

## Two Phase Commit



BY ARPIT BHAYANI

## Two Phase Commit

Say, we have a distributed database with three nodes and we want our commit " to succeed when commit at au DBs succeed otherwise "about" This is a classic case of Distributed Transaction Assumption: No message loss No two processes Process failure can happen can decide different values The graph is fully connected Two Phase Commit Say, we have N processes participating in the transaction We choose a distinguished process, say A → may be a leader/master node Phase 1: All nodes send if they can commit or abort to A. (no msg = abort)

A would then gather all decisions including self

if all commit: decision commit

otherwise: decision about

## **ARPIT BHAYANI**

Round 2: Process A will broadcast its decision to all the nodes in the network / cluster if any node, did not participate in A O Cround 1. will have to decide on the decision sent by A.

## Failures

Le consensus did not begin, all good

2. Co-ardinator fails after initiating phase 1

Le some nodes who sent their state

are blocked on co-ordinator to respond

Perpehial stanuation can be solved through

1. Co-ordinator fails before initiating phase 1

- timeouls + sie-election

  3. 2PC halls if a participant crashes before
- sending its preference to co-ordinator

ь co-ordinator cannot proceed



4. If participant crash at phase 2 participant does not know if it has to commit or about if it has to commit or about the participant crashed before after applying the changes

5. if co-ardinator and one participant die in phase 2 without other participating nodes knowing the decision

Newly elected co-ordinator would not know the decision and if the crashed participating node committed aborted.

Hence, Two Phase Commit is a Blocking Brotocal

Line case of a failure (co-ordinator/pantcipant) no one is

Complexity Analysis

Communication complexity is 2(n-1) and algorithm runs for 2 rounds

able to confidently recover

ARPIT BHAYANI