

THE DOLD-KAN CORRESPONDENCE

ARPON RAKSIT

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Definition. A *simplicial object* in a category \mathcal{C} is a contravariant functor $\Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. We denote the category $\text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C})$ of simplicial objects in \mathcal{C} by $\text{s}\mathcal{C}$. E.g., sSet is the category of *simplicial sets* and sAb is the category of *simplicial abelian groups*.

Recall we have a functor $\text{Sing} : \mathcal{T}\text{op} \rightarrow \text{sSet}$, sending $X \mapsto \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}\text{op}}(|\Delta^\bullet|, X)$. Lately we've been talking about Sing for two reasons:

- (1) It's a right adjoint to geometric realisation $|-| : \text{sSet} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}\text{op}$.
- (2) $\text{Sing}(X)$ is a Kan complex for all $X \in \mathcal{T}\text{op}$. In this sense, “Kan complexes are like spaces”.

But this isn't the first place one sees Sing , probably. Indeed, the singular homology functors are essentially defined by a composition

$$H_n(-; \mathbb{Z}) := \mathcal{T}\text{op} \xrightarrow{\text{Sing}} \text{sSet} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{Z}} \text{sAb} \xrightarrow{\sum (-1)^i d_i} \text{Ch}_{\geq 0} \xrightarrow{H_n} \text{Ab}.$$

Here \mathbb{Z} denotes the functor which takes free abelian groups level-wise, from which we get the *singular chain complex* by letting the boundary map be given by $\partial := \sum (-1)^i d_i$.

This was just to remind us that we've seen a natural functor $\text{sAb} \rightarrow \text{Ch}$ relating simplicial abelian groups and chain complexes. We'll look at it a bit more carefully in a second, and develop this relationship much further.

2. STATING THE CORRESPONDENCE

We fix \mathcal{A} any abelian category—but we'll probably be imagining $\mathcal{A} = \text{Ab}$ or $\mathcal{A} = R\text{-Mod}$ (for some commutative ring R).

2.1. Notation. We denote the category of nonnegatively graded chain complexes in \mathcal{A} (and chain maps) by $\text{Ch}_{\geq 0}(\mathcal{A})$.

Let's now make precise the $\partial := \sum (-1)^i d_i$ business with which we started this discussion.

2.2. Definition. Let $A_\bullet \in \text{s}\mathcal{A}$ a simplicial object in \mathcal{A} (e.g., a simplicial abelian group). We define the *associated chain complex* $C_\bullet(A) \in \text{Ch}_{\geq 0}(\mathcal{A})$ by

$$C_n(A) := A_n \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_n := \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i d_i : C_n(A) \rightarrow C_{n-1}(A)$$

for $n \geq 0$. Note that the simplicial identities clearly imply $\partial^2 = 0$, so $C_\bullet(A)$ is indeed a chain complex. Moreover, this evidently defines a functor $C : \text{s}\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{Ch}_{\geq 0}(\mathcal{A})$.

This is perhaps the most natural—or familiar, at least—functor $\text{s}\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{Ch}_{\geq 0}(\mathcal{A})$, but it turns out not to be the cleanest to use when discussing the relationship between the two categories. In fact, we will want to use the following alternative.

Date: October 22, 2013.

2.3. Definition. Again let $A_\bullet \in \mathbf{sA}$ a simplicial object in \mathcal{A} . We define the *normalised chain complex* $N_\bullet(A) \in \mathbf{Ch}_{\geq 0}(\mathcal{A})$ by

$$N_n(A) := \bigcap_{i=0}^{n-1} \ker(d_i) \subseteq A_n \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_n := (-1)^n d_n : N_n(A) \rightarrow N_{n-1}(A)$$

for $n \geq 0$. Again the simplicial identities imply $\partial^2 = 0$, and we have a functor $N : \mathbf{sA} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ch}_{\geq 0}(\mathcal{A})$.

What is this unmotivated nonsense? Have no fear, for C and N are intimately related! For instance we can note immediately from the definitions that the natural inclusion $N_\bullet(A) \rightarrow C_\bullet(A)$ is in fact a chain map for $A \in \mathbf{sA}$. But there's more!

2.4. Definition. Let $A \in \mathbf{sA}$. We define the *degenerate subcomplex* $D_\bullet(A)$ of $C_\bullet(A)$ by

$$D_0(A) := 0 \quad \text{and} \quad D_n(A) := \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \text{im}(s_i)$$

for $n \geq 1$. That is, $D_\bullet(A)$ is generated by the images of the degeneracy maps. Note that by the simplicial identities

$$\partial s_j = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i d_i s_j = \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} (-1)^i s_{j-1} d_i + \sum_{i=j+2}^n (-1)^i s_j d_i,$$

so $D_\bullet(A)$ is indeed a subcomplex.

2.5. Proposition. Let $A \in \mathbf{sA}$. For $n \geq 0$ the natural map

$$\phi : N_n(A) \oplus D_n(A) \rightarrow C_n(A)$$

induced by the inclusions is an isomorphism. Therefore we have a natural isomorphism

$$N_\bullet(A) \simeq C_\bullet(A)/D_\bullet(A).$$

Proof. Fix $n \geq 0$. For $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, the simplicial identity $d_i s_i = \text{id}$ implies that we have a canonical splitting

$$A_n \simeq \ker(d_i) \oplus \text{im}(s_i).$$

It follows easily that $N_n(A) \cap D_n(A) \simeq 0$, so we're just left to show that ϕ is surjective. We prove by downward induction on $0 \leq j \leq n-1$ that

$$\text{im}(\phi) \supseteq N_j := \bigcap_{i=0}^j \ker(d_i).$$

The base case $j = n-1$ is tautological and the final case $j = 0$ will finish the proof. Now consider the map

$$\psi := \text{id} - s_j d_j : C_n \rightarrow C_n.$$

Observe by the simplicial identities that

$$d_j \psi = d_j - d_j s_j d_j = d_j - d_j = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad d_i \psi = d_i - d_i s_j d_j = d_i - s_{j-1} d_{j-1} d_i$$

for $i < j$, implying that $\psi(N_{j+1}) \subseteq N_j$. So by induction $\text{im}(\psi \circ \phi) \supseteq N_j$. But it's easy to see that $\text{im}(\psi \circ \phi) \subseteq \text{im}(\phi)$, since $\text{im}(s_j d_j) \subseteq D_n(A)$ by definition. \square

So there's the relationship between C and N . With these definitions in hand, we can now state our main goal, the *Dold-Kan correspondence*.

2.6. Theorem (Dold-Kan). The functor $N : \mathbf{sA} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ch}_{\geq 0}(\mathcal{A})$ is an equivalence of categories, and inclusion $N_\bullet(A) \rightarrow C_\bullet(A)$ for $A \in \mathbf{sA}$ gives a natural (chain) homotopy equivalence.

3. PROVING THE CORRESPONDENCE

REFERENCES

1. Paul G. Goerss and John F. Jardine, *Simplicial Homotopy Theory*, Birkhäuser Verlag, 1999.
2. Akhil Mathew, *The Dold-Kan correspondence*, people.fas.harvard.edu/~amathew/doldkan.pdf.
3. Emily Riehl, *A leisurely introduction to simplicial sets*, www.math.harvard.edu/~eriehl/ssets.pdf.
4. Charles A. Weibel, *An Introduction to Homological Algebra*, Cambridge University Press, 1994.