>Bridges     | % of Poor<br>Condition<br>Bridges   | A Lower value is associated with low risk   | It indicates access to<br>quality transportation<br>infrastructure which<br>is essential in times of<br>disasters. A higher<br>value is associated<br>with high risk          | Very<br>High  |
| Percent rural                           | Percentage of<br>population<br>living in rural<br>areas                               | A Lower value is associated with low risk   | Rural areas often lack<br>access to resources<br>and are<br>geogrpahically<br>isolated. A higher<br>value is associated<br>with high risk                                     | High          |
| Percent Section8                        | Rate of low-rent + section-eight units in county                                      | A Lower value is associated with low risk   | Greater proportion of<br>low income housing<br>indicates poverty and<br>declining housing<br>quality. A higher<br>value is associated<br>with high risk                       | Very<br>Low   |

| Indicator                    | Definition  | Low Risk<br>Interpretation   | High Risk<br>Interpretation   | Risk<br>Level |
|------------------------------|---|--|---|---------------|
| Percent Vaccinated           | Percentage of<br>Households<br>vaccinated<br>against<br>Covid-19 and<br>other diseases    | A higher value is associated is low risk   | A high proportion of vaccination indicates that the community is safe against the Covid-19 pandemic. A lower value is associated with high risk | Moderate      |
| Percent work at home         | Resident<br>workers who<br>work at home   | A higher value is associated is low risk   | A lower commute<br>time is assocatied<br>with a health local<br>economy. A lower<br>value is associated<br>with high risk                       | High          |
| Physically<br>Unhealthy Days | Average<br>number of<br>physically<br>unhealthy<br>days per year<br>for the<br>population | A Lower value is associated with low risk  | A higher value is<br>associated with high<br>risk because people<br>are more prone to<br>being affected by<br>disaster risks                    | Very<br>High  |
| PM10                         | Concentration of Pollutant  | A lower concentration<br>indicates less<br>pollution and is<br>associated with lower<br>risk | A higher concentration indicates more pollution and is associated with higher risk  | Moderate      |
| PM2.5                        | Concentration of Pollutant  | A lower concentration<br>indicates less<br>pollution and is<br>associated with lower<br>risk | concentration indicates more  | Low           |
| PM2Point5                    | Concentration of Pollutant  | A lower concentration<br>indicates less<br>pollution and is<br>associated with lower<br>risk | A higher concentration indicates more pollution and is associated with higher risk  | Low           |
| Population                   | Total<br>Population   | A small number of people will be affected by a disaster                                      | A large number of<br>persons will be<br>affected by the<br>disaster   | Very<br>Low   |

| Indicator                      | Definition  | Low Risk<br>Interpretation  | High Risk<br>Interpretation  | Risk<br>Level |
|--------------------------------|---|---|--|---------------|
| poverty                        | Percentage<br>Below<br>Poverty Line   | A lower poverty indicates less exposure to disaster risks and greater accessibility to exigency funds. It is therefore a low risk   | Poverty can be both a cause and consequence of disaster risk. A greater poverty is associated with a higher risk   | Low           |
| Precipitation                  | Precipitation   | A lower precipitation is associated with a lower risk   | A higher precipitation is associated with higher risk  | Moderate      |
| PrimaryCare<br>Physicians Rate | Ratio of population to primary care physicians.                                   | A higher value is associated is low risk  | A lower proportion of physicians indicates that community members may not have access to medical help during disasters and have to rely on external support. A lower value is associated with high risk                            | Very<br>High  |
| PrimeWorkingAge percent        | Percentage of<br>population in<br>Prime<br>Working Age                            | A greater number of persons of working age indicates a greater labour pool available for the local economy. Therefore a higher ratio indicates a lower risk.  | existing workfore and  | High          |
| PT                             | Percentage<br>Employed in<br>Production<br>and Trans-<br>portation<br>Occupations | A lower concentration of production and transportation industries indicates a lower dependence of the economic base on land, location and natural resources. It is therefore more economically resilient and a low risk | Both production and transportation industries are dependent on avaibality of local natural resources and are therefore more susceptible to natural disasters. A higher employment in such industries signals greater disater risk. | Low           |

| Indicator                           | Definition   | Low Risk<br>Interpretation   | High Risk<br>Interpretation   | Risk<br>Level |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|---|---------------|
| PT LQ                               | Location Quotient of Production and Trans- portation Occupations                 | A lower concentration<br>of the production and<br>transportation<br>idnustry indicates a<br>low risk   |   | Very<br>High  |
| Radon.x                             | Radon zone,<br>ordinal value   | A Lower value is associated with low risk  | It indicates<br>environmental quality<br>and stability. A<br>higher value is<br>associated with high<br>risk  | Very<br>Low   |
| Recent Immigrants Percent           | Percentage of<br>Recent<br>Immigrant<br>Individuals                              | A lower percentage of immigrants represents a lower disaster risk owing to more equitable access to local and regional resources within the community and lack of communication barriers   |   | Very<br>Low   |
| Recreation related<br>business rate | Rate of<br>recreation-<br>related<br>businesses per<br>county                    | A lower value is associated with low risk  | Greater proportion of<br>recreation-related<br>business indicate<br>hightened economic<br>instability during<br>disasters. A higher<br>value is associated<br>with high risk  | Very<br>High  |
| Rental CostBurden<br>below20000     | Housing Cost<br>Burden on<br>renters whose<br>incomes are<br>below 20,000<br>USD | A lower proportion of cost-burdened low income households indicates greater economic resilience among low-income renters. This is an asset during natural disasters and is a low risk area | A higher proportion of low income rental households who are housing cost burdened signals a higher concentration of highly vulnerable households in the community. This is an area of high risk, structurally, financially and socially | Very<br>Low   |

| Indicator                   | Definition   | Low Risk<br>Interpretation   | High Risk<br>Interpretation  | Risk<br>Level |
|-----------------------------|--|--|--|---------------|
| Rental<br>Overcrowding      | Percentage of<br>Rental Units<br>that are<br>Overcrowded     | A lower proportion of overcrowded rental units indicates a more affordable rental housing stock, and a more resilient rental population. It is a low risk  | of rental units which  | Low           |
| Rented                      | Percentage<br>Renters  | A lower proportion of<br>renters signals greater<br>homeownership,<br>higher incomes and<br>access to resources.<br>This is therefore an<br>area of low risk                                     |  | Moderate      |
| Renter MHHI                 | Monthly<br>Household<br>Income of<br>Renters                 | A higher income indicates greater capacity to cope with disasters. It is therefore associated with low risk.   | A lower income<br>indicates a lower<br>capacity to cope with<br>disasters and is<br>associated with high<br>risk   | High          |
| Renters Cost<br>IncomeRatio | Ratio of<br>Renter<br>Housing Cost<br>to Household<br>Income | A lower cost to income ratio for renters indicates that rental households are successfully able to meet their financial demands and are more resilient to disasters. It is therefore a low risk. | A higher cost to income ratio for renters indicates that rental households are unable to meet their financial needs and are more likely to suffer adversely during disasters. It is therefore associated with high risk. | Very<br>High  |

| Indicator        | Definition   | Low Risk<br>Interpretation  | High Risk<br>Interpretation  | Risk<br>Level |
|------------------|--|---|--|---------------|
| Resident Workers | Number of<br>resident<br>workers                   | A higher value is associated is low risk  | Greater number of<br>workers represent<br>greater economic<br>participation. A lower<br>value is associated<br>with high risk                                  | High          |
| Retail           | Percentage<br>Employed in<br>Retail<br>Occupations | Although prone to natural disasters, retail industries generally show a higher resilience to natural disasters than wholesale industries. This is because they are less likely to be low mitigators and often associated with having a plan unlike wholesale industries. Thus a higher employment in retail industries is associated with low risk. | A lower employment<br>in retail industries<br>signals lower<br>economic resilience<br>and is associated with<br>higher risk                                    | Very<br>High  |
| Retail LQ        | Location<br>Quotient of<br>Retail<br>Occupations   | A higher LQ in retail<br>industries is<br>associated with low<br>risk   | A lower LQ in retail<br>industries is<br>associated with high<br>risk  | Very<br>High  |
| Sales            | Percentage<br>Employed in<br>Sales<br>Occupations  | Employment in sales is associated with higher pay greater skills and organizational support. It signals greater economic resilience and low risk  | A lower employment<br>in sales industries<br>could indicate a less<br>entrepreneurial<br>economy, lower<br>resilience and<br>therefore higher<br>disaster risk | High          |
| Sales LQ         | Location<br>Quotient of<br>Service<br>Occupations  | A higher LQ for the<br>service sector<br>indicates a low risk   | A lower LQ for sales<br>industries is<br>associated with high<br>risk  | High          |

| Indicator            | Definition   | Low Risk<br>Interpretation  | High Risk<br>Interpretation  | Risk<br>Level |
|----------------------|--|---|--|---------------|
| Segregation Index    | Index of<br>dissimilarity<br>where higher<br>values<br>indicate<br>greater<br>residential<br>segregation<br>between<br>non-white and<br>white county<br>residents. | A Lower value is associated with low risk   | Dissimilarity or<br>segregation indicates<br>social inequality and<br>differential access to<br>resources. A higher<br>value is associated<br>with high risk   | Very<br>Low   |
| Service              | Percentage<br>Employed in<br>Service   | Service sector is a huge contributor to the economic productivity and bolsters the primary and secondary economic sectors as well and provides a huge source of employment. A higher employment in the service sector signals greater economic resilience and lower risk. | A lower proportion of workers in the service sector indicates a less developed and resilient economy with greater dependence on place-based work. It is therefore an area of high risk.  | High          |
| Service LQ           | Location<br>Quotient of<br>Service<br>Occupations  | A higher LQ for the service sector indicates a high risk  | A lower LQ for the<br>service sector<br>indicates a lower<br>resilience and higher<br>risk   | Moderate      |
| SingleParent Percent | Percentage of<br>Single Parent<br>Households   | A lower proportion of single parent households indicates a lower disaster vulnerability, owing to lesser probability of financial, academic and behavioural problems which have been correlated with single parent households.  | Children living in single-parent households have greater risks to their physical and social health and wellbeing compared with other family structures such as two parent or grandparent headed households. Thus, a greater proprotion of single parent households represents a higher disaster risk | Very<br>High  |

| Indicator                  | Definition  | Low Risk<br>Interpretation   | High Risk<br>Interpretation   | Risk<br>Level |
|----------------------------|---|--|---|---------------|
| Skilled Percent            | Percentage of<br>Skilled<br>Persons   | A higher number of<br>skilled individuals<br>represents a lower<br>disaster risk | Lack of occupational skill is associated with lower incomes and employment benefits and therefore less resources for disaster recovery. Therefore, a lower percentage of skilled workers indicates a greater disaster risk. | Very<br>High  |
| SO4Ave ln                  | Sulfate (SO4) precipitation weighted mean in mg/L, log transformed                  | A Lower value is associated with low risk  | It is a pollutant. A higher value is associated with high risk  | Low           |
| Social Association<br>Rate | Number of membership associations per 10,000 population.                            | A higher value is associated is low risk   | A high rate of social<br>associations indicates<br>healthy relationships<br>and community life.<br>A lower value is<br>associated with high<br>risk   | Moderate      |
| std coal prim pop ln       | Primarily coal<br>mines, mines<br>per county<br>population, as<br>proportion        | A Lower value is associated with low risk  | It indicates mining activities and environmental stability. A higher value is associated with high risk   | Very<br>Low   |
| std metal prim pop<br>ln   | Primarily metal mines, mines per county population, as proportion                   | A Lower value is associated with low risk  | It indicates mining activities and environmental stability. A higher value is associated with high risk   | Low           |
| std nonmetal prim pop ln   | Primarily<br>nonmetal<br>mines, mines<br>per county<br>population, as<br>proportion | A Lower value is associated with low risk  | It indicates mining<br>activities and<br>environmental<br>stability. A higher<br>value is associated<br>with high risk  | Low           |

| Indicator                        | Definition   | Low Risk<br>Interpretation   | High Risk<br>Interpretation  | Risk<br>Level |
|----------------------------------|--|--|--|---------------|
| std sandandgravel<br>prim pop ln | Primarily sand and gravel mines, mines per county population, as proportion      | A Lower value is associated with low risk  | It indicates mining activities and environmental stability. A higher value is associated with high risk  | Very<br>Low   |
| std stone prim pop<br>ln         | Primarily<br>stone mines,<br>mines per<br>county<br>population, as<br>proportion | A Lower value is associated with low risk  | It indicates mining activities and environmental stability. A higher value is associated with high risk  | Very<br>Low   |
| Total                            | Total Housing<br>Stock   | A lower number of<br>housing units<br>indicates less damage<br>during a disaster and<br>is associated with low<br>risk   | possibility of greater   | Very<br>Low   |
| Transport                        | Percentage<br>Employed in<br>Transporta-<br>tion<br>Occupations                  | Like retail,<br>transportation<br>businesses are often<br>associated with<br>having business plans,<br>more structurally<br>sound and meets<br>standards. Thus a<br>higher employment in<br>transportation<br>indicates a low risk | A lower employment in transportation business could mean that the businesses are not widely spread out and less economically resilient. It is interpreted as a high risk.  | Very<br>High  |
| Transport LQ                     | Location<br>Quotient of<br>Transporta-<br>tion<br>Occupations                    | A higher LQ in<br>transportation<br>industries is<br>associated with low<br>risk   | A lower LQ in<br>transportation<br>industries associated<br>with high risk   | High          |
| Unemployment percent             | Percentage of<br>Unemployed<br>Persons   | A lower rate of<br>unemployment<br>indicates a more<br>stable and resilient<br>economic<br>environment and is<br>therefore associated<br>with lower disaster<br>risks  | A high rate of<br>unemployment could<br>indicate a range of<br>socio-economic<br>vulnerabilities and<br>political struggles. It<br>indicates lower<br>economic resilience<br>during disasters and<br>is therefore associated<br>with high risk | Very<br>Low   |

| Indicator                     | Definition   | Low Risk<br>Interpretation  | High Risk<br>Interpretation   | Risk<br>Level |
|-------------------------------|--|---|---|---------------|
| Units SingleFamily            | Percentage of<br>Single Family<br>Units  | A lower porportion of single family units signals greater housing mix, affordability and greater housing resilience. It is therefore associated with low risk   | A greater proportion<br>of single family homes<br>signals lesser housing<br>diversity and<br>therefore higher risks<br>for low income<br>populations. It is<br>associated with<br>higher risk | Moderate      |
| UnstableEmploymen Percent     | t Percentage Population with Unstable Employment   | workers with unstable   | lower incomes, health problems, negative familial effects and other challenges lowering economic resilience post disaster. A greater  | Low           |
| Vacancy                       | Percentage<br>Vacant Units   | A lower proportion of vacant units signals greater utilization of available built environment resources, greater maintenance and lower probability of structural damage. It is therefore associated with low risk | A high proportion of vacant units signals a weak economic base and possibilities of blight and structural damage. It is therefore associated with a high risk                                 | Moderate      |
| Vice related<br>business rate | Rate of<br>vice-related<br>businesses per<br>county as<br>proportion of<br>total<br>businesses | A Lower value is associated with low risk   | Greater proportion of vice-related business indicate hightened economic instability during disasters. A higher value is associated with high risk   | Very<br>High  |

| Indicator          | Definition   | Low Risk<br>Interpretation                | High Risk<br>Interpretation   | Risk<br>Level |
|--------------------|--|---|---|---------------|
| Violent Crime Rate | Number of<br>reported<br>violent crime<br>offenses per<br>100,000<br>population. | A Lower value is associated with low risk | A high of crimes<br>indicates safety issues<br>within the<br>community. A higher<br>value is associated<br>with high risk | Very<br>High  |
| W As ln            | Arsenic in mg/L, log transformed   | A Lower value is associated with low risk | It is a pollutant. A higher value is associated with high risk  | Moderate      |
| W Ba ln            | Barium in mg/L, log transformed  | A Lower value is associated with low risk | It is a pollutant. A higher value is associated with high risk  | High          |
| W Cd ln            | Cadmium in mg/L, log transformed   | A Lower value is associated with low risk | It is a pollutant. A higher value is associated with high risk  | Moderate      |
| W CN ln            | Cyanide in mg/L, log transformed   | A Lower value is associated with low risk | It is a pollutant. A higher value is associated with high risk  | High          |
| W Cr ln            | Chromium (total) in mg/L, log transformed  | A Lower value is associated with low risk | It is a pollutant. A higher value is associated with high risk  | Moderate      |
| W FL ln            | Fluoride in mg/L, log transformed  | A Lower value is associated with low risk | It is a pollutant. A higher value is associated with high risk  | High          |
| W HG ln            | Mercury<br>(inorganic) in<br>mg/L, log<br>transformed                            | A Lower value is associated with low risk | It is a pollutant. A higher value is associated with high risk  | Low           |
| W NO2 ln           | Nitrite (as N) in mg/L, log transformed  | A Lower value is associated with low risk | It is a pollutant. A higher value is associated with high risk  | Low           |
| W NO3 ln           | Nitrate (as N)<br>in mg/L, log<br>transformed                                    | A Lower value is associated with low risk | It is a pollutant. A higher value is associated with high risk  | Very<br>High  |

| Indicator                   | Definition  | Low Risk<br>Interpretation  | High Risk<br>Interpretation   | Risk<br>Level |
|-----------------------------|---|---|---|---------------|
| Walkability Score           | Walkability<br>score<br>(ordinal)   | A higher value is associated is low risk  | High walkability<br>scores indicate<br>greater community<br>vitality and economic<br>accessibility. A lower<br>value is associated<br>with high risk  | Very<br>Low   |
| Wholesale                   | Percentage<br>Employed in<br>Wholesale<br>Trade                                 | A lower employment<br>in wholesale<br>businesses signals a<br>greater economic<br>resilience and low risk | Wholesale and retail businesses are more vulnerable to natural disasters and are more likely to close after disasters. They are also more likely to be low mitigators. A higher proportion of employment in the wholesale industry signals a high disaster risk | Moderate      |
| Wholesale LQ                | Location Quotient of Wholesale Trade Occupations                                | A lower LQ in<br>wholesale industries is<br>associated with low<br>risk                                   | A higher LQ is wholesale industries is associated with high risk  | Very<br>High  |
| Workers to<br>OtherCounties | Number of<br>resident<br>workers who<br>commute to<br>work in other<br>counties | A Lower value is associated with low risk   | A lower commute<br>time is assocatied<br>with a health local<br>economy. A higher<br>value is associated<br>with high risk  | Low           |
| Working<br>Nonworking ratio | Ratio of<br>Working to<br>Non-working<br>Population                             | A high ratio indicates lower risk   | This ratio indocates the amount of labor resources available in the market, and signals unemployment, income and other economic factors. A low ratio indicates a lower economic resilience to market shocks and is therefore high risk.                         | Very<br>Low   |

## (continued)

| Indicator          | Definition                        | Low Risk<br>Interpretation  | High Risk<br>Interpretation   | Risk<br>Level |
|--------------------|-----------------------------------|---|---|---------------|
| WorkNearby percent | Workers who live near their       | A greater proportion of persons who live near their place of work indicates a lower dependence on commute and transportation networks and a more robust resilient economy. Therefore it is a low risk | A lower proprotion of persons who live near their place of work indicates a high dependence on transportation networks for economic activities and a greater risk of disruption during disasters. It is therefore a high risk |               |
| Young percent      | Percentage of<br>Young<br>Persons | A small percentage of children would mean less vulnerability to disasters   | 0.  | Very<br>High  |

## ${\bf Appendix\ B}$ ${\bf High\ Risk\ and\ Low\ Risk\ Areas\ at\ Rural,\ Urban\ and\ Census\ Place\ Levels}$

| Indicator                       | Risk Level (Rural) | Risk Level (Places) |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| Agri                            | Moderate           | Very High           |
| Agri LQ                         | High               | Very High           |
| Agro Percent                    | High               | Very High           |
| Airports                        | Very High          | Very High           |
| ALLNPDESperKM ln                | Low                | Low                 |
| Arts                            | Very Low           | High                |
| Arts LQ                         | Low                | Low                 |
| Avg HHsize                      | Moderate           | Moderate            |
| Avg Temp                        | Moderate           | Moderate            |
| Bridges                         | Very High          | Very High           |
| Business establishments         | Very High          | Very High           |
| CaAve ln                        | Moderate           | Moderate            |
| Civic related business rate     | Very Low           | Very Low            |
| CO8                             | Very High          | Very High           |
| Commuters withinCounty          | Low                | Low                 |
| CommuteTime                     | High               | High                |
| construction                    | High               | Very Low            |
| construction LQ                 | Low                | Very Low            |
| Cost IncomeRatio                | Very Low           | Very High           |
| COVID-19 death rate             | Very High          | Very High           |
| D303 Percent ln                 | Low                | Low                 |
| Disabled Percent                | Very High          | Moderate            |
| Docks                           | Very Low           | Very Low            |
| Edu                             | Very High          | Very Low            |
| Edu LQ                          | Very High          | Very High           |
| Educated percent                | Very High          | High                |
| Education related business rate | Low                | Low                 |
| Elderly growth percent          | Very High          | Very High           |
| FemaleHeaded HHs Percent        | Very Low           | Low                 |
| FemaleLaborforce percent        | Very High          | Very High           |

| Indicator                        | Risk Level (Rural) | Risk Level (Places) |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| Females Percent                  | Moderate           | Low                 |
| Finance                          | Moderate           | Very Low            |
| Finance LQ                       | Low                | Low                 |
| Food Environment Index           | Low                | Low                 |
| Freight railroad miles           | Very High          | Very High           |
| fungicide ln                     | Very Low           | Very Low            |
| Gender Pay Gap                   | Very High          | Very High           |
| GINI Index                       | Moderate           | Moderate            |
| GroupQuarters                    | Very High          | Very High           |
| Healthcare related business rate | High               | High                |
| HelperPool                       | Very Low           | Very High           |
| herbicide ln                     | Low                | Low                 |
| Homewownership                   | High               | Moderate            |
| Inadequate Facilities            | High               | High                |
| Info                             | Very High          | Moderate            |
| Info LQ                          | Very High          | Moderate            |
| insecticide ln                   | Low                | Low                 |
| Kave ln                          | High               | High                |
| LargeFam Percent                 | Low                | Very Low            |
| LowIncome Percent                | Low                | Low                 |
| Management                       | Very High          | Low                 |
| Management LQ                    | High               | High                |
| Manufacturing                    | High               | Moderate            |
| Manufacturing LQ                 | Very High          | Very High           |
| Max Temp                         | Moderate           | Moderate            |
| MBS                              | Very High          | Very Low            |
| MBS LQ                           | Very High          | Very High           |
| MedianHomeValue                  | High               | High                |
| MentalHealth Provider Rate       | Very Low           | Very Low            |
| MHHI                             | Moderate           | Moderate            |
| Min Temp                         | Moderate           | Moderate            |
|                                  |                    |                     |

| NewHomes NO21 Very High Very High NO2AM Very High NO2AM Very High NO3Ave.x Moderate NonWhite Percent NotMarried Percent NotMarried Percent Nothicle Pop Low Very High Novehicle Pop Low Very Low O3 High High High Noderate Old percent Noderate Noderate Old percent Moderate Old percent Uery High Noderate Old percent Noderate Noderate Old percent Noderate Noderate Noderate Noderate Noderate Noderate Noderate Noderate Noderate Novery Low Noderate Noder | Indicator                           | Risk Level (Rural) | Risk Level (Places) |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| NO21 NO2AM Very High Very High Very High NO3Ave.x Moderate NonWhite Percent Moderate NotMarried Percent NotMarried Percent NoVehicle Pop Low Very High Very High NoVehicle Pop Low Very Low O3 High High Moderate Old percent Moderate OldHomes Other county workers Low Others High Very Low Others High Very Low Overcrowding Low Very Low Overcrowding Very High Pb3 Very High Very High Very High Pct au In Pct disease acres In High PCT IRRIGATED ACRES In Very Low Very Low Very Low Very Low Pct manure acres In Moderate Moderate Per PSWithSW.x Very High Very High Very High Very High Very High Percent MediumFairCondition Bridges Percent MediumFairCondition Bridges Percent BachelorsDegree Very High Ve | MobileHomes                         | Very High          | High                |
| NO2AM  NO3Ave.x  Moderate  NonWhite Percent  NotMarried Percent  NotMarried Percent  NoVehicle Pop  Low  Very High  NoVehicle Pop  Low  Very Low  O3  High  High  Moderate  Moderate  Moderate  Moderate  Old percent  Moderate  Moderate  Moderate  OldHomes  Other county workers  Low  Low  Others  Others  Uow  Very Low  Others  Others  Uow  Very Low  Overcrowding  Low  High  Passenger railroad miles  Very High  Very High  Very High  Very High  Pot au ln  Very Low  Very Low  Pot disease acres ln  High  High  High  High  High  High  PCT IRRIGATED ACRES ln  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Per manure acres ln  Moderate  Per PSWithSW.x  Very High  Percent AssistanceNeed  Low  Very High  Very High  Percent BachelorsDegree  Very High  Very High  Very High  Very High  Percent Commuters  Very High  Very High  Very High  Very High  Very High  Percent Commuters  Very High  Noderate  Noderate  | NewHomes                            | High               | Moderate            |
| NO3Ave.x  NonWhite Percent  NotMarried Percent  NotMarried Percent  NoVehicle Pop  O3  High  High  High  Old percent  Other county workers  Others  Others  Others  Others  Overy Low  Overy Low  Overcrowding  Passenger railroad miles  Pot au ln  pct disease acres ln  pct harvested acres ln  Per PSWithSW.x  Per TotPopSS.x  Percent MediumFairCondition Bridges  Percent BachelorsDegree  Percent Commuters  Very High  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very High  | NO21                                | Very High          | Very High           |
| NonWhite Percent  NotMarried Percent  NotMarried Percent  Very High  Very High  Very High  NoVehicle Pop  Low  Very Low  O3  High  High  High  Old percent  Moderate  Moderate  Moderate  OldHomes  Other county workers  Low  Cothers  High  Very Low  Others LQ  Covercrowding  Low  High  Very High  Very High  Very High  Very High  Passenger railroad miles  Very High  Very High  Very High  Very Low  Overt Low  Very Low  Very Low  Over Low  Very Low  Very Low  Per tharvested acres In  Moderate  Moderate  Moderate  Moderate  Per PSWithSW.x  Very High  Very Low  Per manure acres In  Moderate  Moderate  Moderate  Moderate  Per PSWithSW.x  Very High  Very High  Very High  Very High  Very High  Very High  Percent MediumFairCondition Bridges  Percent AssistanceNeed  Percent BachelorsDegree  Very High  Low  Percent BroadbandAccess  Moderate  Moderate  Percent Commuters  Very High  Very High  Very High  Very High  Percent Commuters  Very High   | NO2AM                               | Very High          | Very High           |
| NotMarried Percent  NoVehicle Pop  Low  Very Low  O3  High  High  High  Old percent  Moderate  OldHomes  Other county workers  Low  Low  Others  Others  Ucry Low  Others  Others  Ucry Low  Others  Ucry Low  Others  Ucry Low  Others  Ucry Low  Overcrowding  Ucry High  Very Low  Over High  Very Low  Over High  Very High  Very High  Very High  Very Low  Pot disease acres In  High  High  High  High  Per High  Very Low  Very High  Percent MediumFairCondition Bridges  Percent AssistanceNeed  Low  Very High  Very High  Percent BachelorsDegree  Very High  Very High  Low  Percent BroadbandAccess  Moderate  Percent Children in Poverty  Moderate  Percent Commuters  Very High   | NO3Ave.x                            | Moderate           | Moderate            |
| NoVehicle Pop  Low Very Low O3 High High High  Noderate  Moderate  Moderate OldHomes Other county workers Low Others Others Ucy Others Ucy Overcrowding Low Ucy Ucy Ucy Ucy Ucy Ucy Ucy High Very Low Overcrowding Low Ucy   | NonWhite Percent                    | Moderate           | Low                 |
| O3 High High Old percent Old percent Old percent Old percent Other county workers Low Others  | NotMarried Percent                  | Very High          | Very High           |
| Old percent OldHomes High Moderate Other county workers Low Others Ucry Low Others Ucry Low Others LQ Overcrowding Low Passenger railroad miles Pb3 Very High Very High Very High Pct au In Pct disease acres In Pct harvested acres In Pct manure acres In Per PSWithSW.x Very High Very High Very High Very Low Very Low Very Low Very Low Pct manure acres In Per TotPopSS.x Moderate Percent MediumFairCondition Bridges Percent BachelorsDegree Very High   | NoVehicle Pop                       | Low                | Very Low            |
| OldHomes Other county workers Low Others Low Others High Very Low Others Covercowding Low Overcrowding Low High Passenger railroad miles Pb3 Very High Very High Very High Pct au ln Very Low Very Low Very Low Pct disease acres ln High High PCT IRRIGATED ACRES ln Very Low Very Low Very Low Very Low Pct manure acres ln Moderate Per PSWithSW.x Very High Very High Very High Very High Very High Very Low Pct manure acres ln Moderate Moderate Per PSWithSW.x Very High Very High Very High Very High Percent AssistanceNeed Low Very High Very High Percent BachelorsDegree Very High Very High Percent BroadbandAccess Moderate Moderate Percent Children in Poverty Moderate Percent Commuters Very High  | O3                                  | High               | High                |
| Other county workers  Others  Others  High  Very Low  Others LQ  Low  Very Low  Overcrowding  Passenger railroad miles  Very High  Very High  Very High  Very High  Very High  Pb3  Very High  Very High  Very High  Very High  Pct au ln  pct au ln  pct disease acres ln  High  High  High  PCT IRRIGATED ACRES ln  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  PCT IRRIGATED ACRES ln  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Per PSWithSW.x  Very High  Very High  Very High  Per TotPopSS.x  Moderate  Moderate  Percent MediumFairCondition Bridges  Percent AssistanceNeed  Low  Very High  Very High  Percent BachelorsDegree  Very High  Low  Percent BroadbandAccess  Moderate  Moderate  Percent Children in Poverty  Moderate  Moderate  Percent Commuters  Very High  Very High  Very High  Low  Percent Commuters  Very High  | Old percent                         | Moderate           | Moderate            |
| Others LQ  Overcrowding  Passenger railroad miles  Possenger railroad miles  Very High  Very High  Very High  Very High  Very High  Very High  Pot au In  Pot disease acres In  Pot harvested acres In  Pot harvested acres In  Pot TIRRIGATED ACRES In  Por PSWithSW.x  Very High  Very High  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Pot TotPopSS.x  Moderate  Moderate  Per Cent MediumFairCondition Bridges  Percent AssistanceNeed  Percent BroadbandAccess  Moderate  Moderate  Moderate  Moderate  Percent Children in Poverty  Moderate  Very High  Very High  Percent Commuters  Very High  Very High  Very High  Low  Percent Commuters  Very High  Very High  Very High  Low  Percent Commuters  Very High  Percent Commuters   | OldHomes                            | High               | Moderate            |
| Others LQ  Overcrowding  Low  High  Passenger railroad miles  Very High  Very High  Very High  Very High  Very High  Pb3  Very High  Very High  Very High  Pct au ln  Pct au ln  Pct disease acres ln  High  High  High  Pct harvested acres ln  Low  PCT IRRIGATED ACRES ln  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Pct manure acres ln  Moderate  Moderate  Per PSWithSW.x  Very High  Very High  Very High  Per TotPopSS.x  Moderate  Moderate  Percent MediumFairCondition Bridges  Percent AssistanceNeed  Low  Very High  Very High  Percent BroadbandAccess  Moderate  Moderate  Moderate  Percent Children in Poverty  Moderate  Moderate  Moderate  Moderate  Moderate  Percent Commuters  Very High  Very High  Very High  Low  Percent Commuters  Percent Commuters  Very High  | Other county workers                | Low                | Low                 |
| Overcrowding Passenger railroad miles Very High Very Low Very Low Pot disease acres In High High High  Pot harvested acres In Low Very High   | Others                              | High               | Very Low            |
| Passenger railroad miles  Passenger railroad miles  Very High  Very High  Very High  Very High  Very High  Port au ln  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Port disease acres ln  High  High  High  Port harvested acres ln  Low  Port IRRIGATED ACRES ln  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Port manure acres ln  Moderate  Moderate  Per PSWithSW.x  Very High  Per TotPopSS.x  Moderate  Moderate  Percent MediumFairCondition Bridges  Percent AssistanceNeed  Low  Very High  Very High  Percent BachelorsDegree  Very High  Very High  Low  Percent BroadbandAccess  Moderate  Moderate  Moderate  Moderate  Percent Children in Poverty  Moderate  Moderate  Moderate  Moderate  Percent Commuters  Very High  Very High  Very High  Very High  Percent Commuters  Very High  | Others LQ                           | Low                | Very Low            |
| Pb3 Very High Very High pct au ln pct au ln Very Low Very Low Very Low pct disease acres ln High High  Pct harvested acres ln Low PCT IRRIGATED ACRES ln Very Low Pct manure acres ln Moderate Per PSWithSW.x Very High Per TotPopSS.x Moderate Moderate  Percent MediumFairCondition Bridges Percent AssistanceNeed Low Very High Percent BachelorsDegree Very High Very High Low Percent BroadbandAccess Moderate Moderate Percent Children in Poverty Moderate  Very High Very High Percent Commuters Very High Very High Very High Low Low Very High Very High Low Low Very High   | Overcrowding                        | Low                | High                |
| pct au ln  pct disease acres ln  High  High  High  Pct harvested acres ln  Low  PCT IRRIGATED ACRES ln  pct manure acres ln  Moderate  Per PSWithSW.x  Very High  Per TotPopSS.x  Moderate  Percent MediumFairCondition Bridges  Percent AssistanceNeed  Low  Very High  Very High  Percent BachelorsDegree  Very High  Percent Children in Poverty  Wery High  Very High  Very High  Very High  Low  Moderate  Percent Commuters  Very High  Very High  Low  Low  Low  Low  Low  Low  Low  Lo   | Passenger railroad miles            | Very High          | Very High           |
| pct disease acres ln  High  High  High  Pct harvested acres ln  Low  Low  PCT IRRIGATED ACRES ln  Very Low  Pct manure acres ln  Moderate  Moderate  Per PSWithSW.x  Very High  Per TotPopSS.x  Moderate  Percent MediumFairCondition Bridges  Percent AssistanceNeed  Low  Very High  Very High  Percent BachelorsDegree  Very High  Low  Percent BroadbandAccess  Moderate  Moderate  Moderate  Percent Children in Poverty  Moderate  Very High  Very High  Low  Percent Commuters  Very High  Very High  Very High  Low  Low  Dercent Commuters  Very High  Very High  Very High  Low  Low  Low  Low  Low  Low  Low  Lo  | Pb3                                 | Very High          | Very High           |
| pct harvested acres ln  PCT IRRIGATED ACRES ln  Very Low  Very Low  pct manure acres ln  Moderate  Moderate  Per PSWithSW.x  Very High  Per TotPopSS.x  Moderate  Percent MediumFairCondition Bridges  Percent AssistanceNeed  Low  Very High  Very High  Very High  Percent BachelorsDegree  Very High  Low  Percent BroadbandAccess  Moderate  Moderate  Moderate  Percent Children in Poverty  Moderate  Very High  Very High  Low  Percent Commuters  Very High  Very High  Low  Low  Low  Low  Low  Low  Low  Lo  | pct au ln                           | Very Low           | Very Low            |
| PCT IRRIGATED ACRES In  Per to manure acres In  Moderate  Moderate  Moderate  Per PSWithSW.x  Very High  Per TotPopSS.x  Moderate  Moderate  Percent MediumFairCondition Bridges  Percent AssistanceNeed  Low  Very High  Percent BachelorsDegree  Very High  Low  Percent BroadbandAccess  Moderate  Moderate  Moderate  Percent Children in Poverty  Moderate  Very High  Very High  Low  Moderate  Moderate  Percent Commuters  Very High  Very High  Very High  Low  Low  Low  Low  Low  Low  Low  Lo  | pct disease acres ln                | High               | High                |
| pct manure acres ln  Per PSWithSW.x  Very High  Very High  Per TotPopSS.x  Moderate  Percent MediumFairCondition Bridges  Percent AssistanceNeed  Low  Very High  Percent BachelorsDegree  Very High  Low  Percent BroadbandAccess  Moderate  Moderate  Moderate  Moderate  Percent Children in Poverty  Moderate  Very High  Very High  Very High  Very High  Percent Commuters  Very High  Very High  Low  Low   | pct harvested acres ln              | Low                | Low                 |
| Per PSWithSW.x  Very High  Very High  Per TotPopSS.x  Moderate  Moderate  Percent MediumFairCondition Bridges  Percent AssistanceNeed  Low  Very High  Percent BachelorsDegree  Very High  Low  Percent BroadbandAccess  Moderate  Moderate  Moderate  Percent Children in Poverty  Moderate  Very High  Very High  Low  Low  Low  Low  Low  Low  Low  Lo  | PCT IRRIGATED ACRES ln              | Very Low           | Very Low            |
| Per TotPopSS.x  Moderate  Moderate  Percent MediumFairCondition Bridges  Very High  Percent AssistanceNeed  Low  Very High  Percent BachelorsDegree  Very High  Low  Percent BroadbandAccess  Moderate  Moderate  Moderate  Percent Children in Poverty  Moderate  Very High  Very High  Very High  Low  Low  Percent Commuters  Very High  Very High  Very High  Low  Low   | pct manure acres ln                 | Moderate           | Moderate            |
| Percent MediumFairCondition Bridges Very High Very High Percent AssistanceNeed Low Very High Percent BachelorsDegree Very High Low Percent BroadbandAccess Moderate Moderate Percent Children in Poverty Moderate Very High Very High Very High Very High Low Low  | Per PSWithSW.x                      | Very High          | Very High           |
| Percent AssistanceNeed Low Very High Percent BachelorsDegree Very High Low Percent BroadbandAccess Moderate Moderate Percent Children in Poverty Moderate Very High Very High Very High Very High Very High Low Low  | Per TotPopSS.x                      | Moderate           | Moderate            |
| Percent BachelorsDegree Very High Low  Percent BroadbandAccess Moderate Moderate  Percent Children in Poverty Moderate  Percent Commuters Very High Very High  Percent commuters by transit Low Low  | Percent MediumFairCondition Bridges | Very High          | Very High           |
| Percent BroadbandAccess Moderate Moderate Percent Children in Poverty Moderate  Percent Commuters Very High Very High Percent commuters by transit Low Low   | Percent AssistanceNeed              | Low                | Very High           |
| Percent Children in Poverty  Moderate  Moderate  Very High  Very High  Low  Low  | Percent BachelorsDegree             | Very High          | Low                 |
| Percent Commuters  Very High  Very High  Low  Low  | Percent BroadbandAccess             | Moderate           | Moderate            |
| Percent commuters by transit  Low  Low   | Percent Children in Poverty         | Moderate           | Moderate            |
|  | Percent Commuters                   | Very High          | Very High           |
| Percent Disconnected Youth High High   | Percent commuters by transit        | Low                | Low                 |
|  | Percent Disconnected Youth          | High               | High                |

| Indicator                                      | Risk Level (Rural) | Risk Level (Places) |
|--|--------------------|---------------------|
| Percent Exercise Access                        | Very High          | Very High           |
| Percent Food Insecure                          | Moderate           | Moderate            |
| Percent HousingProblems                        | Low                | Low                 |
| Percent income required for childcare expenses | Very High          | Very High           |
| Percent LanguageBarrier                        | Low                | High                |
| Percent Limited Access to Healthy Foods        | Low                | Low                 |
| Percent NoHealthIns                            | Moderate           | High                |
| Percent PoorCondition Bridges                  | Very High          | Very High           |
| Percent rural                                  | High               | High                |
| Percent Section8                               | Very Low           | Very Low            |
| Percent Vaccinated                             | High               | High                |
| Percent work at home                           | High               | High                |
| Physically Unhealthy Days                      | Very High          | Very High           |
| PM10   | Very High          | Very High           |
| PM2.5  | High               | High                |
| PM2Point5                                      | High               | High                |
| Population                                     | Very Low           | NA                  |
| poverty  | Moderate           | Low                 |
| Precipitation                                  | Moderate           | Moderate            |
| PrimaryCare Physicians Rate                    | Very High          | Very High           |
| PrimeWorkingAge percent                        | Moderate           | High                |
| PT   | High               | Very High           |
| PT LQ  | Very High          | Moderate            |
| Radon.x  | Moderate           | Moderate            |
| Recent Immigrants Percent                      | Very High          | Very High           |
| Recreation related business rate               | Very High          | Very High           |
| Rental CostBurden below                        | Moderate           | NA                  |
| Rental CostBurden below20000                   | NA                 | Low                 |
| Rental Overcrowding                            | Moderate           | High                |
| Rented   | High               | Low                 |
|  |                    |                     |

| Indicator                     | Risk Level (Rural) | Risk Level (Places) |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| Renter MHHI                   | High               | Low                 |
| Renters Cost IncomeRatio      | High               | High                |
| Resident Workers              | High               | High                |
| Retail                        | Very High          | Low                 |
| Retail LQ                     | Very High          | Very High           |
| Sales                         | Very High          | Very Low            |
| Sales LQ                      | Very High          | Moderate            |
| Segregation Index             | Very Low           | Very Low            |
| Service                       | High               | Very Low            |
| Service LQ                    | Low                | High                |
| SingleParent Percent          | Very High          | Very High           |
| Skilled Percent               | Very High          | Moderate            |
| SO21                          | Very High          | Very High           |
| SO4Ave ln                     | Low                | Low                 |
| Social Association Rate       | Moderate           | Moderate            |
| std coal prim pop ln          | Moderate           | Moderate            |
| std metal prim pop ln         | Very High          | Very High           |
| std nonmetal prim pop ln      | High               | High                |
| std sandandgravel prim pop ln | Low                | Low                 |
| std stone prim pop ln         | Low                | Low                 |
| Total                         | Very Low           | Very High           |
| Transport                     | Very High          | High                |
| Transport LQ                  | Very High          | High                |
| Unemployment percent          | Very Low           | High                |
| Units SingleFamily            | Low                | Moderate            |
| UnstableEmployment Percent    | Low                | Very High           |
| Vacancy                       | High               | Moderate            |
| Vice related business rate    | Very High          | Very High           |
| Violent Crime Rate            | Very High          | Very High           |
| W As ln                       | High               | High                |
| W Ba ln                       | High               | High                |
| W Cd ln                       | Very High          | Very High           |

## (continued)

| Indicator                | Risk Level (Rural) | Risk Level (Places) |
|--------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| W CN ln                  | Very High          | Very High           |
| W Cr ln                  | Very High          | Very High           |
| W FL ln                  | High               | High                |
| W HG ln                  | Very High          | Very High           |
| W NO2 ln                 | High               | High                |
| W NO3 ln                 | Very High          | Very High           |
| Walkability Score        | Very Low           | Very Low            |
| Wholesale                | Very High          | High                |
| Wholesale LQ             | Very High          | Very High           |
| Workers to OtherCounties | Low                | Low                 |
| Working Nonworking ratio | Very Low           | Very High           |
| WorkNearby percent       | Very Low           | Very High           |
| Young percent            | Very High          | Very High           |