

2

COMPUTER SYSTEMS ORGANIZATION

A digital computer consists of an interconnected system of processors, memories, and input/output devices. This chapter is an introduction to these three components and to their interconnection, as background for a more detailed examination of the specific levels in the five subsequent chapters. Processors, memories, and input/output are key concepts and will be present at every level, so we will start our study of computer architecture by looking at all three in turn.

2.1 PROCESSORS

The organization of a simple bus-oriented computer is shown in Fig. 2-1. The **CPU (Central Processing Unit)** is the “brain” of the computer. Its function is to execute programs stored in the main memory by fetching their instructions, examining them, and then executing them one after another. The components are connected by a **bus**, which is a collection of parallel wires for transmitting address, data, and control signals. Buses can be external to the CPU, connecting it to memory and I/O devices, but also internal to the CPU, as we will see shortly. Modern computers have multiple buses.

The CPU is composed of several distinct parts. The control unit is responsible for fetching instructions from main memory and determining their type. The arithmetic logic unit performs operations such as addition and Boolean AND needed to carry out the instructions.




Figure 2-1. The organization of a simple computer with one CPU and two I/O devices.

The CPU also contains a small, high-speed memory used to store temporary results and certain control information. This memory is made up of a number of registers, each having a certain size and function. Usually, all the registers have the same size. Each register can hold one number, up to some maximum determined by its size. Registers can be read and written at high speed since they are internal to the CPU.

The most important register is the **Program Counter (PC)**, which points to the next instruction to be fetched for execution. (The name “program counter” is somewhat misleading because it has nothing to do with *counting* anything, but the term is universally used.) Also important is the **Instruction Register (IR)**, which holds the instruction currently being executed. Most computers have numerous other registers as well, some of them general purpose as well as some for specific purposes. Yet other registers are used by the operating system to control the computer.

2.1.1 CPU Organization

The internal organization of part of a simple von Neumann CPU is shown in Fig. 2-2 in more detail. This part is called the **data path** and consists of the registers (typically 1 to 32), the **ALU (Arithmetic Logic Unit)**, and several buses connecting the pieces. The registers feed into two ALU input registers, labeled *A* and *B* in the figure. These registers hold the ALU input while the ALU is performing

some computation. The data path is important in all machines and we will discuss it at great length throughout this book.




Figure 2-2. The data path of a typical von Neumann machine.

The ALU itself performs addition, subtraction, and other simple operations on its inputs, thus yielding a result in the output register. This output register can be stored back into a register. Later on, the register can be written (i.e., stored) into memory, if desired. Not all designs have the *A*, *B*, and output registers. In the example, addition is illustrated, but ALUs can also perform other operations.

Most instructions can be divided into one of two categories: register-memory or register-register. Register-memory instructions allow memory words to be fetched into registers, where, for example, they can be used as ALU inputs in subsequent instructions. (“Words” are the units of data moved between memory and registers. A word might be an integer. We will discuss memory organization later in this chapter.) Other register-memory instructions allow registers to be stored back into memory.

The other kind of instruction is register-register. A typical register-register instruction fetches two operands from the registers, brings them to the ALU input registers, performs some operation on them (such as addition or Boolean AND),

and stores the result back in one of the registers. The process of running two operands through the ALU and storing the result is called the **data path cycle** and is the heart of most CPUs. To a considerable extent, it defines what the machine can do. Modern computers have multiple ALUs operating in parallel and specialized for different functions. The faster the data path cycle is, the faster the machine runs.

2.1.2 Instruction Execution

The CPU executes each instruction in a series of small steps. Roughly speaking, the steps are as follows:

1. Fetch the next instruction from memory into the instruction register.
2. Change the program counter to point to the following instruction.
3. Determine the type of instruction just fetched.
4. If the instruction uses a word in memory, determine where it is.
5. Fetch the word, if needed, into a CPU register.
6. Execute the instruction.
7. Go to step 1 to begin executing the following instruction.

This sequence of steps is frequently referred to as the **fetch-decode-execute** cycle. It is central to the operation of all computers.

This description of how a CPU works closely resembles a program written in English. Figure 2-3 shows this informal program rewritten as a Java method (i.e., procedure) called *interpret*. The machine being interpreted has two registers visible to user programs: the program counter (PC), for keeping track of the address of the next instruction to be fetched, and the accumulator (AC), for accumulating arithmetic results. It also has internal registers for holding the current instruction during its execution (*instr*), the type of the current instruction (*instr_type*), the address of the instruction's operand (*data_loc*), and the current operand itself (*data*). Instructions are assumed to contain a single memory address. The memory location addressed contains the operand, for example, the data item to add to the accumulator.

The very fact that it is possible to write a program that can imitate the function of a CPU shows that a program need not be executed by a “hardware” CPU consisting of a box full of electronics. Instead, a program can be carried out by having another program fetch, examine, and execute its instructions. A program (such as the one in Fig. 2-3) that fetches, examines, and executes the instructions of another program is called an **interpreter**, as mentioned in Chap. 1.

```

public class Interp {
    static int PC;                // program counter holds address of next instr
    static int AC;                // the accumulator, a register for doing arithmetic
    static int instr;             // a holding register for the current instruction
    static int instr_type;        // the instruction type (opcode)
    static int data_loc;          // the address of the data, or -1 if none
    static int data;              // holds the current operand
    static boolean run_bit = true; // a bit that can be turned off to halt the machine

    public static void interpret(int memory[], int starting_address) {
        // This procedure interprets programs for a simple machine with instructions having
        // one memory operand. The machine has a register AC (accumulator), used for
        // arithmetic. The ADD instruction adds an integer in memory to the AC, for example.
        // The interpreter keeps running until the run bit is turned off by the HALT instruction.
        // The state of a process running on this machine consists of the memory, the
        // program counter, the run bit, and the AC. The input parameters consist of
        // the memory image and the starting address.

        PC = starting_address;
        while (run_bit) {
            instr = memory[PC];                // fetch next instruction into instr
            PC = PC + 1;                       // increment program counter
            instr_type = get_instr_type(instr); // determine instruction type
            data_loc = find_data(instr, instr_type); // locate data (-1 if none)
            if (data_loc >= 0)                 // if data_loc is -1, there is no operand
                data = memory[data_loc];       // fetch the data
            execute(instr_type, data);         // execute instruction
        }
    }

    private static int get_instr_type(int addr) { ... }
    private static int find_data(int instr, int type) { ... }
    private static void execute(int type, int data) { ... }
}

```

Figure 2-3. An interpreter for a simple computer (written in Java).

This equivalence between hardware processors and interpreters has important implications for computer organization and the design of computer systems. After having specified the machine language, L , for a new computer, the design team can decide whether they want to build a hardware processor to execute programs in L directly or whether they want to write an interpreter to interpret programs in L instead. If they choose to write an interpreter, they must also provide some hardware machine to run the interpreter. Certain hybrid constructions are also possible, with some hardware execution as well as some software interpretation.

An interpreter breaks the instructions of its target machine into small steps. As a consequence, the machine on which the interpreter runs can be much simpler and less expensive than a hardware processor for the target machine would be. This

saving is especially significant if the target machine has a large number of instructions and they are fairly complicated, with many options. The saving comes essentially from the fact that hardware is being replaced by software (the interpreter) and it costs more to replicate hardware than software.

Early computers had small, simple sets of instructions. But the quest for more powerful computers led, among other things, to more powerful individual instructions. Very early on, it was discovered that more complex instructions often led to faster program execution even though individual instructions might take longer to execute. A floating-point instruction is an example of a more complex instruction. Direct support for accessing array elements is another. Sometimes it was as simple as observing that the same two instructions often occurred consecutively, so a single instruction could accomplish the work of both.

The more complex instructions were better because the execution of individual operations could sometimes be overlapped or otherwise executed in parallel using different hardware. For expensive, high-performance computers, the cost of this extra hardware could be readily justified. Thus expensive, high-performance computers came to have many more instructions than lower-cost ones. However, instruction compatibility requirements and the rising cost of software development created the need to implement complex instructions even on low-end computers where cost was more important than speed.

By the late 1950s, IBM (then the dominant computer company) had recognized that supporting a single family of machines, all of which executed the same instructions, had many advantages, both for IBM and for its customers. IBM introduced the term **architecture** to describe this level of compatibility. A new family of computers would have one architecture but many different implementations that could all execute the same program, differing only in price and speed. But how to build a low-cost computer that could execute all the complicated instructions of high-performance, expensive machines?

The answer lay in interpretation. This technique, first suggested by Maurice Wilkes (1951), permitted the design of simple, lower-cost computers that could nevertheless execute a large number of instructions. The result was the IBM System/360 architecture, a compatible family of computers, spanning nearly two orders of magnitude, in both price and capability. A direct hardware (i.e., not interpreted) implementation was used only on the most expensive models.

Simple computers with interpreted instructions also some had other benefits. Among the most important were

1. The ability to fix incorrectly implemented instructions in the field, or even make up for design deficiencies in the basic hardware.
2. The opportunity to add new instructions at minimal cost, even after delivery of the machine.
3. Structured design that permitted efficient development, testing, and documenting of complex instructions.

As the market for computers exploded dramatically in the 1970s and computing capabilities grew rapidly, the demand for low-cost computers favored designs of computers using interpreters. The ability to tailor the hardware and the interpreter for a particular set of instructions emerged as a highly cost-effective design for processors. As the underlying semiconductor technology advanced rapidly, the advantages of the cost outweighed the opportunities for higher performance, and interpreter-based architectures became the conventional way to design computers. Nearly all new computers designed in the 1970s, from minicomputers to mainframes, were based on interpretation.

By the late 70s, the use of simple processors running interpreters had become very widespread except among the most expensive, highest-performance models, such as the Cray-1 and the Control Data Cyber series. The use of an interpreter eliminated the inherent cost limitations of complex instructions so designers began to explore much more complex instructions, particularly the ways to specify the operands to be used.

This trend reached its zenith with Digital Equipment Corporation's VAX computer, which had several hundred instructions and more than 200 different ways of specifying the operands to be used in each instruction. Unfortunately, the VAX architecture was conceived from the beginning to be implemented with an interpreter, with little thought given to the implementation of a high-performance model. This mind set resulted in the inclusion of a very large number of instructions which were of marginal value and difficult to execute directly. This omission proved to be fatal to the VAX, and ultimately to DEC as well (Compaq bought DEC in 1998 and Hewlett-Packard bought Compaq in 2001).

Though the earliest 8-bit microprocessors were very simple machines with very simple instruction sets, by the late 70s, even microprocessors had switched to interpreter-based designs. During this period, one of the biggest challenges facing microprocessor designers was dealing with the growing complexity made possible by integrated circuits. A major advantage of the interpreter-based approach was the ability to design a simple processor, with the complexity largely confined to the memory holding the interpreter. Thus a complex hardware design could be turned into a complex software design.

The success of the Motorola 68000, which had a large interpreted instruction set, and the concurrent failure of the Zilog Z8000 (which had an equally large instruction set, but without an interpreter) demonstrated the advantages of an interpreter for bringing a new microprocessor to market quickly. This success was all the more surprising given Zilog's head start (the Z8000's predecessor, the Z80, was far more popular than the 68000's predecessor, the 6800). Of course, other factors were instrumental here, too, not the least of which was Motorola's long history as a chip manufacturer and Exxon's (Zilog's owner) long history of being an oil company, not a chip manufacturer.

Another factor working in favor of interpretation during that era was the existence of fast read-only memories, called **control stores**, to hold the interpreters.

Suppose that a typical interpreted instruction took the interpreter 10 instructions, called **microinstructions**, at 100 nsec each, and two references to main memory, at 500 nsec each. Total execution time was then 2000 nsec, only a factor-of-two worse than the best that direct execution could achieve. Had the control store not been available, the instruction would have taken 6000 nsec. A factor-of-six penalty is a lot harder to swallow than a factor-of-two penalty.

2.1.3 RISC versus CISC

During the late 70s there was experimentation with very complex instructions, made possible by the interpreter. Designers tried to close the “semantic gap” between what machines could do and what high-level programming languages required. Hardly anyone thought about designing simpler machines, just as now not a lot of research goes into designing less powerful spreadsheets, networks, Web servers, etc. (perhaps unfortunately).

One group that bucked the trend and tried to incorporate some of Seymour Cray’s ideas in a high-performance minicomputer was led by John Cocke at IBM. This work led to an experimental minicomputer, named the **801**. Although IBM never marketed this machine and the results were not published until years later (Radin, 1982), word got out and other people began investigating similar architectures.

In 1980, a group at Berkeley led by David Patterson and Carlo Séquin began designing VLSI CPU chips that did not use interpretation (Patterson, 1985, Patterson and Séquin, 1982). They coined the term **RISC** for this concept and named their CPU chip the RISC I CPU, followed shortly by the RISC II. Slightly later, in 1981, across the San Francisco Bay at Stanford, John Hennessy designed and fabricated a somewhat different chip he called the **MIPS** (Hennessy, 1984). These chips evolved into commercially important products, the SPARC and the MIPS, respectively.

These new processors were significantly different than commercial processors of the day. Since they did not have to be backward compatible with existing products, their designers were free to choose new instruction sets that would maximize total system performance. While the initial emphasis was on simple instructions that could be executed quickly, it was soon realized that designing instructions that could be **issued** (started) quickly was the key to good performance. How long an instruction actually took mattered less than how many could be started per second.

At the time these simple processors were being first designed, the characteristic that caught everyone’s attention was the relatively small number of instructions available, typically around 50. This number was far smaller than the 200 to 300 on established computers such as the DEC VAX and the large IBM mainframes. In fact, the acronym RISC stands for **Reduced Instruction Set Computer**, which was contrasted with CISC, which stands for **Complex Instruction Set Computer** (a thinly veiled reference to the VAX, which dominated university

Computer Science Departments at the time). Nowadays, few people think that the size of the instruction set is a major issue, but the name stuck.

To make a long story short, a great religious war ensued, with the RISC supporters attacking the established order (VAX, Intel, large IBM mainframes). They claimed that the best way to design a computer was to have a small number of simple instructions that execute in one cycle of the data path of Fig. 2-2 by fetching two registers, combining them somehow (e.g., adding or ANDing them), and storing the result back in a register. Their argument was that even if a RISC machine takes four or five instructions to do what a CISC machine does in one instruction, if the RISC instructions are 10 times as fast (because they are not interpreted), RISC wins. It is also worth pointing out that by this time the speed of main memories had caught up to the speed of read-only control stores, so the interpretation penalty had greatly increased, strongly favoring RISC machines.

One might think that given the performance advantages of RISC technology, RISC machines (such as the Sun UltraSPARC) would have mowed down CISC machines (such as the Intel Pentium) in the marketplace. Nothing like this has happened. Why not?

First of all, there is the issue of backward compatibility and the billions of dollars companies have invested in software for the Intel line. Second, surprisingly, Intel has been able to employ the same ideas even in a CISC architecture. Starting with the 486, the Intel CPUs contain a RISC core that executes the simplest (and typically most common) instructions in a single data path cycle, while interpreting the more complicated instructions in the usual CISC way. The net result is that common instructions are fast and less common instructions are slow. While this hybrid approach is not as fast as a pure RISC design, it gives competitive overall performance while still allowing old software to run unmodified.

2.1.4 Design Principles for Modern Computers

Now that more than two decades have passed since the first RISC machines were introduced, certain design principles have come to be accepted as a good way to design computers given the current state of the hardware technology. If a major change in technology occurs (e.g., a new manufacturing process suddenly makes memory cycle time 10 times faster than CPU cycle time), all bets are off. Thus machine designers should always keep an eye out for technological changes that may affect the balance among the components.

That said, there is a set of design principles, sometimes called the **RISC design principles**, that architects of new general-purpose CPUs do their best to follow. External constraints, such as the requirement of being backward compatible with some existing architecture, often require compromises from time to time, but these principles are goals that most designers strive to meet. Next we will discuss the major ones.

All Instructions Are Directly Executed by Hardware

All common instructions are directly executed by the hardware. They are not interpreted by microinstructions. Eliminating a level of interpretation provides high speed for most instructions. For computers that implement CISC instruction sets, the more complex instructions may be broken into separate parts, which can then be executed as a sequence of microinstructions. This extra step slows the machine down, but for less frequently occurring instructions it may be acceptable.

Maximize the Rate at Which Instructions Are Issued

Modern computers resort to many tricks to maximize their performance, chief among which is trying to start as many instructions per second as possible. After all, if you can issue 500 million instructions/sec, you have built a 500-MIPS processor, no matter how long the instructions actually take to complete. (**MIPS** stands for Millions of Instructions Per Second. The MIPS processor was so named as to be a pun on this acronym. Officially it stands for Microprocessor without Interlocked Pipeline Stages.) This principle suggests that parallelism can play a major role in improving performance, since issuing large numbers of slow instructions in a short time interval is possible only if multiple instructions can execute at once.

Although instructions are always encountered in program order, they are not always issued in program order (because some needed resource might be busy) and they need not finish in program order. Of course, if instruction 1 sets a register and instruction 2 uses that register, great care must be taken to make sure that instruction 2 does not read the register until it contains the correct value. Getting this right requires a lot of bookkeeping but has the potential for performance gains by executing multiple instructions at once.

Instructions Should Be Easy to Decode

A critical limit on the rate of issue of instructions is decoding individual instructions to determine what resources they need. Anything that can aid this process is useful. That includes making instructions regular, of fixed length, and with a small number of fields. The fewer different formats for instructions, the better.

Only Loads and Stores Should Reference Memory

One of the simplest ways to break operations into separate steps is to require that operands for most instructions come from—and return to—CPU registers. The operation of moving operands from memory into registers can be performed in separate instructions. Since access to memory can take a long time, and the delay is unpredictable, these instructions can best be overlapped with other instructions assuming they do nothing except move operands between registers and memory.

This observation means that only LOAD and STORE instructions should reference memory. All other instructions should operate only on registers.

Provide Plenty of Registers

Since accessing memory is relatively slow, many registers (at least 32) need to be provided, so that once a word is fetched, it can be kept in a register until it is no longer needed. Running out of registers and having to flush them back to memory only to later reload them is undesirable and should be avoided as much as possible. The best way to accomplish this is to have enough registers.

2.1.5 Instruction-Level Parallelism

Computer architects are constantly striving to improve performance of the machines they design. Making the chips run faster by increasing their clock speed is one way, but for every new design, there is a limit to what is possible by brute force at that moment in history. Consequently, most computer architects look to parallelism (doing two or more things at once) as a way to get even more performance for a given clock speed.

Parallelism comes in two general forms, namely, instruction-level parallelism and processor-level parallelism. In the former, parallelism is exploited within individual instructions to get more instructions/sec out of the machine. In the latter, multiple CPUs work together on the same problem. Each approach has its own merits. In this section we will look at instruction-level parallelism; in the next one, we will look at processor-level parallelism.

Pipelining

It has been known for years that the actual fetching of instructions from memory is a major bottleneck in instruction execution speed. To alleviate this problem, computers going back at least as far as the IBM Stretch (1959) have had the ability to fetch instructions from memory in advance, so they would be there when they were needed. These instructions were stored in a special set of registers called the **prefetch buffer**. This way, when an instruction was needed, it could usually be taken from the prefetch buffer rather than waiting for a memory read to complete.

In effect, prefetching divides instruction execution into two parts: fetching and actual execution. The concept of a **pipeline** carries this strategy much further. Instead of being divided into only two parts, instruction execution is often divided into many (often a dozen or more) parts, each one handled by a dedicated piece of hardware, all of which can run in parallel.

Figure 2-4(a) illustrates a pipeline with five units, also called **stages**. Stage 1 fetches the instruction from memory and places it in a buffer until it is needed. Stage 2 decodes the instruction, determining its type and what operands it needs.

Stage 3 locates and fetches the operands, either from registers or from memory. Stage 4 actually does the work of carrying out the instruction, typically by running the operands through the data path of Fig. 2-2. Finally, stage 5 writes the result back to the proper register.




Figure 2-4. (a) A five-stage pipeline. (b) The state of each stage as a function of time. Nine clock cycles are illustrated.

In Fig. 2-4(b) we see how the pipeline operates as a function of time. During clock cycle 1, stage S1 is working on instruction 1, fetching it from memory. During cycle 2, stage S2 decodes instruction 1, while stage S1 fetches instruction 2. During cycle 3, stage S3 fetches the operands for instruction 1, stage S2 decodes instruction 2, and stage S1 fetches the third instruction. During cycle 4, stage S4 executes instruction 1, S3 fetches the operands for instruction 2, S2 decodes instruction 3, and S1 fetches instruction 4. Finally, in cycle 5, S5 writes the result of instruction 1 back, while the other stages work on the following instructions.

Let us consider an analogy to clarify the concept of pipelining. Imagine a cake factory in which the baking of the cakes and the packaging of the cakes for shipment are separated. Suppose that the shipping department has a long conveyor belt with five workers (processing units) lined up along it. Every 10 sec (the clock cycle), worker 1 places an empty cake box on the belt. The box is carried down to worker 2, who places a cake in it. A little later, the box arrives at worker 3's station, where it is closed and sealed. Then it continues to worker 4, who puts a label on the box. Finally, worker 5 removes the box from the belt and puts it in a large container for later shipment to a supermarket. Basically, this is the way computer pipelining works, too: each instruction (cake) goes through several processing steps before emerging completed at the far end.

Getting back to our pipeline of Fig. 2-4, suppose that the cycle time of this machine is 2 nsec. Then it takes 10 nsec for an instruction to progress all the way through the five-stage pipeline. At first glance, with an instruction taking 10 nsec, it might appear that the machine can run at 100 MIPS, but in fact it does much better than this. At every clock cycle (2 nsec), one new instruction is completed, so the actual rate of processing is 500 MIPS, not 100 MIPS.

Pipelining allows a trade-off between **latency** (how long it takes to execute an instruction), and **processor bandwidth** (how many MIPS the CPU has). With a cycle time of T nsec, and n stages in the pipeline, the latency is nT nsec because each instruction passes through n stages, each of which takes T nsec.

Since one instruction completes every clock cycle and there are $10^9/T$ clock cycles/second, the number of instructions executed per second is $10^9/T$. For example, if $T = 2$ nsec, 500 million instructions are executed each second. To get the number of MIPS, we have to divide the instruction execution rate by 1 million to get $(10^9/T)/10^6 = 1000/T$ MIPS. Theoretically, we could measure instruction execution rate in BIPS instead of MIPS, but nobody does that, so we will not either.

Superscalar Architectures

If one pipeline is good, then surely two pipelines are better. One possible design for a dual pipeline CPU, based on Fig. 2-4, is shown in Fig. 2-5. Here a single instruction fetch unit fetches pairs of instructions together and puts each one into its own pipeline, complete with its own ALU for parallel operation. To be able to run in parallel, the two instructions must not conflict over resource usage (e.g., registers), and neither must depend on the result of the other. As with a single pipeline, either the compiler must guarantee this situation to hold (i.e., the hardware does not check and gives incorrect results if the instructions are not compatible), or conflicts must be detected and eliminated during execution using extra hardware.




Figure 2-5. Dual five-stage pipelines with a common instruction fetch unit.

Although pipelines, single or double, were originally used on RISC machines (the 386 and its predecessors did not have any), starting with the 486 Intel began

introducing data pipelines into its CPUs. The 486 had one pipeline and the original Pentium had two five-stage pipelines roughly as in Fig. 2-5, although the exact division of work between stages 2 and 3 (called decode-1 and decode-2) was slightly different than in our example. The main pipeline, called the **u pipeline**, could execute an arbitrary Pentium instruction. The second pipeline, called the **v pipeline**, could execute only simple integer instructions (and also one simple floating-point instruction—FXCH).

Fixed rules determined whether a pair of instructions were compatible so they could be executed in parallel. If the instructions in a pair were not simple enough or incompatible, only the first one was executed (in the u pipeline). The second one was then held and paired with the instruction following it. Instructions were always executed in order. Thus Pentium-specific compilers that produced compatible pairs could produce faster-running programs than older compilers. Measurements showed that a Pentium running code optimized for it was exactly twice as fast on integer programs as a 486 running at the same clock rate (Pountain, 1993). This gain could be attributed entirely to the second pipeline.

Going to four pipelines is conceivable, but doing so duplicates too much hardware (computer scientists, unlike folklore specialists, do not believe in the number three). Instead, a different approach is used on high-end CPUs. The basic idea is to have just a single pipeline but give it multiple functional units, as shown in Fig. 2-6. For example, the Intel Core architecture has a structure similar to this figure. It will be discussed in Chap. 4. The term **superscalar architecture** was coined for this approach in 1987 (Agerwala and Cocke, 1987). Its roots, however, go back more than 40 years to the CDC 6600 computer. The 6600 fetched an instruction every 100 nsec and passed it off to one of 10 functional units for parallel execution while the CPU went off to get the next instruction.

The definition of “superscalar” has evolved somewhat over time. It is now used to describe processors that issue multiple instructions—often four or six—in a single clock cycle. Of course, a superscalar CPU must have multiple functional units to hand all these instructions to. Since superscalar processors generally have one pipeline, they tend to look like Fig. 2-6.

Using this definition, the 6600 was technically not superscalar because it issued only one instruction per cycle. However, the effect was almost the same: instructions were issued at a much higher rate than they could be executed. The conceptual difference between a CPU with a 100-nsec clock that issues one instruction every cycle to a group of functional units and a CPU with a 400-nsec clock that issues four instructions per cycle to the same group of functional units is very small. In both cases, the key idea is that the issue rate is much higher than the execution rate, with the workload being spread across a collection of functional units.

Implicit in the idea of a superscalar processor is that the S3 stage can issue instructions considerably faster than the S4 stage is able to execute them. If the S3 stage issued an instruction every 10 nsec and all the functional units could do their work in 10 nsec, no more than one would ever be busy at once, negating the whole




Figure 2-6. A superscalar processor with five functional units.

idea. In reality, most of the functional units in stage 4 take appreciably longer than one clock cycle to execute, certainly the ones that access memory or do floating-point arithmetic. As can be seen from the figure, it is possible to have multiple ALUs in stage S4.

2.1.6 Processor-Level Parallelism

The demand for ever faster computers seems to be insatiable. Astronomers want to simulate what happened in the first microsecond after the big bang, economists want to model the world economy, and teenagers want to play 3D interactive multimedia games over the Internet with their virtual friends. While CPUs keep getting faster, eventually they are going to run into the problems with the speed of light, which is likely to stay at 20 cm/nanosecond in copper wire or optical fiber, no matter how clever Intel's engineers are. Faster chips also produce more heat, whose dissipation is a huge problem. In fact, the difficulty of getting rid of the heat produced is the main reason CPU clock speeds have stagnated in the past decade.

Instruction-level parallelism helps a little, but pipelining and superscalar operation rarely win more than a factor of five or ten. To get gains of 50, 100, or more, the only way is to design computers with multiple CPUs, so we will now take a look at how some of these are organized.

Data Parallel Computers

A substantial number of problems in computational domains such as the physical sciences, engineering, and computer graphics involve loops and arrays, or otherwise have a highly regular structure. Often the same calculations are performed repeatedly on many different sets of data. The regularity and structure of these programs makes them especially easy targets for speed-up through parallel execution. Two primary methods have been used to execute these highly regular programs quickly and efficiently: SIMD processors and vector processors. While these two schemes are remarkably similar in most ways, ironically, the first is generally thought of as a parallel computer while the second is considered an extension to a single processor.

Data parallel computers have found many successful applications as a consequence of their remarkable efficiency. They are able to produce significant computational power with fewer transistors than alternative approaches. Gordon Moore (of Moore's law) famously noted that silicon costs about \$1 billion per acre (4047 square meters). Thus, the more computational muscle that can be squeezed out of that acre of silicon, the more money a computer company can make selling silicon. Data parallel processors are one of the most efficient means to squeeze performance out of silicon. Because all of the processors are running the same instruction, the system needs only one "brain" controlling the computer. Consequently, the processor needs only one fetch stage, one decode stage, and one set of control logic. This is a huge saving in silicon that gives data parallel computers a big edge over other processors, as long as the software they are running is highly regular with lots of parallelism.

A **Single Instruction-stream Multiple Data-stream** or **SIMD processor** consists of a large number of identical processors that perform the same sequence of instructions on different sets of data. The world's first SIMD processor was the University of Illinois ILLIAC IV computer (Bouknight et al., 1972). The original ILLIAC IV design consisted of four quadrants, each quadrant having an 8×8 square grid of processor/memory elements. A single control unit per quadrant broadcast a single instruction to all processors, which was executed by all processors in lockstep each using its own data from its own memory. Owing to funding constraints only one 50 megaflops (million floating-point operations per second) quadrant was ever built; had the entire 1-gigaflop machine been completed, it would have doubled the computing power of the entire world.

Modern graphics processing units (GPUs) heavily rely on SIMD processing to provide massive computational power with few transistors. Graphics processing lends itself to SIMD processors because most of the algorithms are highly regular, with repeated operations on pixels, vertices, textures, and edges. Fig. 2-7 shows the SIMD processor at the core of the Nvidia Fermi GPU. A Fermi GPU contains up to 16 SIMD stream multiprocessors (SM), with each SM containing 32 SIMD processors. Each cycle, the scheduler selects two threads to execute on the SIMD




Figure 2-7. The SIMD core of the Fermi graphics processing unit.

processor. The next instruction from each thread then executes on up to 16 SIMD processors, although possibly fewer if there is not enough data parallelism. If each thread is able to perform 16 operations per cycle, a fully loaded Fermi GPU core with 32 SMs will perform a whopping 512 operations per cycle. This is an impressive feat considering that a similar-sized general purpose quad-core CPU would struggle to achieve 1/32 as much processing.

A **vector processor** appears to the programmer very much like a SIMD processor. Like a SIMD processor, it is very efficient at executing a sequence of operations on pairs of data elements. But unlike a SIMD processor, all of the operations are performed in a single, heavily pipelined functional unit. The company Seymour Cray founded, Cray Research, produced many vector processors, starting with the Cray-1 back in 1974 and continuing through current models.

Both SIMD processors and vector processors work on arrays of data. Both execute single instructions that, for example, add the elements together pairwise for two vectors. But while the SIMD processor does it by having as many adders as elements in the vector, the vector processor has the concept of a **vector register**,

which consists of a set of conventional registers that can be loaded from memory in a single instruction, which actually loads them from memory serially. Then a vector addition instruction performs the pairwise addition of the elements of two such vectors by feeding them to a pipelined adder from the two vector registers. The result from the adder is another vector, which can either be stored into a vector register or used directly as an operand for another vector operation. The SSE (Streaming SIMD Extension) instructions available on the Intel Core architecture use this execution model to speed up highly regular computation, such as multimedia and scientific software. In this respect, the Intel Core architecture has the ILLIAC IV as one of its ancestors.

Multiprocessors

The processing elements in a data parallel processor are not independent CPUs, since there is only one control unit shared among all of them. Our first parallel system with multiple full-blown CPUs is the **multiprocessor**, a system with more than one CPU sharing a common memory, like a group of people in a room sharing a common blackboard. Since each CPU can read or write any part of memory, they must coordinate (in software) to avoid getting in each other's way. When two or more CPUs have the ability to interact closely, as is the case with multiprocessors, they are said to be tightly coupled.

Various implementation schemes are possible. The simplest one is to have a single bus with multiple CPUs and one memory all plugged into it. A diagram of such a bus-based multiprocessor is shown in Fig. 2-8(a).




Figure 2-8. (a) A single-bus multiprocessor. (b) A multicomputer with local memories.

It does not take much imagination to realize that with a large number of fast processors constantly trying to access memory over the same bus, conflicts will result. Multiprocessor designers have come up with various schemes to reduce this

contention and improve performance. One design, shown in Fig. 2-8(b), gives each processor some local memory of its own, not accessible to the others. This memory can be used for program code and those data items that need not be shared. Access to this private memory does not use the main bus, greatly reducing bus traffic. Other schemes (e.g., caching—see below) are also possible.

Multiprocessors have the advantage over other kinds of parallel computers that the programming model of a single shared memory is easy to work with. For example, imagine a program looking for cancer cells in a photograph of some tissue taken through a microscope. The digitized photograph could be kept in the common memory, with each processor assigned some region of the photograph to hunt in. Since each processor has access to the entire memory, studying a cell that starts in its assigned region but straddles the boundary into the next region is no problem.

Multicomputers

Although multiprocessors with a modest number of processors (≤ 256) are relatively easy to build, large ones are surprisingly difficult to construct. The difficulty is in connecting so many the processors to the memory. To get around these problems, many designers have simply abandoned the idea of having a shared memory and just build systems consisting of large numbers of interconnected computers, each having its own private memory, but no common memory. These systems are called **multicomputers**. The CPUs in a multicomputer are said to be **loosely coupled**, to contrast them with the **tightly coupled** multiprocessor CPUs.

The CPUs in a multicomputer communicate by sending each other messages, something like email, but much faster. For large systems, having every computer connected to every other computer is impractical, so topologies such as 2D and 3D grids, trees, and rings are used. As a result, messages from one computer to another often must pass through one or more intermediate computers or switches to get from the source to the destination. Nevertheless, message-passing times on the order of a few microseconds can be achieved without much difficulty. Multicomputers with over 250,000 CPUs, such as IBM's Blue Gene/P, have been built.

Since multiprocessors are easier to program and multicomputers are easier to build, there is much research on designing hybrid systems that combine the good properties of each. Such computers try to present the illusion of shared memory without going to the expense of actually constructing it. We will go into multiprocessors and multicomputers in detail in Chap. 8.

2.2 PRIMARY MEMORY

The **memory** is that part of the computer where programs and data are stored. Some computer scientists (especially British ones) use the term **store** or **storage** rather than memory, although more and more, the term “storage” is used to refer

to disk storage. Without a memory from which the processors can read and write information, there would be no stored-program digital computers.

2.2.1 Bits

The basic unit of memory is the binary digit, called a **bit**. A bit may contain a 0 or a 1. It is the simplest possible unit. (A device capable of storing only zeros could hardly form the basis of a memory system; at least two values are needed.)

People often say that computers use binary arithmetic because it is “efficient.” What they mean (although they rarely realize it) is that digital information can be stored by distinguishing between different values of some continuous physical quantity, such as voltage or current. The more values that must be distinguished, the less separation between adjacent values, and the less reliable the memory. The binary number system requires only two values to be distinguished. Consequently, it is the most reliable method for encoding digital information. If you are not familiar with binary numbers, see Appendix A.

Some computers, such as the large IBM mainframes, are advertised as having decimal as well as binary arithmetic. This trick is accomplished by using 4 bits to store one decimal digit using a code called **BCD (Binary Coded Decimal)**. Four bits provide 16 combinations, used for the 10 digits 0 through 9, with six combinations not used. The number 1944 is shown below encoded in decimal and in pure binary, using 16 bits in each example:

decimal: 0001 1001 0100 0100 binary: 0000011110011000

Sixteen bits in the decimal format can store the numbers from 0 to 9999, giving only 10,000 combinations, whereas a 16-bit pure binary number can store 65,536 different combinations. For this reason, people say that binary is more efficient.

Consider, however, what would happen if some brilliant young electrical engineer invented a highly reliable electronic device that could directly store the digits 0 to 9 by dividing the region from 0 to 10 volts into 10 intervals. Four of these devices could store any decimal number from 0 to 9999. Four such devices would provide 10,000 combinations. They could also be used to store binary numbers, by only using 0 and 1, in which case, four of them could store only 16 combinations. With such devices, the decimal system would obviously be more efficient.

2.2.2 Memory Addresses

Memories consist of a number of **cells** (or **locations**), each of which can store a piece of information. Each cell has a number, called its **address**, by which programs can refer to it. If a memory has n cells, they will have addresses 0 to $n - 1$. All cells in a memory contain the same number of bits. If a cell consists of k bits,

it can hold any one of 2^k different bit combinations. Figure 2-9 shows three different organizations for a 96-bit memory. Note that adjacent cells have consecutive addresses (by definition).




Figure 2-9. Three ways of organizing a 96-bit memory.

Computers that use the binary number system (including octal and hexadecimal notation for binary numbers) express memory addresses as binary numbers. If an address has m bits, the maximum number of cells addressable is 2^m . For example, an address used to reference the memory of Fig. 2-9(a) needs at least 4 bits in order to express all the numbers from 0 to 11. A 3-bit address is sufficient for Fig. 2-9(b) and (c), however. The number of bits in the address determines the maximum number of directly addressable cells in the memory and is independent of the number of bits per cell. A memory with 2^{12} cells of 8 bits each and a memory with 2^{12} cells of 64 bits each need 12-bit addresses.

The number of bits per cell for some computers that have been sold commercially is listed in Fig. 2-10.

The significance of the cell is that it is the smallest addressable unit. In recent years, nearly all computer manufacturers have standardized on an 8-bit cell, which is called a **byte**. The term **octet** is also used. Bytes are grouped into **words**. A computer with a 32-bit word has 4 bytes/word, whereas a computer with a 64-bit word has 8 bytes/word. The significance of a word is that most instructions operate on entire words, for example, adding two words together. Thus a 32-bit machine will have 32-bit registers and instructions for manipulating 32-bit words,

Computer	Bits/cell
Burroughs B1700	1
IBM PC	8
DEC PDP-8	12
IBM 1130	16
DEC PDP-15	18
XDS 940	24
Electrologica X8	27
XDS Sigma 9	32
Honeywell 6180	36
CDC 3600	48
CDC Cyber	60

Figure 2-10. Number of bits per cell for some historically interesting commercial computers.

whereas a 64-bit machine will have 64-bit registers and instructions for moving, adding, subtracting, and otherwise manipulating 64-bit words.

2.2.3 Byte Ordering

The bytes in a word can be numbered from left to right or right to left. At first it might seem that this choice is unimportant, but as we shall see shortly, it has major implications. Figure 2-11(a) depicts part of the memory of a 32-bit computer whose bytes are numbered from left to right, such as the SPARC or the big IBM mainframes. Figure 2-11(b) gives the analogous representation of a 32-bit computer using right-to-left numbering, such as the Intel family. The former system, where the numbering begins at the “big” (i.e., high-order) end is called a **big endian** computer, in contrast to the **little endian** of Fig. 2-11(b). These terms are due to Jonathan Swift, whose *Gulliver’s Travels* satirized politicians who made war over their dispute about whether eggs should be broken at the big end or the little end. The term was first used in computer architecture in a delightful article by Cohen (1981).

It is important to understand that in both the big endian and little endian systems, a 32-bit integer with the numerical value of, say, 6, is represented by the bits 110 in the rightmost (low-order) 3 bits of a word and zeros in the leftmost 29 bits. In the big endian scheme, the 110 bits are in byte 3 (or 7, or 11, etc.), whereas in the little endian scheme they are in byte 0 (or 4, or 8, etc.). In both cases, the word containing this integer has address 0.

If computers stored only integers, there would be no problem. However, many applications require a mixture of integers, character strings, and other data types. Consider, for example, a simple personnel record consisting of a string (employee




Figure 2-11. (a) Big endian memory. (b) Little endian memory.

name) and two integers (age and department number). The string is terminated with 1 or more 0 bytes to fill out a word. The big endian representation is shown in Fig. 2-12(a); the little endian representation is shown in Fig. 2-12(b) for Jim Smith, age 21, department 260 ($1 \times 256 + 4 = 260$).




Figure 2-12. (a) A personnel record for a big endian machine. (b) The same record for a little endian machine. (c) The result of transferring the record from a big endian to a little endian. (d) The result of byte swapping (c).

Both of these representations are fine and internally consistent. The problems begin when one of the machines tries to send the record to the other one over a network. Let us assume that the big endian sends the record to the little endian one byte at a time, starting with byte 0 and ending with byte 19. (We will be optimistic and assume the bits of the bytes are not reversed by the transmission, as we have enough problems as is.) Thus the big endian's byte 0 goes into the little endian's memory at byte 0, and so on, as shown in Fig. 2-12(c).

When the little endian tries to print the name, it works fine, but the age comes out as 21×2^{24} and the department is just as garbled. This situation arises because

the transmission has reversed the order of the characters in a word, as it should, but it has also reversed the bytes in an integer, which it should not.

An obvious solution is to have the software reverse the bytes within a word after the copy has been made. Doing this leads to Fig. 2-12(d) which makes the two integers fine but turns the string into “MIJTIMS” with the “H” hanging in the middle of nowhere. This reversal of the string occurs because when reading it, the computer first reads byte 0 (a space), then byte 1 (M), and so on.

There is no simple solution. One way that works, but is inefficient, is to include a header in front of each data item telling what kind of data follows (string, integer, or other) and how long it is. This allows the receiver to perform only the necessary conversions. In any event, it should be clear that the lack of a standard for byte ordering is a big nuisance when moving data between different machines.

2.2.4 Error-Correcting Codes

Computer memories occasionally make errors due to voltage spikes on the power line, cosmic rays, or other causes. To guard against such errors, some memories use error-detecting or error-correcting codes. When these codes are used, extra bits are added to each memory word in a special way. When a word is read out of memory, the extra bits are checked to see if an error has occurred.

To understand how errors can be handled, it is necessary to look closely at what an error really is. Suppose that a memory word consists of m data bits to which we will add r redundant, or check, bits. Let the total length be n (i.e., $n = m + r$). An n -bit unit containing m data and r check bits is often referred to as an n -bit **codeword**.

Given any two codewords, say, 10001001 and 10110001, it is possible to determine how many corresponding bits differ. In this case, 3 bits differ. To determine how many bits differ, just compute the bitwise Boolean EXCLUSIVE OR of the two codewords and count the number of 1 bits in the result. The number of bit positions in which two codewords differ is called the **Hamming distance** (Hamming, 1950). Its main significance is that if two codewords are a Hamming distance d apart, it will require d single-bit errors to convert one into the other. For example, the codewords 11110001 and 00110000 are a Hamming distance 3 apart because it takes 3 single-bit errors to convert one into the other.

With an m -bit memory word, all 2^m bit patterns are legal, but due to the way the check bits are computed, only 2^m of the 2^n codewords are valid. If a memory read turns up an invalid codeword, the computer knows that a memory error has occurred. Given the algorithm for computing the check bits, it is possible to construct a complete list of the legal codewords, and from this list find the two codewords whose Hamming distance is minimum. This distance is the Hamming distance of the complete code.

The error-detecting and error-correcting properties of a code depend on its Hamming distance. To detect d single-bit errors, you need a distance $d + 1$ code

because with such a code there is no way that d single-bit errors can change a valid codeword into another valid codeword. Similarly, to correct d single-bit errors, you need a distance $2d + 1$ code because that way the legal codewords are so far apart that even with d changes, the original codeword is still closer than any other codeword, so it can be uniquely determined.

As a simple example of an error-detecting code, consider a code in which a single **parity bit** is appended to the data. The parity bit is chosen so that the number of 1 bits in the codeword is even (or odd). Such a code has a distance 2, since any single-bit error produces a codeword with the wrong parity. In other words, it takes two single-bit errors to go from a valid codeword to another valid codeword. It can be used to detect single errors. Whenever a word containing the wrong parity is read from memory, an error condition is signaled. The program cannot continue, but at least no incorrect results are computed.

As a simple example of an error-correcting code, consider a code with only four valid codewords:

0000000000, 0000011111, 1111100000, and 1111111111

This code has a distance 5, which means that it can correct double errors. If the codeword 000000111 arrives, the receiver knows that the original must have been 0000011111 (if there was no more than a double error). If, however, a triple error changes 0000000000 into 0000000111, the error cannot be corrected.

Imagine that we want to design a code with m data bits and r check bits that will allow all single-bit errors to be corrected. Each of the 2^m legal memory words has n illegal codewords at a distance 1 from it. These are formed by systematically inverting each of the n bits in the n -bit codeword formed from it. Thus each of the 2^m legal memory words requires $n + 1$ bit patterns dedicated to it (for the n possible errors and correct pattern). Since the total number of bit patterns is 2^n , we must have $(n + 1)2^m \leq 2^n$. Using $n = m + r$, this requirement becomes $(m + r + 1) \leq 2^r$. Given m , this puts a lower limit on the number of check bits needed to correct single errors. Figure 2-13 shows the number of check bits required for various memory word sizes.

Word size	Check bits	Total size	Percent overhead
8	4	12	50
16	5	21	31
32	6	38	19
64	7	71	11
128	8	136	6
256	9	265	4
512	10	522	2

Figure 2-13. Number of check bits for a code that can correct a single error.

This theoretical lower limit can be achieved using a method due to Richard Hamming (1950). Before taking a look at Hamming's algorithm, let us look at a simple graphical representation that clearly illustrates the idea of an error-correcting code for 4-bit words. The Venn diagram of Fig. 2-14(a) contains three circles, A , B , and C , which together form seven regions. As an example, let us encode the 4-bit memory word 1100 in the regions AB , ABC , AC , and BC , 1 bit per region (in alphabetical order). This encoding is shown in Fig. 2-14(a).




Figure 2-14. (a) Encoding of 1100. (b) Even parity added. (c) Error in AC .

Next we add a parity bit to each of the three empty regions to produce even parity, as illustrated in Fig. 2-14(b). By definition, the sum of the bits in each of the three circles, A , B , and C , is now an even number. In circle A , we have the four numbers 0, 0, 1, and 1, which add up to 2, an even number. In circle B , the numbers are 1, 1, 0, and 0, which also add up to 2, an even number. Finally, in circle C , we have the same thing. In this example all the circles happen to be the same, but sums of 0 and 4 are also possible in other examples. This figure corresponds to a codeword with 4 data bits and 3 parity bits.

Now suppose that the bit in the AC region goes bad, changing from a 0 to a 1, as shown in Fig. 2-14(c). The computer can now see that circles A and C have the wrong (odd) parity. The only single-bit change that corrects them is to restore AC back to 0, thus correcting the error. In this way, the computer can repair single-bit memory errors automatically.

Now let us see how Hamming's algorithm can be used to construct error-correcting codes for any size memory word. In a Hamming code, r parity bits are added to an m -bit word, forming a new word of length $m + r$ bits. The bits are numbered starting at 1, not 0, with bit 1 the leftmost (high-order) bit. All bits whose bit number is a power of 2 are parity bits; the rest are used for data. For example, with a 16-bit word, 5 parity bits are added. Bits 1, 2, 4, 8, and 16 are parity bits, and all the rest are data bits. In all, the memory word has 21 bits (16 data, 5 parity). We will (arbitrarily) use even parity in this example.

Each parity bit checks specific bit positions; the parity bit is set so that the total number of 1s in the checked positions is even. The bit positions checked by the parity bits are

Bit 1 checks bits 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15, 17, 19, 21.

Bit 2 checks bits 2, 3, 6, 7, 10, 11, 14, 15, 18, 19.

Bit 4 checks bits 4, 5, 6, 7, 12, 13, 14, 15, 20, 21.

Bit 8 checks bits 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15.

Bit 16 checks bits 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21.

In general, bit b is checked by those bits b_1, b_2, \dots, b_j such that $b_1 + b_2 + \dots + b_j = b$. For example, bit 5 is checked by bits 1 and 4 because $1 + 4 = 5$. Bit 6 is checked by bits 2 and 4 because $2 + 4 = 6$, and so on.

Figure 2-15 shows construction of a Hamming code for the 16-bit memory word 1111000010101110. The 21-bit codeword is 001011100000101101110. To see how error correction works, consider what would happen if bit 5 were inverted by an electrical surge on the power line. The new codeword would be 001001100000101101110 instead of 001011100000101101110. The 5 parity bits will be checked, with the following results:

Parity bit 1 incorrect (1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15, 17, 19, 21 contain five 1s).

Parity bit 2 correct (2, 3, 6, 7, 10, 11, 14, 15, 18, 19 contain six 1s).

Parity bit 4 incorrect (4, 5, 6, 7, 12, 13, 14, 15, 20, 21 contain five 1s).

Parity bit 8 correct (8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 contain two 1s).

Parity bit 16 correct (16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21 contain four 1s).

The total number of 1s in bits 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15, 17, 19, and 21 should be an even number because even parity is being used. The incorrect bit must be one of the bits checked by parity bit 1—namely, bit 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15, 17, 19, or 21. Parity bit 4 is incorrect, meaning that one of bits 4, 5, 6, 7, 12, 13, 14, 15, 20, or 21 is incorrect. The error must be one of the bits in both lists, namely, 5, 7, 13, 15, or 21. However, bit 2 is correct, eliminating 7 and 15. Similarly, bit 8 is correct, eliminating 13. Finally, bit 16 is correct, eliminating 21. The only bit left is bit 5, which is the one in error. Since it was read as a 1, it should be a 0. In this manner, errors can be corrected.

A simple method for finding the incorrect bit is first to compute all the parity bits. If all are correct, there was no error (or more than one). Then add up all the incorrect parity bits, counting 1 for bit 1, 2 for bit 2, 4 for bit 4, and so on. The resulting sum is the position of the incorrect bit. For example, if parity bits 1 and 4 are incorrect but 2, 8, and 16 are correct, bit 5 ($1 + 4$) has been inverted.




Figure 2-15. Construction of the Hamming code for the memory word 1111000010101110 by adding 5 check bits to the 16 data bits.

2.2.5 Cache Memory

Historically, CPUs have always been faster than memories. As memories have improved, so have CPUs, preserving the imbalance. In fact, as it becomes possible to put more and more circuits on a chip, CPU designers are using these new facilities for pipelining and superscalar operation, making CPUs go even faster. Memory designers have usually used new technology to increase the capacity of their chips, not the speed, so the problem appears to be getting worse over time. What this imbalance means in practice is that after the CPU issues a memory request, it will not get the word it needs for many CPU cycles. The slower the memory, the more cycles the CPU will have to wait.

As we pointed out above, there are two ways to deal with this problem. The simplest way is to just start memory READs when they are encountered but continue executing and stall the CPU if an instruction tries to use the memory word before it has arrived. The slower the memory, the greater the penalty when it does occur. For example, if one instruction in five touches memory and the memory access time is five cycles, execution time will be twice what it would have been with instantaneous memory. But if the memory access time is 50 cycles, then execution time will be up by a factor of 11 (5 cycles for executing instructions plus 50 cycles for waiting for memory).

The other solution is to have machines that do not stall but instead require the compilers not to generate code to use words before they have arrived. The trouble is that this approach is far easier said than done. Often after a LOAD there is nothing else to do, so the compiler is forced to insert NOP (no operation) instructions, which do nothing but occupy a slot and waste time. In effect, this approach is a software stall instead of a hardware stall, but the performance degradation is the same.

Actually, the problem is not technology, but economics. Engineers know how to build memories that are as fast as CPUs, but to run them at full speed, they have to be located on the CPU chip (because going over the bus to memory is very slow). Putting a large memory on the CPU chip makes it bigger, which makes it more expensive, and even if cost were not an issue, there are limits to how big a

CPU chip can be made. Thus the choice comes down to having a small amount of fast memory or a large amount of slow memory. What we would prefer is a large amount of fast memory at a low price.

Interestingly enough, techniques are known for combining a small amount of fast memory with a large amount of slow memory to get the speed of the fast memory (almost) and the capacity of the large memory at a moderate price. The small, fast memory is called a **cache** (from the French *cache*, meaning to hide, and pronounced “cash”). Below we will briefly describe how caches are used and how they work. A more detailed description will be given in Chap. 4.

The basic idea behind a cache is simple: the most heavily used memory words are kept in the cache. When the CPU needs a word, it first looks in the cache. Only if the word is not there does it go to main memory. If a substantial fraction of the words are in the cache, the average access time can be greatly reduced.

Success or failure thus depends on what fraction of the words are in the cache. For years, people have known that programs do not access their memories completely at random. If a given memory reference is to address A , it is likely that the next memory reference will be in the general vicinity of A . A simple example is the program itself. Except for branches and procedure calls, instructions are fetched from consecutive locations in memory. Furthermore, most program execution time is spent in loops, in which a limited number of instructions are executed over and over. Similarly, a matrix manipulation program is likely to make many references to the same matrix before moving on to something else.

The observation that the memory references made in any short time interval tend to use only a small fraction of the total memory is called the **locality principle** and forms the basis for all caching systems. The general idea is that when a word is referenced, it and some of its neighbors are brought from the large slow memory into the cache, so that the next time it is used, it can be accessed quickly. A common arrangement of the CPU, cache, and main memory is illustrated in Fig. 2-16. If a word is read or written k times in a short interval, the computer will need 1 reference to slow memory and $k - 1$ references to fast memory. The larger k is, the better the overall performance.




Figure 2-16. The cache is logically between the CPU and main memory. Physically, there are several possible places it could be located.

We can formalize this calculation by introducing c , the cache access time, m , the main memory access time, and h , the **hit ratio**, which is the fraction of all references that can be satisfied out of the cache. In our little example of the previous paragraph, $h = (k - 1)/k$. Some authors also define the **miss ratio**, which is $1 - h$.

With these definitions, we can calculate the mean access time as follows:

$$\text{mean access time} = c + (1 - h)m$$

As $h \rightarrow 1$, all references can be satisfied out of the cache, and the access time approaches c . On the other hand, as $h \rightarrow 0$, a memory reference is needed every time, so the access time approaches $c + m$, first a time c to check the cache (unsuccessfully), and then a time m to do the memory reference. On some systems, the memory reference can be started in parallel with the cache search, so that if a cache miss occurs, the memory cycle has already been started. However, this strategy requires that the memory can be stopped in its tracks on a cache hit, making the implementation more complicated.

Using the locality principle as a guide, main memories and caches are divided up into fixed-size blocks. When talking about these blocks inside the cache, we will often refer to them as **cache lines**. When a cache miss occurs, the entire cache line is loaded from the main memory into the cache, not just the word needed. For example, with a 64-byte line size, a reference to memory address 260 will pull the line consisting of bytes 256 to 319 into one cache line. With a little bit of luck, some of the other words in the cache line will be needed shortly. Operating this way is more efficient than fetching individual words because it is faster to fetch k words all at once than one word k times. Also, having cache entries be more than one word means there are fewer of them, hence a smaller overhead is required. Finally, many computers can transfer 64 or 128 bits in parallel on a single bus cycle, even on 32-bit machines.

Cache design is an increasingly important subject for high-performance CPUs. One issue is cache size. The bigger the cache, the better it performs, but also the slower it is to access and the more it costs. A second issue is the size of the cache line. A 16-KB cache can be divided up into 1024 lines of 16 bytes, 2048 lines of 8 bytes, and other combinations. A third issue is how the cache is organized, that is, how does the cache keep track of which memory words are currently being held? We will examine caches in detail in Chap. 4.

A fourth design issue is whether instructions and data are kept in the same cache or different ones. Having a **unified cache** (instructions and data use the same cache) is a simpler design and automatically balances instruction fetches against data fetches. Nevertheless, the trend these days is toward a **split cache**, with instructions in one cache and data in the other. This design is also called a **Harvard architecture**, the reference going all the way back to Howard Aiken's Mark III computer, which had different memories for instructions and data. The force driving designers in this direction is the widespread use of pipelined CPUs. The instruction fetch unit needs to access instructions at the same time the operand

fetch unit needs access to data. A split cache allows parallel accesses; a unified one does not. Also, since instructions are not modified during execution, the contents of the instruction cache never has to be written back into memory.

Finally, a fifth issue is the number of caches. It is common these days to have chips with a primary cache on chip, a secondary cache off chip but in the same package as the CPU chip, and a third cache still further away.

2.2.6 Memory Packaging and Types

From the early days of semiconductor memory until the early 1990s, memory was manufactured, bought, and installed as single chips. Chip densities went from 1K bits to 1M bits and beyond, but each chip was sold as a separate unit. Early PCs often had empty sockets into which additional memory chips could be plugged, if and when the purchaser needed them.

Since the early 1990s, a different arrangement has been used. A group of chips, typically 8 or 16, is mounted on a printed circuit board and sold as a unit. This unit is called a **SIMM (Single Inline Memory Module)** or a **DIMM (Dual Inline Memory Module)**, depending on whether it has a row of connectors on one side or both sides of the board. SIMMs have one edge connector with 72 contacts and transfer 32 bits per clock cycle. They are rarely used these days. DIMMs usually have edge connectors with 120 contacts on each side of the board, for a total of 240 contacts, and transfer 64 bits per clock cycle. The most common ones at present are DDR3 DIMMS, which is the third version of the double data-rate memories. A typical DIMM is illustrated in Fig. 2-17.




Figure 2-17. Top view of a DIMM holding 4 GB with eight chips of 256 MB on each side. The other side looks the same.

A typical DIMM configuration might have eight data chips with 256 MB each. The entire module would then hold 2 GB. Many computers have room for four modules, giving a total capacity of 8 GB when using 2-GB modules and more when using larger ones.

A physically smaller DIMM, called an **SO-DIMM (Small Outline DIMM)**, is used in notebook computers. DIMMS can have a parity bit or error correction added, but since the average error rate of a module is one error every 10 years, for most garden-variety computers, error detection and correction are omitted.

2.3 SECONDARY MEMORY

No matter how big the main memory is, it is always way too small. People always want to store more information than it can hold, primarily because as technology improves, people begin thinking about storing things that were previously entirely in the realm of science fiction. For example, as the U.S. government's budget discipline forces government agencies to generate their own revenue, one can imagine the Library of Congress deciding to digitize and sell its full contents as a consumer article ("All of human knowledge for only \$299.95"). Roughly 50 million books, each with 1 MB of text and 1 MB of compressed pictures, requires storing 10^{14} bytes or 100 terabytes. Storing all 50,000 movies ever made is also in this general ballpark. This amount of information is not going to fit in main memory, at least not for a few decades.

2.3.1 Memory Hierarchies

The traditional solution to storing a great deal of data is a memory hierarchy, as illustrated in Fig. 2-18. At the top are the CPU registers, which can be accessed at full CPU speed. Next comes the cache memory, which is currently on the order of 32 KB to a few megabytes. Main memory is next, with sizes currently ranging from 1 GB for entry-level systems to hundreds of gigabytes at the high end. After that come solid-state and magnetic disks, the current workhorses for permanent storage. Finally, we have magnetic tape and optical disks for archival storage.




Figure 2-18. A five-level memory hierarchy.

As we move down the hierarchy, three key parameters increase. First, the access time gets bigger. CPU registers can be accessed in a nanosecond or less.

Cache memories take a small multiple of CPU registers. Main memory accesses are typically 10 nanoseconds. Now comes a big gap, as disk access times are at least 10 times slower for solid-state disks and hundreds of times slower for magnetic disks. Tape and optical disk access can be measured in seconds if the media have to be fetched and inserted into a drive.

Second, the storage capacity increases as we go downward. CPU registers are good for perhaps 128 bytes, caches for tens of megabytes, main memories for a few gigabytes, solid-state disks for hundreds of gigabytes, and magnetic disks for terabytes. Tapes and optical disks are usually kept off-line, so their capacity is limited only by the owner's budget.

Third, the number of bits you get per dollar spent increases down the hierarchy. Although the actual prices change rapidly, main memory is measured in dollars/megabyte, solid-state disk in dollars/gigabyte, and magnetic disk and tape storage in pennies/gigabyte.

We have already looked at registers, cache, and main memory. In the following sections we will look at magnetic and solid-state disks; after that, we will study optical ones. We will not study tapes because they are rarely used except for backup, and there is not a lot to say about them anyway.

2.3.2 Magnetic Disks

A magnetic disk consists of one or more aluminum platters with a magnetizable coating. Originally these platters were as much as 50 cm in diameter, but at present they are typically 3 to 9 cm, with disks for notebook computers already under 3 cm and still shrinking. A disk head containing an induction coil floats just over the surface, resting on a cushion of air. When a positive or negative current passes through the head, it magnetizes the surface just beneath the head, aligning the magnetic particles facing left or facing right, depending on the polarity of the drive current. When the head passes over a magnetized area, a positive or negative current is induced in the head, making it possible to read back the previously stored bits. Thus as the platter rotates under the head, a stream of bits can be written and later read back. The geometry of a disk track is shown in Fig. 2-19.

The circular sequence of bits written as the disk makes a complete rotation is called a **track**. Each track is divided up into some number of fixed-length **sectors**, typically containing 512 data bytes, preceded by a **preamble** that allows the head to be synchronized before reading or writing. Following the data is an Error-Correcting Code (ECC), either a Hamming code or, more commonly, a code that can correct multiple errors called a **Reed-Solomon code**. Between consecutive sectors is a small **intersector gap**. Some manufacturers quote their disks' capacities in unformatted state (as if each track contained only data), but a more honest measurement is the formatted capacity, which does not count the preambles, ECCs, and gaps as data. The formatted capacity is typically about 15 percent lower than the unformatted capacity.




Figure 2-19. A portion of a disk track. Two sectors are illustrated.

All disks have movable arms that are capable of moving in and out to different radial distances from the spindle about which the platter rotates. At each radial distance, a different track can be written. The tracks are thus a series of concentric circles about the spindle. The width of a track depends on how large the head is and how accurately the head can be positioned radially. With current technology, disks have around 50,000 tracks per centimeter, giving track widths in the 200-nanometer range (1 nanometer = $1/1,000,000$ mm). It should be noted that a track is not a physical groove in the surface, but simply an annulus (ring) of magnetized material, with small guard areas separating it from the tracks inside and outside it.

The linear bit density around the circumference of the track is different from the radial one. In other words, the number of bits per millimeter measured going around a track is different from the number of bits per millimeter starting from the center and moving outward. The density along a track is determined largely by the purity of the surface and air quality. Current disks achieve densities of 25 gigabits/cm. The radial density is determined by how accurately the arm can be made to seek to a track. Thus a bit is many times larger in the radial direction as compared to the circumference, as suggested by Fig. 2-19.

Ultrahigh density disks utilize a recording technology in which the “long” dimension of the bits is not along the circumference of the disk, but vertically, down into the iron oxide. This technique is called **perpendicular recording**, and it has been demonstrated to provide data densities of up to 100 gigabits/cm. It is likely to become the dominant technology in the coming years.

In order to achieve high surface and air quality, most disks are sealed at the factory to prevent dust from getting in. Such drives were originally called **Winchester disks** because the first such drives (created by IBM) had 30 MB of sealed, fixed storage and 30 MB of removable storage. Supposedly, these 30-30 disks reminded people of the Winchester 30-30 rifles that played a great role in opening the American frontier, and the name “Winchester” stuck. Now they are just called

hard disks to differentiate them from the long-vanished **floppy disks** used on the very first personal computers. In this business, it is difficult to pick a name for anything and not have it be ridiculous 30 years later.

Most disks consist of multiple platters stacked vertically, as depicted in Fig. 2-20. Each surface has its own arm and head. All the arms are ganged together so they move to different radial positions all at once. The set of tracks at a given radial position is called a **cylinder**. Current PC and server disks typically have 1 to 12 platters per drive, giving 2 to 24 recording surfaces. High-end disks can store 1 TB on a single platter and that limit is sure to grow with time.




Figure 2-20. A disk with four platters.

Disk performance depends on a variety of factors. To read or write a sector, first the arm must be moved to the right radial position. This action is called a **seek**. Average seek times (between random tracks) range in the 5- to 10-msec range, although seeks between consecutive tracks are now down below 1 msec. Once the head is positioned radially, there is a delay, called the **rotational latency**, until the desired sector rotates under the head. Most disks rotate at 5400 RPM, 7200 RPM, or 10,800 RPM, so the average delay (half a rotation) is 3 to 6 msec. Transfer time depends on the linear density and rotation speed. With typical internal transfer rate of 150 MB/sec, a 512-byte sector takes about 3.5 μ sec. Consequently, the seek time and rotational latency dominate the transfer time. Reading random sectors all over the disk is clearly an inefficient way to operate.

It is worth mentioning that on account of the preambles, the ECCs, the inter-sector gaps, the seek times, and the rotational latencies, there is a big difference between a drive's maximum burst rate and its maximum sustained rate. The maximum burst rate is the data rate once the head is over the first data bit. The computer must be able to handle data coming in this fast. However, the drive can keep up that rate only for one sector. For some applications, such as multimedia, what

matters is the average sustained rate over a period of seconds, which has to take into account the necessary seeks and rotational delays as well.

A little thought and the use of that old high-school math formula for the circumference of a circle, $c = 2\pi r$, will reveal that the outer tracks have more linear distance around them than the inner ones do. Since all magnetic disks rotate at a constant angular velocity, no matter where the heads are, this observation creates a problem. In older drives, manufacturers used the maximum possible linear density on the innermost track, and successively lowered linear bit densities on tracks further out. If a disk had 18 sectors per track, for example, each one occupied 20 degrees of arc, no matter which cylinder it was in.

Nowadays, a different strategy is used. Cylinders are divided into zones (typically 10 to 30 per drive), and the number of sectors per track is increased in each zone moving outward from the innermost track. This change makes keeping track of information harder but increases the drive capacity, which is viewed as more important. All sectors are the same size. A disk with five zones is shown in Fig. 2-21.




Figure 2-21. A disk with five zones. Each zone has many tracks.

Associated with each drive is a **disk controller**, a chip that controls the drive. Some controllers contain a full CPU. The controller's tasks include accepting commands from the software, such as READ, WRITE, and FORMAT (writing all the preambles), controlling the arm motion, detecting and correcting errors, and converting 8-bit bytes read from memory into a serial bit stream and vice versa. Some controllers also handle buffering of multiple sectors, caching sectors read for

potential future use, and remapping bad sectors. This latter function is caused by the existence of sectors with a bad (permanently magnetized) spot. When the controller discovers a bad sector, it replaces it by one of the spare sectors reserved for this purpose within each cylinder or zone.

2.3.3 IDE Disks

Modern personal computer disks evolved from the one in the IBM PC XT, which was a 10-MB Seagate disk controlled by a Xebec disk controller on a plug-in card. The Seagate disk had 4 heads, 306 cylinders, and 17 sectors/track. The controller was capable of handling two drives. The operating system read from and wrote to a disk by putting parameters in CPU registers and then calling the **BIOS (Basic Input Output System)**, located in the PC's built-in read-only memory. The BIOS issued the machine instructions to load the disk controller registers that initiated transfers.

The technology evolved rapidly from having the controller on a separate board, to having it closely integrated with the drives, starting with **IDE (Integrated Drive Electronics)** drives in the mid 1980s. However, the BIOS calling conventions were not changed for reasons of backward compatibility. These calling conventions addressed sectors by giving their head, cylinder, and sector numbers, with the heads and cylinders numbered starting at 0 and the sectors starting at 1. This choice was probably due to a mistake on the part of the original BIOS programmer, who wrote his masterpiece in 8088 assembler. With 4 bits for the head, 6 bits for the sector, and 10 bits for the cylinder, the maximum drive could have 16 heads, 63 sectors, and 1024 cylinders, for a total of 1,032,192 sectors. Such a maximum drive has a capacity of 504 MB, which probably seemed like infinity at the time but certainly does not today. (Would you fault a new machine today that could not handle drives bigger than 1000 TB?)

Unfortunately, before too long, drives below 504 MB appeared but with the wrong geometry (e.g., 4 heads, 32 sectors, 2000 cylinders is 256,000 sectors). There was no way for the operating system to address them due to the long-frozen BIOS calling conventions. As a result, disk controllers began to lie, pretending that the geometry was within the BIOS limits but actually remapping the virtual geometry onto the real geometry. Although this approach worked, it wreaked havoc with operating systems that carefully placed data to minimize seek times.

Eventually, IDE drives evolved into **EIDE drives (Extended IDE)**, which also support a second addressing scheme called **LBA (Logical Block Addressing)**, which just numbers the sectors starting at 0 up until a maximum of $2^{28} - 1$. This scheme requires the controller to convert LBA addresses to head, sector, and cylinder addresses, but at least it does get beyond the 504-MB limit. Unfortunately, it created a new bottleneck at $2^{28} \times 2^9$ bytes (128 GB). In 1994, when the EIDE standard was adopted, nobody in their wildest imagination could imagine 128-GB

disks. Standards committees, like politicians, have a tendency to push problems forward in time so the next committee has to solve them.

EIDE drives and controllers also had other improvements as well. For example, EIDE controllers could have two channels, each with a primary and a secondary drive. This arrangement allowed a maximum of four drives per controller. CD-ROM and DVD drives were also supported, and the transfer rate was increased from 4 MB/sec to 16.67 MB/sec.

As disk technology continued to improve, the EIDE standard continued to evolve, but for some reason the successor to EIDE was called **ATA-3 (AT Attachment)**, a reference to the IBM PC/AT (where AT referred to the then-Advanced Technology of a 16-bit CPU running at 8 MHz). In the next edition, the standard was called **ATAPI-4 (ATA Packet Interface)** and the speed was increased to 33 MB/sec. In ATAPI-5 it went to 66 MB/sec.

By this time, the 128-GB limit imposed by the 28-bit LBA addresses was looming larger and larger, so ATAPI-6 changed the LBA size to 48 bits. The new standard will run into trouble when disks reach $2^{48} \times 2^9$ bytes (128 PB). With a 50% annual increase in capacity, the 48-bit limit will probably last until about 2035. To find out how the problem was solved, please consult the 11th edition of this book. The smart money is betting on increasing the LBA size to 64 bits. The ATAPI-6 standard also increased the transfer rate to 100 MB/sec and addressed the issue of disk noise for the first time.

The ATAPI-7 standard is a radical break with the past. Instead of increasing the size of the drive connector (to increase the data rate), this standard uses what is called **serial ATA** to transfer 1 bit at a time over a 7-pin connector at speeds starting at 150 MB/sec and expected to rise over time to 1.5 GB/sec. Replacing the old 80-wire flat cable with a round cable only a few mm thick improves airflow within the computer. Also, serial ATA uses 0.5 volts for signaling (compared to 5 volts on ATAPI-6 drives), which reduces power consumption. It is likely that within a few years, all computers will use serial ATA. The issue of power consumption by disks is an increasingly important one, both at the high end, where data centers have vast disk farms, and at the low end, where notebooks are power limited (Gurumurthi et al., 2003).

2.3.4 SCSI Disks

SCSI disks are not different from IDE disks in terms of how their cylinders, tracks, and sectors are organized, but they have a different interface and much higher transfer rates. SCSI traces its history back to Howard Shugart, the inventor of the floppy disk, which was used on the first personal computers in the 1980s. His company introduced the SASI (Shugart Associates System Interface) disk in 1979. After some modification and quite a bit of discussion, ANSI standardized it in 1986 and changed the name to **SCSI (Small Computer System Interface)**. SCSI is pronounced “scuzzy.” Since then, increasingly higher bandwidth versions

have been standardized under the names Fast SCSI (10 MHz), Ultra SCSI (20 MHz), Ultra2 SCSI (40 MHz), Ultra3 SCSI (80 MHz), Ultra4 SCSI (160 MHz), and Ultra5 SCSI (320 MHz). Each of these has a wide (16-bit) version as well. In fact, the recent ones have only a wide version. The main combinations are shown in Fig. 2-22.

Name	Data bits	Bus MHz	MB/sec
SCSI-1	8	5	5
Fast SCSI	8	10	10
Wide Fast SCSI	16	10	20
Ultra SCSI	8	20	20
Wide Ultra SCSI	16	20	40
Ultra2 SCSI	8	40	40
Wide Ultra2 SCSI	16	40	80
Wide Ultra3 SCSI	16	80	160
Wide Ultra4 SCSI	16	160	320
Wide Ultra5 SCSI	16	320	640

Figure 2-22. Some of the possible SCSI parameters.

Because SCSI disks have high transfer rates, they are the standard disk in many high-end workstations and servers, especially those that run RAID configurations (see below).

SCSI is more than just a hard-disk interface. It is a bus to which a SCSI controller and up to seven devices can be attached. These can include one or more SCSI hard disks, CD-ROMs, CD recorders, scanners, tape units, and other SCSI peripherals. Each SCSI device has a unique ID, from 0 to 7 (15 for wide SCSI). Each device has two connectors: one for input and one for output. Cables connect the output of one device to the input of the next one, in series, like a string of cheap Christmas tree lamps. The last device in the string must be terminated to prevent reflections from the ends of the SCSI bus from interfering with other data on the bus. Typically, the controller is on a plug-in card and the start of the cable chain, although this configuration is not strictly required by the standard.

The most common cable for 8-bit SCSI has 50 wires, 25 of which are grounds paired one-to-one with the other 25 wires to provide the excellent noise immunity needed for high-speed operation. Of the 25 wires, 8 are for data, 1 is for parity, 9 are for control, and the remainder are for power or are reserved for future use. The 16-bit (and 32-bit) devices need a second cable for the additional signals. The cables may be several meters long, allowing for external drives, scanners, etc.

SCSI controllers and peripherals can operate either as initiators or as targets. Usually, the controller, acting as initiator, issues commands to disks and other peripherals acting as targets. These commands are blocks of up to 16 bytes telling the target what to do. Commands and responses occur in phases, using various

control signals to delineate the phases and arbitrate bus access when multiple devices are trying to use the bus at the same time. This arbitration is important because SCSI allows all the devices to run at once, potentially greatly improving performance in an environment with multiple processes active at once. IDE and EIDE allow only one active device at a time.

2.3.5 RAID

CPU performance has been increasing exponentially over the past decade, roughly doubling every 18 months. Not so with disk performance. In the 1970s, average seek times on minicomputer disks were 50 to 100 msec. Now seek times are 10 msec. In most technical industries (say, automobiles or aviation), a factor of 5 to 10 performance improvement in two decades would be major news, but in the computer industry it is an embarrassment. Thus the gap between CPU performance and disk performance has become much larger over time.

As we have seen, parallel processing is often used to speed up CPU performance. It has occurred to various people over the years that parallel I/O might be a good idea, too. In their 1988 paper, Patterson et al. suggested six specific disk organizations that could be used to improve disk performance, reliability, or both (Patterson et al., 1988). These ideas were quickly adopted by industry and have led to a new class of I/O device called a **RAID**. Patterson et al. defined **RAID** as **Redundant Array of Inexpensive Disks**, but industry redefined the I to be “Independent” rather than “Inexpensive” (maybe so they could use expensive disks?). Since a villain was also needed (as in RISC versus CISC, also due to Patterson), the bad guy here was the **SLED (Single Large Expensive Disk)**.

The idea behind a RAID is to install a box full of disks next to the computer, typically a large server, replace the disk controller card with a RAID controller, copy the data over to the RAID, and then continue normal operation. In other words, a RAID should look like a SLED to the operating system but have better performance and better reliability. Since SCSI disks have good performance, low price, and the ability to have up to 7 drives on a single controller (15 for wide SCSI), it is natural that many RAIDs consist of a RAID SCSI controller plus a box of SCSI disks that appear to the operating system as a single large disk. In this way, no software changes are required to use the RAID, a big selling point for many system administrators.

In addition to appearing like a single disk to the software, all RAIDs have the property that the data are distributed over the drives, to allow parallel operation. Several different schemes for doing this were defined by Patterson et al., and they are now known as RAID level 0 through RAID level 5. In addition, there are a few other minor levels that we will not discuss. The term “level” is something of a misnomer since there is no hierarchy involved; there are simply six different organizations, each with a different mix of reliability and performance characteristics.

RAID level 0 is illustrated in Fig. 2-23(a). It consists of viewing the virtual disk simulated by the RAID as being divided up into strips of k sectors each, with sectors 0 to $k - 1$ being strip 0, sectors k to $2k - 1$ as strip 1, and so on. For $k = 1$, each strip is a sector; for $k = 2$ a strip is two sectors, etc. The RAID level 0 organization writes consecutive strips over the drives in round-robin fashion, as depicted in Fig. 2-23(a) for a RAID with four disk drives. Distributing data over multiple drives like this is called **striping**. For example, if the software issues a command to read a data block consisting of four consecutive strips starting at a strip boundary, the RAID controller will break this command up into four separate commands, one for each of the four disks, and have them operate in parallel. Thus we have parallel I/O without the software knowing about it.

RAID level 0 works best with large requests, the bigger the better. If a request is larger than the number of drives times the strip size, some drives will get multiple requests, so that when they finish the first request they start the second one. It is up to the controller to split the request up and feed the proper commands to the proper disks in the right sequence and then assemble the results in memory correctly. Performance is excellent and the implementation is straightforward.

RAID level 0 works worst with operating systems that habitually ask for data one sector at a time. The results will be correct, but there is no parallelism and hence no performance gain. Another disadvantage of this organization is that the reliability is potentially worse than having a SLED. If a RAID consists of four disks, each with a mean time to failure of 20,000 hours, about once every 5000 hours a drive will fail and all the data will be completely lost. A SLED with a mean time to failure of 20,000 hours would be four times more reliable. Because no redundancy is present in this design, it is not really a true RAID.

The next option, RAID level 1, shown in Fig. 2-23(b), is a true RAID. It duplicates all the disks, so there are four primary disks and four backup disks in this example, although any other even number of disks is also possible. On a write, every strip is written twice. On a read, either copy can be used, distributing the load over more drives. Consequently, write performance is no better than for a single drive, but read performance can be up to twice as good. Fault tolerance is excellent: if a drive crashes, the copy is simply used instead. Recovery consists of simply installing a new drive and copying the entire backup drive to it.

Unlike levels 0 and 1, which work with strips of sectors, RAID level 2 works on a word basis, possibly even a byte basis. Imagine splitting each byte of the single virtual disk into a pair of 4-bit nibbles, then adding a Hamming code to each one to form a 7-bit word, of which bits 1, 2, and 4 were parity bits. Further imagine that the seven drives of Fig. 2-23(c) were synchronized in terms of arm position and rotational position. Then it would be possible to write the 7-bit Hamming coded word over the seven drives, one bit per drive.

The Thinking Machines CM-2 computer used this scheme, taking 32-bit data words and adding 6 parity bits to form a 38-bit Hamming word, plus an extra bit for word parity, and spread each word over 39 disk drives. The total throughput




Figure 2-23. RAID levels 0 through 5. Backup and parity drives are shown shaded.

was immense, because in one sector time it could write 32 sectors worth of data. Also, losing one drive did not cause problems, because loss of a drive amounted to losing 1 bit in each 39-bit word read, something the Hamming code could handle on the fly.

On the down side, this scheme requires all the drives to be rotationally synchronized, and it makes sense only with a substantial number of drives (even with 32 data drives and 6 parity drives, the overhead is 19 percent). It also asks a lot of the controller, since it must do a Hamming checksum every bit time.

RAID level 3 is a simplified version of RAID level 2. It is illustrated in Fig. 2-23(d). Here a single parity bit is computed for each data word and written to a parity drive. As in RAID level 2, the drives must be exactly synchronized, since individual data words are spread over multiple drives.

At first thought, it might appear that a single parity bit gives only error detection, not error correction. For the case of random undetected errors, this observation is true. However, for the case of a drive crashing, it provides full 1-bit error correction since the position of the bad bit is known. If a drive crashes, the controller just pretends that all its bits are 0s. If a word has a parity error, the bit from the dead drive must have been a 1, so it is corrected. Although both RAID levels 2 and 3 offer very high data rates, the number of separate I/O requests per second they can handle is no better than for a single drive.

RAID levels 4 and 5 work with strips again, not individual words with parity, and do not require synchronized drives. RAID level 4 [see Fig. 2-23(e)] is like RAID level 0, with a strip-for-strip parity written onto an extra drive. For example, if each strip is k bytes long, all the strips are EXCLUSIVE ORed together, resulting in a parity strip k bytes long. If a drive crashes, the lost bytes can be recomputed from the parity drive.

This design protects against the loss of a drive but performs poorly for small updates. If one sector is changed, all the drives must be read in order to recalculate the parity, which then must be rewritten. Alternatively, the old user data and the old parity data can be read and the new parity recomputed from them. Even with this optimization, a small update requires two reads and two writes, clearly a bad arrangement.

As a consequence of the heavy load on the parity drive, it may become a bottleneck. This bottleneck is eliminated in RAID level 5 by distributing the parity bits uniformly over all the drives, round robin fashion, as shown in Fig. 2-23(f). However, in the event of a drive crash, reconstructing the contents of the failed drive is a complex process.

2.3.6 Solid-State Disks

Disks made from nonvolatile flash memory, often called **solid-state disks (SSDs)**, are growing in popularity as a high-speed alternative to traditional magnetic disk technologies. The invention of the SSD is a classic tale of “When they

give you lemons, make lemonade.” While modern electronics may seem totally reliable, the reality is that transistors slowly wear out as they are used. Every time they switch, they wear out a little bit more and get closer to no longer working. One likely way that a transistor will fail is due to “hot carrier injection,” a failure mechanism in which an electron charge gets embedded inside a once-working transistor, leaving it in a state where it is permanently stuck on or off. While generally thought of as a death sentence for a (likely) innocent transistor, Fujio Masuoka while working for Toshiba discovered a way to harness this failure mechanism to create a new nonvolatile memory. In the early 1980s, he invented the first flash memory.




Figure 2-24. A flash memory cell.

Flash disks are made of many solid-state flash memory cells. The flash memory cells are made from a single special flash transistor. A flash memory cell is shown in Fig. 2-24. Embedded inside the transistor is a floating gate that can be charged and discharged using high voltages. Before being programmed, the floating gate does not affect the operation of the transistor, essentially acting as an extra insulator between the control gate and the transistor channel. If the flash cell is tested, it will act like a simple transistor.

To program the flash bit cell, a high voltage (in the computer world 12 V is a high voltage) is applied to the control gate, which accelerates the process of hot

carrier injection into the floating gate. Electrons become embedded into the floating gate, which places a negative charge internal to the flash transistor. The embedded negative charge increases the voltage necessary to turn on the flash transistor, and by testing whether or not the channel turns on with a high or low voltage, it is possible to determine whether the floating gate is charged or not, resulting in a 0 or 1 value for the flash cell. The embedded charge remains in the transistor, even if power is removed from the system, making the flash memory cell nonvolatile.

Because SSDs are essentially memory, they have superior performance to spinning disks and have zero seek time. While a typical magnetic disk can access data up to 100 MB/sec, a SSD can operate two to three times faster. And because the device has no moving parts, it is particularly suited for use in notebook computers, where jarring and movement will not affect its ability to access data. The downside of SSDs, compared to magnetic disks, is their cost. While magnetic disks cost pennies/gigabyte, a typical SSD will cost one to three dollars/gigabyte, making their use appropriate only for smaller drive applications or situations that are not cost sensitive. The cost of SSDs is dropping, but they still have a long way to go to catch up to cheap magnetic disks. So while SSDs are replacing magnetic disks in many computers, it will likely be a long time before the magnetic disk goes the way of the dinosaur (unless another big meteorite strikes the earth, in which cases the SSDs are probably not going to survive either).

Another disadvantage of SSDs compared with magnetic disks is their failure rate. A typical flash cell can be written only about 100,000 times before it will no longer function. The process of injecting electrons into the floating gate slowly damages it and the surrounding insulators, until it can no longer function. To increase the lifetime of SSDs, a technique called **wear leveling** is used to spread writes out to all flash cells in the disk. Every time a new disk block is written, the destination block is reassigned to a new SSD block that has not been recently written. This requires the use of a logical block map inside the flash drive, which is one of the reasons that flash drives have high internal storage overheads. Using wear leveling, a flash drive can support a number of writes equal to the number of writes a cell can sustain times the number of blocks on the disk.

Some SSDs are able to encode multiple bits per byte using multilevel flash cells. The technology carefully controls the amount of charge placed into the floating gate. An increasing sequence of voltages is then applied to the control gate to determine how much charge is stored in the floating gate. Typical multilevel cells will support four charge levels, yielding two bits per flash cell.

2.3.7 CD-ROMs

Optical disks were originally developed for recording television programs, but they can be put to more esthetic use as computer storage devices. Due to their large capacity and low price optical disks are widely used for distributing software, books, movies, and data of all kinds, as well as making backups of hard disks.

First-generation optical disks were invented by the Dutch electronics conglomerate Philips for holding movies. They were 30 cm across and marketed under the name LaserVision, but they did not catch on, except in Japan.

In 1980, Philips, together with Sony, developed the CD (Compact Disc), which rapidly replaced the 33 1/3 RPM vinyl record for music. The precise technical details for the CD were published in an official International Standard (IS 10149), popularly called the **Red Book**, after to the color of its cover. (International Standards are issued by the International Organization for Standardization, which is the international counterpart of national standards groups like ANSI, DIN, etc. Each one has an IS number.) The point of publishing the disk and drive specifications as an International Standard is to allow CDs from different music publishers and players from different electronics manufacturers to work together. All CDs are 120 mm across and 1.2 mm thick, with a 15-mm hole in the middle. The audio CD was the first successful mass-market digital storage medium. Audio CDs are supposed to last 100 years. Please check back in 2080 for an update on how well the first batch did.

A CD is prepared by using a high-power infrared laser to burn 0.8-micron diameter holes in a coated glass master disk. From this master, a mold is made, with bumps where the laser holes were. Into this mold, molten polycarbonate is injected to form a CD with the same pattern of holes as the glass master. Then a thin layer of reflective aluminum is deposited on the polycarbonate, topped by a protective lacquer and finally a label. The depressions in the polycarbonate substrate are called **pits**; the unburned areas between the pits are called **lands**.

When a CD is played back, a low-power laser diode shines infrared light with a wavelength of 0.78 micron on the pits and lands as they stream by. The laser is on the polycarbonate side, so the pits stick out in the direction of the laser as bumps in the otherwise flat surface. Because the pits have a height of one-quarter the wavelength of the laser light, light reflecting off a pit is half a wavelength out of phase with light reflecting off the surrounding surface. As a result, the two parts interfere destructively and return less light to the player's photodetector than light bouncing off a land. This is how the player tells a pit from a land. Although it might seem simplest to use a pit to record a 0 and a land to record a 1, it is more reliable to use a pit/land or land/pit transition for a 1 and its absence as a 0, so this scheme is used.

The pits and lands are written in a single continuous spiral starting near the hole and working out a distance of 32 mm toward the edge. The spiral makes 22,188 revolutions around the disk (about 600 per mm). If unwound, it would be 5.6 km long. The spiral is illustrated in Fig. 2-25.

To make the music play at a uniform rate, it is necessary for the pits and lands to stream by at a constant *linear* velocity. Consequently, the rotation rate of the CD must be continuously reduced as the reading head moves from the inside of the CD to the outside. At the inside, the rotation rate is 530 RPM to achieve the desired streaming rate of 120 cm/sec; at the outside it has to drop to 200 RPM to give




Figure 2-25. Recording structure of a Compact Disc or CD-ROM.

the same linear velocity at the head. A constant-linear-velocity drive is quite different than a magnetic-disk drive, which operates at a constant *angular* velocity, independent of where the head is currently positioned. Also, 530 RPM is a far cry from the 3600 to 7200 RPM that most magnetic disks whirl at.

In 1984, Philips and Sony realized the potential for using CDs to store computer data, so they published the **Yellow Book** defining a precise standard for what are now called **CD-ROMs (Compact Disc-Read Only Memory)**. To piggyback on the by-then already substantial audio CD market, CD-ROMs were to be the same physical size as audio CDs, mechanically and optically compatible with them, and produced using the same polycarbonate injection molding machines. The consequences of this decision were that slow variable-speed motors were required, but also that the manufacturing cost of a CD-ROM would be well under one dollar in moderate volume.

What the Yellow Book defined was the formatting of the computer data. It also improved the error-correcting abilities of the system, an essential step because although music lovers do not mind losing a bit here and there, computer lovers tend to be Very Picky about that. The basic format of a CD-ROM consists of encoding every byte in a 14-bit symbol. As we saw above, 14 bits is enough to Hamming encode an 8-bit byte with 2 bits left over. In fact, a more powerful encoding system is used. The 14-to-8 mapping for reading is done in hardware by table lookup.

At the next level up, a group of 42 consecutive symbols forms a 588-bit **frame**. Each frame holds 192 data bits (24 bytes). The remaining 396 bits are for error correction and control. This scheme is identical for audio CDs and CD-ROMs.

What the Yellow Book adds is the grouping of 98 frames into a **CD-ROM sector**, as shown in Fig. 2-26. Every CD-ROM sector begins with a 16-byte preamble, the first 12 of which are 00FFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFF00 (hexadecimal), to allow the player to recognize the start of a CD-ROM sector. The next 3 bytes contain the sector number, needed because seeking on a CD-ROM with its single data spiral is much more difficult than on a magnetic disk with its uniform concentric tracks. To seek, the software in the drive calculates approximately where to go, moves the head there, and then starts hunting around for a preamble to see how good its guess was. The last byte of the preamble is the mode.




Figure 2-26. Logical data layout on a CD-ROM.

The Yellow Book defines two modes. Mode 1 uses the layout of Fig. 2-26, with a 16-byte preamble, 2048 data bytes, and a 288-byte error-correcting code (a cross-interleaved Reed-Solomon code). Mode 2 combines the data and ECC fields into a 2336-byte data field for those applications that do not need (or cannot afford the time to perform) error correction, such as audio and video. Note that to provide excellent reliability, three separate error-correcting schemes are used: within a symbol, within a frame, and within a CD-ROM sector. Single-bit errors are corrected at the lowest level, short burst errors are corrected at the frame level, and any residual errors are caught at the sector level. The price paid for this reliability is that it takes 98 frames of 588 bits (7203 bytes) to carry a single 2048-byte payload, an efficiency of only 28 percent.

Single-speed CD-ROM drives operate at 75 sectors/sec, which gives a data rate of 153,600 bytes/sec in mode 1 and 175,200 bytes/sec in mode 2. Double-speed drives are twice as fast, and so on up to the highest speed. A standard audio CD has room for 74 minutes of music, which, if used for mode 1 data, gives a capacity of 681,984,000 bytes. This figure is usually reported as 650 MB because 1 MB is 2^{20} bytes (1,048,576 bytes), not 1,000,000 bytes.

As usual, whenever a new technology comes out, some people try to push the envelope. When designing the CD-ROM, Philips and Sony were cautious and had the writing process stop well before the outer edge of the disc was reached. It did

not take long before some drive manufacturers allowed their drives to go beyond the official limit and come perilously close to the physical edge of the medium, giving about 700 MB instead of 650 MB. But as the technology improved and the blank discs were manufactured to a higher standard, 703.12 MB (360,000 2048-byte sectors instead of 333,000 sectors) became the new norm.

Note that even a 32x CD-ROM drive (4,915,200 bytes/sec) is no match for a fast SCSI-2 magnetic-disk drive at 10 MB/sec. When you realize that the seek time is often several hundred milliseconds, it should be clear that CD-ROM drives are not at all in the same performance category as magnetic-disk drives, despite their large capacity.

In 1986, Philips struck again with the **Green Book**, adding graphics and the ability to interleave audio, video, and data in the same sector, a feature essential for multimedia CD-ROMs.

The last piece of the CD-ROM puzzle is the file system. To make it possible to use the same CD-ROM on different computers, agreement was needed on CD-ROM file systems. To get this agreement, representatives of many computer companies met at Lake Tahoe in the High Sierras on the California-Nevada boundary and devised a file system that they called **High Sierra**. It later evolved into an International Standard (IS 9660). It has three levels. Level 1 uses file names of up to 8 characters optionally followed by an extension of up to 3 characters (the MS-DOS file naming convention). File names may contain only uppercase letters, digits, and the underscore. Directories may be nested up to eight deep, but directory names may not contain extensions. Level 1 requires all files to be contiguous, which is not a problem on a medium written only once. Any CD-ROM conformant to IS 9660 level 1 can be read using MS-DOS, an Apple computer, a UNIX computer, or just about any other computer. CD-ROM publishers regard this property as a big plus.

IS 9660 level 2 allows names up to 32 characters, and level 3 allows noncontiguous files. The Rock Ridge extensions (whimsically named after the town in the Mel Brooks film *Blazing Saddles*) allow very long names (for UNIX), UIDs, GIDs, and symbolic links, but CD-ROMs not conforming to level 1 will not be readable on old computers.

2.3.8 CD-Recordables

Initially, the equipment needed to produce a master CD-ROM (or audio CD, for that matter) was extremely expensive. But in the computer industry nothing stays expensive for long. By the mid 1990s, CD recorders no bigger than a CD player were a common peripheral available in most computer stores. These devices were still different from magnetic disks because once written, CD-ROMs could not be erased. Nevertheless, they quickly found a niche as a backup medium for large magnetic hard disks and also allowed individuals or startup companies to

manufacture their own small-run CD-ROMs (hundreds, not thousands) or make masters for delivery to high-volume commercial CD duplication plants. These drives are known as **CD-Rs (CD-Recordables)**.

Physically, CD-Rs start with 120-mm polycarbonate blanks that are like CD-ROMs, except that they contain a 0.6-mm-wide groove to guide the laser for writing. The groove has a sinusoidal excursion of 0.3 mm at a frequency of exactly 22.05 kHz to provide continuous feedback so the rotation speed can be accurately monitored and adjusted if need be. The first CD-Rs looked like regular CD-ROMs, except that they were colored gold on top instead of silver. The gold color came from the use of real gold instead of aluminum for the reflective layer. Unlike silver CDs, which have physical depressions, on CD-Rs the differing reflectivity of pits and lands has to be simulated. This is done by adding a layer of dye between the polycarbonate and the reflective layer, as shown in Fig. 2-27. Two kinds of dye are used: cyanine, which is green, and phthalocyanine, which is a yellowish orange. Chemists can argue endlessly about which one is better. Eventually, an aluminum reflective layer replaced the gold one.




Figure 2-27. Cross section of a CD-R disk and laser (not to scale). A CD-ROM has a similar structure, except without the dye layer and with a pitted aluminum layer instead of a reflective layer.

In its initial state, the dye layer is transparent and lets the laser light pass through and reflect off the reflective layer. To write, the CD-R laser is turned up to high power (8–16 mW). When the beam hits a spot of dye, it heats up, breaking a chemical bond. This change to the molecular structure creates a dark spot. When read back (at 0.5 mW), the photodetector sees a difference between the dark spots where the dye has been hit and transparent areas where it is intact. This difference

is interpreted as the difference between pits and lands, even when read back on a regular CD-ROM reader or even on an audio CD player.

No new kind of CD could hold up its head with pride without a colored book, so CD-R has the **Orange Book**, published in 1989. This document defines CD-R and also a new format, **CD-ROM XA**, which allows CD-Rs to be written incrementally, a few sectors today, a few tomorrow, and a few next month. A group of consecutive sectors written at once is called a **CD-ROM track**.

One of the first uses of CD-R was for the Kodak PhotoCD. In this system the customer brings a roll of exposed film and his old PhotoCD to the photo processor and gets back the same PhotoCD with the new pictures added after the old ones. The new batch, which is created by scanning in the negatives, is written onto the PhotoCD as a separate CD-ROM track. Incremental writing is needed because the CD-R blanks are too expensive to provide a new one for every film roll.

However, incremental writing creates a new problem. Prior to the Orange Book, all CD-ROMs had a single **VTOC (Volume Table of Contents)** at the start. That scheme does not work with incremental (i.e., multitrack) writes. The Orange Book's solution is to give each CD-ROM track its own VTOC. The files listed in the VTOC can include some or all of the files from previous tracks. After the CD-R is inserted into the drive, the operating system searches through all the CD-ROM tracks to locate the most recent VTOC, which gives the current status of the disk. By including some, but not all, of the files from previous tracks in the current VTOC, it is possible to give the illusion that files have been deleted. Tracks can be grouped into **sessions**, leading to **multisession** CD-ROMs. Standard audio CD players cannot handle multisession CDs since they expect a single VTOC at the start.

CD-R makes it possible for individuals and companies to easily copy CD-ROMs (and audio CDs), possibly in violation of the publisher's copyright. Several schemes have been devised to make such piracy harder and to make it difficult to read a CD-ROM using anything other than the publisher's software. One of them involves recording all the file lengths on the CD-ROM as multigigabyte, thwarting any attempts to copy the files to hard disk using standard copying software. The true lengths are embedded in the publisher's software or hidden (possibly encrypted) on the CD-ROM in an unexpected place. Another scheme uses intentionally incorrect ECCs in selected sectors, in the expectation that CD copying software will "fix" the errors. The application software checks the ECCs itself, refusing to work if they are "correct." Nonstandard gaps between the tracks and other physical "defects" are also possibilities.

2.3.9 CD-Rewritables

Although people are used to other write-once media such as paper and photographic film, there is a demand for a rewritable CD-ROM. One technology now available is **CD-RW (CD-ReWritable)**, which uses the same size media as CD-R.

However, instead of cyanine or phthalocyanine dye, CD-RW uses an alloy of silver, indium, antimony, and tellurium for the recording layer. This alloy has two stable states: crystalline and amorphous, with different reflectivities.

CD-RW drives use lasers with three different powers. At high power, the laser melts the alloy, converting it from the high-reflectivity crystalline state to the low-reflectivity amorphous state to represent a pit. At medium power, the alloy melts and reforms in its natural crystalline state to become a land again. At low power, the state of the material is sensed (for reading), but no phase transition occurs.

The reason CD-RW has not replaced CD-R is that the CD-RW blanks are more expensive than the CD-R blanks. Also, for applications consisting of backing up hard disks, the fact that once written, a CD-R cannot be accidentally erased is a feature, not a bug.

2.3.10 DVD

The basic CD/CD-ROM format has been around since 1980. By the mid-1990s optical media technology had improved dramatically, so higher-capacity video disks were becoming economically feasible. At the same time Hollywood was looking for a way to replace analog video tapes with an optical disk technology that had higher quality, was cheaper to manufacture, lasted longer, took up less shelf space in video stores, and did not have to be rewound. It was looking as if the wheel of progress for optical disks was about to turn once again.

This combination of technology and demand by three immensely rich and powerful industries has led to **DVD**, originally an acronym for **Digital Video Disk**, but now officially **Digital Versatile Disk**. DVDs use the same general design as CDs, with 120-mm injection-molded polycarbonate disks containing pits and lands that are illuminated by a laser diode and read by a photodetector. What is new is the use of

1. Smaller pits (0.4 microns versus 0.8 microns for CDs).
2. A tighter spiral (0.74 microns between tracks versus 1.6 microns for CDs).
3. A red laser (at 0.65 microns versus 0.78 microns for CDs).

Together, these improvements raise the capacity sevenfold, to 4.7 GB. A 1x DVD drive operates at 1.4 MB/sec (versus 150 KB/sec for CDs). Unfortunately, the switch to the red lasers used in supermarkets means that DVD players require a second laser to read existing CDs and CD-ROMs, which adds a little to the complexity and cost.

Is 4.7 GB enough? Maybe. Using MPEG-2 compression (standardized in IS 13346), a 4.7-GB DVD disk can hold 133 minutes of full-screen, full-motion video at high resolution (720×480), as well as soundtracks in up to eight languages and subtitles in 32 more. About 92 percent of all the movies Hollywood has ever made

are under 133 minutes. Nevertheless, some applications such as multimedia games or reference works may need more, and Hollywood would like to put multiple movies on the same disk, so four formats have been defined:

1. Single-sided, single-layer (4.7 GB).
2. Single-sided, dual-layer (8.5 GB).
3. Double-sided, single-layer (9.4 GB).
4. Double-sided, dual-layer (17 GB).

Why so many formats? In a word: politics. Philips and Sony wanted single-sided, dual-layer disks for the high-capacity version, but Toshiba and Time Warner wanted double-sided, single-layer disks. Philips and Sony did not think people would be willing to turn the disks over, and Time Warner did not believe putting two layers on one side could be made to work. The compromise: all combinations, with the market deciding which ones will survive. Well, the market has spoken. Philips and Sony were right. Never bet against technology.

The dual-layering technology has a reflective layer at the bottom, topped with a semireflective layer. Depending on where the laser is focused, it bounces off one layer or the other. The lower layer needs slightly larger pits and lands to be read reliably, so its capacity is slightly smaller than the upper layer's.

Double-sided disks are made by taking two 0.6-mm single-sided disks and gluing them together back to back. To make the thicknesses of all versions the same, a single-sided disk consists of a 0.6-mm disk bonded to a blank substrate (or perhaps in the future, one consisting of 133 minutes of advertising, in the hope that people will be curious as to what is down there). The structure of the double-sided, dual-layer disk is illustrated in Fig. 2-28.




Figure 2-28. A double-sided, dual layer DVD disk.

DVD was devised by a consortium of 10 consumer electronics companies, seven of them Japanese, in close cooperation with the major Hollywood studios (some of which are owned by the Japanese electronics companies in the consortium). The computer and telecommunications industries were not invited to the

picnic, and the resulting focus was on using DVD for movie rentals. For example, standard features include real-time skipping of dirty scenes (to allow parents to turn a film rated NC17 into one safe for toddlers), six-channel sound, and support for Pan-and-Scan. The latter feature allows the DVD player to dynamically decide how to crop the left and right edges off movies (whose width:height ratio is 3:2) to fit on then-current television sets (whose aspect ratio was 4:3).

Another item the computer industry probably would not have thought of is an intentional incompatibility between disks intended for the United States and disks intended for Europe and yet other standards for other continents. Hollywood demanded this “feature” because new films are often released first in the United States and then physically shipped to Europe when the videos come out in the United States. The idea was to make sure European video stores could not buy videos in the U.S. too early, thereby reducing new movies’ European theater sales. If Hollywood had been running the computer industry, we would have had 3.5-inch floppy disks in the United States and 9-cm floppy disks in Europe.

2.3.11 Blu-ray

Nothing stands still in the computer business, certainly not storage technology. DVD was barely introduced before its successor threatened to make it obsolete. The successor to DVD is **Blu-ray**, so called because it uses a blue laser instead of the red one used by DVDs. A blue laser has a shorter wavelength than a red one, which allows it to focus more accurately and thus support smaller pits and lands. Single-sided Blu-ray disks hold about 25 GB of data; double-sided ones hold about 50 GB. The data rate is about 4.5 MB/sec, which is good for an optical disk, but still insignificant compared to magnetic disks (cf. ATAPI-6 at 100 MB/sec and wide Ultra5 SCSI at 640 MB/sec). It is expected that Blu-ray will eventually replace CD-ROMs and DVDs, but this transition will take some years.

2.4 INPUT/OUTPUT

As we mentioned at the start of this chapter, a computer system has three major components: the CPU, the memories (primary and secondary), and the **I/O (Input/Output)** equipment such as printers, scanners, and modems. So far we have looked at the CPU and the memories. Now it is time to examine the I/O equipment and how it is connected to the rest of the system.

2.4.1 Buses

Physically, most personal computers and workstations have a structure similar to the one shown in Fig. 2-29. The usual arrangement is a metal box with a large printed circuit board at the bottom or side, called the **motherboard** (parentboard,

for the politically correct). The motherboard contains the CPU chip, some slots into which DIMM modules can be clicked, and various support chips. It also contains a bus etched along its length, and sockets into which the edge connectors of I/O boards can be inserted.




Figure 2-29. Physical structure of a personal computer.

The logical structure of a simple personal computer is shown in Fig. 2-30. This one has a single bus used to connect the CPU, memory, and I/O devices; most systems have two or more buses. Each I/O device consists of two parts: one containing most of the electronics, called the **controller**, and one containing the I/O device itself, such as a disk drive. The controller is usually integrated directly onto the motherboard or sometimes contained on a board plugged into a free bus slot. Even though the display (monitor) is not an option, the video controller is sometimes located on a plug-in board to allow the user to choose between boards with or without graphics accelerators, extra memory, and so on. The controller connects to its device by a cable attached to a connector on the back of the box.

The job of a controller is to control its I/O device and handle bus access for it. When a program wants data from the disk, for example, it gives a command to the disk controller, which then issues seeks and other commands to the drive. When the proper track and sector have been located, the drive begins outputting the data as a serial bit stream to the controller. It is the controller's job to break the bit stream up into units and write each unit into memory, as it is assembled. A unit is typically one or more words. A controller that reads or writes data to or from memory without CPU intervention is said to be performing **Direct Memory Access**, better known by its acronym **DMA**. When the transfer is completed, the controller normally causes an **interrupt**, forcing the CPU to immediately suspend running its current program and start running a special procedure, called an **interrupt handler**, to check for errors, take any special action needed, and inform the operating system that the I/O is now finished. When the interrupt handler is finished, the CPU continues with the program that was suspended when the interrupt occurred.




Figure 2-30. Logical structure of a simple personal computer.

The bus is used not only by the I/O controllers but also by the CPU for fetching instructions and data. What happens if the CPU and an I/O controller want to use the bus at the same time? The answer is that a chip called a **bus arbiter** decides who goes next. In general, I/O devices are given preference over the CPU, because disks and other moving devices cannot be stopped, and forcing them to wait would result in lost data. When no I/O is in progress, the CPU can have all the bus cycles for itself to reference memory. However, when some I/O device is also running, that device will request and be granted the bus when it needs it. This process is called **cycle stealing** and it slows down the computer.

This design worked fine for the first personal computers, since all the components were roughly in balance. However, as the CPUs, memories, and I/O devices got faster, a problem arose: the bus could no longer handle the load presented. On a closed system, such as an engineering workstation, the solution was to design a new and faster bus for the next model. Because nobody ever moved I/O devices from an old model to a new one, this approach worked fine.

However, in the PC world, people often upgraded their CPU but wanted to move their printer, scanner, and modem to the new system. Also, a huge industry had grown up around providing a vast range of I/O devices for the IBM PC bus, and this industry had exceedingly little interest in throwing out its entire investment and starting over. IBM learned this the hard way when it brought out the successor to the IBM PC, the PS/2 range. The PS/2 had a new, faster bus, but most clone makers continued to use the old PC bus, now called the **ISA (Industry Standard Architecture)** bus. Most disk and I/O device makers also continued to make controllers for it, so IBM found itself in the peculiar situation of being the only PC maker that was no longer IBM compatible. Eventually, it was forced back to supporting the ISA bus. Today the ISA bus has been relegated to ancient systems and computer museums, since it has been replaced by newer and faster standard bus

architectures. As an aside, please note that ISA stands for Instruction Set Architecture in the context of machine levels, whereas it stands for Industry Standard Architecture in the context of buses.

The PCI and PCIe Buses

Nevertheless, despite the market pressure not to change anything, the old bus really was too slow, so something had to be done. This situation led to other companies developing machines with multiple buses, one of which was the old ISA bus, or its backward-compatible successor, the **EISA (Extended ISA)** bus. The winner was the **PCI (Peripheral Component Interconnect)** bus. It was designed by Intel, but Intel decided to put all the patents in the public domain, to encourage the entire industry (including its competitors) to adopt it.

The PCI bus can be used in many configurations, but a typical one is illustrated in Fig. 2-31. Here the CPU talks to a memory controller over a dedicated high-speed connection. The controller talks to the memory and to the PCI bus directly, so CPU-memory traffic does not go over the PCI bus. Other peripherals connect to the PCI bus directly. A machine of this design would typically contain two or three empty PCI slots to allow customers to plug in PCI I/O cards for new peripherals.




Figure 2-31. A typical PC built around the PCI bus. The SCSI controller is a PCI device.

No matter how fast something is in the computer world, a lot of people think it is too slow. This fate also befell the PCI bus, which is being replaced by **PCI Express**, abbreviated as **PCIe**. Most modern computers support both, so users can attach new, fast devices to the PCIe bus and older, slower ones to the PCI bus.

While the PCI bus was just an upgrade to the older ISA bus with higher speeds and more bits transferred in parallel, PCIe represents a radical change from the PCI bus. In fact, it is not even a bus at all. It is point-to-point network using bit-serial lines and packet switching, more like the Internet than like a traditional bus. Its architecture is shown in Fig. 2-32.




Figure 2-32. Sample architecture of a PCIe system with three PCIe ports.

Several things stand out immediately about PCIe. First, the connections between the devices are serial, that is, 1-bit wide rather than 8-, 16-, 32-, or 64-bits wide. While one might think that a 64-bit-wide connection would have a higher bandwidth than a 1-bit wide connection, in practice, differences in propagation time of the 64 bits, called the **skew**, means relatively low speeds have to be used. With a serial connection much higher speeds can be used and this more than offsets the loss of parallelism. PCI buses run at a maximum clock rate of 66 MHz. With 64 bits transferred per cycle, the data rate is 528 MB/sec. With a clock rate of 8 Gbps, even with serial transfer, the data rate of PCIe is 1 GB/sec. Furthermore, devices are not limited to a single wire pair to communicate with the root complex or a switch. A device can have up to 32 wire pairs, called **lanes**. These lanes are not synchronous, so skew is not important here. Most motherboards have a 16-lane slot for the graphics card, which in PCIe 3.0 will give the graphics card a bandwidth of 16 GB/sec, about 30 times faster than what a PCI graphics card can get. This bandwidth is necessary for increasingly demanding applications, such as 3D.

Second, all communication is point to point. When the CPU wants to talk to a device, it sends a packet to the device and generally later gets an answer. The packet goes through the root complex, which is on the motherboard, and then on to the device, possibly through a switch (or if the device is a PCI device, through the PCI bridge). This evolution from a system in which all devices listened to the same bus to one using point-to-point communications parallels the development of Ethernet (a popular local area network), which also started with a broadcast channel but now uses switches to enable point-to-point communication.

2.4.2 Terminals

Many kinds of I/O devices are available today. A few of the common ones are discussed below. Computer terminals consist of two parts: a keyboard and a monitor. In the mainframe world, these parts are often integrated into a single device and attached to the main computer by a serial line or over a telephone line. In the airline reservation, banking, and other mainframe-oriented industries, these devices are still in use. In the personal computer world, the keyboard and monitor are independent devices. Either way, the technology of the two parts is the same.

Keyboards

Keyboards come in several varieties. The original IBM PC came with a keyboard that had a snap-action switch under each key that gave tactile feedback and made a click when the key was depressed far enough. Nowadays, the cheaper keyboards have keys that just make mechanical contact when depressed. Better ones have a sheet of elastomeric material (a kind of rubber) between the keys and the underlying printed circuit board. Under each key is a small dome that buckles when depressed far enough. A small spot of conductive material inside the dome closes the circuit. Some keyboards have a magnet under each key that passes through a coil when struck, thus inducing a current that can be detected. Various other methods, both mechanical and electromagnetic, are also in use.

On personal computers, when a key is depressed, an interrupt is generated and the keyboard interrupt handler (a piece of software that is part of the operating system) is started. The interrupt handler reads a hardware register inside the keyboard controller to get the number of the key (1 through 102) that was just depressed. When a key is released, a second interrupt is caused. Thus if a user depresses the SHIFT key, then depresses and releases the M key, then releases the SHIFT key, the operating system can see that the user wants an uppercase “M” rather than a lowercase “m.” Handling of multikey sequences involving SHIFT, CTRL, and ALT is done entirely in software (including the infamous CTRL-ALT-DEL key sequence that is used to reboot PCs).

Touch Screens

While keyboards are in no danger of going the way of the manual typewriter, there is a new kid on the block when it comes to computer input: the touch screen. While these devices only became mass-market items with the introduction of Apple’s iPhone in 2007, they go back much further. The first touch screen was developed at the Royal Radar Establishment in Malvern, U.K. in 1965. Even the much-heralded pinching capability of the iPhone dates back to work at the University of Toronto in 1982. Since then, many different technologies have been developed and marketed.

Touch devices fall into two categories: opaque and transparent. A typical opaque touch device is the touchpad on a notebook computer. A typical transparent device is the screen of a smart phone or tablet. We will only consider the latter here. They are usually called **touch screens**. The major types of touch screens are infrared, resistive, and capacitive.

Infrared screens have infrared transmitters, such as infrared light emitting diodes or lasers on (for example) the left and top edges of the bezel around the screen and detectors on the right and bottom edges. When a finger, stylus, or any opaque object blocks one or more beams, the corresponding detector senses the drop in signal and the hardware of the device can tell the operating system which beams have been blocked, allowing it to compute the (x, y) coordinates of the finger or stylus. While these devices have a long history and are still in use in kiosks and other applications, they are not used for mobile devices.

Another old technology consists of **resistive touch screens**. These consist of two layers, the top one of which is flexible. It contains a large number of horizontal wires. The one under it contains vertical wires. When a finger or other object depresses a point on the screen, one or more of the upper wires comes in contact with (or close to) the perpendicular wires in the lower layer. The electronics of the device make it possible to read out which area has been depressed. These screens can be built very inexpensively and are widely used in price-sensitive applications.

Both of these technologies are fine when the screen is pressed by one finger but have a problem when two fingers are used. To describe the problem, we will use the terminology of the infrared touch screen but the resistive one has the same problem. Imagine that the two fingers are at $(3, 3)$ and $(8, 8)$. As a result, the $x = 3$ and $x = 8$ vertical beams are interrupted as are the $y = 3$ and $y = 8$ horizontal beams. Now consider a different scenario with the fingers at $(3, 8)$ and $(8, 3)$, which are the opposite corners of the rectangle whose corners are $(3, 3)$, $(8, 3)$, $(8, 8)$, and $(3, 8)$. Precisely the same beams are blocked, so the software has no way of telling which of the two scenarios holds. This problem is called **ghosting**.

To be able to detect multiple fingers at the same time—a property required for pinching and expanding gestures—a new technology was needed. The one used on most smart phones and tablets (but not on digital cameras and other devices) is the **projected capacitive touch screen**. There are various types but the most common one is the **mutual capacitance** type. All touch screens that can detect two or more points of contact at the same time are known as **multitouch screens**. Let us now briefly see how they work.

For readers who are a bit rusty on their high-school physics, a **capacitor** is a device that can store electric charge. A simple one has two conductors separated by an insulator. In modern touch screens, a grid-like pattern of thin “wires” running vertically is separated from a horizontal grid by a thin insulating layer. When a finger touches the screen, it changes the capacitance at all the intersections touched (possibly far apart). This change can be measured. As a demonstration that a modern touch screen is not like the older infrared and resistive screens, try touching

one with a pen, pencil, paper clip, or gloved finger and you will see that nothing happens. The human body is good at storing electric charge, as anyone who has shuffled across a rug on a cold, dry day and then touched a metal doorknob can painfully testify. Plastic, wooden, and metal instruments are not nearly as good as people in terms of their capacitance.

The “wires” in a touch screen are not the usual copper wires found in normal electrical devices since they would block the light from the screen. Instead they are thin (typically 50 micron) strips of transparent, conducting indium tin oxide bonded to opposite sides of a thin glass plate, which together form the capacitors. In some newer designs, the insulating glass plate is replaced by a thin layer of silicon dioxide (sand!), with the three layers sputtered (sprayed, atom by atom) onto some substrate. Either way, the capacitors are protected from dirt and scratching by a glass plate placed above, to form the surface of the screen to be touched. The thinner the upper glass plate, the more sensitive the performance but the more fragile the device is.

In operation, voltages are applied alternately to the horizontal and vertical “wires” while the voltage values, which are affected by the capacitance of each intersection, are read off the other ones. This operation is repeated many times per second with the coordinates touched fed to the device driver as a stream of (x, y) pairs. Further processing, such as determining whether pointing, pinching, expanding, or swiping is taking place is done by the operating system. If you use all 10 fingers, and bring a friend to add some more, the operating system will have its hands full, but the multitouch hardware will be up to the job.

Flat Panel Displays

The first computer monitors used **cathode ray tubes (CRTs)**, just like old television sets. They were far too bulky and heavy to be used in notebook computers, so a more compact display technology had to be developed for their screens. The development of flat panel displays provided the compact form factor necessary for notebooks, and these devices also used less power. Today the size and power benefits of the flat panel display have all but eliminated the use of CRT monitors.

The most common flat panel display technology is the **LCD (Liquid Crystal Display)**. It is highly complex, has many variations, and is changing rapidly, so this description will, of necessity, be brief and greatly simplified.

Liquid crystals are viscous organic molecules that flow like a liquid but also have spatial structure, like a crystal. They were discovered by an Austrian botanist, Friedrich Reinitzer, in 1888 and first applied to displays (e.g., calculators, watches) in the 1960s. When all the molecules are lined up in the same direction, the optical properties of the crystal depend on the direction and polarization of the incoming light. Using an applied electric field, the molecular alignment, hence the optical properties, can be changed. In particular, by shining a light through a liquid

crystal, the intensity of the light exiting from it can be controlled electrically. This property can be exploited to construct flat panel displays.

An LCD display screen consists of two parallel glass plates between which is a sealed volume containing a liquid crystal. Transparent electrodes are attached to both plates. A light behind the rear plate (either natural or artificial) illuminates the screen from behind. The transparent electrodes attached to each plate are used to create electric fields in the liquid crystal. Different parts of the screen get different voltages, to control the image displayed. Glued to the front and rear of the screen are polarizing filters because the display technology requires the use of polarized light. The general setup is shown in Fig. 2-33(a).




Figure 2-33. (a) The construction of an LCD screen. (b) The grooves on the rear and front plates are perpendicular to one another.

Although many kinds of LCD displays are in use, we will now consider one particular kind, the **TN (Twisted Nematic)** display, as an example. In this display, the rear plate contains tiny horizontal grooves and the front plate contains tiny vertical grooves, as illustrated in Fig. 2-33(b). In the absence of an electric field, the LCD molecules tend to align with the grooves. Since the front and rear alignments differ by 90 degrees, the molecules (and thus the crystal structure) twist from rear to front.

At the rear of the display is a horizontal polarizing filter. It allows in only horizontally polarized light. At the front of the display is a vertical polarizing filter. It allows only vertically polarized light to pass through. If there were no liquid pres-

ent between the plates, horizontally polarized light let in by the rear polarizing filter would be blocked by the front polarizing filter, making the screen black.

However the twisted crystal structure of the LCD molecules guides the light as it passes and rotates its polarization, making it come out vertically. Thus in the absence of an electric field, the LCD screen is uniformly bright. By applying a voltage to selected parts of the plate, the twisted structure can be destroyed, blocking the light in those parts.

Two schemes can be used for applying the voltage. In a (low-cost) **passive matrix display**, both electrodes contain parallel wires. In a 1920×1080 display (the size for full high-definition video), for example, the rear electrode might have 1920 vertical wires and the front one 1080 horizontal ones. By putting a voltage on one of the vertical wires and then pulsing one of the horizontal ones, the voltage at one selected pixel position can be changed, making it go dark briefly. A **pixel** (originally a picture element, is a colored dot from which all digital images are built). By repeating this pulse with the next pixel and then the next one, a dark scan line can be painted. Normally, the entire screen is painted 60 times a second to fool the eye into thinking there is a constant image there.

The other scheme in widespread use is the **active matrix display**. It is more expensive but it gives a better image. Instead of just having two sets of perpendicular wires, it has a tiny switching element at each pixel position on one of the electrodes. By turning these on and off, an arbitrary voltage pattern can be created across the screen, allowing for an arbitrary bit pattern. The switching elements are called **thin film transistors** and the flat panel displays using them are often called **TFT displays**. Most notebook computers and stand-alone flat panel displays for desktop computers use TFT technology now.

So far we have described how a monochrome display works. Suffice it to say that color displays use the same general principles as monochrome displays but the details are a great deal more complicated. Optical filters are used to separate the white light into red, green, and blue components at each pixel position so these can be displayed independently. Every color can be built up from a linear superposition of these three primary colors.

Still new screen technologies are on the horizon. One of the more promising is the **Organic Light Emitting Diode (OLED)** display. It consists of layers of electrically charged organic molecules sandwiched between two electrodes. Voltage changes cause the molecules to get excited and move to higher energy states. When they drop back to their normal state, they emit light. More detail is beyond the scope of this book (and its authors).

Video RAM

Most monitors are refreshed 60–100 times per second from a special memory, called a **video RAM**, on the display's controller card. This memory has one or more bit maps that represent the screen image. On a screen with, say, 1920×1080

pixels, the video RAM would contain 1920×1080 values, one for each pixel. In fact, it might contain many such bit maps, to allow rapid switching from one screen image to another.

On a garden-variety display, each pixel would be represented as a 3-byte RGB value, one each for the intensity of the red, green, and blue components of the pixel's color (high-end displays use 10 or more bits per color). From the laws of physics, it is known that any color can be constructed from a linear superposition of red, green, and blue light.

A video RAM with 1920×1080 pixels at 3 bytes/pixel requires over 6.2 MB to store the image and a fair amount of CPU time to do anything with it. For this reason, some computers compromise by using an 8-bit number to indicate the color desired. This number is then used as an index into a hardware table called the **color palette** that contains 256 entries, each holding a 24-bit RGB value. Such a design, called **indexed color**, reduces the video RAM memory requirements by $2/3$, but allows only 256 colors on the screen at once. Usually, each window on the screen has its own mapping, but with only one hardware color palette, often when multiple windows are present on the screen, only the current one has its colors rendered correctly. Color palettes with 2^{16} entries are also used, but the gain here is only $1/3$.

Bit-mapped video displays require a lot of bandwidth. To display full-screen, full-color multimedia on a 1920×1080 display requires copying 6.2 MB of data to the video RAM for every frame. For full-motion video, a rate of at least 25 frame/sec is needed, for a total data rate of 155 MB/sec. This load is more than the original PCI bus could handle (132 MB/sec) but PCIe can handle it with ease.

2.4.3 Mice

As time goes on, computers are being used by people with less expertise in how computers work. Computers of the ENIAC generation were used only by the people who built them. In the 1950s, computers were only used by highly skilled professional programmers. Now, computers are widely used by people who need to get some job done and do not know (or even want to know) much about how computers work or how they are programmed.

In the old days, most computers had command line interfaces, to which users typed commands. Since people who are not computer specialists often perceived command line interfaces as user-unfriendly, if not downright hostile, many computer vendors developed point-and-click interfaces, such as the Macintosh and Windows. Using this model requires having a way to point at the screen. The most common way of allowing users to point at the screen is with a mouse.

A **mouse** is a small plastic box that sits on the table next to the keyboard. When it is moved around on the table, a little pointer on the screen moves too, allowing users to point at screen items. The mouse has one, two, or three buttons on top, to allow users to select items from menus. Much blood has been spilled as a

result of arguments about how many buttons a mouse ought to have. Naive users prefer one (it is hard to push the wrong button if there is only one), but sophisticated ones like the power of multiple buttons to do fancy things.

Three kinds of mice have been produced: mechanical mice, optical mice, and optomechanical mice. The first mice had two rubber wheels protruding through the bottom, with their axes perpendicular to one another. When the mouse was moved parallel to its main axis, one wheel turned. When it is moved perpendicular to its main axis, the other one turned. Each wheel drove a variable resistor or potentiometer. By measuring changes in the resistance, it was possible to see how much each wheel had rotated and thus calculate how far the mouse had moved in each direction. Later, this design was replaced by one in which a ball that protruded slightly from the bottom was used instead of wheels. It is shown in Fig. 2-34.




Figure 2-34. A mouse being used to point to menu items.

The second kind of mouse is the optical mouse. This kind has no wheels or ball. Instead, it has an **LED (Light Emitting Diode)** and a photodetector on the bottom. Early optical mice required a mouse pad with closely spaced lines on it to detect how many lines had been crossed and thus how far the mouse had moved. Modern optical mice contain an LED that illuminates the imperfections of the underlying surface along with a tiny video camera that records a small image (typically 18×18 pixels) up to 1000 times/sec. Consecutive images are compared to see how far the mouse has moved. Some optical mice use a laser instead of an LED for illumination. They are more accurate, but also more expensive.

The third kind of mouse is optomechanical. Like the newer mechanical mouse, it has a rolling ball that turns two shafts aligned at 90 degrees to each other.

The shafts are connected to encoders that have slits through which light can pass. As the mouse moves, the shafts rotate, and light pulses strike the detectors whenever a slit comes between an LED and its detector. The number of pulses detected is proportional to the amount of motion.

Although mice can be set up in various ways, a common arrangement is to have the mouse send a sequence of 3 bytes to the computer every time the mouse moves a certain minimum distance (e.g., 0.01 inch), sometimes called a **mickey**. Usually, these characters come in on a serial line, one bit at time. The first byte contains a signed integer telling how many units the mouse has moved in the x -direction since the last time. The second byte gives the same information for y motion. The third byte contains the current state of the mouse buttons. Sometimes 2 bytes are used for each coordinate.

Low-level software in the computer accepts this information as it comes in and converts the relative movements sent by the mouse to an absolute position. It then displays an arrow on the screen at the position corresponding to where the mouse is. When the arrow points at the proper item, the user clicks a mouse button, and the computer can then figure out which item has been selected from its knowledge of where the arrow is on the screen.

2.4.4 Game Controllers

Video games typically have heavy user I/O demands, and in the video console market specialized input devices have been developed. In this section we look at two recent developments in video game controllers, the Nintendo Wiimote and the Microsoft Kinect.

Wiimote Controller

First released in 2006 with the Nintendo Wii game console, the Wiimote controller contains traditional gamepad buttons plus a dual motion-sensing capability. All interactions with the Wiimote are sent in real time to the game console using an internal Bluetooth radio. The motion sensors in the Wiimote allow it to sense its own movement in three dimensions, plus when pointed at the television it provides a fine-grained pointing capability.

Figure 2-35 illustrates how the Wiimote implements this motion-sensing function. Tracking of the Wiimote's movement in three dimensions is accomplished with an internal 3-axis accelerometer. This device contains three small masses, each of which can move in the x , y , and z axis (with respect to the accelerometer chip). These masses move in proportion to the degree of acceleration in their particular axis, which changes the capacitance of the mass with respect to a fixed metal wall. By measuring these three changing capacitances, it becomes possible to sense acceleration in three dimensions. Using this technology and some classic calculus, the Wii console can track the Wiimote's movement in space. As you




Figure 2-35. The Wiimote video game controller motion sensors.

swing the Wiimote to hit a virtual tennis ball, the motion of the Wiimote is tracked as you swing toward the ball, and if you twist your wrist at the last moment to put topspin on the ball, the Wiimote accelerometers will sense that motion as well.

While the accelerometers perform well at tracking the motion of the Wiimote as it moves in three dimensions, they cannot provide the fine-grained motion sensing necessary to control a pointer on the television screen. The accelerometers suffer from unavoidable tiny errors in their acceleration measurements, thus over time the exact location of the Wiimote (based on integration of its accelerations) will become increasingly inaccurate.

To provide fine-grained motion sensing, the Wiimote utilizes a clever computer vision technology. Sitting atop the television is a “sensor bar” which contains LEDs a fixed width apart. Contained in the Wiimote is a camera that when pointed

at the sensor bar can deduce the distance and orientation of the Wiimote with respect to the television. Since the sensor bar's LEDs are a fixed distance apart, their distance as viewed by the Wiimote is proportional to the Wiimote's distance from the sensor bar. The location of the sensor bar in the Wiimote's field of view indicates the direction that the Wiimote is pointing with respect to the television. By continuously sensing this orientation, it is possible to support a fine-grained pointing capability without the positional errors inherent to accelerometers.

Kinect Controller

The Microsoft Kinect takes the computer vision capabilities of game controllers to a whole new level. This device uses computer vision alone to determine the user's interactions with the game console. It works by sensing the user's position in the room, plus the orientation and motion of their body. Games are controlled by making predetermined motions with your hands, arms, and whatever else the game designers think you should flail in an effort to control their game.

The sensing capability of the Kinect is based on a depth camera combined with a video camera. The depth camera computes the distance of object in the Kinect's field of view. It does this by emitting a two-dimensional array of infrared laser dots, then capturing their reflections with an infrared camera. Using a computer vision technique called "structured lighting," the Kinect can determine the distance of the objects in its view based on how the stipple of infrared dots is disturbed by the lighted surfaces.

Depth information is combined with the texture information returned from the video camera to produce a textured depth map. This map can then be processed by computer vision algorithms to locate the people in the room (even recognizing their faces) and the orientation and motion of their body. After processing, information about the persons in the room is sent to the game console which uses this data to control the video game.

2.4.5 Printers

Having prepared a document or fetched a page from the World Wide Web, users often want to print it, so many computers can be equipped with a printer. In this section we will describe some of the more common kinds of printers.

Laser Printers

Probably the most exciting development in printing since Johann Gutenberg invented movable type in the fifteenth century is the **laser printer**. This device combines a high-quality image, excellent flexibility, great speed, and moderate cost into a single compact peripheral. Laser printers use almost the same technology as

photocopy machines. In fact, many companies make devices that combine copying and printing (and sometimes fax as well).

The basic technology is illustrated in Fig. 2-36. The heart of the printer is a rotating precision drum (or in some high-end systems, a belt). At the start of each page cycle, it is charged up to about 1000 volts and coated with a photosensitive material. Then light from a laser is scanned along the length of the drum by reflecting it off a rotating octagonal mirror. The light beam is modulated to produce a pattern of light and dark spots. The spots where the beam hits lose their charge.




Figure 2-36. Operation of a laser printer.

After a line of dots has been painted, the drum rotates a fraction of a degree to allow the next line to be painted. Eventually, the first line of dots reaches the toner, a reservoir of an electrostatically sensitive black powder. The toner is attracted to those dots that are still charged, thus forming a visual image of that line. A little later in the transport path, the toner-coated drum is pressed against the paper, transferring the black powder to the paper. The paper is then passed through heated rollers to fuse the toner to the paper permanently, fixing the image. Later in its rotation, the drum is discharged and scraped clean of any residual toner, preparing it for being charged and coated again for the next page.

That this process is an exceedingly complex combination of physics, chemistry, mechanical engineering, and optical engineering hardly needs to be said. Nevertheless, complete assemblies, called **print engines**, are available from several vendors. Laser printer manufacturers combine the print engines with their own electronics and software to make a complete printer. The electronics consist of a fast embedded CPU along with megabytes of memory to hold a full-page bit map and numerous fonts, some of them built in and some of them downloadable. Most

printers accept commands that describe the pages to be printed (as opposed to simply accepting bit maps prepared by the main CPU). These commands are given in languages such as HP's PCL and Adobe's PostScript or PDF, which are complete, albeit specialized, programming languages.

Laser printers at 600 dpi and up can do a reasonable job of printing black and white photographs but the technology is trickier than it might at first appear. Consider a photograph scanned in at 600 dpi that is to be printed on a 600-dpi printer. The scanned image contains 600×600 pixels/inch, each one consisting of a gray value from 0 (white) to 255 (black). The printer can also print 600 dpi, but each printed pixel is either black (toner present) or white (no toner present). Gray values cannot be printed.

The usual solution to printing images with gray values is to use **halftoning**, the same as commercially printed posters. The image is broken up into halftone cells, each typically 6×6 pixels. Each cell can contain between 0 and 36 black pixels. The eye perceives a cell with many pixels as darker than one with fewer pixels. Gray values in the range 0 to 255 are represented by dividing this range into 37 zones. Values from 0 to 6 are in zone 0, values from 7 to 13 are in zone 1, and so on (zone 36 is slightly smaller than the others because 37 does not divide 256 exactly). Whenever a gray value in zone 0 is encountered, its halftone cell on the paper is left blank, as illustrated in Fig. 2-37(a). A zone-1 value is printed as 1 black pixel. A zone-2 value is printed as 2 black pixels, as shown in Fig. 2-37(b). Other zone values are shown in Fig. 2-37(c)–(f). Of course, taking a photograph scanned at 600 dpi and halftoning this way reduces the effective resolution to 100 cells/inch, called the **halftone screen frequency**, conventionally measured in **lpi (lines per inch)**.




Figure 2-37. Halftone dots for various grayscale ranges. (a) 0–6. (b) 14–20. (c) 28–34. (d) 56–62. (e) 105–111. (f) 161–167.

Color Printing

Although most laser printers are monochrome, color laser printers are starting to become more common, so some explanation of color printing (also applicable to inkjet and other printers) is perhaps useful here. As you might imagine, it is not trivial. Color images can be viewed in one of two ways: transmitted light and reflected light. Transmitted-light images, such as those produced on monitors, are

built up from the linear superposition of the three additive primary colors, which are red, green, and blue.

In contrast, reflected-light images, such as color photographs and pictures in glossy magazines, absorb certain wavelengths of light and reflect the rest. These are built up from a linear superposition of the three subtractive primary colors, cyan (all red absorbed), magenta (all green absorbed), and yellow (all blue absorbed). In theory, every color can be produced by mixing cyan, yellow, and magenta ink. In practice it is difficult to get the inks pure enough to absorb all light and produce a true black. For this reason, nearly all color printing systems use four inks: cyan, magenta, yellow, and black. These systems are called **CMYK printers**. The K is sometimes attributed to black but it really stands for the Key plate with which the color plates are aligned in conventional four-color printing presses. Monitors, in contrast, use transmitted light and the RGB system for producing colors.

The complete set of colors that a display or printer can produce is called its **gamut**. No device has a gamut that matches the real world, since typically each color comes in 256 intensities, giving only 16,777,216 discrete colors. Imperfections in the technology reduce the total more, and the remaining ones are not always uniformly spaced over the color spectrum. Furthermore, color perception has a lot to do with how the rods and cones in the human retina work, and not just the physics of light.

As a consequence of the above observations, converting a color image that looks fine on the screen to an identical printed one is far from trivial. Among the problems are

1. Color monitors use transmitted light; color printers use reflected light.
2. Monitors have 256 intensities per color; color printers must halftone.
3. Monitors have a dark background; paper has a light background.
4. The RGB gamut of a monitor and the CMYK gamut of a printer are different.

Getting printed color images to match real life (or even to match screen images) requires hardware device calibration, sophisticated software for building and using International Color Consortium profiles, and considerable expertise on the part of the user.

Inkjet Printers

For low-cost home printing, **inkjet printers** are a favorite. The movable print head, which holds the ink cartridges, is swept horizontally across the paper by a belt while ink is sprayed from tiny nozzles. The ink droplets have a volume of about 1 picoliter, so 100 million of them fit in a single drop of water.

Inkjet printers come in two varieties: piezoelectric (used by Epson) and thermal (used by Canon, HP, and Lexmark). The piezoelectric inkjet printers have a special kind of crystal next to the ink chamber. When a voltage is applied to the crystal, it deforms slightly, forcing a droplet of ink out of the nozzle. The higher the voltage, the larger the droplet, allowing the software to control the droplet size.

Thermal inkjet printers (also called **bubblejet** printers) contain a tiny resistor inside each nozzle. When a voltage is applied to the resistor, it heats up extremely fast, instantly raising the temperature of the ink touching it to the boiling point until the ink vaporizes to form a gas bubble. The gas bubble takes up more volume than the ink that created it, producing pressure in the nozzle. The only place the ink can go is out the front of the nozzle onto the paper. The nozzle is then cooled and the resulting vacuum sucks in another ink droplet from the ink tank. The speed of the printer is limited by how fast the boil/cool cycle can be repeated. The droplets are all the same size, but smaller than what the piezoelectric printers use.

Inkjet printers typically have resolutions of at least 1200 **dpi (dots per inch)** and at the high end, 4800 dpi. They are cheap, quiet, and have good quality, although they are also slow, and use expensive ink cartridges. When the best of the high-end inkjet printers is used to print a professional high-resolution photograph on specially coated photographic paper, the results are indistinguishable from conventional photography, even up to 8×10 inch prints.

For best results, special ink and paper should be used. Two kinds of ink exist. **Dye-based inks** consist of colored dyes dissolved in a fluid carrier. They give bright colors and flow easily. Their main disadvantage is that they fade when exposed to ultraviolet light, such as that contained in sunlight. **Pigment-based inks** contain solid particles of pigment suspended in a fluid carrier that evaporates from the paper, leaving the pigment behind. These inks do not fade over time but are not as bright as dye-based inks and the pigment particles have a tendency to clog the nozzles, requiring periodic cleaning. Coated or glossy paper is required for printing photographs properly. These kinds of paper have been specially designed to hold the ink droplets and not let them spread out.

Specialty Printers

While laser and inkjet printers dominate the home and office printing markets, other kinds of printers are used in other situations that have other requirements in terms of color quality, price, and other characteristics.

A variant on the inkjet printer is the **solid ink printer**. This kind of printer accepts four solid blocks of a special waxy ink which are then melted into hot ink reservoirs. Startup times of these printers can be as much as 10 minutes, while the ink blocks are melting. The hot ink is sprayed onto the paper, where it solidifies and is fused with the paper by forcing it between two hard rollers. In a way, it combines the idea of ink spraying from inkjet printers and the idea of fusing the ink onto the paper with hard rubber rollers from laser printers.

Another printer is the **wax printer**. It has a wide ribbon of four-color wax that is segmented into page-size bands. Thousands of heating elements melt the wax as the paper moves under it. The wax is fused to the paper in the form of pixels using the CMYK system. Wax printers used to be the main color-printing technology, but they are being replaced by the other kinds with cheaper consumables.

Still another kind of color printer is the **dye sublimation printer**. Although it has Freudian undertones, sublimation is the scientific name for a solid changing into a gas without passing through the liquid state. Dry ice (frozen carbon dioxide) is a well-known material that sublimates. In a dye sublimation printer, a carrier containing the CMYK dyes passes over a thermal print head containing thousands of programmable heating elements. The dyes are vaporized instantly and absorbed by a special paper close by. Each heating element can produce 256 different temperatures. The higher the temperature, the more dye that is deposited and the more intense the color. Unlike all the other color printers, nearly continuous colors are possible for each pixel, so no halftoning is needed. Small snapshot printers often use the dye sublimation process to produce highly realistic photographic images on special (and expensive) paper.

Finally, we come to the **thermal printer**, which contains a small print head with some number of tiny heatable needles on it. When an electric current is passed through a needle, it gets very hot very fast. When a special thermally sensitive paper is pulled past the print head, dots are made on the paper when the needles are hot. In effect, a thermal printer is like the old matrix printers whose pins pressed against a typewriter ribbon to make dots on the paper behind the ribbon. Thermal printers are widely used to print receipts in stores, ATM machines, automated gas stations, etc.

2.4.6 Telecommunications Equipment

Most computers nowadays are connected to a computer network, often the Internet. Achieving this access requires special equipment. In this section we will see how this equipment works.

Modems

With the growth of computer usage in the past years, it is common for one computer to need to communicate with another computer. For example, many people have personal computers at home that they use for communicating with their computer at work, with an Internet Service Provider, or with a home banking system. In many cases, the telephone line provides the physical communication.

However, a raw telephone line (or cable) is not suitable for transmitting computer signals, which generally represent a 0 as 0 volts and a 1 as 3 to 5 volts as shown in Fig. 2-38(a). Two-level signals suffer considerable distortion when transmitted over a voice-grade telephone line, thereby leading to transmission errors. A

pure sine-wave signal at a frequency of 1000 to 2000 Hz, called a **carrier**, can be transmitted with relatively little distortion, however, and this fact is exploited as the basis of most telecommunication systems.




Figure 2-38. Transmission of the binary number 01001011000100 over a telephone line bit by bit. (a) Two-level signal. (b) Amplitude modulation. (c) Frequency modulation. (d) Phase modulation.

Because the pulsations of a sine wave are completely predictable, a pure sine wave transmits no information at all. However, by varying the amplitude, frequency, or phase, a sequence of 1s and 0s can be transmitted, as shown in Fig. 2-38. This process is called **modulation** and the device that does it is called a **modem**, which stands for **MOdulator DEModulator**. In **amplitude modulation** [see Fig. 2-38(b)], two different voltage levels are used, for 0 and 1, respectively. A person listening to digital data transmitted at a very low data rate would hear a loud noise for a 1 and no noise for a 0.

In **frequency modulation** [see Fig. 2-38(c)], the voltage level is constant but the carrier frequency is different for 1 and 0. A person listening to frequency modulated digital data would hear two tones, corresponding to 0 and 1. Frequency modulation is often referred to as **frequency shift keying**.

In simple **phase modulation** [see Fig. 2-38(d)], the amplitude and frequency do not change, but the phase of the carrier is reversed 180 degrees when the data switch from 0 to 1 or 1 to 0. In more sophisticated phase-modulated systems, at the start of each indivisible time interval, the phase of the carrier is abruptly shifted

by 45, 135, 225, or 315 degrees, to allow 2 bits per time interval, called **dibit** phase encoding. For example, a phase shift of 45 degrees could represent 00, a phase shift of 135 degrees could represent 01, and so on. Schemes for transmitting 3 or more bits per time interval also exist. The number of time intervals (i.e., the number of potential signal changes per second) is the **baud** rate. With 2 or more bits per interval, the bit rate will exceed the baud rate. Many people confuse these two terms. Again: the baud rate is the number of times the signal changes per second whereas the bit rate is the number of bits transmitted per second. The bit rate is generally a multiple of the baud rate, but theoretically it can be lower.

If the data to be transmitted consist of a series of 8-bit characters, it would be desirable to have a connection capable of transmitting 8 bits simultaneously—that is, eight pairs of wires. Because voice-grade telephone lines provide only one channel, the bits must be sent serially, one after another (or in groups of two if dibit encoding is being used). The device that accepts characters from a computer in the form of two-level signals, 1 bit at a time, and transmits the bits in groups of 1 or 2, in amplitude-, frequency-, or phase-modulated form, is the modem. To mark the start and end of each character, an 8-bit character is normally sent preceded by a start bit and followed by a stop bit, making 10 bits in all.

The transmitting modem sends the individual bits within one character at regularly spaced time intervals. For example, 9600 baud implies one signal change every 104 μ sec. A second modem at the receiving end is used to convert a modulated carrier to a binary number. Because the bits arrive at the receiver at regularly spaced intervals, once the receiving modem has determined the start of the character, its clock tells it when to sample the line to read the incoming bits.

Modern modems run at 56 kbps, usually at much lower baud rates. They use a combination of techniques to send multiple bits per baud, modulating the amplitude, frequency, and phase. All are **full-duplex**, meaning they can transmit in both directions at the same time (on different frequencies). Modems or transmission lines that can transmit only in one direction at a time (like a single-track railroad that can handle northbound trains or southbound trains but not at the same time) are called **half-duplex**. Lines that can transmit in only one direction are **simplex**.

Digital Subscriber Lines

When the telephone industry finally got to 56 kbps, it patted itself on the back for a job well done. Meanwhile, the cable TV industry was offering speeds up to 10 Mbps on shared cables, and satellite companies were planning to offer upward of 50 Mbps. As Internet access became an increasingly important part of their business, the **telcos (telephone companies)** began to realize they needed a more competitive product than dialup lines. Their answer was to start offering a new digital Internet access service. Services with more bandwidth than standard telephone service are sometimes called **broadband**, although the term really is more of a marketing concept than anything else. From a very narrow technical perspective,

broadband means there are multiple signaling channels whereas baseband means there is only one. Thus in theory, 10-gigabit Ethernet, which is far faster than any telephone-company-provided “broadband” service, is not broadband at all since it has only one signaling channel.

Initially, there were many overlapping offerings, all under the general name of **xDSL (Digital Subscriber Line)**, for various x . Below we will discuss what has become the most popular of these services, **ADSL (Asymmetric DSL)**. ADSL is still being developed and not all the standards are fully in place, so some of the details given below may change in time, but the basic picture should remain valid. For more information about ADSL, see Summers (1999) and Vetter et al. (2000).

The reason that modems are so slow is that telephones were invented for carrying the human voice and the entire system has been carefully optimized for this purpose. Data have always been stepchildren. The wire, called the **local loop**, from each subscriber to the telephone company’s office has traditionally been limited to about 3000 Hz by a filter in the telco office. It is this filter that limits the data rate. The actual bandwidth of the local loop depends on its length, but for typical distances of a few kilometers, 1.1 MHz is feasible.

The most common approach to offering ADSL is illustrated in Fig. 2-39. In effect, what it does is remove the filter and divide the available 1.1-MHz spectrum on the local loop into 256 independent channels of 4312.5 Hz each. Channel 0 is used for **POTS (Plain Old Telephone Service)**. Channels 1–5 are not used, to keep the voice signal and data signals from interfering with each other. Of the remaining 250 channels, one is used for upstream control and one for downstream control. The rest are available for user data. ADSL is like having 250 modems.




Figure 2-39. Operation of ADSL.

In principle, each of the remaining channels can be used for a full-duplex data stream, but harmonics, crosstalk, and other effects keep practical systems well below the theoretical limit. It is up to the provider to determine how many channels are used for upstream and how many for downstream. A 50–50 mix of upstream and downstream is technically possible, but most providers allocate something like 80%–90% of the bandwidth to the downstream channel since most users download more data than they upload. This choice gives rise to the “A” in ADSL. A common split is 32 channels for upstream and the rest for downstream.

Within each channel the line quality is constantly monitored and the data rate adjusted continuously as needed, so different channels may have different data rates. The actual data are sent using a combination of amplitude and phase modulation with up to 15 bits per baud. With, for example, 224 downstream channels and 15 bits/baud at 4000 baud, the downstream bandwidth is 13.44 Mbps. In practice, the signal-to-noise ratio is never good enough to achieve this rate, but 4–8 Mbps is possible on short runs over high-quality loops.

A typical ADSL arrangement is shown in Fig. 2-40. In this scheme, the user or a telephone company technician must install a **NID (Network Interface Device)** on the customer's premises. This small plastic box marks the end of the telephone company's property and the start of the customer's property. Close to the NID (or sometimes combined with it) is a **splitter**, an analog filter that separates the 0–4000 Hz band used by POTS from the data. The POTS signal is routed to the existing telephone or fax machine, and the data signal is routed to an ADSL modem. The ADSL modem is actually a digital signal processor that has been set up to act as 250 modems operating in parallel at different frequencies. Since most current ADSL modems are external, the computer must be connected to it at high speed. Usually, this is done by putting an Ethernet card in the computer and operating a very short two-node Ethernet containing only the computer and ADSL modem. (Ethernet is a popular and inexpensive local area network standard.) Occasionally the USB port is used instead of Ethernet. In the future, internal ADSL modem cards will no doubt become available.




Figure 2-40. A typical ADSL equipment configuration.

At the other end of the wire, on the telco side, a corresponding splitter is installed. Here the voice portion of the signal is filtered out and sent to the normal

voice switch. The signal above 26 kHz is routed to a new kind of device called a **DSLAM (Digital Subscriber Line Access Multiplexer)**, which contains the same kind of digital signal processor as the ADSL modem. Once the digital signal has been recovered into a bit stream, packets are formed and sent off to the ISP.

Internet over Cable

Many cable TV companies are now offering Internet access over their cables. Since the technology is quite different from ADSL, it is worth looking at briefly. The cable operator in each city has a main office and a large number of boxes full of electronics, called **headends**, spread all over its territory. The headends are connected to the main office by high-bandwidth cables or fiber optics.

Each headend has one or more cables that run from it past hundreds of homes and offices. Each cable customer taps onto the cable as it passes the customer's premises. Thus hundreds of users share the same cable to the headend. Usually, the cable has a bandwidth of about 750 MHz. This system is radically different from ADSL because each telephone user has a private (i.e., not shared) wire to the telco office. However, in practice, having your own 1.1-MHz channel to a telco office is not that different than sharing a 200-MHz piece of cable spectrum to the headend with 400 users, half of whom are not using it at any one instant. It does mean, however, that a cable Internet user will get much better service at 4 A.M. than at 4 P.M., whereas ADSL service is constant all day long. People intent on getting optimal Internet over cable service might wish to consider moving to a rich neighborhood (houses far apart so fewer customers per cable) or a poor neighborhood (nobody can afford Internet service).

Since the cable is a shared medium, determining who may send when and at which frequency is a big issue. To see how that works, we have to briefly describe how cable TV operates. Cable television channels in North America normally occupy the 54–550 MHz region (except for FM radio from 88 to 108 MHz). These channels are 6 MHz wide, including guard bands to prevent signal leakage between channels. In Europe the low end is usually 65 MHz and the channels are 6–8 MHz wide for the higher resolution required by PAL and SECAM, but otherwise the allocation scheme is similar. The low part of the band is not used for television transmission.

When introducing Internet over cable, the cable companies had two problems to solve:

1. How to add Internet access without interfering with TV programs.
2. How to have two-way traffic when amplifiers are inherently one way.

The solutions chosen are as follows. Modern cables have a bandwidth of at least 550 MHz, often as much as 750 MHz or more. The upstream (i.e., user to headend) channels go in the 5–42 MHz band (but slightly higher in Europe) and the

downstream (i.e., headend to user) traffic uses the frequencies at the high end, as illustrated in Fig. 2-41.




Figure 2-41. Frequency allocation in a typical cable TV system used for Internet access.

Note that since the television signals are all downstream, it is possible to use upstream amplifiers that work only in the 5–42 MHz region and downstream amplifiers that work only at 54 MHz and up, as shown in the figure. Thus, we get an asymmetry in the upstream and downstream bandwidths because more spectrum is available above television than below it. On the other hand, most of the traffic is likely to be downstream, so cable operators are not unhappy with this fact of life. As we saw earlier, telephone companies usually offer an asymmetric DSL service, even though they have no technical reason for doing so.

Internet access requires a cable modem, a device that has two interfaces on it: one to the computer and one to the cable network. The computer-to-cable-modem interface is straightforward. It is normally Ethernet, just as with ADSL. In the future, the entire modem might be a small card plugged into the computer, just as with the old telephone modems.

The other end is more complicated. A large part of the cable standard deals with radio engineering, a subject far beyond the scope of this book. The only part worth mentioning here is that cable modems, like ADSL modems, are always on. They make a connection when turned on and maintain that connection as long as they are powered up because cable operators do not charge for connect time.

To better understand how they work, let us see what happens when a cable modem is plugged in and powered up. The modem scans the downstream channels looking for a special packet periodically put out by the headend to provide system parameters to modems that have just come online. Upon finding this packet, the new modem announces its presence on one of the upstream channels. The headend responds by assigning the modem to its upstream and downstream channels. These assignments can be changed later if the headend deems it necessary to balance the load.

The modem then determines its distance from the headend by sending it a special packet and seeing how long it takes to get the response. This process is called

ranging. It is important for the modem to know its distance to accommodate the way the upstream channels operate and to get the timing right. The channels are divided in time in **minislots**. Each upstream packet must fit in one or more consecutive minislots. The headend announces the start of a new round of minislots periodically, but the starting gun is not heard at all modems simultaneously due to the propagation time down the cable. By knowing how far it is from the headend, each modem can compute how long ago the first minislot really started. Minislot length is network dependent. A typical payload is 8 bytes.

During initialization, the headend also assigns each modem to a minislot to use for requesting upstream bandwidth. As a rule, multiple modems will be assigned the same minislot, which leads to contention. When a computer wants to send a packet, it transfers the packet to the modem, which then requests the necessary number of minislots for it. If the request is accepted, the headend puts an acknowledgement on the downstream channel telling the modem which minislots have been reserved for its packet. The packet is then sent, starting in the minislot allocated to it. Additional packets can be requested using a field in the header.

On the other hand, if there is contention for the request minislot, there will be no acknowledgement and the modem just waits a random time and tries again. After each successive failure, the randomization time is doubled to spread out the load when there is heavy traffic.

The downstream channels are managed differently from the upstream channels. For one thing, there is only one sender (the headend) so there is no contention and no need for minislots, which is actually just time-division statistical multiplexing. For another, the traffic downstream is usually much larger than upstream, so a fixed packet size of 204 bytes is used. Part of that is a Reed-Solomon error-correcting code and some other overhead, leaving a user payload of 184 bytes. These numbers were chosen for compatibility with digital television using MPEG-2, so the TV and downstream data channels are formatted the same way. Logically, the connections are as depicted in Fig. 2-42.

Getting back to modem initialization, once the modem has completed ranging and gotten its upstream channel, downstream channel, and minislot assignments, it is free to start sending packets. These packets go to the headend, which relays them over a dedicated channel to the cable company's main office and then to the ISP (which may be the cable company itself). The first packet is one to the ISP requesting a network address (technically, an IP address), which is dynamically assigned. It also requests and gets an accurate time of day.

The next step involves security. Since cable is a shared medium, anybody who wants to go to the trouble to do so can read all the traffic zipping past him. To prevent everyone from snooping on their neighbors (literally), all traffic is encrypted in both directions. Part of the initialization procedure involves establishing encryption keys. At first one might think that having two strangers, the headend and the modem, establish a secret key in broad daylight with thousands of people watching would be impossible to accomplish. Turns out it is not, but the technique




Figure 2-42. Typical details of the upstream and downstream channels in North America. QAM-64 (Quadrature Amplitude Modulation) allows 6 bits/Hz but works only at high frequencies. QPSK (Quadrature Phase Shift Keying) works at low frequencies but allows only 2 bits/Hz.

used (the Diffie-Hellman algorithm) is beyond the scope of this book. See Kaufman et al. (2002) for a discussion of it.

Finally, the modem has to log in and provide its unique identifier over the secure channel. At this point the initialization is complete. The user can now log in to the ISP and get to work.

There is much more to be said about cable modems. Some relevant references are Adams and Dulchinos (2001), Donaldson and Jones (2001), and Dutta-Roy (2001).

2.4.7 Digital Cameras

An increasingly popular use of computers is for digital photography, making digital cameras a kind of computer peripheral. Let us briefly see how that works. All cameras have a lens that forms an image of the subject in the back of the camera. In a conventional camera, the back of the camera is lined with film, on which a latent image is formed when light strikes it. The latent image can be made visible by the action of certain chemicals in the film developer. A digital camera works the same way except that the film is replaced by a rectangular array of **CCDs (Charge-Coupled Devices)** that are sensitive to light. (Some digital cameras use CMOS, but we will concentrate on the more common CCDs here.)

When light strikes a CCD, it acquires an electrical charge. The more light, the more charge. The charge can be read off by an analog-to-digital converter as an integer from 0 to 255 (on low-end cameras) or from 0 to 4095 (on digital single-lens-reflex cameras). The basic arrangement is shown in Fig. 2-43.

Each CCD produces a single value, independent of the color of light striking it. To form color images, the CCDs are organized in groups of four elements. A **Bayer filter** is placed on top of the CCD to allow only red light to strike one of the four CCDs in each group, blue light to strike another one, and green light to strike




Figure 2-43. A digital camera.

the other two. Two greens are used because using four CCDs to represent one pixel is much more convenient than using three, and the eye is more sensitive to green light than to red or blue light. When a digital camera manufacturer claims a camera has, say, 6 million pixels, it is lying. The camera has 6 million CCDs, which together form 1.5 million pixels. The image will be read out as an array of 2828×2121 pixels (on low-end cameras) or 3000 times 2000 pixels (on digital SLRs), but the extra pixels are produced by interpolation by software inside the camera.

When the camera's shutter button is depressed, software in the camera performs three tasks: setting the focus, determining the exposure, and performing the white balance. The autofocus works by analyzing the high-frequency information in the image and then moving the lens until it is maximized, to give the most detail. The exposure is determined by measuring the light falling on the CCDs and then adjusting the lens diaphragm and exposure time to have the light intensity fall in the middle of the CCDs' range. Setting the white balance has to do with measuring the spectrum of the incident light to perform necessary color corrections in the postprocessing.

Then the image is read off the CCDs and stored as a pixel array in the camera's internal RAM. High-end digital SLRs used by photojournalists can shoot eight high-resolution frames per second for 5 seconds, and they need around 1 GB of internal RAM to store the images before processing and storing them permanently. Low-end cameras have less RAM, but still quite a bit.

In the post-capture phase, the camera's software applies the white-balance color correction to compensate for reddish or bluish light (e.g., from a subject in shadow or the use of a flash). Then it applies an algorithm to do noise reduction and another one to compensate for defective CCDs. After that, it attempts to sharpen the image (unless this feature has been disabled) by looking for edges and increasing the intensity gradient around them.

Finally, the image may be compressed to reduce the amount of storage required. A common format is **JPEG (Joint Photographic Experts Group)**, in which a two-dimensional spatial Fourier transform is applied and some of the high-frequency components omitted. The result of this transformation is that the image requires fewer bits to store but fine detail is lost.

When all the in-camera processing is completed, the image is written to the storage medium, usually a flash memory or **microdrive**. The postprocessing and writing can take several seconds per image.

When the user gets home, the camera can be connected to a computer, usually using a USB or proprietary cable. The images are then transferred from the camera to the computer's hard disk. Using special software, such as Adobe Photoshop, the user can then crop the image, adjust brightness, contrast, and color balance, sharpen, blur, or remove portions of the image, and apply numerous filters. When the user is content with the result, the image files can be printed on a color printer, uploaded over the Internet to a photo-sharing Website or photofinisher, or written to CD-ROM or DVD for archival storage.

The amount of computing power, RAM, disk space, and software in a digital SLR camera is mind boggling. Not only does the computer have to do all the things mentioned above, but it also has to communicate with the CPU in the lens and the CPU in the flash, refresh the image on the LCD screen, and manage all the buttons, wheels, lights, displays, and gizmos on the camera in real time. This is an extremely powerful embedded system, often rivaling a desktop computer of only a few years earlier.

2.4.8 Character Codes

Each computer has a set of characters that it uses. As a bare minimum, this set includes the 26 uppercase letters, the 26 lowercase letters, the digits 0 through 9, and a set of special symbols, such as space, period, minus sign, comma, and carriage return.

In order to transfer these characters into the computer, each one is assigned a number: for example, $a = 1$, $b = 2$, ..., $z = 26$, $+ = 27$, $- = 28$. The mapping of characters onto integers is called a **character code**. It is essential that communicating computers use the same code or they will not be able to understand one another. For this reason, standards have been developed. Below we will examine three of the most important ones.

ASCII

One widely used code is called **ASCII (American Standard Code for Information Interchange)**. Each ASCII character has 7 bits, allowing for 128 characters in all. However, because computers are byte oriented, each ASCII character is normally stored in a separate byte. Figure 2-44 shows the ASCII code. Codes 0 to 1F (hexadecimal) are control characters and do not print. Codes from 128 to 255 are not part of ASCII, but the IBM PC defined them to be special characters like smiley faces and most computers still support them.

Many of the ASCII control characters are intended for data transmission. For example, a message might consist of an SOH (Start of Header) character, a header, an STX (Start of Text) character, the text itself, an ETX (End of Text) character, and then an EOT (End of Transmission) character. In practice, however, the messages sent over telephone lines and networks are formatted quite differently, so the ASCII transmission control characters are not used much any more.

The ASCII printing characters are straightforward. They include the upper- and lowercase letters, digits, punctuation marks, and a few math symbols.

Unicode

The computer industry grew up mostly in the U.S., which led to the ASCII character set. ASCII is fine for English but less fine for other languages. French needs accents (e.g., système); German needs diacritical marks (e.g., für), and so on. Some European languages have a few letters not found in ASCII, such as the German ß and the Danish ø. Some languages have entirely different alphabets (e.g., Russian and Arabic), and a few languages have no alphabet at all (e.g., Chinese). As computers spread to the four corners of the globe and software vendors want to sell products in countries where most users do not speak English, a different character set is needed.

The first attempt at extending ASCII was IS 646, which added another 128 characters to ASCII, making it an 8-bit code called **Latin-1**. The additional characters were mostly Latin letters with accents and diacritical marks. The next attempt was IS 8859, which introduced the concept of a **code page**, a set of 256 characters for a particular language or group of languages. IS 8859-1 is Latin-1. IS 8859-2 handles the Latin-based Slavic languages (e.g., Czech, Polish, and Hungarian). IS 8859-3 contains the characters needed for Turkish, Maltese, Esperanto, and Galician, and so on. The trouble with the code-page approach is that the software has to keep track of which page it is currently on, it is impossible to mix languages over pages, and the scheme does not cover Japanese and Chinese at all.

A group of computer companies decided to solve this problem by forming a consortium to create a new system, called **Unicode**, and getting it proclaimed an International Standard (IS 10646). Unicode is now supported by programming languages (e.g., Java), operating systems (e.g., Windows), and many applications.

Hex	Name	Meaning	Hex	Name	Meaning
0	NUL	Null	10	DLE	Data Link Escape
1	SOH	Start Of Heading	11	DC1	Device Control 1
2	STX	Start Of TeXt	12	DC2	Device Control 2
3	ETX	End Of TeXt	13	DC3	Device Control 3
4	EOT	End Of Transmission	14	DC4	Device Control 4
5	ENQ	Enquiry	15	NAK	Negative Acknowledgement
6	ACK	ACKnowledgement	16	SYN	SYNchronous idle
7	BEL	BELI	17	ETB	End of Transmission Block
8	BS	BackSpace	18	CAN	CANcel
9	HT	Horizontal Tab	19	EM	End of Medium
A	LF	Line Feed	1A	SUB	SUBstitute
B	VT	Vertical Tab	1B	ESC	ESCape
C	FF	Form Feed	1C	FS	File Separator
D	CR	Carriage Return	1D	GS	Group Separator
E	SO	Shift Out	1E	RS	Record Separator
F	SI	Shift In	1F	US	Unit Separator

Hex	Char	Hex	Char	Hex	Char	Hex	Char	Hex	Char	Hex	Char
20	(Space)	30	0	40	@	50	P	60	'	70	p
21	!	31	1	41	A	51	Q	61	a	71	q
22	"	32	2	42	B	52	R	62	b	72	r
23	#	33	3	43	C	53	S	63	c	73	s
24	\$	34	4	44	D	54	T	64	d	74	t
25	%	35	5	45	E	55	U	65	e	75	u
26	&	36	6	46	F	56	V	66	f	76	v
27	'	37	7	47	G	57	W	67	g	77	w
28	(38	8	48	H	58	X	68	h	78	x
29)	39	9	49	I	59	Y	69	i	79	y
2A	*	3A	:	4A	J	5A	Z	6A	j	7A	z
2B	+	3B	;	4B	K	5B	[6B	k	7B	{
2C	,	3C	<	4C	L	5C	\	6C	l	7C	
2D	-	3D	=	4D	M	5D]	6D	m	7D	}
2E	.	3E	>	4E	N	5E	^	6E	n	7E	~
2F	/	3F	?	4F	O	5F	_	6F	o	7F	DEL

Figure 2-44. The ASCII character set.

The idea behind Unicode is to assign every character and symbol a unique 16-bit value, called a **code point**. No multibyte characters or escape sequences are used. Having every symbol be 16 bits makes writing software simpler.

With 16-bit symbols, Unicode has 65,536 code points. Since the world's languages collectively use about 200,000 symbols, code points are a scarce resource that must be allocated with great care. To speed the acceptance of Unicode, the consortium cleverly used Latin-1 as code points 0 to 255, making conversion

between ASCII and Unicode easy. To avoid wasting code points, each diacritical mark has its own code point. It is up to software to combine diacritical marks with their neighbors to form new characters. While this puts more work on the software, it saves precious code points.

The code point space is divided up into blocks, each one a multiple of 16 code points. Each major alphabet in Unicode has a sequence of consecutive zones. Some examples (and the number of code points allocated) are Latin (336), Greek (144), Cyrillic (256), Armenian (96), Hebrew (112), Devanagari (128), Gurmukhi (128), Oriya (128), Telugu (128), and Kannada (128). Note that each of these languages has been allocated more code points than it has letters. This choice was made in part because many languages have multiple forms for each letter. For example, each letter in English has two forms—lowercase and UPPERCASE. Some languages have three or more forms, possibly depending on whether the letter is at the start, middle, or end of a word.

In addition to these alphabets, code points have been allocated for diacritical marks (112), punctuation marks (112), subscripts and superscripts (48), currency symbols (48), math symbols (256), geometric shapes (96), and dingbats (192).

After these come the symbols needed for Chinese, Japanese, and Korean. First are 1024 phonetic symbols (e.g., katakana and bopomofo) and then the unified Han ideographs (20,992) used in Chinese and Japanese, and the Korean Hangul syllables (11,156).

To allow users to invent special characters for special purposes, 6400 code points have been allocated for local use.

While Unicode solves many problems associated with internationalization, it does not (attempt to) solve all the world's problems. For example, while the Latin alphabet is in order, the Han ideographs are not in dictionary order. As a consequence, an English program can examine “cat” and “dog” and sort them alphabetically by simply comparing the Unicode value of their first character. A Japanese program needs external tables to figure out which of two symbols comes before the other in the dictionary.

Another issue is that new words are popping up all the time. Fifty years ago nobody talked about apps, chatrooms, cyberspace, emoticons, gigabytes, lasers, modems, smileys, or videotapes. Adding new words in English does not require new code points. Adding them in Japanese does. In addition to new technical words, there is a demand for adding at least 20,000 new (mostly Chinese) personal and place names. Blind people think Braille should be in there, and special interest groups of all kinds want what they perceive as their rightful code points. The Unicode consortium reviews and decides on all new proposals.

Unicode uses the same code point for characters that look almost identical but have different meanings or are written slightly differently in Japanese and Chinese (as though English word processors always spelled “blue” as “blew” because they sound the same). Some people view this as an optimization to save scarce code points; others see it as Anglo-Saxon cultural imperialism (and you thought

assigning 16-bit numbers to characters was not highly political?). To make matters worse, a full Japanese dictionary has 50,000 kanji (excluding names), so with only 20,992 code points available for the Han ideographs, choices had to be made. Not all Japanese people think that a consortium of computer companies, even if a few of them are Japanese, is the ideal forum to make these choices.

Guess what? 65,536 code points was not enough to satisfy everyone, so in 1996 an additional 16 **planes** of 16 bits each were added, expanding the total number of characters to 1,114,112.

UTF-8

Although better than ASCII, Unicode eventually ran out of code points and it also requires 16 bits per character to represent pure ASCII text, which is wasteful. Consequently, another coding scheme was developed to address these concerns. It is called **UTF-8 UCS Transformation Format** where **UCS** stands for **Universal Character Set**, which is essentially Unicode. UTF-8 codes are variable length, from 1 to 4 bytes, and can code about two billion characters. It is the dominant character set used on the World Wide Web.

One of the nice properties of UTF-8 is that codes 0 to 127 are the ASCII characters, allowing them to be expressed in 1 byte (versus 2 bytes in Unicode). For characters not in ASCII, the high-order bit of the first byte is set to 1, indicating that 1 or more additional bytes follow. In all, six different formats are used, as illustrated in Fig. 2-45. The bits marked “d” are data bits.

Bits	Byte 1	Byte 2	Byte 3	Byte 4	Byte 5	Byte 6
7	0d d d d d d d					
11	110d d d d d	10d d d d d d				
16	1110d d d d	10d d d d d d	10d d d d d d			
21	11110d d d	10d d d d d d	10d d d d d d	10d d d d d d		
26	111110d d	10d d d d d d	10d d d d d d	10d d d d d d	10d d d d d d	
31	1111110x	10d d d d d d	10d d d d d d	10d d d d d d	10d d d d d d	10d d d d d d

Figure 2-45. The UTF-8 encoding scheme.

UTF-8 has a number of advantages over Unicode and other schemes. First, if a program or document uses only characters that are in the ASCII character set, each can be represented in 8 bits. Second, the first byte of every UTF-8 character uniquely determines the number of bytes in the character. Third, the continuation bytes in an UTF-8 character always start with 10, whereas the initial byte never does, making the code self synchronizing. In particular, in the event of a communication or memory error, it is always possible to go forward and find the start of the next character (assuming it has not been damaged).

Normally UTF-8 is used to encode only the 17 Unicode planes, even though the scheme has far more than 1,114,112 code points. However, if anthropologists discover new tribes in New Guinea or elsewhere whose languages are not currently known (or if we make contact later with extraterrestrials), UTF-8 will be up to the job of adding their alphabets or ideographs.

2.5 SUMMARY

Computer systems are built up from three types of components: processors, memories, and I/O devices. The task of a processor is to fetch instructions one at a time from a memory, decode them, and execute them. The fetch-decode-execute cycle can always be described as an algorithm and, in fact, is sometimes carried out by a software interpreter running at a lower level. To gain speed, many computers now have one or more pipelines or have a superscalar design with multiple functional units that operate in parallel. A pipeline allows an instruction to be broken into steps and the steps for different instructions executed at the same time. Multiple functional units is another way to gain parallelism without affecting the instruction set or architecture visible to the programmer or compiler.

Systems with multiple processors are increasingly common. Parallel computers include array processors, on which the same operation is performed on multiple data sets at the same time, multiprocessors, in which multiple CPUs share a common memory, and multicomputers, in which multiple computers each have their own memories but communicate by message passing.

Memories can be categorized as primary or secondary. The primary memory is used to hold the program currently being executed. Its access time is short—a few tens of nanoseconds at most—and independent of the address being accessed. Caches reduce this access time even more. They are needed because processor speeds are much greater than memory speeds, meaning that having to wait for memory accesses all the time greatly slows down processor execution. Some memories are equipped with error-correcting codes to enhance reliability.

Secondary memories, in contrast, have access times that are much longer (milliseconds or more) and dependent on the location of the data being read or written. Tapes, flash memory, magnetic disks, and optical disks are the most common secondary memories. Magnetic disks come in many varieties, including IDE disks, SCSI disks, and RAIDs. Optical disks include CD-ROMs, CD-Rs, DVDs, and Blu-rays.

I/O devices are used to transfer information into and out of the computer. They are connected to the processor and memory by one or more buses. Examples are terminals, mice, game controllers, printers, and modems. Most I/O devices use the ASCII character code, although Unicode is also used and UTF-8 is gaining acceptance as the computer industry becomes more Web-centric.

PROBLEMS

1. Consider the operation of a machine with the data path of Fig. 2-2. Suppose that loading the ALU input registers takes 5 nsec, running the ALU takes 10 nsec, and storing the result back in the register scratchpad takes 5 nsec. What is the maximum number of MIPS this machine is capable of in the absence of pipelining?
2. What is the purpose of step 2 in the list of Sec. 2.1.2? What would happen if this step were omitted?
3. On computer 1, all instructions take 10 nsec to execute. On computer 2, they all take 5 nsec to execute. Can you say for certain that computer 2 is faster? Discuss.
4. Imagine you are designing a single-chip computer for an embedded system. The chip is going to have all its memory on chip and running at the same speed as the CPU with no access penalty. Examine each of the principles discussed in Sec. 2.1.4 and tell whether they are so important (assuming that high performance is still desired).
5. To compete with the newly invented printing press, a medieval monastery decided to mass-produce handwritten paperback books by assembling a vast number of scribes in a huge hall. The head monk would then call out the first word of the book to be produced and all the scribes would copy it down. Then the head monk would call out the second word and all the scribes would copy it down. This process was repeated until the entire book had been read aloud and copied. Which of the parallel processor systems discussed in Sec. 2.1.6 does this system resemble most closely?
6. As one goes down the five-level memory hierarchy discussed in the text, the access time increases. Make a reasonable guess about the ratio of the access time of optical disk to that of register memory. Assume that the disk is already online.
7. Sociologists can get three possible answers to a typical survey question such as “Do you believe in the tooth fairy?”—namely, yes, no, and no opinion. With this in mind, the Sociomagnetic Computer Company has decided to build a computer to process survey data. This computer has a trinary memory—that is, each byte (tryte?) consists of 8 trits, with a trit holding a 0, 1, or 2. How many trits are needed to hold a 6-bit number? Give an expression for the number of trits needed to hold n bits.
8. Compute the data rate of the human eye using the following information. The visual field consists of about 10^6 elements (pixels). Each pixel can be reduced to a superposition of the three primary colors, each of which has 64 intensities. The time resolution is 100 msec.
9. Compute the data rate of the human ear from the following information. People can hear frequencies up to 22 kHz. To capture all the information in a sound signal at 22 kHz, it is necessary to sample the sound at twice that frequency, that is, at 44 kHz. A 16-bit sample is probably enough to capture most of the auditory information (i.e., the ear cannot distinguish more than 65,535 intensity levels).
10. Genetic information in all living things is coded as DNA molecules. A DNA molecule is a linear sequence of the four basic nucleotides: A, C, G, and T. The human genome contains approximately 3×10^9 nucleotides in the form of about 30,000 genes. What

is the total information capacity (in bits) of the human genome? What is the maximum information capacity (in bits) of the average gene?

11. A certain computer can be equipped with 1,073,741,824 bytes of memory. Why would a manufacturer choose such a peculiar number, instead of an easy-to-remember number like 1,000,000,000?
12. Devise a 7-bit even-parity Hamming code for the digits 0 to 9.
13. Devise a code for the digits 0 to 9 whose Hamming distance is 2.
14. In a Hamming code, some bits are “wasted” in the sense that they are used for checking and not information. What is the percentage of wasted bits for messages whose total length (data + check bits) is $2^n - 1$? Evaluate this expression numerically for values of n from 3 to 10.
15. An extended ASCII character is represented by an 8-bit quantity. The associated Hamming encoding of each character can then be represented by a string of three hex digits. Encode the following extended five-character ASCII text using an even-parity Hamming code: Earth. Show your answer as a string of hex digits.
16. The following string of hex digits encodes extended ASCII characters in an even-parity Hamming code: 0D3 DD3 0F2 5C1 1C5 CE3. Decode this string and write down the characters that are encoded.
17. The disk illustrated in Fig. 2-19 has 1024 sectors/track and a rotation rate of 7200 RPM. What is the sustained transfer rate of the disk over one track?
18. A computer has a bus with a 5-nsec cycle time, during which it can read or write a 32-bit word from memory. The computer has an Ultra4-SCSI disk that uses the bus and runs at 160 Mbytes/sec. The CPU normally fetches and executes one 32-bit instruction every 1 nsec. How much does the disk slow down the CPU?
19. Imagine you are writing the disk-management part of an operating system. Logically, you represent the disk as a sequence of blocks, from 0 on the inside to some maximum on the outside. As files are created, you have to allocate free sectors. You could do it from the outside in or the inside out. Does it matter which strategy you choose on a modern disk? Explain your answer.
20. How long does it take to read a disk with 10,000 cylinders, each containing four tracks of 2048 sectors? First, all the sectors of track 0 are to be read starting at sector 0, then all the sectors of track 1 starting at sector 0, and so on. The rotation time is 10 msec, and a seek takes 1 msec between adjacent cylinders and 20 msec for the worst case. Switching between tracks of a cylinder can be done instantaneously.
21. RAID level 3 is able to correct single-bit errors using only one parity drive. What is the point of RAID level 2? After all, it also can correct only one error and takes more drives to do so.
22. What is the exact data capacity (in bytes) of a mode-2 CD-ROM containing the now-standard 80-min media? What is the capacity for user data in mode 1?
23. To burn a CD-R, the laser must pulse on and off at a high speed. When running at 10x speed in mode 1, what is the pulse length, in nanoseconds?

24. To be able to fit 133 minutes worth of video on a single-sided single-layer DVD, a fair amount of compression is required. Calculate the compression factor required. Assume that 3.5 GB of space is available for the video track, that the image resolution is 720×480 pixels with 24-bit color (RGB at 8 bits each), and images are displayed at 30 frames/sec.
25. Blu-ray runs at 4.5 MB/sec and has a capacity of 25 GB. How long does it take to read the entire disk?
26. A manufacturer advertises that its color bit-map terminal can display 2^{24} different colors. Yet the hardware only has 1 byte for each pixel. How can this be done?
27. You are part of a top-secret international scientific team which has just been assigned the task of studying a being named Herb, an extra-terrestrial from Planet 10 who has recently arrived here on Earth. Herb has given you the following information about how his eyes work. His visual field consists of about 10^8 pixels. Each pixel is basically a superposition of five “colors” (i.e., infrared, red, green, blue, and ultraviolet), each of which has 32 intensities. The time resolution of Herb’s visual field is 10 msec. Calculate the data rate, in GB/sec, of Herb’s eyes.
28. A bit-map terminal has a 1920×1080 display. The display is redrawn 75 times a second. How long is the pulse corresponding to one pixel?
29. In a certain font, a monochrome laser printer can print 50 lines of 80 characters per page. The average character occupies a box $2 \text{ mm} \times 2 \text{ mm}$, about 25% of which is toner. The rest is blank (i.e., no toner) The toner layer is 25 microns thick. The printer’s toner cartridge measures $25 \times 8 \times 2 \text{ cm}$. How many pages is one toner cartridge good for?
30. The Hi-Fi Modem Company has just designed a new frequency-modulation modem that uses 64 frequencies instead of just 2. Each second is divided into n equal time intervals, each of which contains one of the 64 possible tones. How many bits per second can this modem transmit, using synchronous transmission?
31. An Internet user has subscribed to a 2-Mbps ADSL service. Her neighbor has subscribed to a cable Internet service that has a shared bandwidth of 12 MHz. The modulation scheme in use is QAM-64. There are n houses on the cable, each with one computer. A fraction f of these computers are online at any one time. Under what conditions will the cable user get better service than the ADSL user?
32. A digital camera has a resolution of 3000×2000 pixels, with 3 bytes/pixel for RGB color. The manufacturer of the camera wants to be able to write a JPEG image at a 5x compression factor to the flash memory in 2 sec. What data rate is required?
33. A high-end digital camera has a sensor with 24 million pixels, each with 6 bytes/pixel. How many pictures can be stored on an 8-GB flash memory card if the compression factor is 5x? Assume that 1 GB means 2^{30} bytes.
34. Estimate how many characters, including spaces, a typical computer-science textbook contains. How many bits are needed to encode a book in ASCII with parity? How many CD-ROMs are needed to store a computer-science library of 10,000 books? How many single-sided, dual-layer DVDs are needed for the same library?

35. Write a procedure *hamming(ascii, encoded)* that converts the low-order 7 bits of *ascii* into an 11-bit integer codeword stored in *encoded*.
36. Write a function *distance(code, n, k)* that takes an array *code* of *n* characters of *k* bits each as input and returns the distance of the character set as output.