
# County-Level Risk Assessment: Warren

### Census Tracts within Warren



#### Resilience Category



#### **Basic Statistics**

Table 1: Basic Statistics (County level)

| Information                     | Value                     |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Population Area sq. miles       | 16981 persons             |
| Gross Density(persons/sq mil)   | 543.42  sqm<br>31  p/sqm  |
| Avg HH Size<br>Median HH Income | 2.33 persons<br>54291 USD |

## Count of Tracts in each Category




Table 2: Percentage of Census Tracts under each Resilience Category(County level)

| Very High | High | Moderate | Low | Very Low |
|-----------|------|----------|-----|----------|
| 0         | 40   | 60       | 0   | 0        |


## Indicator Group Rankings

| Indicator Group                                       | High Risk Areas   | Low Risk Areas  |
|---|---|---|
| Community  High Risk   Low Risk                       | Young percent, Old percent,<br>NotMarried Percent,<br>SingleParent Percent, Elderly<br>growth percent, Percent<br>AssistanceNeed, Physically<br>Unhealthy Days                                      | Females Percent, FemaleHeaded HHs Percent, Educated percent, FemaleLaborforce percent, PrimeWorkingAge percent, Food Environment Index, Percent Exercise Access, Percent Vaccinated, Social Association Rate                                      |
| Economy  High Risk   Low Risk                         | Agro Percent,<br>UnstableEmployment Percent,<br>LowIncome Percent, PT LQ,<br>Agri LQ, Manufacturing LQ,<br>Arts LQ, CommuteTime, Vice<br>related business rate, Recreation<br>related business rate | poverty, Unemployment percent,<br>WorkNearby percent, Service<br>LQ, Sales LQ, Transport LQ,<br>Management, Edu LQ,<br>Walkability Score, Civic related<br>business rate, Education related<br>business rate, Healthcare related<br>business rate |
| Housing High Risk ON 20% 40% 60% 80% 100% Low Risk    | OldHomes, Rented, Units<br>SingleFamily, Overcrowding,<br>Rental Overcrowding   | Homewownership, Cost<br>IncomeRatio, Renter MHHI  |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | PCT IRRIGATED ACRES ln, pct disease acres ln, fungicide ln, std nonmetal prim pop ln, std stone prim pop ln, CaAve ln, WFL ln   | Avg temp, Max temp, Min temp,<br>Precipitation, std sandandgravel<br>prim pop ln, Radon.x, D303<br>Percent ln, SO4Ave ln, W As ln,<br>W Ba ln, W Cd ln, W Cr ln, W<br>CN ln   |
| Infrastructure High Risk Low Risk                     | Percent PoorCondition Bridges,<br>Percent commuters by transit  | Percent MediumFairCondition<br>Bridges  |


## Census Tracts within Warren



## Settlement Types




## Rural Resilience within Warren



## Rural Indicator Rankings

| Indicator Group                            | High Risk Areas  | Low Risk Areas  |
|--|--|---|
| Community  High Risk                       | Young percent, NotMarried<br>Percent, Avg HHsize, Percent<br>AssistanceNeed, Physically<br>Unhealthy Days  | Females Percent, Educated percent, PrimeWorkingAge percent, Food Environment Index, Percent Exercise Access, Percent Vaccinated, Social Association Rate  |
| Economy High Risk Low Risk                 | UnstableEmployment Percent,<br>LowIncome Percent, PT LQ,<br>Manufacturing LQ, GINI Index,<br>Percent Children in Poverty,<br>CommuteTime, Vice related<br>business rate, Recreation related<br>business rate | WorkNearby percent, MBS LQ, construction LQ, Transport LQ, Edu LQ, Walkability Score, Civic related business rate, Education related business rate, Healthcare related business rate  |
| High Risk 0% 20% 40% 60% 80% 100% Low Risk | OldHomes, Units SingleFamily, Percent HousingProblems  | Homewownership, Renter MHHI   |
| Environment  High Risk   Low Risk          | PCT IRRIGATED ACRES ln, pct disease acres ln, fungicide ln, std nonmetal prim pop ln, std stone prim pop ln, CaAve ln, WFL ln  | Precipitation, CO8, Pb3,<br>NO2AM, NO21, O3, PM10,<br>PM2.5, PM2Point5, SO21, std<br>coal prim pop ln, std metal prim<br>pop ln, std sandandgravel prim<br>pop ln, Radon.x, D303 Percent<br>ln, SO4Ave ln, W As ln, W Ba<br>ln, W Cd ln, W Cr ln, W CN ln,<br>W HG ln |
| Infrastructure High Risk Low Risk          | Percent PoorCondition Bridges,<br>Percent commuters by transit   | Percent MediumFairCondition<br>Bridges  |


## State-Level Places Map



#### Resilience Category



## Places Map within Warren County, IL



## Count of Places in each Category




Table 5: Percentage of Census Tracts under each Resilience Category(County level)

| Very High | High | Moderate | Low | Very Low |
|-----------|------|----------|-----|----------|
| 0 %       | 80 % | 20 %     | 0 % | 0 %      |

## Places Indicator Rankings

| Indicator Group                       | High Risk Areas  | Low Risk Areas  |
|---------------------------------------|--|---|
| Community  High Risk         Low Risk | Young percent, NotMarried<br>Percent, LargeFam Percent,<br>Physically Unhealthy Days,<br>Inadequate Facilities   | Females Percent, Educated percent, PrimeWorkingAge percent, Food Environment Index, Percent Exercise Access, PrimaryCare Physicians Rate, Percent Vaccinated, Social Association Rate   |
| Economy High Risk                     | LowIncome Percent, PT LQ,<br>Arts LQ, GINI Index, Percent<br>Children in Poverty,<br>CommuteTime, Vice related<br>business rate, Recreation related<br>business rate | MHHI, poverty, Service LQ,<br>Sales LQ, construction LQ,<br>Management, Walkability Score,<br>Civic related business rate,<br>Education related business rate,<br>Healthcare related business rate  |
| High Risk 0% 20% 40% 60% 80% 100%     | OldHomes, Rented, Units<br>SingleFamily, Percent<br>HousingProblems  | Homewownership, NewHomes, Renter MHHI   |
| Environment  High Risk Low Risk       | PCT IRRIGATED ACRES ln, pct disease acres ln, fungicide ln, std nonmetal prim pop ln, std stone prim pop ln, CaAve ln, WFL ln  | Precipitation, CO8, Pb3,<br>NO2AM, NO21, O3, PM10,<br>PM2.5, PM2Point5, SO21, std<br>coal prim pop ln, std metal prim<br>pop ln, std sandandgravel prim<br>pop ln, Radon.x, D303 Percent<br>ln, SO4Ave ln, W As ln, W Ba<br>ln, W Cd ln, W Cr ln, W CN ln,<br>W HG ln |
| Infrastructure High Risk Low Risk     | Percent PoorCondition Bridges,<br>Percent commuters by transit   | Percent MediumFairCondition<br>Bridges  |

#### Relevant Resources

The following represent key areas of concern (in order of importance) at the county level:

- Infrastructure
- Housing

The resources below have been extracted from the Disaster Planning Library to facilitate planning for highrisk areas observed through the assessment. Please go through the following tools as a starting point for your planning process and feel free to search the Disaster Planning Library for further information, if required. The resources here are included to facilitate the process of hazard mitigation planning, through the Planning for a Purpose Model of Illinois Extension (see Toolkit).

#### Infrastructure

#### Green Infrastructure

• Green Infrastructure: Smart Conservation For The 21st Century

Organization: Renewable Resources Journal

Year: 2002

Document type: General Resource/Foundational Research, Planning Tool

Disasters: Flood, Man-Made Disasters

Abstract: "Green infrastructure" is a term becoming more commonly used among natural resource professionals. While it means different things to different people, depending on the context in which it is used, for the purposes of this article, green infrastructure is an interconnected network of green space that conserves natural ecosystem values and functions and provides associated benefits to human populations. Green infrastructure is the ecological framework needed for environmental, social and economic sustainability- our nation's natural life support system. Planning utilizing green infrastructure differs from conventional open space planning because it looks at conservation values in concert with land development, growth management and built infrastructure planning. This article introduces green infrastructure as a strategic approach to land conservation that addresses the ecological and social impacts of sprawl and the accelerated consumption and fragmentation of open land. It describes the concept and value of green infrastructure and presents seven principles for successful green infrastructure initiatives.

Plan Components: Planning Processes

#### • Mitigation Assistance: Building Resilient Infrastructure And Communities

Organization: FEMA

Year: 2022

Document type: Policy

Disasters: Tornado, Flood, Drought, Extreme Weather, Earthquakes, Wildfires, Man-Made Disasters,

Biological Disasters, Soil Erosion/Landslides

Abstract: The purpose of this policy is to establish the framework and requirements for BRIC while allowing flexibility to promote continuous program improvement through priorities and criteria set forth in the annual Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO). The BRIC program is designed to promote a national culture of preparedness and public safety through encouraging investments to protect the nation's communities and infrastructure and through strengthening national mitigation capabilities to foster resilience.

Plan\_Components: Strategies, Funding Mechanisms

#### • What Is Green Infrastructure?

Organization: EPA

Year: 2022

Document type: Web-based Resource, Manual for an Local Organization

Disasters: Flood, Drought, Extreme Weather

Abstract: Description of green infrastructure with examples of different types of green infrastructure.

Plan\_Components : Strategies

#### • Green Infrastructure Modeling Tools

Organization: EPA

Year: 2022

Document type: General Resource/Foundational Research, Web-based Resource, Planning Tool

Disasters: Flood, Extreme Weather

Abstract : Modeling tools support planning and design decisions on a range of scales from setting a green infrastructure target for an entire watershed to designing a green infrastructure practice for a particular site.

Plan\_Components : Strategies, Tools, Green Infrastructure

#### • Overcoming Barriers To Green Infrastructure

Organization: EPA

Year: 2022

Document type: General Resource/Foundational Research, Web-based Resource

Disasters: Flood, Drought

Abstract: Communities across the country are experiencing the benefits of green infrastructure. They have adopted performance standards or incentives promoting green infrastructure while others have built demonstration projects. Here we identify some of the barriers to adopting green infrastructure approaches and suggest strategies to overcome them.

Plan Components: Strategies, Green Infrastructure

# • Operation And Maintenance Of Green Infrastructure Receiving Runoff From Roads And Parking Lots

Organization: EPA

 $Year:\,2016$ 

Document type: General Resource/Foundational Research, Manual for an Local Organization

Disasters: Flood

Abstract: Operation and maintenance is a challenge that when not addressed properly can lead to failure of green infrastructure and high costs associated with restoration. This memorandum addresses common operation and maintenance questions and provides recommendations for evaluating the need and providing maintenance for green infrastructure, specifically bioretention and bioswales, that serves highly impervious roadways and parking lots.

Plan Components: Strategies, Tools, Green Infrastructure

#### • Green Infrastructure Funding Opportunities

Organization: EPA

Year: 2022

Document\_type: Web-based Resource, Funding Opportunities Disasters: Flood, Extreme Weather, Soil Erosion/Landslides

Abstract : Federal funding sources and funding resources from the EPA for green infrastructure

Plan Components: Funding Mechanisms, Tools

#### • Green Infrastructure Grant Opportunities

Organization: Illinois EPA

Year: 2021

Document type: Web-based Resource, Funding Opportunities

Disasters: Flood, Drought, Soil Erosion/Landslides

Abstract: The new Green Infrastructure Grant Opportunities (GIGO) Program funds projects to construct green infrastructure best management practices (BMPs) that prevent, eliminate, or reduce water quality impairments by decreasing stormwater runoff into Illinois' rivers, streams, and lakes.

Projects that implement treatment trains (multiple BMPs in a series) and/or multiple BMPs within the same watershed may be more effective and efficient than a single large green infrastructure BMP. Plan Components: Funding Mechanisms, Green Infrastructure

#### • Managing Wet Weather With Green Infrastructure Municipal Handbook Funding **Options**

Organization: EPA

Year: 2008

Document type: Manual for an Local Organization, Funding Opportunities

Disasters : Flood

Abstract : The Municipal Handbook is a series of documents

to help local officials implement green infrastructure in their communities. This chapter identifies and discusses the two most common funding options communities are using for green stormwater infrastructure – stormwater fees and loan programs.

Plan Components: Strategies, Funding Mechanisms, Case Studies, Green Infrastructure

#### • Managing Wet Weather With Green Infrastructure Municipal Handbook Green Infrastructure Retrofit Policies

Organization: EPA

Year: 2008

Document\_type: Planning Tool, Manual for an Local Organization, Policy

Abstract: The Municipal Handbook is a series of documents to help local officials implement green infrastructure in their communities. This chapter is about creating policies for green infrastructure.

Plan Components: Strategies, Planning Processes, Tools, Green Infrastructure

#### • Managing Wet Weather With Green Infrastructure Municipal Handbook Green Streets Organization: EPA

Year: 2008

Document type: Planning Tool, Manual for an Local Organization, Policy

Disasters: Flood

Abstract : The Municipal Handbook is a series of documents

to help local officials implement green infrastructure in their communities. This chapter looks at green streets.

Plan Components: Strategies, Green Infrastructure

#### • Managing Wet Weather With Green Infrastructure Municipal Handbook Rainwater Harvesting Policies

Organization: EPA

Year: 2008

Document type: Planning Tool, Manual for an Local Organization, Example Ordinances and Codes

Disasters: Flood, Drought

Abstract: The Municipal Handbook is a series of documents

to help local officials implement green infrastructure in their communities. This chapter looks at rainwater harvesting

Plan Components: Strategies, Case Studies, Tools, Green Infrastructure

#### • Managing Wet Weather With Green Infrastructure Municipal Handbook Incentive Mechanisms

Organization: EPA

Year: 2009

Document\_type: Planning Tool, Manual for an Local Organization, Example Ordinances and Codes,

Funding Opportunities

Disasters: Flood

Abstract : The Municipal Handbook is a series of documents

to help local officials implement green infrastructure in their communities.

This chapter looks at incentive mechanisms

Plan\_Components : Funding Mechanisms, Case Studies, Green Infrastructure

#### • Green Infrastructure Modeling Toolkit

Organization: EPA

Year: 2022

Document type: Web-based Resource

Disasters: Flood, Drought

Abstract: EPA has developed innovative models, tools, and technologies for communities to manage water runoff in urban and other environments. The resources in this toolkit incorporate green or a combination of green and gray infrastructure practices to help communities manage their water resources in a more sustainable way, increasing resilience to future changes.

 $Plan\_Components: Tools, Green Infrastructure$ 

#### • Green Infrastructure Methods

Organization: EPA

Document\_type: General Resource/Foundational Research, Funding Opportunities

Disasters: Flood, Drought

Abstract: FEMA is encouraging communities to incorporate methods to mitigate the impacts of climate change into eligible Hazard Mitigation Assistance (HMA) funded risk reduction activities by providing guidance on mitigating flood and drought conditions.

Plan\_Components: Strategies, Funding Mechanisms, Tools, Green Infrastructure

#### • Equity Guide For Green Stormwater Infrastructure Practitioners

Organization: Greenprint Partners

Year: 2022

Document\_type : General Resource/ Foundational Research, Planning Tool, Manual for an Local

Organization, Policy
Disasters: Flood, Drought

Abstract: The Equity Guide for Green Stormwater Infrastructure Practitioners is a resource developed by and for green infrastructure program managers representing local public sector stormwater management organizations across the United States and Canada. It offers an action and evaluation roadmap that defines: our industry's shared long-term equity goals, best practices that will move the needle, and sample metrics that help us track progress toward those goals over time. It also offers a variety of tools to support practitioners in customizing community- informed equity work plans and evaluation plans to local

contexts

Plan\_Components : Surveys/Assessments, Strategies, Planning Processes, Tools, Green Infrastruc-

ture, Information

#### • Cape Cod Green Infrastructure Guide

Organization: Tufts University

Year: 2015

Document\_type : General Resource/ Foundational Research, Web-based Resource

Disasters : Flood

Abstract: In 2015, this Green Infrastructure Guide was developed by Tufts University graduate students in the Water: Systems, Science & Society program to provide accessible opportunities for stakeholders and the public to learn about green technologies and the unique benefits they offer.

Plan\_Components : Green Infrastructure, Information

#### • Professional Practice Green Infrastructure: Constructed Wetlands

Organization: American Society of Landscape Architects

Document\_type: General Resource/Foundational Research, Web-based Resource

Disasters: Flood

Abstract: Constructed wetlands mimic the functions of natural wetlands to capture stormwater, reduce nutrient loads, and create diverse wildlife habitat. They are often created in engineered growth media in trenches, small islands, and pools. They are designed to contain water at all times – either standing water on the surface or water saturated just below the soil surface.

Plan Components: Tools, Green Infrastructure, Information

#### • Minnesota Green Stormwater Infrastructure Guide

Organization: MN Department of Natural Resources, NOAA

Year: 2022

Document\_type : General Resource/ Foundational Research, Planning Tool, Manual for an Local Organization

Disasters: Flood, Drought, Extreme Weather

Abstract: The purpose of this guide is to help homeowners and small business owners who are not subject to stormwater permits identify ways to improve and protect their property and the area's water quality through installation and management of green stormwater practices. The designs of these practices can be customized to fit specific needs and site-specific constraints.

Plan\_Components: Strategies, Planning Processes, Tools, Green Infrastructure, Information

#### • The Green Infrastructure Guide Planning For A Healthy Urban And Community Forest

Organization: The Regional Planning Partnership

Year: 2001

Document\_type : General Resource/ Foundational Research, Planning Tool, Manual for an Local Organization

Disasters: Flood, Drought, Biological Disasters, Soil Erosion/Landslides

Abstract: This handbook builds the case for the value of green infrastructure and provides suggestions about how to integrate green infrastructure planning with planning for development.

Plan\_Components: Planning Processes, Tools, Green Infrastructure, Information

#### • Approved Green Infrastructure Master Plan

Organization: Prince George's County Planning Department

Year: 2002

Document type: Specific Plan, Policy

Disasters: Flood, Drought, Man-Made Disasters

Abstract: This document contains text and maps of the Approved Countywide Green Infrastructure Plan for Prince George's County, Maryland. Green infrastructure is defined as an interconnected network of waterways, wetlands, woodlands, wildlife habitats, and other natural areas of countywide significance. The plan identifies a contiguous network of environmentally sensitive areas throughout the county and sets forth a goal, objectives, policies, and strategies to preserve, protect, and enhance these elements by the year 2025. The plan supports the desired development pattern in the General Plan. This is the first comprehensive functional master plan ever developed for environmental ecosystems in Prince George's County.

Plan Components: Planning Processes, Green Infrastructure

#### • Kane County 2040 Green Infrastructure Plan

Organization: Kane County Board, Quality of Kane, Greening Infrastructure, IDNR

Year: 2012

Document type: Specific Plan

Disasters: Flood, Soil Erosion/Landslides

Abstract: The ultimate goal of the Kane County 2040 Green Infrastructure Plan is to lay the

groundwork for green infrastructure planning and projects at the regional,

community, neighborhood and site levels addressing current issues of water

resource management, biodiversity, conservation, water supply, public health,

climate change and economic development.

Plan\_Components : Surveys/Assessments, Planning Processes, Funding Mechanisms, Green Infrastructure, Information

#### • Green Infrastructure Partnership Opportunity Program

Organization: Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago

Year: 2022

Document type: Specific Plan, Funding Opportunities

Disasters: Flood, Extreme Weather

Abstract: MWRD encourages and supports implementing green infrastructure (GI), which includes a range of engineered installations that store, infiltrate, and/or evaporate stormwater, thereby mimicking the natural water cycle. The MWRD recognizes that GI practices can be effective in reducing wetweather flows to combined sewer systems, reducing combined sewer overflows to local waterways, and reducing runoff volumes and improving water quality in separate sewer service areas. The MWRD also recognizes the vital role of local government in addressing flooding concerns through the innovative use of GI.

Plan Components: Planning Processes, Funding Mechanisms, Tools, Green Infrastructure

#### Housing

#### **Buildings and Codes**

# • Partial Implementation Of The Federal Flood Risk Management Standard For Hazard Mitigation Assistance Programs (Interim)

Organization: FEMA

Year: 2021

Document\_type: Planning Tool, Policy

Disasters: Flood

Abstract: In its Hazard Mitigation Assistance (HMA) programs, the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) utilizes the American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE) 24-14,3 or latest edition, to establish minimum design and construction requirements for structure elevation, dry floodproofing, and mitigation reconstruction.4 Under this interim policy, the FFRMS FVA will be utilized to determine the minimum flood protection elevation for certain project types. This interim policy applies to all HMA programs: the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP), HMGP Post Fire, Building Resilient Infrastructure and Communities (BRIC), and Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA).

Plan Components: Strategies, Planning Processes

#### • Building Codes Toolkit

Organization: FEMA

Year: 2021

Document\_type: Manual for an Local Organization

Disasters: Tornado, Flood, Extreme Weather, Earthquakes, Wildfires, Soil Erosion/Landslides

Abstract: The new FEMA Building Science Branch Building Codes Toolkit offers basic guidance and tools to help building owners and occupants learn about building codes and the process of making a building stronger against natural hazards.

Plan\_Components : Strategies, Planning Processes, Funding Mechanisms

#### • Building Code And Floodplain Management Administration And Enforcement

Organization: FEMA

Year: 2018

Document type: Policy

Disasters: Tornado, Flood, Extreme Weather, Earthquakes, Wildfires, Man-Made Disasters, Soil

Erosion/Landslides

Abstract: The Disaster Recovery Reform Act of 2018 (DRRA), amended Sections 402 and 406 of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (Stafford Act), and authorized FEMA to "provide assistance to state and local governments for building code and floodplain administration and enforcement, including inspections for substantial damage compliance"1 and "base and overtime wages for extra hires to facilitate the implementation and enforcement of adopted building codes for a period of not more than 180 days after the major disaster is declared."

Plan Components: Strategies, Funding Mechanisms

#### • Building Codes Save: A Nationwide Study

Organization: FEMA

Year: 2020

Document type: General Resource/Foundational Research

Disasters: Tornado, Flood, Drought, Extreme Weather, Earthquakes, Wildfires, Soil Ero-

sion/Landslides

Abstract: The findings of the MAT investigations, the magnitude of recent hazard events,

and the escalating cost of natural disasters together revealed a compelling need to quantify the value of building codes in reducing damage from natural disasters nationwide.

Plan\_Components : Surveys/Assessments

#### • Protecting Communities And Saving Money

Organization: FEMA

Year: 2020

Document type: General Resource/Foundational Research

Disasters: Tornado, Flood, Drought, Extreme Weather, Earthquakes, Wildfires, Soil Ero-

sion/Landslides

Abstract: One of the most cost-effective ways to safeguard our communities against natural disasters is to adopt and follow hazard-resistant building codes. Not only are casualties reduced, but the cost of building damage is also reduced during a natural disaster. Building codes also help communities get back on their feet faster by minimizing indirect costs such as business interruptions and lost income. A new FEMA study has made the impact of building codes on sustainability clear. The cost of not adopting building codes is too high.

Plan\_Components : Surveys/Assessments, Strategies, Planning Processes, Funding Mechanisms, Case Studies

#### • Resilience Toolkit

Organization: ICC (International Code Council)

Year: 2022

Document\_type: Web-based Resource

Disasters : Tornado, Flood, Drought, Extreme Weather, Earthquakes, Wildfires, Soil Erosion/Landslides

Abstract: Over the past twenty years communities worldwide have experienced disaster events that have significantly impacted their society, economy, and culture. As populations grow, urban areas expand, and interconnectedness increases, the potential for a disaster event to have deeper and further-reaching consequences also increases. As a result, there is a need to implement measures that increase resilience across the social, organizational, and infrastructural aspects of communities -community resilience.

Plan Components: Strategies, Planning Processes

#### • Resilience Strategies For Wildfire

Organization: Center for Climate and Energy Solutions

Year: 2018

Document type: Planning Tool, Example Ordinances and Codes

Disasters: Wildfires

Abstract: The risk of wildfire is expected to grow across the United States due to reduced precipitation in some regions, and higher temperatures caused by climate change. Wildfire has far-reaching impacts that can ripple through communities, regions, watersheds, and ecosystems. This paper overviews a number of adaptation strategies for areas with a projected increase in wildfire conditions. For each strategy, it will discuss design and operation costs, and primary and co-benefits. The paper includes a community case

study of Austin, Texas, which has used a number of these strategies, and a list of publications and interactive tools to help communities become more resilient to wildfire

Plan Components: Strategies, Planning Processes, Case Studies, Tools, Information

#### • Tornado Risks And Hazards In The Midwest United States

Organization: FEMA

Year: 2007

Document type: General Resource/Foundational Research, Planning Tool

Disasters: Tornado

Abstract: The purpose of this Tornado Recovery Advisory (RA) is to summarize facts about the Midwest tornado hazard, specifically the area served by FEMA Region VII. Region VII includes Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, and Nebraska. The general population, specifically homeowners and renters, policy makers, local officials, builders, and building officials know and understand

that tornado occurrence in the Midwest is not a rare event. In fact, more than half of the 20 states with the highest frequency of tornado occurrence on record, and 4 of the top 5 (Texas, Oklahoma, Kansas, and Nebraska) are located in the Midwest

Plan\_Components: Surveys/Assessments, Strategies, Tools, Information

#### • Tornado Protection Selecting Refuge Areas In Buildings

Organization: FEMA

Year: 2009

Document\_type: Manual for an Local Organization

Disasters : Tornado

Abstract: The guidance presented in this booklet is intended primarily to help building administrators, architects, and engineers select the best available refuge areas in existing schools. Building administrators, architects, and engineers are encouraged to apply this guidance so that the number of injuries and deaths will be minimized if a tornado strikes an occupied

school

Plan\_Components: Strategies, Planning Processes, Case Studies, Tools, Information

#### • Sustainable Land Development Code City Of Greensburg, Kansas

Organization: GREENSBURG PLANNING COMMISSION

Year: 2011

Document type: Example Ordinances and Codes

Disasters: Tornado, Flood, Soil Erosion/Landslides, Agricultural Disasters

Abstract: City code for Greensburg, Kansas. The small rural town is a unique example in which the entire town was destroyed by a tornado. This gave leeway for a complete reimagination of the town and code, building a sustainable and resilient community from the ground up.

Plan Components: Strategies, Tools, Green Infrastructure, Information

#### • Code Of Ordinances Village Of Thomasboro, Illinois

Organization: Order of the Board of Trustees, Village of Thomasboro

Document\_type: Example Ordinances and Codes

Disasters: Tornado, Flood, Drought, Extreme Weather, Earthquakes, Man-Made Disasters

Abstract : Code of Ordinances for a small rural town in Illinois. Plan Components : Strategies, Funding Mechanisms, Information

# • State Of Illinois Illinois Department Of Natural Resources Model Stormwater Management Ordinance

Organization: Illinois Department of Natural Resources

Year: 2015

Document\_type : Planning Tool, Manual for an Local Organization, Policy

Disasters : Flood, Drought, Extreme Weather, Soil Erosion/Landslides

Abstract: In June 2015, the Illinois Department of Natural Resources, Office of Water Resources (IDNR/OWR) issued a report for the Urban Flooding Awareness Act. The report recognizes that combating the damages of urban flooding requires a coordinated approach from state and local governments. A critical component in that effort is for local governmental entities to adopt sound, comprehensive stormwater management ordinances that incorporate best practices. To that end, IDNR/OWR and the Illinois State Water Survey (ISWS) developed this Model Stormwater Management Ordinance as a

resource for counties and municipalities to use when drafting or revising their own stormwater ordinances. While local development, review, and approval processes are unique, IDNR/OWR provides this document as a template containing the minimum requirements for an effective ordinance and suggestions for more advanced stormwater protection

Plan Components: Tools, Green Infrastructure, Information

#### Floods and Disaster Mitigation

# • Partial Implementation Of The Federal Flood Risk Management Standard For Hazard Mitigation Assistance Programs (Interim)

Organization: FEMA

Year: 2021

Document type: Planning Tool, Policy

Disasters: Flood

Abstract: In its Hazard Mitigation Assistance (HMA) programs, the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) utilizes the American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE) 24-14,3 or latest edition, to establish minimum design and construction requirements for structure elevation, dry floodproofing, and mitigation reconstruction.4 Under this interim policy, the FFRMS FVA will be utilized to determine the minimum flood protection elevation for certain project types. This interim policy applies to all HMA programs: the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP), HMGP Post Fire, Building Resilient Infrastructure and Communities (BRIC), and Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA).

Plan\_Components : Strategies, Planning Processes

#### • Building Codes Toolkit

Organization: FEMA

Year: 2021

Document\_type: Manual for an Local Organization

Disasters: Tornado, Flood, Extreme Weather, Earthquakes, Wildfires, Soil Erosion/Landslides

Abstract: The new FEMA Building Science Branch Building Codes Toolkit offers basic guidance and tools to help building owners and occupants learn about building codes and the process of making a building stronger against natural hazards.

Plan Components: Strategies, Planning Processes, Funding Mechanisms

#### • Building Code And Floodplain Management Administration And Enforcement

Organization: FEMA

Year: 2018

Document type: Policy

Disasters: Tornado, Flood, Extreme Weather, Earthquakes, Wildfires, Man-Made Disasters, Soil

Erosion/Landslides

Abstract: The Disaster Recovery Reform Act of 2018 (DRRA), amended Sections 402 and 406 of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (Stafford Act), and authorized FEMA to "provide assistance to state and local governments for building code and floodplain administration and enforcement, including inspections for substantial damage compliance"1 and "base and overtime wages for extra hires to facilitate the implementation and enforcement of adopted building codes for a period of not more than 180 days after the major disaster is declared."

Plan Components: Strategies, Funding Mechanisms

#### • Building Codes Save: A Nationwide Study

Organization: FEMA

Year: 2020

Document\_type : General Resource/ Foundational Research

Disasters: Tornado, Flood, Drought, Extreme Weather, Earthquakes, Wildfires, Soil Ero-

sion/Landslides

Abstract: The findings of the MAT investigations, the magnitude of recent hazard events,

and the escalating cost of natural disasters together revealed a compelling need to quantify the value of building codes in reducing damage from natural disasters nationwide.

Plan\_Components : Surveys/Assessments

#### • Protecting Communities And Saving Money

Organization: FEMA

Year: 2020

Document\_type : General Resource/ Foundational Research

Disasters : Tornado, Flood, Drought, Extreme Weather, Earthquakes, Wildfires, Soil Erosion/Landslides

Abstract: One of the most cost-effective ways to safeguard our communities against natural disasters is to adopt and follow hazard-resistant building codes. Not only are casualties reduced, but the cost of building damage is also reduced during a natural disaster. Building codes also help communities get back on their feet faster by minimizing indirect costs such as business interruptions and lost income. A new FEMA study has made the impact of building codes on sustainability clear. The cost of not adopting building codes is too high.

Plan\_Components : Surveys/Assessments, Strategies, Planning Processes, Funding Mechanisms, Case Studies

#### • Resilience Toolkit

Organization: ICC (International Code Council)

Year: 2022

Document\_type: Web-based Resource

Disasters : Tornado, Flood, Drought, Extreme Weather, Earthquakes, Wildfires, Soil Ero-

sion/Landslides

Abstract: Over the past twenty years communities worldwide have experienced disaster events that have significantly impacted their society, economy, and culture. As populations grow, urban areas expand, and interconnectedness increases, the potential for a disaster event to have deeper and further-reaching consequences also increases. As a result, there is a need to implement measures that increase resilience across the social, organizational, and infrastructural aspects of communities -community resilience.

Plan\_Components : Strategies, Planning Processes

#### • Resilience Strategies For Wildfire

Organization: Center for Climate and Energy Solutions

Year : 2018

Document type: Planning Tool, Example Ordinances and Codes

Disasters: Wildfires

Abstract: The risk of wildfire is expected to grow across the United States due to reduced precipitation

in some regions, and higher temperatures caused by climate change. Wildfire has far-reaching impacts that can ripple through communities, regions, watersheds, and ecosystems. This paper overviews a number of adaptation strategies for areas with a projected increase in wildfire conditions. For each strategy, it will discuss design and operation costs, and primary and co-benefits. The paper includes a community case

study of Austin, Texas, which has used a number of these strategies, and a list of publications and interactive tools to help communities become more resilient to wildfire

Plan Components: Strategies, Planning Processes, Case Studies, Tools, Information

#### Tornado Risks And Hazards In The Midwest United States

Organization: FEMA

Year: 2007

Document type: General Resource/Foundational Research, Planning Tool

Disasters: Tornado

Abstract: The purpose of this Tornado Recovery Advisory (RA) is to summarize facts about the Midwest tornado hazard, specifically the area served by FEMA Region VII. Region VII includes Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, and Nebraska. The general population, specifically homeowners and renters, policy makers, local officials, builders, and building officials know and understand

that tornado occurrence in the Midwest is not a rare event. In fact, more than half of the 20 states with the highest frequency of tornado occurrence on record, and 4 of the top 5 (Texas, Oklahoma, Kansas, and Nebraska) are located in the Midwest

Plan Components: Surveys/Assessments, Strategies, Tools, Information

#### • Tornado Protection Selecting Refuge Areas In Buildings

Organization: FEMA

Year: 2009

Document type: Manual for an Local Organization

Disasters : Tornado

Abstract: The guidance presented in this booklet is intended primarily to help building administrators, architects, and engineers select the best available refuge areas in existing schools. Building administrators, architects, and engineers are encouraged to apply this guidance so that the number of injuries and deaths will be minimized if a tornado strikes an occupied school

Plan Components: Strategies, Planning Processes, Case Studies, Tools, Information

#### • Sustainable Land Development Code City Of Greensburg, Kansas

Organization: GREENSBURG PLANNING COMMISSION

Year: 2011

Document\_type: Example Ordinances and Codes

Disasters: Tornado, Flood, Soil Erosion/Landslides, Agricultural Disasters

Abstract: City code for Greensburg, Kansas. The small rural town is a unique example in which the entire town was destroyed by a tornado. This gave leeway for a complete reimagination of the town and code, building a sustainable and resilient community from the ground up.

Plan Components: Strategies, Tools, Green Infrastructure, Information

#### • Code Of Ordinances Village Of Thomasboro, Illinois

Organization: Order of the Board of Trustees, Village of Thomasboro

Document type: Example Ordinances and Codes

Disasters: Tornado, Flood, Drought, Extreme Weather, Earthquakes, Man-Made Disasters

Abstract : Code of Ordinances for a small rural town in Illinois. Plan\_Components : Strategies, Funding Mechanisms, Information

#### • State Of Illinois Illinois Department Of Natural Resources Model Stormwater Manage-

#### ment Ordinance

Organization: Illinois Department of Natural Resources

Year: 2015

Document\_type: Planning Tool, Manual for an Local Organization, Policy Disasters: Flood, Drought, Extreme Weather, Soil Erosion/Landslides

Abstract: In June 2015, the Illinois Department of Natural Resources, Office of Water Resources (IDNR/OWR) issued a report for the Urban Flooding Awareness Act. The report recognizes that combating the damages of urban flooding requires a coordinated approach from state and local governments. A critical component in that effort is for local governmental entities to adopt sound, comprehensive stormwater management ordinances that incorporate best practices. To that end, IDNR/OWR and the Illinois State Water Survey (ISWS) developed this Model Stormwater Management Ordinance as a

resource for counties and municipalities to use when drafting or revising their own stormwater ordinances. While local development, review, and approval processes are unique, IDNR/OWR provides this document as a template containing the minimum requirements for an effective ordinance and suggestions for more advanced stormwater protection

Plan Components: Tools, Green Infrastructure, Information

 ${\bf Appendix} \ {\bf A}$   ${\bf Interpretation \ of \ High \ Risk \ and \ Low \ Risk \ Areas \ at \ County \ Level}$ 

| Indicator    | Definition  | Low Risk<br>Interpretation  | High Risk<br>Interpretation   | Risk<br>Level |
|--------------|---|---|---|---------------|
| Agri         | Percentage<br>Employed in<br>Agricultural<br>Occupations                    | A lower percentage of workers in the agricultural sector indicates a greater economic diversity, lower dependence on weather conditions, higher resilience and lower risk | Agricultural sector is most directly affected by natural disasters and extreme weather events such that their productivity goes down drastically. Therefore a predominantly agrarian economy represents lower economic resilience and greater disaster risk | Moderate      |
| Agri LQ      | Location<br>Quotient of<br>Agricultural<br>Occupations                      | A lower LQ for the<br>Agricultural Sector<br>indicates a low risk   | A higher LQ for<br>Agricultural<br>Businesses indicates a<br>higher risk  | High          |
| Agro Percent | Percentage<br>Employment<br>in Agriculture<br>and related<br>Sectors        | A lower percentage of workers in the agricultural sector indicates a greater economic diversity, lower dependence on weather conditions, higher resilience and lower risk | Agricultural sector is most directly affected by natural disasters and extreme weather events such that their productivity goes down drastically. Therefore a predominantly agrarian economy represents lower economic resilience and greater disaster risk | High          |
| Airports     | Non-<br>Commercial<br>-Civil Public<br>Use Airports<br>and Seaplane<br>base | A higher value is associated is low risk  | It indicates access to communication and transportation infrastructure which is essential in times of disasters. A lower value is associated with high risk   | Moderate      |

| Indicator        | Definition  | Low Risk<br>Interpretation   | High Risk<br>Interpretation  | Risk<br>Level |
|------------------|---|--|--|---------------|
| ALLNPDESperKM ln | All NPDES<br>permits per<br>1000 km of<br>stream, as<br>permits per<br>1000km<br>stream<br>length, log<br>transformed | A Lower value is<br>associated with low<br>risk  | It indicates pollution<br>of water resources. A<br>higher value is<br>associated with high<br>risk   | Low           |
| Arts             | Percentage<br>Employed in<br>Arts<br>Occupations  | A lower employment<br>in the arts sector<br>could indicate higher<br>resilience to economic<br>shocks and higher<br>incomes and is<br>therefore associated<br>with lower risk              | Although a higher proportion artists could signal a greater economic diversity, arts occupations are highly susceptible to natural disasters. Therefore a greater employment in arts industries could signal a higher disaster risk.             | Low           |
| Arts LQ          | Location<br>Quotient of<br>Arts<br>Occupations  | A low LQ in the arts<br>sector is associated<br>with low risk  | A high LQ in the arts sector is associated with higher risk  | Low           |
| Avg HHsize       | Average<br>Household<br>Size  | A smaller average<br>household size<br>indicates a greater<br>proportion<br>ofhousehold resources<br>available to every<br>individual in the<br>household and is<br>therefore a lower risk | Household sizes relate with the distribution of resources among individuals with the household. A greater average household size indicates a lesser proprotion of resources available to individuals and is therefore interpreted as a high risk | Moderate      |
| Avg temp         | Average<br>Temperature  | A lower average<br>temperature is<br>associated with lower<br>risk   | A higher average<br>temperate is<br>associated with a<br>higher risk   | Very<br>Low   |

| Indicator                      | Definition   | Low Risk<br>Interpretation   | High Risk<br>Interpretation   | Risk<br>Level |
|--------------------------------|--|--|---|---------------|
| Bridges                        | Number of<br>Bridges   | A higher value is associated is low risk   | It indicates access to transportation infrastructure which is essential in times of disasters. A lower value is associated with high riskA lower value is associated with high risk | Moderate      |
| Business establishments        | Number of<br>business es-<br>tablishments  | A higher value is associated is low risk   | It represents access to resources , and economic vilatity. A lower value is associated with high risk   | High          |
| CaAve ln                       | Calcium (Ca)<br>precipitation<br>weighted<br>mean in<br>mg/L, log<br>transformed | A Lower value is associated with low risk  | It is a pollutant. A higher value is associated with high risk  | Very<br>High  |
| Civic related<br>business rate | Rate of<br>civic-related<br>business per<br>county                               | A higher value is associated is low risk   | Civic-related<br>businesses indicate a<br>strong,<br>built-environment<br>and development<br>industry. A lower<br>value is associated<br>with high risk                             | Low           |
| CO8                            | Concentration of Pollutant   | A lower concentration<br>indicates less<br>pollution and is<br>associated with lower<br>risk | A higher concentration indicates more pollution and is associated with higher risk  | Moderate      |
| Commuters withinCounty         | Number of<br>resident<br>workers who<br>commute<br>within county                 | A higher value is associated is low risk   | A lower commute<br>time is assocatied<br>with a health local<br>economy. A lower<br>value is associated<br>with high risk   | Moderate      |

| Indicator        | Definition  | Low Risk<br>Interpretation  | High Risk<br>Interpretation   | Risk<br>Level |
|------------------|---|---|---|---------------|
| CommuteTime      | Time it takes<br>from home to<br>go to work in<br>minutes | A Lower value is associated with low risk   | Time spent in commute is associated with lack of productivity and extra expenses. A lower commute time is associated with a health local economy. A higher value is associated with high risk         | Very<br>Low   |
| construction     | Percentage<br>Employed in<br>Construction<br>Occupations  | Construction and allied industries represent possibilities for robust economic growth and government investment. A greater employment in the construction industry also signals potential for development of local infrastructure. A higher employment in this sector is therefore a low risk | Lower employment in<br>the construction<br>sector indicates lower<br>investment in built<br>environment and<br>infrastructure and<br>high disaster risks  | Very<br>High  |
| construction LQ  | Location<br>Quotient of<br>Construction<br>Occupations    | A higher LQ for the<br>construction industry<br>indicates a low<br>disaster risk  | A lower LQ for the construction industry indictes a high disaster risk  | Very<br>High  |
| Cost IncomeRatio | Ratio of<br>Housing Cost<br>to Household<br>Income        | A lower cost to income ratio indicates that households are successfully able to meet their financial demands and are more resilient to disasters. It is therefore a low risk.   | A higher cost to income ratio indicates that households are unable to meet their financial needs and are more likely to suffer adversely during disasters. It is therefore associated with high risk. | Low           |

| Indicator           | Definition  | Low Risk<br>Interpretation  | High Risk<br>Interpretation  | Risk<br>Level |
|---------------------|---|---|--|---------------|
| COVID-19 death rate | All deaths occurring between January 1, 2020 and December 31, 2020 due to COVID-19, per 100,000 population (ageadjusted). | A Lower value is associated with low risk   | A greater number of deaths owing to Covid-19 indicates pre-existing health conditions and comorbidities within the community. A higher value is associated with high risk  | Very<br>Low   |
| D303 Percent In     | % of stream length impaired in county, log-transfored   | A Lower value is associated with low risk   | It indicates pollution<br>of water resources. A<br>higher value is<br>associated with high<br>risk   | Very<br>Low   |
| Disabled Percent    | Percentage of<br>Disabled<br>Persons  | A lower percentage of disabled individuals in the community indicates a lower risk owing to reduced requirements for preparation, disaster and accessibility planning               | A higher percentage of disabled individuals is associated with a high risk. They are disproportionately affected owing to inaccessible evacuation, response and recovery efforts and could often be left behind. | Very<br>High  |
| Docks               | Total Docks   | A higher value is associated is low risk  | It indicates access to<br>transportation<br>infrastructure which<br>is essential in times of<br>disasters. A lower<br>value is associated<br>with high risk  | Moderate      |
| Edu                 | Percentage<br>Employed in<br>Education-<br>related<br>Occupations   | A higher employment<br>in the education<br>sector signals a more<br>skilled economy,<br>which is typically<br>more resilient to<br>natural disasters. It<br>is therefore a low risk | A lower employment<br>in the education<br>sector signals a less<br>resilient, less skilled<br>economy, and is<br>therefore associated<br>with high risk  | Moderate      |

| Indicator                       | Definition  | Low Risk<br>Interpretation  | High Risk<br>Interpretation   | Risk<br>Level |
|---------------------------------|---|---|---|---------------|
| Edu LQ                          | Location<br>Quotient of<br>Education-<br>related<br>Occupations | A high LQ in the<br>education sector<br>signals a higher<br>economic resilience<br>and low risk | A lower LQ in<br>education sector<br>signals a lower<br>economic resilience<br>and high risk  | Very<br>Low   |
| Educated percent                | Percentage of<br>Educated<br>Persons                            | A greater person of<br>educated individuals<br>is interpreted as low<br>disaster risk           | Lack of education is associated with social marginalization, lack of preparation and disaster planning. Therefore a lower percentage of educated individuals is interpreted as high risk. | High          |
| Education related business rate | Rate of<br>education-<br>related<br>business per<br>county      | A higher value is associated is low risk  | Education-related<br>businesses enable a<br>community to obtain<br>access to education<br>and child<br>development<br>resources. A lower<br>value is associated<br>with high risk         | Very<br>Low   |
| Elderly growth percent          | Growth Percent of Elderly Population                            | A lower percentage of older adults represents a lower disaster risk.                            | Older adults are more vulnerable to dosaster risks owing to functional problems, health and medication concerns. A greater percentage of older adults represents a higher risk.           | ~             |

| Indicator                   | Definition  | Low Risk<br>Interpretation  | High Risk<br>Interpretation  | Risk<br>Level |
|-----------------------------|---|---|--|---------------|
| FemaleHeaded HHs<br>Percent | Percentage of<br>Households<br>with Female<br>Householder | A lower percentage of female headed households indicates a lower disaster risk, a more stable family and household structure, greater social integration and family support, and higher socio-economic status at the community level  | of female headed<br>households could<br>indicate a higher<br>disaster risk owing to<br>greater chances of<br>poverty, and<br>particular risk from<br>housing shocks and  | Very<br>Low   |
| FemaleLaborforce percent    | Percentage of<br>Labor Force<br>constituted<br>by Women   | A higher participation of females in the workforce indicates increased incomes, and lowers or leads to sharing of unpaid care-work with other members of the family, leading to a more economically resilient community. Thus a higher female workforce participation indicates a low disaster risk | of women in the<br>labour force is<br>associated with lower  | Very<br>Low   |
| Females Percent             | Percentage of<br>Females                                  | A good percentage of<br>women represent a<br>stable sex ratio for<br>the area and is<br>therefore a positive<br>indicator   | A low percentage of<br>women could indicate<br>an unstable sex ratio,<br>thereby showing that<br>there are fewer<br>opportunities for<br>women in the area.<br>This could have<br>negative consequences<br>during a disaster | Very<br>Low   |

| Indicator                 | Definition   | Low Risk<br>Interpretation  | High Risk<br>Interpretation   | Risk<br>Level |
|---------------------------|--|---|---|---------------|
| Finance                   | Percentage<br>Employed in<br>Finance<br>Occupations  | Finance, realestate, etc. jobs are positively correlated with high incomes, job security and high economic resilience during disasters.  Therefore a greater employment ins uch industries poses a low risk and indicates a diverse economic base. A higher employment in such industries is associated with a low risk | A lower employment in finance, real estate etc. signals lower incomes and less resilient jobs.  Therefore, a lower employment in this sector is associated with high risk | High          |
| Finance LQ                | Location<br>Quotient of<br>Finance<br>Occupations  | A high LQ in finance, real estate, etc. signals a low risk  | A low LQ in finance,<br>real estate, etc.<br>signals a high risk  | Very<br>High  |
| Food Environment<br>Index | Index of factors that contribute to a healthy food environment, from 0 (worst) to 10 (best). | A higher value is associated is low risk  | A poor food<br>environment reduces<br>the physical and<br>mental vitality of a<br>community. A lower<br>value is associated<br>with high risk.                            | Low           |
| Freight railroad miles    | Route miles<br>of freight<br>railroad  | A higher value is associated is low risk  | It indicates access to<br>transportation<br>infrastructure which<br>is essential in times of<br>disasters. A lower<br>value is associated<br>with high risk               | High          |

| Indicator      | Definition   | Low Risk<br>Interpretation  | High Risk<br>Interpretation   | Risk<br>Level |
|----------------|--|---|---|---------------|
| fungicide ln   | Fungicides<br>applied in<br>pounds, log-<br>transformed  | A Lower value is associated with low risk   | It indicates soil quality and environmental pollution. During disasters, pollutants from the soil can contaminate the environment. A higher value is associated with high risk  | Very<br>High  |
| Gender Pay Gap | A ratio of women's median earnings to men's median earnings for all full-time, year-round workers, presented as "cents on the dollar." | A Lower value is associated with low risk   | Gender inequality<br>determines a society's<br>ability to develop<br>economically and<br>equitably. A higher<br>value is associated<br>with high risk   | Very<br>Low   |
| GINI Index     | GINI Index<br>for Inequality<br>Determina-<br>tion   | A lower GINI Index<br>depicts lesser<br>inequality in the<br>industry and is<br>associated with low<br>risk                     | A higher inequality<br>represents differential<br>distribution of<br>resources, greater<br>poverty and lack of<br>accessibility. A higher<br>GINI Index is<br>associated with high<br>risk.   | Low           |
| GroupQuarters  | GroupQuarters as part of   | A lower concentration of group quarter residents is a low risk owing to greater access to recovery resources and social support | Group quarters consist of unrelated persons sharing a living space, either in institutionalized or non-institutionalized settings. Thus they lack the social support of a family and a high percentage of group quarter population is associated with high risk | Moderate      |

| Indicator                           | Definition  | Low Risk<br>Interpretation  | High Risk<br>Interpretation   | Risk<br>Level |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|---------------|
| Healthcare related<br>business rate | Rate of<br>healthcare-<br>related<br>businesses per<br>county                                       | A higher value is associated is low risk  | Availability of health care businesses indicate access to medical resources during disasters. A lower value is associated with high risk  | High          |
| HelperPool                          | Percentage of<br>Helpers in a<br>time of crisis   | A higher proportion<br>of helpers indicates a<br>lower risk, since a<br>greater number of<br>persons will be<br>available for disaster<br>recovery and<br>mitigation efforts.               | A goood number of participants in the helper pool could indicate greater support and emergency management in the community. A lower percentage of helpers represents a high risk. | Low           |
| herbicide ln                        | Herbicides<br>applied in<br>pounds, log-<br>transformed   | A Lower value is associated with low risk   | It indicates soil quality and environmental pollution. During disasters, pollutants from the soil can contaminate the environment. A higher value is associated with high risk    | High          |
| Homewownership                      | Perecentage<br>Homewowners  | A higher percentage<br>of homeownership is<br>associated with<br>greater income and<br>family stability and<br>greater access to relief<br>during a disaster. It<br>is therefore a low risk | A lower percentage of homeownership signals lower incomes and support during disasters. It is therefore a higher risk.  | Low           |
| Inadequate Facilities               | Percentage of<br>households<br>with<br>inadequate<br>infrastructure<br>within their<br>housing unit | A Lower value is associated with low risk   | Inadequate infrastructure within the household lowers quality of life and residents' health. A higher value is associated with high risk  | Moderate      |

| Indicator      | Definition   | Low Risk<br>Interpretation   | High Risk<br>Interpretation  | Risk<br>Level |
|----------------|--|--|--|---------------|
| Info           | Percentage<br>Employed in<br>Information-<br>based<br>Occupations  | Information industries are positively associated with mitigation planning and have the ability to shift to remote working during a disaster. Therefore, such a higher employment in such industries poses a low risk | A lower employment<br>in infirmation<br>industries signals a<br>less diverse economic<br>base and a higher<br>susceptibility to<br>natural disasters. It<br>is therefore associated<br>with higher disaster<br>risks | High          |
| Info LQ        | Location<br>Quotient of<br>Information-<br>based<br>Occupations    | A lower LQ in the information sector signals a low risk  | A lower LQ in information sector signals a high risk   | High          |
| insecticide ln | Insecticide<br>applied in<br>pounds, log-<br>transformed           | A Lower value is associated with low risk  | It indicates soil quality and environmental pollution. During disasters, pollutants from the soil can contaminate the environment. A higher value is associated with high risk                                       | Moderate      |
| Kave ln        | Potassium (K) precipitation weighted mean in mg/L, log transformed | A Lower value is associated with low risk  | It is a pollutant. A higher value is associated with high risk   | Low           |

| Indicator         | Definition   | Low Risk<br>Interpretation   | High Risk<br>Interpretation  | Risk<br>Level |
|-------------------|--|--|--|---------------|
| LargeFam Percent  | Percentage of<br>Large<br>Families as<br>part of all<br>Families | In case of smaller family sizes, a greater investment of the family's resources is possible leading to greater achievements. Therefore a smaller proportion of big families is a reduced social vulnerability during disasters                                 | In case of large families, the same limited resources are shared by a large group of individuals owing to less investment per person. This can result in differential academic achievements and occupational performance. Thus prevalence of a bigger family size would indicate a higher disaster risk. | High          |
| LowIncome Percent | Percentage<br>Low Income<br>Population                           | A lower proportion of<br>low income families<br>indicates a greater<br>access to resources for<br>preparation and<br>recovery from<br>disasters and is hence<br>a low disaster risk  | of low income<br>households lack of  | High          |
| Management        | Percentage<br>Employed in<br>Management<br>Occupations           | Management, Business and Science jobs are invariably correlated with greater incomes, social mobility and lower dependence on place-based work. Therefore they are more resilient during disasters and higher employment in these sector represent lower risks | A lower proportion of employees in Management, Business and Science professions indicates lower incomes and a low skill economy and is therefore indicative of higher disaster risks   | Very<br>Low   |

| Indicator        | Definition   | Low Risk<br>Interpretation   | High Risk<br>Interpretation   | Risk<br>Level |
|------------------|--|--|---|---------------|
| Management LQ    | Location<br>Quotient of<br>Management<br>Occupations           | A higher LQ in<br>management,<br>business, or science<br>indicates a low risk  | A lower LQ in<br>Management,<br>business or science<br>indicates a high risk  | Low           |
| Manufacturing    | Percentage<br>Employed in<br>Manufactur-<br>ing<br>Occupations | A lower concentration of manufacturing industries/employment indicates lower chances of disruption during disasters. It is therefore an area of low risk | Manufacturing industries are directly affected by natural disasters. Extreme events cause great damage to the manufacturing units and their associated supply chains. A higher concentration of Manufacturing Industries is associated with high risk | Low           |
| Manufacturing LQ | Location<br>Quotient of<br>Manufactur-<br>ing<br>Occupations   | A lower LQ for<br>manufacturing<br>industries indicates a<br>low risk  | A higher LQ for<br>manufacturing<br>industries indicates a<br>high risk   | Moderate      |
| Marinas          | Total Marinas  | A higher value is associated is low risk   | It indicates access to<br>transportation<br>infrastructure which<br>is essential in times of<br>disasters. A lower<br>value is associated<br>with high risk   | NA            |
| Max temp         | Maximum<br>Temperature   | A lower maximum<br>temperature is<br>associated with lower<br>risk   | A higher maximum<br>temperature is<br>associated with a<br>higher risk  | Very<br>Low   |

| Indicator                     | Definition   | Low Risk<br>Interpretation   | High Risk<br>Interpretation  | Risk<br>Level |
|-------------------------------|--|--|--|---------------|
| MBS                           | Percentage Employed in Management, Business and Science Occupations              | Management, Business and Science jobs are invariably correlated with greater incomes, social mobility and lower dependence on place-based work. Therefore they are more resilient during disasters and higher employment in these sector represent lower risks | A lower proportion of employees in Management, Business and Science professions indicates lower incomes and a low skill economy and is therefore indicative of higher disaster risks                           | High          |
| MBS LQ                        | Location<br>Quaotient of<br>Managemnt,<br>Business and<br>Science<br>Occupations | A high Location<br>Quotient for<br>Management,<br>Business and Science<br>professions indicates<br>a more specialized,<br>high income economy.<br>It is indicative of low<br>disaster risk   | A low LQ for<br>Management,<br>Business and Science<br>professions indicates<br>a less specialized<br>economy and is<br>therefore indicative of<br>lower economic<br>diversity, lower<br>incomes and high risk | High          |
| MedianHomeValue               | Median Home<br>Value   | A higher home value<br>indicates higher<br>incomes, higher<br>accessibility to funds<br>during disaster and is<br>therefore associated<br>with low risk  | A lower home value<br>indicates lower<br>incomes and is<br>therefore associated<br>with high risk  | Very<br>High  |
| MentalHealth<br>Provider Rate | Ratio of population to mental health providers.                                  | A higher value is associated is low risk   | A lower proportion of physicians indicates that community members may not have access to mental health resources during disasters. A lower value is associated with high risk                                  | Very<br>High  |

| Indicator   | Definition   | Low Risk<br>Interpretation  | High Risk<br>Interpretation   | Risk<br>Level |
|-------------|--|---|---|---------------|
| МННІ        | Median<br>Household<br>Income                                      | A higher income indicates greater avaiability of resources to cope with disasters as well as to prevent them. Therefore it is a low risk            | Low income HHs tend to locate or concentrate in areas prone to natural disasters sich as floodplains, because the land is cheaper. Moreover they face resource deficiencies when a disaster strikes. A lower Median Household Income is associated with a higher disaster risk. | Moderate      |
| Min temp    | Minimum<br>Temperature   | A higher minimum<br>temperature is<br>associated with lower<br>risk   | A lower minimum<br>temperature is<br>associated with a<br>higher risk   | Very<br>Low   |
| MobileHomes | Mobile Homes as part of  | A lower proportion of<br>mobile homes<br>indicates greater<br>structural resilience<br>of the housing sector<br>and more stable<br>economy          | A greater proportion<br>of mobile homes is<br>associated with<br>greater risk owing to<br>structural problems<br>and lower incomes.   | Very<br>Low   |
| NewHomes    | Percentage of<br>New Homes<br>as part of<br>Total Housing<br>Stock | A higher proprotion<br>of new homes<br>indicates a greater<br>structural resilience<br>to natural disasters<br>and is associated with<br>a low risk | A lower porportion of<br>new homes indicates<br>the possibility of<br>greater structural<br>damage during<br>disasters. It is<br>therefore associated<br>with a high risk   | Very<br>High  |
| NO21        | Concentration of Pollutant   | A lower concentration<br>indicates less<br>pollution and is<br>associated with lower<br>risk  | A higher concentration indicates more pollution and is associated with higher risk  | Low           |
| NO2AM       | Concentration of Pollutant   | A lower concentration indicates less pollution and is associated with lower risk  | A higher concentration indicates more pollution and is associated with higher risk  | Moderate      |

| Indicator          | Definition  | Low Risk<br>Interpretation  | High Risk<br>Interpretation  | Risk<br>Level |
|--------------------|---|---|--|---------------|
| NO3Ave.x           | Nitrate (NO3)<br>precipitation<br>weighted<br>mean in<br>mg/L, log<br>transformed | A Lower value is associated with low risk   | It is a pollutant. A higher value is associated with high risk   | High          |
| NonWhite Percent   | Non-White<br>Popula-  | A lower population of ethnic minorities might indicate a less diverse population. However, in case of disaster vulnerability, a lower population of racial minorities has been represented as a lower vulnerability, owing to its correlations with poverty and health care outcomes. | Race and ethnicity are highly correlated with poverty and thus often with health outcomes. Owing to historical, systemic and structural barriers, racial minorities are often more susceptible to disasters and often lack the support mechanisms required to cope. As a result, a higher population of racial or ethnic minorities might (in conjunction with income, poverty, employment, education and other indicators) represent a greater vulnerability. | High          |
| NotMarried Percent | Percentage of<br>Unmarried<br>Persons   | A lower percentage of<br>unmarried individuals<br>could indicate a lower<br>vulnerability to<br>disasters owing to the<br>availability of social<br>support that comes<br>with having a family  | of unmarried individuals could indicate lack of family   | High          |
| O3                 | Concentration of Pollutant  | A lower concentration indicates less pollution and is associated with lower risk  | concentration indicates more   | Low           |

| Indicator            | Definition   | Low Risk<br>Interpretation   | High Risk<br>Interpretation  | Risk<br>Level |
|----------------------|--|--|--|---------------|
| Old percent          | Percentage of<br>Elders**  | A lower percentage<br>population of elders<br>indicates a lower<br>vulnerability.  | A higher percentage<br>of elder population<br>indicates a greater<br>vulnerability, owing<br>to physical infirmities,<br>functional limitations<br>and care needs. | Low           |
| OldHomes             | Old Homes as<br>part of Total  | A lower proportion of old homes signals new construction that is more structurally resilient to natural disasters. It is therefore associated with low disaster risk                                   |  | Very<br>High  |
| Other county workers | Number of<br>workers from<br>other counties<br>who commute<br>to work in the<br>county |  | Asmaller commute<br>time is assocatied<br>with a health local<br>economy. A higher<br>value is associated<br>with high risk  | Low           |
| Others               | Percentage<br>Employed in<br>Other<br>Occupations                                      | Employment across<br>other sectors<br>represents<br>diversification of the<br>economic base and is<br>therefore positively<br>correlated with<br>economic resilience.<br>It is therefore a low<br>risk | A lower employment<br>in other sectors<br>represents a less<br>diverse and creative<br>economy. It is<br>therefore associated<br>with high risks                   | Very<br>High  |
| Others LQ            | Location<br>Quotient of<br>Other<br>Occupations  | A higher LQ in other industries is associated with low risk  | A lower LQ in other<br>industries is<br>associated with high<br>risk   | Moderate      |

| Indicator                | Definition   | Low Risk<br>Interpretation   | High Risk<br>Interpretation  | Risk<br>Level |
|--------------------------|--|--|--|---------------|
| Overcrowding             | Percentage of<br>Overcrowded<br>Homes as<br>part of Total<br>Housing Stock | A lower proportion of vercrowded units signals higher incomes and well-maintained, structurally sound housing units which are resilient to disasters. It is therefore associated with low risk | housing unit,  | Very<br>High  |
| Passenger railroad miles | Route miles<br>of passenger<br>railroad and<br>rail transit                | A higher value is associated is low risk   | It indicates access to<br>transportation<br>infrastructure which<br>is essential in times of<br>disasters. A lower<br>value is associated<br>with high risk                    | Very<br>Low   |
| Pb3                      | Concentration of Pollutant   | A lower concentration<br>indicates less<br>pollution and is<br>associated with lower<br>risk   | A higher concentration indicates more pollution and is associated with higher risk   | Moderate      |
| pct au ln                | Animal Units,<br>animal units<br>per county<br>acres, log-<br>transformed  | A Lower value is associated with low risk  | It indicates soil quality and environmental pollution. During disasters, pollutants from the soil can contaminate the environment. A higher value is associated with high risk | Moderate      |

| Indicator                 | Definition   | Low Risk<br>Interpretation                 | High Risk<br>Interpretation  | Risk<br>Level |
|---------------------------|--|--|--|---------------|
| pct disease acres ln      | Chemicals used to control Diseases in crops and orchards, acres applied per county acres, log- transformed | A Lower value is associated with low risk  | It indicates soil quality and environmental pollution. During disasters, pollutants from the soil can contaminate the environment. A higher value is associated with high risk | Very<br>High  |
| pct harvested acres ln    | Harvested<br>acres, acres<br>harvested per<br>county acres,<br>log-<br>transformed                         | A Lower value is associated with low risk  | It indicates soil quality and environmental pollution. During disasters, pollutants from the soil can contaminate the environment. A higher value is associated with high risk | High          |
| PCT IRRIGATED<br>ACRES ln | Irrigated acres, acres irrigated per county acres, log-transformed   | A higher value is associated is low risk   | A lower value is<br>associated with high<br>risk, since poor<br>irrigation can lead to<br>agricultural failure   | Very<br>High  |
| pct manure acres ln       | Manure, acres applied per county acres , log-transformed   | A Lower value is associated with low risk  | It indicates soil quality and environmental pollution. During disasters, pollutants from the soil can contaminate the environment. A higher value is associated with high risk | High          |
| Per PSWithSW.x            | Percent of<br>Public Supply<br>Population<br>which is on<br>Surface Water                                  | A Lower value is associated with high risk | It indicates access to<br>water resources. A<br>higher value is<br>associated with low<br>risk   | High          |

| Indicator                                   | Definition  | Low Risk<br>Interpretation   | High Risk<br>Interpretation  | Risk<br>Level |
|---|---|--|--|---------------|
| Per TotPopSS.x                              | Percent of<br>Population on<br>Self Supply                  | A Lower value is associated with high risk   | It indicates access to<br>water resources. A<br>higher value is<br>associated with low<br>risk   | High          |
| Percent Medium-<br>FairCondition<br>Bridges | % of Medium<br>to Fair<br>Condition<br>Bridges              | A higher value is associated is low risk   | It indicates access to<br>transportation<br>infrastructure which<br>is essential in times of<br>disasters. A lower<br>value is associated<br>with high risk  | Very<br>Low   |
| Percent<br>AssistanceNeed                   | Percentage of<br>Population<br>with<br>Assisstance<br>Need  | A lower percentage of<br>people withfood<br>assistance indicates<br>higher incomes and<br>economic resilience,<br>and is therefore a low<br>risk area  | A high proportion of persons with assistance needs indicates poverty and lack of socio-economic resilience. Therefore it is interpreted as a high risk.  | High          |
| Percent<br>BachelorsDegree                  | Percentage of<br>Population<br>with<br>Bachelors'<br>Degree | A greater proportion of persons with bachelors' degree indicates a greater likelihood of being employed by a variety of employers, along with a higher concentration of high skilled high income positions. Therefore it is interpreted as a lowrisk | A lower porportion of persons with a bachelor's degree indicates a lower number of persons with skills for career advancement. It indicates lack of skilled labour in the workforce and tehrefore lower incomes and social mobility. Therefore it is interpreted as a high risk factor | Moderate      |
| Percent Children in Poverty                 | Percentage of<br>people under<br>age 18 in<br>poverty.      | A Lower value is associated with low risk  | Children living in poverty indicate inadquate resources available for growth, education and development. A higher value is associated with high risk   | Moderate      |

| Indicator                       | Definition  | Low Risk<br>Interpretation  | High Risk<br>Interpretation  | Risk<br>Level |
|---------------------------------|---|---|--|---------------|
| Percent Commuters               | Percentage of<br>Population<br>who<br>Commute to<br>work<br>regularly             | A lower percentage of commuters indicates that most workers live near where they work and can continue their jobs irrespective of transportation network disruptions. Therefore it is an area of low risk | invariably affect<br>transportation routes<br>and make it difficult<br>for persons requiring   | High          |
| Percent commuters<br>by transit | Percent of<br>resident<br>workers who<br>commute by<br>transit                    | A Lower value is associated with low risk   | A lower commute<br>time is assocatied<br>with a health local<br>economy. A higher<br>value is associated<br>with high risk   | Moderate      |
| Percent<br>Disconnected Youth   |   | A Lower value is associated with low risk   | Teens and young adults need to either be in school, or part of the workforce, to be able to cultivate a healthy, self-sufficient lifestyle, involved hopeful and forward looking endeavours. Disconnected youth have been positively associated with poverty, unempoyment and crime. A higher value is associated with high risk | Low           |
| Percent Exercise<br>Access      | Percentage of population with adequate access to locations for physical activity. | A higher value is associated is low risk  | Lower physical<br>exercise increases<br>health risks with age.<br>A lower value is<br>associated with high<br>risk   | High          |

| Indicator  | Definition  | Low Risk<br>Interpretation  | High Risk<br>Interpretation   | Risk<br>Level |
|--|---|---|---|---------------|
| Percent Food<br>Insecure                             | Percentage of population who lack adequate access to food.  | A Lower value is associated with low risk   | Access to food indicates community health. A higher value is associated with high risk  | Very<br>Low   |
| Percent<br>HousingProblems                           | Percentage of households with at least 1 of 4 housing problems: overcrowding, high housing costs, lack of kitchen facilities, or lack of plumbing facilities. | A Lower value is associated with low risk   | Unavailability of housing infrastructure adversely affects residents' health.A higher value is associated with high risk  | Moderate      |
| Percent income<br>required for<br>childcare expenses | Childcare costs for a household with two children as a percent of median household income   | A Lower value is associated with low risk   | A high burden of childcare costs indicates that a family may not be able to provide for its other necessary expenditures. A higher value is associated with high risk | Very<br>Low   |
| Percent<br>LanguageBarrier                           | Percentage of<br>Population<br>facing<br>Language<br>Barrier  | A lower proportion of persons with language problems indicates greater accessibility to disaster relief efforts and is therefore an area of lowe risk |   | Very<br>High  |

| Indicator                               | Definition  | Low Risk<br>Interpretation   | High Risk<br>Interpretation   | Risk<br>Level |
|---|---|--|---|---------------|
| Percent Limited Access to Healthy Foods | Percentage of population who are low-income and do not live close to a grocery store. | A Lower value is associated with low risk  | Persons who are low income lack access to healthy food, especially if they live away from grocery stores and lack transportation. A higher value is associated with high risk | Very<br>Low   |
| Percent NoHealthIns                     | Percentage of<br>Population<br>without<br>Health<br>Insurance                         | Greater health insurance coverage is correlated with better health conditions and higher economic productivity. Therefore a higher number of persons with health insurance is a low risk | on health as patients<br>are less likely to<br>receive preventive<br>health care, or<br>affordable medical  | High          |
| Percent<br>PoorCondition<br>Bridges     | % of Poor<br>Condition<br>Bridges   | A Lower value is associated with low risk  | It indicates access to<br>quality transportation<br>infrastructure which<br>is essential in times of<br>disasters. A higher<br>value is associated<br>with high risk          | Very<br>Low   |
| Percent rural                           | Percentage of<br>population<br>living in rural<br>areas                               | A Lower value is associated with low risk  | Rural areas often lack<br>access to resources<br>and are<br>geogrpahically<br>isolated. A higher<br>value is associated<br>with high risk                                     | Very<br>Low   |
| Percent Section8                        | Rate of low-rent + section-eight units in county                                      | A Lower value is associated with low risk  | Greater proportion of<br>low income housing<br>indicates poverty and<br>declining housing<br>quality. A higher<br>value is associated<br>with high risk                       | High          |

| Indicator                    | Definition  | Low Risk<br>Interpretation   | High Risk<br>Interpretation   | Risk<br>Level |
|------------------------------|---|--|---|---------------|
| Percent Vaccinated           | Percentage of<br>Households<br>vaccinated<br>against<br>Covid-19 and<br>other diseases    | A higher value is associated is low risk   | A high proportion of vaccination indicates that the community is safe against the Covid-19 pandemic. A lower value is associated with high risk | Very<br>High  |
| Percent work at home         | Resident<br>workers who<br>work at home   | A higher value is associated is low risk   | A lower commute<br>time is assocatied<br>with a health local<br>economy. A lower<br>value is associated<br>with high risk                       | Moderate      |
| Physically<br>Unhealthy Days | Average<br>number of<br>physically<br>unhealthy<br>days per year<br>for the<br>population | A Lower value is associated with low risk  | A higher value is<br>associated with high<br>risk because people<br>are more prone to<br>being affected by<br>disaster risks                    | Moderate      |
| PM10                         | Concentration of Pollutant  | A lower concentration<br>indicates less<br>pollution and is<br>associated with lower<br>risk | A higher concentration indicates more pollution and is associated with higher risk  | Moderate      |
| PM2.5                        | Concentration of Pollutant  | A lower concentration<br>indicates less<br>pollution and is<br>associated with lower<br>risk | concentration indicates more  | Low           |
| PM2Point5                    | Concentration of Pollutant  | A lower concentration<br>indicates less<br>pollution and is<br>associated with lower<br>risk | A higher concentration indicates more pollution and is associated with higher risk  | Low           |
| Population                   | Total<br>Population   | A small number of people will be affected by a disaster                                      | A large number of<br>persons will be<br>affected by the<br>disaster   | Moderate      |

| Indicator                      | Definition  | Low Risk<br>Interpretation  | High Risk<br>Interpretation  | Risk<br>Level |
|--------------------------------|---|---|--|---------------|
| poverty                        | Percentage<br>Below<br>Poverty Line   | A lower poverty indicates less exposure to disaster risks and greater accessibility to exigency funds. It is therefore a low risk   | Poverty can be both a cause and consequence of disaster risk. A greater poverty is associated with a higher risk   | Moderate      |
| Precipitation                  | Precipitation   | A lower precipitation is associated with a lower risk   | A higher precipitation is associated with higher risk  | Very<br>Low   |
| PrimaryCare<br>Physicians Rate | Ratio of population to primary care physicians.                                   | A higher value is associated is low risk  | A lower proportion of physicians indicates that community members may not have access to medical help during disasters and have to rely on external support. A lower value is associated with high risk                            | Moderate      |
| PrimeWorkingAge percent        | Percentage of population in Prime Working Age                                     | A greater number of persons of working age indicates a greater labour pool available for the local economy. Therefore a higher ratio indicates a lower risk.  | _  | High          |
| PT                             | Percentage<br>Employed in<br>Production<br>and Trans-<br>portation<br>Occupations | A lower concentration of production and transportation industries indicates a lower dependence of the economic base on land, location and natural resources. It is therefore more economically resilient and a low risk | Both production and transportation industries are dependent on avaibality of local natural resources and are therefore more susceptible to natural disasters. A higher employment in such industries signals greater disater risk. | Moderate      |

| Indicator                           | Definition   | Low Risk<br>Interpretation   | High Risk<br>Interpretation   | Risk<br>Level |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|---|---------------|
| PT LQ                               | Location Quotient of Production and Trans- portation Occupations                 | A lower concentration<br>of the production and<br>transportation<br>idnustry indicates a<br>low risk   | -   | Very<br>High  |
| Radon.x                             | Radon zone,<br>ordinal value   | A Lower value is associated with low risk  | It indicates<br>environmental quality<br>and stability. A<br>higher value is<br>associated with high<br>risk  | Very<br>Low   |
| Recent Immigrants Percent           | Percentage of<br>Recent<br>Immigrant<br>Individuals                              | A lower percentage of immigrants represents a lower disaster risk owing to more equitable access to local and regional resources within the community and lack of communication barriers   |   | High          |
| Recreation related<br>business rate | Rate of<br>recreation-<br>related<br>businesses per<br>county                    | A lower value is associated with low risk  | Greater proportion of<br>recreation-related<br>business indicate<br>hightened economic<br>instability during<br>disasters. A higher<br>value is associated<br>with high risk  | Very<br>Low   |
| Rental CostBurden<br>below20000     | Housing Cost<br>Burden on<br>renters whose<br>incomes are<br>below 20,000<br>USD | A lower proportion of cost-burdened low income households indicates greater economic resilience among low-income renters. This is an asset during natural disasters and is a low risk area | A higher proportion of low income rental households who are housing cost burdened signals a higher concentration of highly vulnerable households in the community. This is an area of high risk, structurally, financially and socially | Moderate      |

| Indicator                   | Definition   | Low Risk<br>Interpretation   | High Risk<br>Interpretation  | Risk<br>Level |
|-----------------------------|--|--|--|---------------|
| Rental<br>Overcrowding      | Percentage of<br>Rental Units<br>that are<br>Overcrowded     | A lower proportion of overcrowded rental units indicates a more affordable rental housing stock, and a more resilient rental population. It is a low risk  | of rental units which  | Very<br>High  |
| Rented                      | Percentage<br>Renters  | A lower proportion of<br>renters signals greater<br>homeownership,<br>higher incomes and<br>access to resources.<br>This is therefore an<br>area of low risk                                     |  | Moderate      |
| Renter MHHI                 | Monthly<br>Household<br>Income of<br>Renters                 | A higher income indicates greater capacity to cope with disasters. It is therefore associated with low risk.   | A lower income<br>indicates a lower<br>capacity to cope with<br>disasters and is<br>associated with high<br>risk   | Low           |
| Renters Cost<br>IncomeRatio | Ratio of<br>Renter<br>Housing Cost<br>to Household<br>Income | A lower cost to income ratio for renters indicates that rental households are successfully able to meet their financial demands and are more resilient to disasters. It is therefore a low risk. | A higher cost to income ratio for renters indicates that rental households are unable to meet their financial needs and are more likely to suffer adversely during disasters. It is therefore associated with high risk. | Moderate      |

| Indicator        | Definition   | Low Risk<br>Interpretation  | High Risk<br>Interpretation  | Risk<br>Level |
|------------------|--|---|--|---------------|
| Resident Workers | Number of<br>resident<br>workers                   | A higher value is associated is low risk  | Greater number of<br>workers represent<br>greater economic<br>participation. A lower<br>value is associated<br>with high risk                                  | High          |
| Retail           | Percentage<br>Employed in<br>Retail<br>Occupations | Although prone to natural disasters, retail industries generally show a higher resilience to natural disasters than wholesale industries. This is because they are less likely to be low mitigators and often associated with having a plan unlike wholesale industries. Thus a higher employment in retail industries is associated with low risk. | A lower employment<br>in retail industries<br>signals lower<br>economic resilience<br>and is associated with<br>higher risk                                    | High          |
| Retail LQ        | Location<br>Quotient of<br>Retail<br>Occupations   | A higher LQ in retail<br>industries is<br>associated with low<br>risk   | A lower LQ in retail<br>industries is<br>associated with high<br>risk  | High          |
| Sales            | Percentage<br>Employed in<br>Sales<br>Occupations  | Employment in sales is associated with higher pay greater skills and organizational support. It signals greater economic resilience and low risk  | A lower employment<br>in sales industries<br>could indicate a less<br>entrepreneurial<br>economy, lower<br>resilience and<br>therefore higher<br>disaster risk | High          |
| Sales LQ         | Location<br>Quotient of<br>Service<br>Occupations  | A higher LQ for the<br>service sector<br>indicates a low risk   | A lower LQ for sales<br>industries is<br>associated with high<br>risk  | High          |

| Indicator               | Definition  | Low Risk<br>Interpretation  | High Risk<br>Interpretation  | Risk<br>Level |
|-------------------------|---|---|--|---------------|
| Segregation Index       | Index of dissimilarity where higher values indicate greater residential segregation between non-white and white county residents. | A Lower value is associated with low risk   | Dissimilarity or<br>segregation indicates<br>social inequality and<br>differential access to<br>resources. A higher<br>value is associated<br>with high risk   | Very<br>High  |
| Service                 | Percentage<br>Employed in<br>Service  | Service sector is a huge contributor to the economic productivity and bolsters the primary and secondary economic sectors as well and provides a huge source of employment. A higher employment in the service sector signals greater economic resilience and lower risk. | A lower proportion of workers in the service sector indicates a less developed and resilient economy with greater dependence on place-based work. It is therefore an area of high risk.  | Moderate      |
| Service LQ              | Location<br>Quotient of<br>Service<br>Occupations   | A higher LQ for the service sector indicates a high risk  | A lower LQ for the<br>service sector<br>indicates a lower<br>resilience and higher<br>risk   | Very<br>Low   |
| SingleParent<br>Percent | Percentage of<br>Single Parent<br>Households  | A lower proportion of single parent households indicates a lower disaster vulnerability, owing to lesser probability of financial, academic and behavioural problems which have been correlated with single parent households.  | Children living in single-parent households have greater risks to their physical and social health and wellbeing compared with other family structures such as two parent or grandparent headed households. Thus, a greater proprotion of single parent households represents a higher disaster risk | Very<br>High  |

| Indicator                   | Definition  | Low Risk<br>Interpretation   | High Risk<br>Interpretation   | Risk<br>Level |
|-----------------------------|---|--|---|---------------|
| Skilled Percent             | Percentage of<br>Skilled<br>Persons   | A higher number of<br>skilled individuals<br>represents a lower<br>disaster risk | Lack of occupational skill is associated with lower incomes and employment benefits and therefore less resources for disaster recovery. Therefore, a lower percentage of skilled workers indicates a greater disaster risk. | High          |
| SO4Ave ln                   | Sulfate (SO4) precipitation weighted mean in mg/L, log transformed                  | A Lower value is associated with low risk  | It is a pollutant. A higher value is associated with high risk  | Very<br>Low   |
| Social Association<br>Rate  | Number of membership associations per 10,000 population.                            | A higher value is associated is low risk   | A high rate of social associations indicates healthy relationships and community life. A lower value is associated with high risk   | High          |
| std coal prim pop ln        | Primarily coal<br>mines, mines<br>per county<br>population, as<br>proportion        | A Lower value is associated with low risk  | It indicates mining<br>activities and<br>environmental<br>stability. A higher<br>value is associated<br>with high risk  | Low           |
| std metal prim pop<br>ln    | Primarily metal mines, mines per county population, as proportion                   | A Lower value is associated with low risk  | It indicates mining<br>activities and<br>environmental<br>stability. A higher<br>value is associated<br>with high risk  | Low           |
| std nonmetal prim<br>pop ln | Primarily<br>nonmetal<br>mines, mines<br>per county<br>population, as<br>proportion | A Lower value is associated with low risk  | It indicates mining<br>activities and<br>environmental<br>stability. A higher<br>value is associated<br>with high risk  | Very<br>High  |

| Indicator                        | Definition   | Low Risk<br>Interpretation   | High Risk<br>Interpretation  | Risk<br>Level |
|----------------------------------|--|--|--|---------------|
| std sandandgravel<br>prim pop ln | Primarily sand and gravel mines, mines per county population, as proportion      | A Lower value is associated with low risk  | It indicates mining activities and environmental stability. A higher value is associated with high risk  | Very<br>Low   |
| std stone prim pop<br>ln         | Primarily<br>stone mines,<br>mines per<br>county<br>population, as<br>proportion | A Lower value is associated with low risk  | It indicates mining<br>activities and<br>environmental<br>stability. A higher<br>value is associated<br>with high risk   | Very<br>High  |
| Total                            | Total Housing<br>Stock   | A lower number of<br>housing units<br>indicates less damage<br>during a disaster and<br>is associated with low<br>risk   |  | Low           |
| Transport                        | Percentage<br>Employed in<br>Transporta-<br>tion<br>Occupations                  | Like retail,<br>transportation<br>businesses are often<br>associated with<br>having business plans,<br>more structurally<br>sound and meets<br>standards. Thus a<br>higher employment in<br>transportation<br>indicates a low risk | out and less<br>economically resilient.<br>It is interpreted as a  | Moderate      |
| Transport LQ                     | Location<br>Quotient of<br>Transporta-<br>tion<br>Occupations                    | A higher LQ in<br>transportation<br>industries is<br>associated with low<br>risk   | A lower LQ in<br>transportation<br>industries associated<br>with high risk   | Very<br>Low   |
| Unemployment percent             | Percentage of<br>Unemployed<br>Persons   | A lower rate of<br>unemployment<br>indicates a more<br>stable and resilient<br>economic<br>environment and is<br>therefore associated<br>with lower disaster<br>risks  | A high rate of<br>unemployment could<br>indicate a range of<br>socio-economic<br>vulnerabilities and<br>political struggles. It<br>indicates lower<br>economic resilience<br>during disasters and<br>is therefore associated<br>with high risk | Very<br>Low   |

| Indicator                     | Definition   | Low Risk<br>Interpretation  | High Risk<br>Interpretation   | Risk<br>Level |
|-------------------------------|--|---|---|---------------|
| Units SingleFamily            | Percentage of<br>Single Family<br>Units  | A lower porportion of single family units signals greater housing mix, affordability and greater housing resilience. It is therefore associated with low risk   | A greater proportion<br>of single family homes<br>signals lesser housing<br>diversity and<br>therefore higher risks<br>for low income<br>populations. It is<br>associated with<br>higher risk | Very<br>High  |
| UnstableEmploymentPercent     | Percentage Population with Unstable Employment   | workers with unstable   |   | High          |
| Vacancy                       | Percentage<br>Vacant Units   | A lower proportion of vacant units signals greater utilization of available built environment resources, greater maintenance and lower probability of structural damage. It is therefore associated with low risk | A high proportion of vacant units signals a weak economic base and possibilities of blight and structural damage. It is therefore associated with a high risk                                 | Moderate      |
| Vice related<br>business rate | Rate of<br>vice-related<br>businesses per<br>county as<br>proportion of<br>total<br>businesses | A Lower value is associated with low risk   | Greater proportion of vice-related business indicate hightened economic instability during disasters. A higher value is associated with high risk   | High          |

| Indicator          | Definition   | Low Risk<br>Interpretation                | High Risk<br>Interpretation   | Risk<br>Level |
|--------------------|--|---|---|---------------|
| Violent Crime Rate | Number of<br>reported<br>violent crime<br>offenses per<br>100,000<br>population. | A Lower value is associated with low risk | A high of crimes<br>indicates safety issues<br>within the<br>community. A higher<br>value is associated<br>with high risk | High          |
| W As ln            | Arsenic in mg/L, log transformed   | A Lower value is associated with low risk | It is a pollutant. A higher value is associated with high risk  | Very<br>Low   |
| W Ba ln            | Barium in mg/L, log transformed  | A Lower value is associated with low risk | It is a pollutant. A higher value is associated with high risk  | Very<br>Low   |
| W Cd ln            | Cadmium in mg/L, log transformed   | A Lower value is associated with low risk | It is a pollutant. A higher value is associated with high risk  | Very<br>Low   |
| W CN ln            | Cyanide in mg/L, log transformed   | A Lower value is associated with low risk | It is a pollutant. A higher value is associated with high risk  | Very<br>Low   |
| W Cr ln            | Chromium (total) in mg/L, log transformed  | A Lower value is associated with low risk | It is a pollutant. A higher value is associated with high risk  | Very<br>Low   |
| W FL ln            | Fluoride in mg/L, log transformed  | A Lower value is associated with low risk | It is a pollutant. A higher value is associated with high risk  | Very<br>High  |
| W HG ln            | Mercury<br>(inorganic) in<br>mg/L, log<br>transformed                            | A Lower value is associated with low risk | It is a pollutant. A higher value is associated with high risk  | Low           |
| W NO2 ln           | Nitrite (as N) in mg/L, log transformed  | A Lower value is associated with low risk | It is a pollutant. A higher value is associated with high risk  | Low           |
| W NO3 ln           | Nitrate (as N)<br>in mg/L, log<br>transformed                                    | A Lower value is associated with low risk | It is a pollutant. A higher value is associated with high risk  | Low           |

| Indicator                   | Definition  | Low Risk<br>Interpretation  | High Risk<br>Interpretation   | Risk<br>Level |
|-----------------------------|---|---|---|---------------|
| Walkability Score           | Walkability<br>score<br>(ordinal)   | A higher value is associated is low risk  | High walkability<br>scores indicate<br>greater community<br>vitality and economic<br>accessibility. A lower<br>value is associated<br>with high risk  | Moderate      |
| Wholesale                   | Percentage<br>Employed in<br>Wholesale<br>Trade                                 | A lower employment<br>in wholesale<br>businesses signals a<br>greater economic<br>resilience and low risk | Wholesale and retail businesses are more vulnerable to natural disasters and are more likely to close after disasters. They are also more likely to be low mitigators. A higher proportion of employment in the wholesale industry signals a high disaster risk | Low           |
| Wholesale LQ                | Location Quotient of Wholesale Trade Occupations                                | A lower LQ in<br>wholesale industries is<br>associated with low<br>risk                                   | A higher LQ is<br>wholesale industries is<br>associated with high<br>risk   | High          |
| Workers to<br>OtherCounties | Number of<br>resident<br>workers who<br>commute to<br>work in other<br>counties | A Lower value is associated with low risk   | A lower commute<br>time is assocatied<br>with a health local<br>economy. A higher<br>value is associated<br>with high risk  | Low           |
| Working<br>Nonworking ratio | Ratio of<br>Working to<br>Non-working<br>Population                             | A high ratio indicates lower risk   | This ratio indocates the amount of labor resources available in the market, and signals unemployment, income and other economic factors. A low ratio indicates a lower economic resilience to market shocks and is therefore high risk.                         | Very<br>Low   |

## (continued)

| Indicator          | Definition                        | Low Risk<br>Interpretation  | High Risk<br>Interpretation | Risk<br>Level |
|--------------------|-----------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|---------------|
| WorkNearby percent | Workers who live near their       | A greater proportion of persons who live near their place of work indicates a lower dependence on commute and transportation networks and a more robust resilient economy. Therefore it is a low risk | and a greater risk of       | Moderate      |
| Young percent      | Percentage of<br>Young<br>Persons | A small percentage of children would mean less vulnerability to disasters   | 0.                          | Moderate      |

## ${\bf Appendix\ B}$ ${\bf High\ Risk\ and\ Low\ Risk\ Areas\ at\ Rural,\ Urban\ and\ Census\ Place\ Levels}$

| Indicator                       | Risk Level (Rural) | Risk Level (Places) |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| Agri                            | High               | High                |
| Agri LQ                         | High               | High                |
| Agro Percent                    | High               | High                |
| Airports                        | Very Low           | Very Low            |
| ALLNPDESperKM ln                | Low                | Low                 |
| Arts                            | Low                | High                |
| Arts LQ                         | Very Low           | Moderate            |
| Avg HHsize                      | Moderate           | Low                 |
| Avg Temp                        | High               | High                |
| Bridges                         | Moderate           | Moderate            |
| Business establishments         | High               | High                |
| CaAve ln                        | Very High          | Very High           |
| Civic related business rate     | Low                | Low                 |
| CO8                             | Very Low           | Very Low            |
| Commuters withinCounty          | Moderate           | Moderate            |
| CommuteTime                     | Very Low           | Very Low            |
| construction                    | High               | Moderate            |
| construction LQ                 | High               | Low                 |
| Cost IncomeRatio                | Moderate           | Moderate            |
| COVID-19 death rate             | Very Low           | Very Low            |
| D303 Percent ln                 | Very Low           | Very Low            |
| Disabled Percent                | Very High          | Very High           |
| Docks                           | Low                | Low                 |
| Edu                             | Low                | Low                 |
| Edu LQ                          | Very Low           | Very Low            |
| Educated percent                | High               | Very Low            |
| Education related business rate | Very Low           | Very Low            |
| Elderly growth percent          | Very Low           | Very Low            |
| FemaleHeaded HHs Percent        | Very High          | Low                 |
| FemaleLaborforce percent        | Very Low           | Very Low            |

| Indicator                        | Risk Level (Rural) | Risk Level (Places) |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| Females Percent                  | Moderate           | Very Low            |
| Finance                          | High               | Low                 |
| Finance LQ                       | High               | Moderate            |
| Food Environment Index           | Low                | Low                 |
| Freight railroad miles           | High               | High                |
| fungicide ln                     | Very High          | Very High           |
| Gender Pay Gap                   | Very Low           | Very Low            |
| GINI Index                       | Moderate           | Very High           |
| GroupQuarters                    | Very Low           | Very Low            |
| Healthcare related business rate | High               | High                |
| HelperPool                       | Low                | Very Low            |
| herbicide ln                     | High               | High                |
| Homewownership                   | Low                | Moderate            |
| Inadequate Facilities            | Moderate           | Moderate            |
| Info                             | Very High          | High                |
| Info LQ                          | Very High          | High                |
| insecticide ln                   | Moderate           | Moderate            |
| Kave ln                          | Low                | Low                 |
| LargeFam Percent                 | Moderate           | High                |
| LowIncome Percent                | High               | High                |
| Management                       | Low                | Very Low            |
| Management LQ                    | Moderate           | Very Low            |
| Manufacturing                    | Moderate           | Moderate            |
| Manufacturing LQ                 | Moderate           | Very Low            |
| Max Temp                         | High               | High                |
| MBS                              | Moderate           | Moderate            |
| MBS LQ                           | Moderate           | Very Low            |
| MedianHomeValue                  | High               | Moderate            |
| MentalHealth Provider Rate       | Very High          | Very High           |
| MHHI                             | High               | Moderate            |
| Min Temp                         | High               | High                |
|                                  |                    |                     |

| NewHomes  NO21  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  NO2AM  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  NO3Ave.x  High  Moderate  Low  NotMarried Percent  Novehicle Pop  Very Low  Very Low  Very High  Very High  Novehicle Pop  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Old percent  High  Very Low  Old percent  Uow  Very High  Very Low  Old percent  Uow  Very High  Very High  Very High  Very High  Very High  Other county workers  Uow  Very High  Very High  Very High  Very High  Overcrowding  High  Very Low  Very High  Very Low  Very High  Very High  Very High  Pot disease acres In  Very High  Very High  Very High  PCT IRRIGATED ACRES In  Very High  Very High  Per PSWithSW.x  Moderate  Moderate  Moderate  Per TotPopSS.x  High  High  High  Percent MediumFairCondition Bridges  Percent AssistanceNeed  Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Percent BachelorsDegree  Low  Moderate  Percent Commuters  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Percent Commuters  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Percent Commuters  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Percent Commuters  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Percent Commuters  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Noderate  Percent Commuters  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Percent Commuters  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Noderate  Percent Commuters  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Noderate  Percent Commuters  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Noderate  Percent Commuters  Very Low  Very Low  Noderate  Noderate  | Indicator                           | Risk Level (Rural) | Risk Level (Places) |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| NO21  NO2AM  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  NO3Ave.x  High  Moderate  Low  NotMarried Percent  NoVehicle Pop  Very Low  Very Low  Very High  Novehicle Pop  Odd percent  High  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Odd percent  Uow  Very Low  Very Low  Odd percent  Uow  Odd percent  Uow  Very Low  Very Low  Odderate  Very High  Very High  Very High  Other county workers  Uow  Uow  Others  Very High  Moderate  Very High  Very Low  Very High  Very High  Very High  Pot disease acres In  Very High  Very High  Pot harvested acres In  High  High  PCT IRRIGATED ACRES In  Very High  Very High  Per PSWithSW.x  Moderate  Moderate  Moderate  Percent MediumFairCondition Bridges  Percent MediumFairCondition Bridges  Percent AssistanceNeed  Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Percent BachelorsDegree  Low  Moderate  Percent Commuters  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Percent Commuters  Very Low  Percent Commuters  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Percent Commuters  Very Low  Moderate  Percent Commuters  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Noderate  Moderate  Percent Commuters  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Noderate  Moderate  Moderate  Moderate  | MobileHomes                         | Low                | Low                 |
| NO2AM  NO3Ave.x  High  Moderate  Low  NotMarried Percent  Novehicle Pop  Very Low  Very Low  High  NoVehicle Pop  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Old percent  High  Very Low  Old percent  High  Very High  Very High  Other county workers  Others  Very High  Overy High  Very High  Overcrowding  Passenger railroad miles  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Post au ln  Moderate  Moderate  Moderate  Moderate  Port disease acres ln  High  PCT IRRIGATED ACRES ln  Very High  Per PSWithSW.x  Moderate  Per TotPopSS.x  High  Percent MediumFairCondition Bridges  Percent BachelorsDegree  Low  Noderate  Moderate  Percent Commuters  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very High  Very High  Per Percent Commuters  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very High  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Moderate  Percent Commuters  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Percent Commuters  Very Low  Percent Commuters  Very Low  Noderate  | NewHomes                            | Very High          | Very High           |
| NO3Ave.x  Nowhite Percent  NotMarried Percent  NotMarried Percent  Novehicle Pop  O3  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Old percent  High  Very Low  Old percent  Other county workers  Others  Others  Others  Overy High  Overy High  Overy High  Overy High  Overcrowding  Passenger railroad miles  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Pb3  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Pct au ln  Moderate  Moderate  Moderate  Moderate  Moderate  Pct disease acres ln  High  PCT IRRIGATED ACRES ln  Per PSWithSW.x  Moderate  Moderate  Moderate  Moderate  Moderate  Per TotPopSS.x  High  Per TotPopSS.x  High  Percent MediumFairCondition Bridges  Percent AssistanceNeed  Percent BachelorsDegree  Low  Moderate  Moderate  Percent Commuters  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Moderate  Percent Commuters  Very High  Very High  Very High  Very High  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Moderate  Percent Commuters  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Moderate  Percent Commuters  Very Low  Percent Commuters  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Percent Commuters  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Percent Commuters  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Percent Commuters  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Percent Commuters  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Percent Commuters  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Percent Commuters  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Percent Commuters by transit   | NO21                                | Very Low           | Very Low            |
| NonWhite Percent  NotMarried Percent  Low  Very High  NoVehicle Pop  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Old percent  Old percent  High  Very Low  Old percent  Old percent  Uery High  Very High  Very High  Very High  Other county workers  Low  Cothers  Very High  Overy High  Moderate  Others LQ  Very High  Very High  Very Low  Very Low  Passenger railroad miles  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Pot au In  Moderate  Moderate  Moderate  Per TatPopSS.x  High  High  High  Percent MediumFairCondition Bridges  Percent BachelorsDegree  Low  Moderate  Moderate  Percent Commuters  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very High  Very High  Very High  High  High  High  High  High  High  Very Low  Very High  Very High  Very High  Very High  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Percent Commuters  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Percent Commuters  Very Low  Percent Commuters  Very Low   | NO2AM                               | Very Low           | Very Low            |
| NotMarried Percent  NoVehicle Pop  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Old percent  Old percent  Old percent  Other county workers  Others  Very High  Very High  Very High  Others  Others  Very High  Overy High  Overcrowding  Passenger railroad miles  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Pb3  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Pb4  Pc5  T all part of the percent  Wery High  Wery High  Wery Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Port au In  Moderate  Moderate  Moderate  Pc7  T IRRIGATED ACRES In  Very High  Wery High  Wery High  Per PSWithSW.x  Moderate  Moderate  Per TotPopSS.x  High  High  High  Percent MediumFairCondition Bridges  Percent AssistanceNeed  Low  Very High  Very High  Very High  Percent BachelorsDegree  Low  Moderate  Percent Commuters  Very Low  Very Low  Very High  Very High  Very High  Very High  Very Low  Very Low  Moderate  Percent Commuters  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very High  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Percent Commuters  Very Low  Percent Commuters  Very Low  Percent Commuters  Very Low  Noderate   | NO3Ave.x                            | High               | High                |
| NoVehicle Pop  O3  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Odd percent  High  Very Low  OddHomes  Very High  Other county workers  Low  Others  Very High  Moderate  Others LQ  Very High  Very Low  Passenger railroad miles  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Pb3  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Pct au ln  pct disease acres ln  Very High  Very High  Very High  PCT IRRIGATED ACRES ln  Very High  Per PSWithSW.x  Moderate  Moderate  Moderate  Moderate  Per TotPopSS.x  High  High  High  Percent MediumFairCondition Bridges  Percent BachelorsDegree  Low  Moderate  Moderate  Percent Commuters  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Percent Commuters  Very High  Very High  Very High  Very Low  Very Low  Percent Commuters  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Percent Commuters  Very Low  Percent Commuters  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Percent Commuters  Very Low  Percent Commuters  | NonWhite Percent                    | Moderate           | Low                 |
| Old percent Old percent High Very Low OldHomes Very High Very High Other county workers Low Others Very High Moderate Others LQ Very High Very High Wory Low Overcrowding Passenger railroad miles Very Low Very Low Very Low Pb3 Very Low Very Low Very Low Very Low Pct au ln Moderate Moderate Very High Very High Very High Pct disease acres ln Very High Very High Very High Pct narurested acres ln High Pct IRRIGATED ACRES ln Very High Very High Very High Per PSWithSW.x Moderate Moderate Per TotPopSS.x High High High Percent MediumFairCondition Bridges Percent AssistanceNeed Percent BachelorsDegree Low Moderate Percent BroadbandAccess Very High Very Low Percent Children in Poverty Moderate Percent Commuters Very Low Very Low Percent Commuters Very Low Very Low Very Low Percent Commuters Very Low Percent Commuters Very Low Very | NotMarried Percent                  | Low                | Very High           |
| Old percent OldHomes Very High Very High Other county workers Low Others Others Very High Moderate Others LQ Very High Wery Low Others LQ Very High High Very Low Passenger railroad miles Very Low Very Low Very Low Pb3 Very Low Very Low Very Low Pct au ln Moderate Moderate Pct disease acres ln Very High Wery High Very High Very High Pct harvested acres ln Pct IRRIGATED ACRES ln Very High Very High Wery High Per PSWithSW.x Moderate Moderate Moderate Moderate Per TotPopSS.x High High High Percent MediumFairCondition Bridges Percent AssistanceNeed Percent BachelorsDegree Low Moderate Percent BroadbandAccess Very High Very Low Percent Children in Poverty Moderate Moderate Percent Commuters Very Low Very Low Very Low Percent Commuters Very Low Very Low Very Low Very Low Percent Commuters Very Low Very Low Very Low Percent Commuters Very Low Very Low Very Low Percent Commuters Very Low Very Low Very Low Very Low Percent Commuters Very Low Very Low Very Low Percent Commuters  | NoVehicle Pop                       | Very Low           | High                |
| OldHomes Other county workers Low Others Very High Moderate Others LQ Very High High Overcrowding Passenger railroad miles Pessenger railroad miles Very Low Pb3 Very Low Very Low Very Low Pct au ln Moderate Very High Very High Very High Pct disease acres ln Very High Very High Pct IRRIGATED ACRES ln Pct manure acres ln High Pct manure acres ln High Per PSWithSW.x Moderate Moderate Per TotPopSS.x High High Percent MediumFairCondition Bridges Percent AssistanceNeed Percent BachelorsDegree Low Moderate Percent Children in Poverty Moderate Percent Commuters Very Low Very Low Very Low Very High Very High Very High Very High Very High Very Low Moderate Moderate Moderate Percent Commuters Very Low Very  | O3                                  | Very Low           | Very Low            |
| Other county workers  Others  Others  Very High  Moderate  Others LQ  Very High  High  Overcrowding  Passenger railroad miles  Very Low  Pb3  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Pct au ln  pct disease acres ln  Very High  PCT IRRIGATED ACRES ln  Per PSWithSW.x  Moderate  Moderate  Moderate  Moderate  Moderate  Per TotPopSS.x  High  Per TotPopSS.x  High  Percent MediumFairCondition Bridges  Percent AssistanceNeed  Percent BroadbandAccess  Very Low  Moderate  Percent Children in Poverty  Moderate  Moderate  Percent Commuters  Very Low  Very Low  Very High  Very High  Very High  Moderate  Moderate  Moderate  Moderate  Percent Commuters  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Moderate  Percent Commuters  Very Low  Very High  Very Low  Moderate  | Old percent                         | High               | Very Low            |
| Others LQ Very High Woderate Others LQ Very High Wigh  Overcrowding High Very Low Passenger railroad miles Very Low Very Low Very Low Pb3 Very Low Very Low Very Low Pct au In Moderate Moderate Pct disease acres In Very High Very High Very High  Pct Harvested acres In High High PCT IRRIGATED ACRES In Very High Very High Per PSWithSW.x Moderate Moderate Moderate Per TotPopSS.x High High High Percent MediumFairCondition Bridges Percent AssistanceNeed Percent BachelorsDegree Low Moderate Percent BroadbandAccess Very High Very High Very High Very Low Percent Children in Poverty Moderate Percent Commuters Very Low Very Low Very Low Very High Very Low   | OldHomes                            | Very High          | Very High           |
| Others LQ  Overcrowding  High  Very Low  Passenger railroad miles  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Pb3  Very Low  Very Low  Pot au ln  Moderate  Moderate  Moderate  Very High  Very High  Pot disease acres ln  High  High  Pot Harvested acres ln  High  Pot High  Pot IRRIGATED ACRES ln  Very High  Very High  Per PSWithSW.x  Moderate  Moderate  High  High  High  High  Per TotPopSS.x  High  High  High  Percent MediumFairCondition Bridges  Percent AssistanceNeed  Percent BachelorsDegree  Low  Moderate  Percent BroadbandAccess  Very High  Very High  Very High  Very Low  Percent Children in Poverty  Moderate  Percent Commuters  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Moderate  Moderate  Percent Commuters  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Percent Commuters  Noderate  | Other county workers                | Low                | Low                 |
| Overcrowding Passenger railroad miles Very Low Very Low Very Low Pb3 Very Low Very Low Pct au ln Moderate Moderate Pct disease acres ln Very High Very High Very High Pct harvested acres ln Pct harvested acres ln Pct IRRIGATED ACRES ln Pct manure acres ln High Pct High Per PSWithSW.x Moderate Moderate Per TotPopSS.x High High Percent MediumFairCondition Bridges Percent AssistanceNeed Percent BachelorsDegree Low Percent BroadbandAccess Very High Very High Very Low Percent Children in Poverty Percent Commuters Very Low Very Low Percent Commuters Very Low Very Low Moderate Moderate Very High Very Low Moderate   | Others                              | Very High          | Moderate            |
| Passenger railroad miles  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Pb3  Very Low  Very Low  Pct au ln  Moderate  Moderate  Moderate  Very High  Pct disease acres ln  Wery High  Pct harvested acres ln  High  Pct IRRIGATED ACRES ln  Very High  Very High  Per PsWithSW.x  Moderate  Moderate  Per TotPopSS.x  High  Percent MediumFairCondition Bridges  Percent AssistanceNeed  Low  Very Low  Percent BachelorsDegree  Low  Moderate  Percent Children in Poverty  Percent Commuters  Very Low  Very Low  Very High  Moderate  Moderate  Moderate  Moderate  Moderate  Percent Commuters  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Moderate  Moderate  | Others LQ                           | Very High          | High                |
| Pb3 Very Low Very Low pct au ln Moderate Moderate pct disease acres ln Very High Very High  Pct harvested acres ln High High Pct IRRIGATED ACRES ln Very High Very High Pct manure acres ln High High High Per PSWithSW.x Moderate Moderate Per TotPopSS.x High High Percent MediumFairCondition Bridges Percent AssistanceNeed Low Very Low Percent BachelorsDegree Low Moderate Percent BroadbandAccess Very High Very High Percent Children in Poverty Percent Commuters Very Low Very Low Very Low Very High Very High Very High Very High Percent Commuters Very Low Very Low Moderate Moderate   | Overcrowding                        | High               | Very Low            |
| pct au ln pct disease acres ln Very High Very High Very High Pct harvested acres ln High Pct IRRIGATED ACRES ln Pct manure acres ln High High Pct manure acres ln High High Per PSWithSW.x Moderate Moderate Per TotPopSS.x High Percent MediumFairCondition Bridges Percent AssistanceNeed Low Percent BachelorsDegree Low Moderate Percent BroadbandAccess Very High Very High Percent Children in Poverty Percent Commuters Very Low Very Low Very Low Moderate Percent Commuters Very Low Very High Very High Moderate Moderate Moderate Percent Commuters Very Low Very Low Moderate Moderate   | Passenger railroad miles            | Very Low           | Very Low            |
| pct disease acres ln  Very High  Very High  Very High  PCT IRRIGATED ACRES ln  Per High  Per High  Per PSWithSW.x  Moderate  Per TotPopSS.x  High  Percent MediumFairCondition Bridges  Percent AssistanceNeed  Percent BachelorsDegree  Low  Percent BroadbandAccess  Very High  Very High  Wery High  Wery High  Percent Moderate  Percent BroadbandAccess  Very High  Very Low  Moderate  Percent Commuters  Very Low  Very High  Noderate  Moderate  | Pb3                                 | Very Low           | Very Low            |
| pct harvested acres ln  PCT IRRIGATED ACRES ln  Very High  Very High  Pet manure acres ln  High  High  High  High  Per PSWithSW.x  Moderate  Moderate  Per TotPopSS.x  High  High  High  High  Percent MediumFairCondition Bridges  Percent AssistanceNeed  Low  Very Low  Percent BachelorsDegree  Low  Moderate  Percent BroadbandAccess  Very High  Very High  Percent Children in Poverty  Moderate  Percent Commuters  Very Low  Very Low  Moderate  Moderate  Moderate  Percent Commuters  Very Low  Moderate  Moderate  | pct au ln                           | Moderate           | Moderate            |
| PCT IRRIGATED ACRES In  pct manure acres In  High  High  High  Per PSWithSW.x  Moderate  Moderate  Per TotPopSS.x  High  High  Percent MediumFairCondition Bridges  Percent AssistanceNeed  Low  Very Low  Percent BachelorsDegree  Low  Moderate  Percent BroadbandAccess  Very High  Very High  Very High  Very High  Very High  Very High  Percent Children in Poverty  Moderate  Percent Commuters  Very Low  Very Low  Moderate  Moderate  Percent Commuters  Very Low  Moderate  Moderate  | pct disease acres ln                | Very High          | Very High           |
| pct manure acres ln  Per PSWithSW.x  Moderate  Moderate  Moderate  Per TotPopSS.x  High  High  High  Percent MediumFairCondition Bridges  Percent AssistanceNeed  Low  Very Low  Percent BachelorsDegree  Low  Moderate  Percent BroadbandAccess  Very High  Percent Children in Poverty  Moderate  Percent Commuters  Very Low  Very Low  Moderate  Moderate  Percent Commuters  Moderate  Moderate   | pct harvested acres ln              | High               | High                |
| Per PSWithSW.x  Moderate  Moderate  Per TotPopSS.x  High  High  Percent MediumFairCondition Bridges  Percent AssistanceNeed  Low  Very Low  Percent BachelorsDegree  Low  Moderate  Percent BroadbandAccess  Very High  Percent Children in Poverty  Moderate  Percent Commuters  Very Low  Very Low  Moderate  Moderate  Percent Commuters  Wery Low  Moderate  Moderate  | PCT IRRIGATED ACRES ln              | Very High          | Very High           |
| Per TotPopSS.x  High  High  Percent MediumFairCondition Bridges  Very Low  Percent AssistanceNeed  Low  Very Low  Percent BachelorsDegree  Low  Moderate  Percent BroadbandAccess  Very High  Percent Children in Poverty  Moderate  Very Low  Very Low  Very Low  Moderate  Percent Commuters  Very Low  Very Low  Moderate  Moderate   | pct manure acres ln                 | High               | High                |
| Percent MediumFairCondition Bridges Very Low Very Low Percent AssistanceNeed Low Very Low Percent BachelorsDegree Low Moderate Percent BroadbandAccess Very High Very High Percent Children in Poverty Moderate Very Low Very Low Percent Commuters Very Low Very Low Moderate Moderate  | Per PSWithSW.x                      | Moderate           | Moderate            |
| Percent AssistanceNeed  Low Very Low Percent BachelorsDegree Low Moderate Percent BroadbandAccess Very High Very High Percent Children in Poverty Moderate  Very Low Very Low Percent commuters Very Low Moderate Moderate   | Per TotPopSS.x                      | High               | High                |
| Percent BachelorsDegree Low Moderate  Percent BroadbandAccess Very High Very High  Percent Children in Poverty Moderate Moderate  Percent Commuters Very Low Very Low  Percent commuters by transit Moderate Moderate  | Percent MediumFairCondition Bridges | Very Low           | Very Low            |
| Percent BroadbandAccess  Very High  Very High  Percent Children in Poverty  Moderate  Moderate  Very Low  Very Low  Percent commuters by transit  Moderate  Moderate   | Percent AssistanceNeed              | Low                | Very Low            |
| Percent Children in Poverty  Moderate  Moderate  Very Low  Very Low  Percent commuters by transit  Moderate  Moderate  | Percent BachelorsDegree             | Low                | Moderate            |
| Percent Commuters Very Low Very Low Percent commuters by transit Moderate Moderate   | Percent BroadbandAccess             | Very High          | Very High           |
| Percent commuters by transit  Moderate  Moderate   | Percent Children in Poverty         | Moderate           | Moderate            |
|  | Percent Commuters                   | Very Low           | Very Low            |
| Percent Disconnected Youth Very Low Very Low   | Percent commuters by transit        | Moderate           | Moderate            |
|  | Percent Disconnected Youth          | Very Low           | Very Low            |

| Indicator                                      | Risk Level (Rural) | Risk Level (Places) |
|--|--------------------|---------------------|
| Percent Exercise Access                        | High               | High                |
| Percent Food Insecure                          | Very Low           | Very Low            |
| Percent HousingProblems                        | Moderate           | Moderate            |
| Percent income required for childcare expenses | Very Low           | Very Low            |
| Percent LanguageBarrier                        | High               | Very Low            |
| Percent Limited Access to Healthy Foods        | Very Low           | Very Low            |
| Percent NoHealthIns                            | High               | Moderate            |
| Percent PoorCondition Bridges                  | Very Low           | Very Low            |
| Percent rural                                  | Very Low           | Very Low            |
| Percent Section8                               | High               | High                |
| Percent Vaccinated                             | Very High          | Very High           |
| Percent work at home                           | Moderate           | Moderate            |
| Physically Unhealthy Days                      | Moderate           | Moderate            |
| PM10   | Very Low           | Very Low            |
| PM2.5  | Very Low           | Very Low            |
| PM2Point5                                      | Very Low           | Very Low            |
| Population                                     | Low                | NA                  |
| poverty  | High               | Moderate            |
| Precipitation                                  | Very Low           | Very Low            |
| PrimaryCare Physicians Rate                    | Moderate           | Moderate            |
| PrimeWorkingAge percent                        | High               | Very Low            |
| PT   | High               | High                |
| PT LQ  | Very High          | High                |
| Radon.x  | Very Low           | Very Low            |
| Recent Immigrants Percent                      | Very Low           | Very Low            |
| Recreation related business rate               | Very Low           | Very Low            |
| Rental CostBurden below                        | Very High          | NA                  |
| Rental CostBurden below20000                   | NA                 | Very Low            |
| Rental Overcrowding                            | Very High          | Very Low            |
| Rented   | Moderate           | High                |
|  |                    |                     |

| Indicator                     | Risk Level (Rural) | Risk Level (Places) |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| Renter MHHI                   | Very Low           | Moderate            |
| Renters Cost IncomeRatio      | Moderate           | Very High           |
| Resident Workers              | High               | High                |
| Retail                        | High               | Low                 |
| Retail LQ                     | Moderate           | Very Low            |
| Sales                         | Moderate           | Moderate            |
| Sales LQ                      | Moderate           | Very Low            |
| Segregation Index             | High               | High                |
| Service                       | Moderate           | Moderate            |
| Service LQ                    | Very Low           | Very High           |
| SingleParent Percent          | High               | Very High           |
| Skilled Percent               | Moderate           | Low                 |
| SO21                          | Very Low           | Very Low            |
| SO4Ave ln                     | Very Low           | Very Low            |
| Social Association Rate       | High               | High                |
| std coal prim pop ln          | Very Low           | Very Low            |
| std metal prim pop ln         | Very Low           | Very Low            |
| std nonmetal prim pop ln      | Very High          | Very High           |
| std sandandgravel prim pop ln | Very Low           | Very Low            |
| std stone prim pop ln         | Very High          | Very High           |
| Total                         | Very Low           | Moderate            |
| Transport                     | Very Low           | Low                 |
| Transport LQ                  | Very Low           | Low                 |
| Unemployment percent          | Moderate           | Moderate            |
| Units SingleFamily            | High               | Very High           |
| UnstableEmployment Percent    | High               | Very Low            |
| Vacancy                       | High               | Low                 |
| Vice related business rate    | High               | High                |
| Violent Crime Rate            | High               | High                |
| W As ln                       | Very Low           | Very Low            |
| W Ba ln                       | Very Low           | Very Low            |
| W Cd ln                       | Very Low           | Very Low            |
| ,, ea m                       | very zen           | , or j 20           |

## (continued)

| Indicator                | Risk Level (Rural) | Risk Level (Places) |
|--------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| W CN ln                  | Very Low           | Very Low            |
| W Cr ln                  | Very Low           | Very Low            |
| m W~FL~ln                | Very High          | Very High           |
| W HG ln                  | Very Low           | Very Low            |
| W NO2 ln                 | Low                | Low                 |
| W NO3 ln                 | Low                | Low                 |
| Walkability Score        | Moderate           | Moderate            |
| Wholesale                | High               | High                |
| Wholesale LQ             | High               | High                |
| Workers to OtherCounties | Low                | Low                 |
| Working Nonworking ratio | Low                | Very Low            |
| WorkNearby percent       | High               | Very Low            |
| Young percent            | Very Low           | Moderate            |