APPENDIX C: SAMPLE BILLS OF COSTS

Para. 94(3)(b) Sample Bill of Costs for contentious matters – Trials

IN THE HIGH COURT OF THE REPUBLIC OF SINGAPORE

Suit No. of 20

Bill of Costs No. of 20

GST Reg. No. (solicitors for plaintiffs): 12345 GST Reg. No. (1st plaintiff): 67890 (20%) 2nd plaintiff: No GST Reg. No. (100%)

Between (1) AAA (2) BBB

..... Plaintiffs

And

CCC

..... Defendant

BILL OF COSTS (for contentious business - trials)

Applicant: Solicitors for the plaintiffs

Nature of bill: Party and party Basis of taxation: Standard basis

Basis for taxation: Judgment dated ______ ordering the defendant to pay 2/3

of plaintiffs' costs

Section	1: Work done other t	than for taxation	
No.	Item	Description	Remarks
1.	The claim		
1.1	Nature of claim	Breach of contract, restraint of trade, breach of confidentiality.	
2.	Pleadings		
2.1	Writ & statement of claim	Writ: 3 pages Statement of claim: 20 pages	
2.2	Defence & counterclaim	Defence: 10 pages Counterclaim: 2 pages	

No.	Item	Description	Remarks
2.3	Reply & defence	Reply: 5 pages	
	to counterclaim	Defence to	
2.4	7 11 0 1 1 1	counterclaim: 2 pages	
2.4	Relief claimed	Plaintiff's claim:	
		• \$ 1 m damages plus interest	
		Permanent injunction	
		Defendant's counterclaim:	
		• \$500,000 damages plus interest	
		• Declaration	
2.5	Affidavits deemed	Not applicable	
	or ordered to stand		
	as pleadings		
	locutory attendances		
3.1	Interlocutory	(1) SIC 123/04: plaintiffs'	(1) 30 F&BPs
	applications –	application for further and better	requested and 25
	costs fixed by Court	particulars on [date]. 3 affidavits filed (300 pages including 35	successful. Hearing before AR for ½ day
	Court	exhibits. Costs awarded to	on [date]
		plaintiffs fixed at \$3000.	on [aute]
		(2) SIC 234/04: defendant's	(2) Hearing before
		application for specific discovery.	AR for ½ day on
		1 affidavit filed (5 pages	[date].
		including 2 exhibits). No order on	
2.0	T . 1	application with no order on costs.	(1) II ' 1 C
3.2	Interlocutory applications –	(1) SIC 345/03: plaintiffs' <i>exparte</i> application for interlocutory	(1) Hearing before Justice ABC from
	costs not fixed by	injunction on [date]. 2 affidavits	5.30 to 6.30 pm on
	Court	filed (total 200 pages including 25	[date].
	00011	exhibits). Written submissions of	[care].
		30 pages with 10 cases cited.	
		Order in terms with costs in the	
		cause.	
		(2) SIC 45 (/05; mlaintiffe)	(2) He and to not be a
		(2) SIC 456/05: plaintiffs' summons for directions on	(2) Heard together with PTC on
		discovery exchange of affidavits	[dates].
		of evidence in chief ("AEIC") and	[dates].
		setting down. Orders made.	
		D 1 1/07	
3.3	Appeals to Judge	RA 1/05: appeal on defendant's	Special date – ½
	in chambers	discovery application: appeal dismissed with costs fixed at	day hearing before Justice XYZ on
		\$1000 to the plaintiffs.	[dates].
		41000 to the planting.	[autos].
	•	•	•

No.	Item	Description	Remarks
3.4	Pre-trial Conferences	4 PTCs on [dates]	By consent application for extension of time to exchange AEIC with costs in the cause heard during PTC on [date].
3.5	Other attendances	Not applicable.	
4. Disc			
4.1	Number of lists of Documents	Plaintiffs: list + 3 supplementary lists Defendant: list + 1 supplementary list All verified by affidavit.	1 of plaintiffs' supplementary lists filed on 1st day of trial.
4.2	Total number of documents Disclosed	Plaintiffs: 3,500 pages Defendant: 200 pages	
5. Tria	I		
5.1	Opening Statement	Plaintiff: 20 pages Defendant: 18 pages	
5.2	Number of days and date(s) of trial	Number of days fixed: 15 days Number of days of actual hearing: 12 ½ days Dates of trial: 4-8 April 2005, 25- 29 April 2005, 3-5 May 2005	Parties negotiated on the 1st day and dispensed with 2 witnesses.
5.3	Part heard	2 week break after 5th day.	
5.4	Affidavits of evidence in chief – text and exhibits	Plaintiffs: 5 affidavits • 250 pages of text; • 65 exhibits running to 2000 pages Defendant: 3 affidavits • 200 pages of text; • no exhibits, affidavits cross-referenced to agreed bundle of documents; 30 documents referred to in the affidavits.	Overlap of 30 exhibits

No.	Item	Description	Remarks
5.5	Bundle of	Core bundle: 2 volumes	Exhibits P1 to P10
	Documents	500 pages	and D1 to D4
		Agreed bundle: 10 volumes	introduced during
		3000 pages	trial; 55 pages.
		Plaintiffs' bundle: 1 vol	
		500 pages	
		Defendant's bundle: 1 vol	
		90 pages	
5.6	Witnesses at trial	Plaintiffs: 5 (3 of fact; 2 experts)	2 of the plaintiffs'
			witnesses only
			spoke Russian.
			Plaintiffs' experts
			not cross-examined.
		Defendant: 4 (3 of fact; 1 expert)	
			1 of the
			defendant's
			witnesses gave
			oral evidence.
5.7	Closing submissions	Plaintiffs: 60 pages and 12 cases	
	and	Defendant: 30 pages and 6 cases	
	authorities cited		
5.8	Submissions in	Plaintiffs: 10 pages and 2 cases	
	reply and	Defendant: 6 pages and 5 cases	
	authorities cited		
5.9	Other post-trial	Not applicable.	
	filings/matters		
6. Com	plexity of case		
6.1	Legal issues	(1) Whether acceptance of an	
		offer in an email forms a binding	
		contract in the	
		absence of a formal contract.	
		(2)	
6.2	Factual issues	(1) Whether the defendant sent	
		the email that forms the basis of	
		a binding	
		contract between the parties;	
		(2)	
6.3	Complexity	• Novel point of law involving	
		[summary of the points];	
		 Consideration of multiple 	
		alternative	
		defences;	
		 Major factual disputes in 	
		respect of	
		definition of confidential	
		information	

No.	Item	Description	Remarks
6.4	Grounds of decision	30 pages. In particular Judge commented on the complexity of case or novelty of issues at para [highlight relevant paragraphs in the grounds of decision]. 5 authorities cited in the grounds.	
7.	Urgency and importa	nce to client	
7.1	Urgency	Preparation for interlocutory injunction was made over the Chinese New Year.	
7.2	Importance to client	The plaintiffs have invested approximately \$1 m into research and it is critical that confidentiality of its information is maintained.	
8.	Time and labour expe	ended	
8.1	Number of letters/faxes/emails exchanged between the parties	Plaintiffs to defendant: 100 Defendant to plaintiffs: 50 Plaintiffs to Court: 3	
8.2	Number of letters/faxes/emails to client	150	
8.3.	Meetings with opposing counsel	6 meetings comprising in total approximately 15 hours during part heard break between 5th and 6th day of trial	
8.4	Time spent	200 hours	
8.5	Others	Not applicable.	
9.	Counsel and solicitors	s involved	
9.1	Counsel	Plaintiffs: Mr ABC 15 years Ms DEF2 years	Ms DEF was however an inhouse counsel in an MNC
		Defendant: Ms GHI 10 years Mr JKL 5 years	for 5 years prior to practice.

No.	Item	Description	Remarks
9.2	Certificate of more	Yes.	
	than 2 counsel		
10.	Costs claimed		
10.1	Amount claimed	For Mr ABC –	
		Work done in 2003: \$ <i>a</i>	
		Work done in 2004: \$ <i>b</i>	
		Work done in 2005: \$ <i>c</i>	
		Percentage of input tax for which the	
		1 st plaintiff is not entitled to credit: 20%.	
		20%.	
		Amount of input tax for which the 1 st	
		plaintiff is not entitled credit in	
		respect of –	
		_	
		Work done in 2003: \$ <i>d</i>	
		Work done in 2004: \$ <i>e</i>	
		Work done in 2005: f	
		Danisate as of issued to be found in the	
		Percentage of input tax for which the	
		2 nd plaintiff is not entitled to credit: 100%.	
		10070.	
		Amount of input tax for which the	
		2 nd plaintiff is not entitled credit in	
		respect of –	
		Work done in 2003: \$ <i>g</i>	
		Work done in 2004: \$ <i>h</i>	
		Work done in 2005: \$ <i>I</i>	
		CCT C 1 1	
		GST for work done in 2003: \$ j	
		GST for work done in 2004: \$ k GST	
		for work done in 2005: \$ l	
		For Ms DEF-	
		Work done in 2003: \$ m	
		Work done in 2004: \$ n	
		Work done in 2005: \$ o	
		[Set out claim for GST as above].	

Section	on 2: Work done for ta	xation	
No	. Item	Description	Remarks
11.	Work done	Drawing up bill of costs, perusing documents and vouchers, attending taxation and drawing up Registrar's certificate.	
12.	Amount claimed	\$ p Percentage of input tax for which the 1st plaintiff is not entitled to credit: 20%.	
		Amount of input tax for which the 1^{st} plaintiff is not entitled credit: $\$ $\$ $\$ $\$ $\$ $\$ $\$ $\$ $\$ $\$	
		Percentage of input tax for which the 2 nd plaintiff is not entitled to credit: 100%.	
		Amount of input tax for which the 2^{nd} plaintiff is not entitled credit: r	
		GST for work done: \$ s	
Section	on 3: Disbursements		-
No.	Item	Description	Remarks
13.	15/5/03	Writ of summons (Court fees): \$ xxx	
14.	3/6/03	Reply and defence to counterclaim (Court fees): \$ yyy	
15.	3/6/03	SIC 123/05 (Court fees): \$ zzz	
16.	xxxx	[Nature of each disbursement and the amount claimed.]	
17.		Total amount claimed: \$ t	
		Percentage of input tax for which the 1 st plaintiff is not entitled to credit: 20%.	
		Amount of input tax for which the 1 st plaintiff is not entitled credit in respect of –	
		Disbursements incurred in 2003: \$ u Disbursements incurred in 2004:\$ v Disbursements incurred in 2005:\$ w	
		Percentage of input tax for which the 2 nd plaintiff is not entitled to credit: 100%.	

Disbursements incurred in 2003: \$ x Disbursements incurred in 2004: \$ y Disbursements incurred in 2005: \$ z GST for work done in 2003: \$ aa GST for work done in 2004: \$ bb GST for work done in 2005: \$ cc
--