

# The Two General's Problem



### Distributed Consensus: Two General's Problem

Reaching consensus is extremely important in \$1000 \$2000 any distributed network.

eg: we cannot have two datanodes in a cluster

such that one thinks price = \$1000

while the other thinks price = \$2000

Depending on which node the stequest hits. The user would see

the corresponding value, giving an inconsistent view

Somehow, the nodes need to agree on one value.

Reaching consensus is easy, when Hiere are ND failures.

it becomes impossible problem when communication links are unreliable.

Two General's Problem

Say. Here core two generals who wanks to A allack enemy from different directions.

The only way to conquer is when both the armies attack simultaneously.

If one attacks but the other don't the enemy will win.

The generals can communicate only via the foot soldiers. This messenger can be captured by the enemy and hence the "message" can be lost. How would the generals co-ordinate their attack over this unreliable network? When no messages are lost if communication channel is πeliable, then all general can send each other attack at 6, ok? messages to agree upon the altack. works After mounds equal to the "diameter" of the network, every general would know ok where and when to attack. This algorithm does not work when the

communication channel is unreliable, ie

when messages can be lost.

Real world analogy Two general problem = commit in a distributed database commit? We have to ensure, either So that own distributed database 1. everybody commik always nemain consistent 2. everybody abouts When messages are lost A sent message to B, but B's response attack at 6, ok? got lost, so, should A attack?

also should B attack?

A sent message to B, B responded,
but did A receive B's decision?

B can be sure about this, only

after it receives an ACK from A

But, how can A be sure that B agreed

hence it waits for an ACK from B

This is the classic Two General's Problem

Two nodes can never come an agreement if network is unreliable

How should the generals decide?

A always attacks and it sends lots of messages to increase

the probability that one will go through.

and the Ack for an Ack from A and so on ....

A attacks, B does not

We are just increasing the odds, but not deriving a concrek solution

if none of the messages go through,

But distributed consensus is possible, but how?

We make Some probabilitic assumptions about the loss of messages

eg: if we assume failure rate of 0.5

we send 2 or 3 messages instead of 1

Just to cover the odds

Hence, in real world, we always retry.

To over compensate for network unreliability