



String Sorting in Python – Comparison of Several Algorithms

Onni Koskinen, Arturs Polis, and Lari Rasku

Comparison-based sorting is one of the most mature subfields of CS research. However, the more well-known of such algorithms have been designed with the expectation that the objects they sort can be compared in constant time. When used to sort objects that require linear-time comparison operations, such as strings, they perform a lot of wasteful work that leads to suboptimal performance. For maximum efficiency, *string sorting algorithms* are needed.

ALGORITHMS

String processing algorithms distinguish themselves from naive comparison algorithms by maintaining knowledge of the lengths of the

longest common prefixes (LCP) of pairs of input strings as they sort them, which they use to avoid redundant comparisons. The *LCP array*

of a set of strings, by extension, is defined as follows:

MSD RADIX SORT

MSD radix sort first partitions the strings into different buckets based on first symbol is, then recursively partitions *those* buckets based on what the second symbol is, and so on. When only single-element buckets or buckets containing only strings shorter than the recursion depth are left, the results are concatenated and output.

Highlight and underline these to illustrate the partitioning.

actor
allocate
alpha
beta
byproduct

MSD radix sort never needs to process a symbol twice, technically giving it $O(L(R) + n)$ complexity assuming a finite alphabet. However, the complexity is dominated by the bucket container data structure: if σ is the size of the alphabet and if the buckets are stored in a binary search tree, each addition takes $O(\log \sigma)$ time. If they are stored in an array or a hash table, merging takes $\Theta(\sigma)$ time.

DATASETS

With the exception of the URLs dataset, all datasets were retrieved from the Pizza & Chili Corpus [2]; the URL dataset is the one used by Ranjan Sinha in his original burstsort paper [3]. The algorithms were tested on a sample of 100 and 200 megabytes with each dataset.

DNA

The DNA dataset consists of sequences of nucleotide codes, all exactly 3732300 characters in length. This is by far the easiest dataset, having the smallest number of strings and the smallest

QUICKSORT

String quicksort operates by recursively partitioning the list of strings corresponding to their lexicographical order compared to the pivot string. It sorts strings in time $O(L(R) + n \log n)$.

Good pivot selection reduces the maximum depth of the recursion tree. For quicksort we selected the pivot as the median of the first, the last, and the middle string in the list.

Ternary quicksort partitions the strings based on whole string comparison. Resulting sets are:

- Equal to the pivot
- Lexicographically smaller than the pivot
- Lexicographically larger than the pivot

Multikey quicksort partitions using single character comparison, an extra partition for strings with the currently compared character being their last is created.

Both algorithms recursively partition the strings until each partition contains only one string. The strings are returned in the reverse order of recursion resulting in a sorted array of strings.

BURST SORT

Burst sort text Burst sort text Burst sort text
Burst sort text Burst sort text Burst sort text Burst
sort text Burst sort text
Burst sort text Burst sort text
Burst sort text Burst sort text
Burst sort text

LCP array sum; very little of the extremely long strings is actually required for sorting them.

URLS

The URLs dataset consists of several web addresses. Due to most common URLs having similar prefixes, as well as the dataset containing several duplicate URLs, this dataset has the highest LCP array sum, though not significantly higher than the WORDS dataset.

WORDS

The WORDS dataset is a modification of the EN-

GLISH dataset of the Pizza & Chili Corpus, constructed by splitting each word on its own line in order to make our algorithms sort individual words instead of entire lines. The dataset thus consists of very many very short strings, with a few outliers due to formatting markup in the source file. The dataset also ranks second highest in LCP array sum size and highest in alphabet size, due to common words appearing hundreds of times in the text and some loan words using characters not in the English alphabet.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Peters. [Python-Dev] Sorting. In *Python Developers Mailinglist*, 2002. Retrieved on 21 Feb 2013.
- [2] P. Ferragina and G. Navarro. The Pizza & Chili Corpus, <http://pizzachili.dcc.uchile.cl/texts.html>, 2005 Retrieved on 11 Feb 2013.
- [3] R. Sinha and A. Wirth. Engineering burstsort: Towards fast in-place string sorting. In *Experimental Algorithms*, pages 14–27, Springer, 2008. IEEE, 2001.
- [4] R. Sinha. URL dataset <http://www.cs.mu.oz.au/rsinha/resources/data/sort.data.zip> Retrieved Feb 2013