## REPRESENTATIONS OF ABELIAN GROUPS

## ARPON RAKSIT

original: December 30, 2015 updated: December 30, 2015

Let k be an algebraically closed field. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an abelian k-linear category. Let G be an abelian group and let  $\mathcal{A}^G$  denote the category of G-representations in  $\mathcal{A}$ , i.e. the functor category  $[BG, \mathcal{A}]$  (which is also obviously abelian and k-linear in a natural way).

**1. Proposition.** Let M be a simple object in  $\mathcal{A}^G$ . Then there's a character  $\lambda \colon G \to k^{\times}$  such that the action of G on M is given by multiplication by  $\lambda$ .

**Proof.** The claim is simply that the action  $\gamma(g) \in \operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(M)$  of each  $g \in G$  is a multiple  $\lambda(g) \in k$  of the identity. Since G is abelian we have

$$\gamma(g)\gamma(h) = \gamma(gh) = \gamma(hg) = \gamma(h)\gamma(g)$$

for all  $h \in H$ . I.e.  $\gamma(g)$  is also a morphism of representations. The claim then follows from the formulation of Schur's lemma [abelian-category-linear-algebra, 1.4.2] stating that M being simple implies its only endomorphisms are multiplication by scalars.

- **2. Remark.** It follows immediately from (1) that if M is simple in  $\mathcal{A}^G$  then M is also simple when viewed as an object in  $\mathcal{A}$ .
- **3. Corollary.** Assume  $\mathcal{A}$  is semisimple. Then any representation  $M \in \mathcal{A}^G$  has an isotypic decomposition

$$M \simeq \bigoplus_{\lambda \in G^{\vee}} M_{\lambda},$$

where for  $\lambda \in G^{\vee}$  the representation  $M_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{A}^{G}$  is acted on by G via  $\lambda$ , i.e. for  $g \in G$  the action  $g \colon M_{\lambda} \to M_{\lambda}$  is given by multiplication by  $\lambda(g)$ .

**Proof.** Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is semisimple, by [representations-semisimplicity, 2] we know that  $\mathcal{A}^G$  is semisimple. Thus any  $M \in \mathcal{A}^G$  is a direct sum of simple objects. The claim now follows from (1).

**4. Remark.** Note that the isotypic decomposition provided by (3) is automatically unique: for  $\lambda \in G^{\vee}$  we can recover  $M_{\lambda}$  as the kernel of the map  $M \to \bigoplus_{g \in G} M$  which on the factor indexed by  $g \in G$  is given by multiplication by  $1 - \lambda(g)$ .