## Math 216A Homework 3

"... an arithmetic theory of algebraic varieties cannot but be a theory over arbitrary ground fields and not merely the complex numbers." Zariski (1950)

Work on the following exercises, and read §3 of Chapter 2 up through Example 3.2.6.

Ch 2: 2.3, 2.4\* (this explains what is really going on in 2.1), 2.5, 2.6, 2.7, 2.8\*, 2.9\*, 2.11, 2.13\*, 2.14 (you may skip (d)), 2.16 (can you formulate a good functoriality property of the isomorphism in (d)?; note that the natural map goes from  $A_f$  to  $\Gamma(X_f, \mathcal{O}_{X_f})$ ), 2.17\*, 2.18, 2.19. We will take up a better version of 2.15 in HW4.

For 2.3, prove that the map  $j: X_{\text{red}} \to X$  is a closed immersion (i.e.,  $\mathcal{O}_X \to j_*\mathcal{O}_{X_{\text{red}}}$  is surjective) and also include the following:

2.3(d) If  $f, g: X \to Y$  are morphisms of schemes with X reduced, f and g coincide on topological spaces, and  $f^{\#}$ ,  $g^{\#}$  induce the same ring maps  $\kappa(f(x)) = \kappa(g(x)) \to \kappa(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ , then f = g.

For 2.4, conclude in particular that for any scheme X, there is a canonical morphism of schemes  $X \to \operatorname{Spec}(\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X))$ , suitably functorial in X, and this is an isomorphism if and only if X is an affine scheme. (See Prop. 1.8.1 in the "Errata et addenda" list for EGA I at the end of EGA II for Tate's elegant generalization with X any locally ringed space.)

For 2.7, show also the somewhat more general statement that for a scheme X and  $x \in X$  and a local ring  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ , there is a natural bijection between scheme morphisms  $\operatorname{Spec}(A) \to X$  taking the closed point  $\mathfrak{m}$  to x and local maps  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x} \to A$  (hint: reduce to the case where X is affine by checking that if an open set in  $\operatorname{Spec}(A)$  contains the closed point, then it must be the whole space!). Also check functoriality in the pair (X,x) and the local ring A.

Extra 1. Show that if A and B are local algebras over a field k and their residue fields coincide with k then any k-algebra map  $h: A \to B$  is automatically local (i.e.,  $h^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}_B) = \mathfrak{m}_A$ ). Deduce that if M and N are smooth manifolds and  $\mathfrak{O}_M$  and  $\mathfrak{O}_N$  are their respective sheaves of smooth  $\mathbf{R}$ -valued functions then any map  $(f, f^{\#}): (M, \mathfrak{O}_M) \to (N, \mathfrak{O}_N)$  as ringed spaces of  $\mathbf{R}$ -algebras is automatically a map of locally ringed spaces, and moreover that  $f^{\#}: \mathfrak{O}_N \to f_*(\mathfrak{O}_M)$  is automatically induced by composing smooth functions (on open subsets of N) with the map f on underlying topological spaces.

**Extra 2**. The following construction provides a sense of how to punch holes in a scheme and how to work with affine opens. Let A be a commutative ring,  $X = \operatorname{Spec}(A)$ ,  $U \subseteq X$  an open subset (given the induced scheme structure, as always). Define

$$S_U = \{ a \in A \mid a \notin \mathfrak{p} \text{ for all } \mathfrak{p} \in U \}.$$

Show that this is a multiplicative set and that the natural map  $A \simeq \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \to \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X)$  sends  $S_U$  in  $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X)^{\times}$ , so we get a natural ring map  $f_U : S_U^{-1}A \to \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X)$  (an isomorphism when  $U = \emptyset$  since  $0 \in A = S_{\emptyset}$ ). If A is domain and  $U \neq \emptyset$  prove this is injective, and if A is a principal ideal domain prove it is an isomorphism. Find a domain A and open U with  $f_U$  not an isomorphism (hint: for Dedekind A with  $U = X - \{\mathfrak{p}\}$ , relate this to  $\mathfrak{p}$  being torsion in the class group of A; do you know a Dedekind A whose class group is not a torsion group?).