

An approximate $\log n$ depth circuit for decoding waterfall states, with application to position based cryptography

Alvaro Piedrafita, Subhasree Patro, Arjan Corneliessen, Farrokh Labib, Florian Speelman

September 18, 2019

1 Setup

To give the encoding that we are considering in this paper, we need the following definition.

Definition 1. Let $x, y \in \{0, 1\}$ be two bits. The one-qubit state $|x\rangle_y$ is defined as follows,

$$|x\rangle_y = \begin{cases} |x\rangle & \text{if } y = 0 \\ H|x\rangle & \text{if } y = 1, \end{cases}$$

and $\{|0\rangle, |1\rangle\}$ is the computational basis.

Let $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$ be an n -bit string. Alice encodes the product state $|x_1, \dots, x_n\rangle$ by the following circuit.

Essentially, Alice encodes the qubit $|x_i\rangle$ in the basis specified by the bit x_{i-1} . We denote the output product state by $|\text{Enc}(x)\rangle$ and it is explicitly given by

$$|\text{Enc}(x)\rangle = \bigotimes_{i=1}^n |x_i\rangle_{x_{i-1}},$$

where we set $x_0 = 0$.

2 Correctness of the circuit

Our goal here is to prove that the circuit from figure ?? extracts the value x_k while leaving the state mostly unperturbed. That is, we will prove the following lemma.

Lemma 2. Let $\vec{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \{0, 1\}^k$ be a k bit string, and $|\text{Enc}(\vec{x})\rangle$ its encoding.

$$|\langle \text{Enc}(\vec{x}) | \langle x_k | U_k | \text{Enc}(\vec{x}) \rangle | 0 \rangle| = \sqrt{1 - \frac{\sin^2 \frac{\pi}{8}}{2^{k-1}}} \quad (1)$$

Proof. We begin by noticing that we can split U_k into $V_k^\dagger CNOT_{(k,A)} V_k$, where V_k are unitaries that only act on the block of k qubits and the controlled not operation acts on the last qubit of the block and the ancilla. The crucial part of the proof will be to understand the structure of $V_k |\text{Enc}(\vec{x})\rangle$. Indeed, allow us to write $V_k |\text{Enc}(\vec{x})\rangle$ as

$$V_k |\text{Enc}(\vec{x})\rangle = |\psi\rangle |x_k\rangle + |\phi\rangle |\bar{x}_k\rangle,$$

For some vectors $|\psi\rangle$ and $|\phi\rangle$. Observe that the $CNOT$ with a target initialized at $|0\rangle$ simply copies into the ancillary register the value of the k -th bit of $V_k |\text{Enc}(\vec{x})\rangle$, hence we have

$$CNOT_{(k,A)} V_k |\text{Enc}(\vec{x})\rangle |0\rangle = |\psi\rangle |x_k\rangle |x_k\rangle + |\phi\rangle |\bar{x}_k\rangle |\bar{x}_k\rangle. \quad (2)$$

Hence, the inner product that we are interested in reads

$$|\langle \text{Enc}(\vec{x}) | \langle x_k | V_k^\dagger CNOT_{(k,A)} V_k | \text{Enc}(\vec{x}) \rangle | 0 \rangle| = |[\langle \psi | \langle x_k | + \langle \phi | \langle \bar{x}_k |] \langle x_k |] [|\psi\rangle |x_k\rangle |x_k\rangle + |\phi\rangle |\bar{x}_k\rangle |\bar{x}_k\rangle]| \quad (3)$$

$$= |\langle \psi | \psi \rangle| = \sqrt{1 - |\langle \phi | \phi \rangle|^2}. \quad (4)$$

Now, we shall characterize $V_k |\text{Enc}(\vec{x})\rangle$ and prove that $|\langle \phi | \phi \rangle|$ is really small. \square