

Having regard to Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Directive 95/46/EC (hereinafter: the 'GDPR');

Having regard to the Act of 1 August 2018 on the organisation of the National Data Protection Commission and the general data protection framework (hereinafter: the 'Law of 1 August 2018');

Having regard to the Rules of Procedure of the National Data Protection Commission adopted by Decision No 07AD/2024 of 23 February 2024 (hereinafter: the '**ROP**');

Having regard to the Procedure for complaints before the National Data Protection Commission adopted on 16 October 2020 (hereinafter referred to as the 'Complaint Procedure before the CNPD');

Having regard to the following:

## I. Facts and procedure

- 1. In the framework of the European cooperation, as provided for in Chapter VII of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Directive 95/46/EC (General Data Protection Regulation or GDPR), the Supervisory Authority of Bavaria (Germany) submitted to the National Data Protection Commission (hereinafter: "the CNPD") a complaint (national reference of the concerned authority: LDA-1085.3-10332/20-I) via IMI in accordance with Article 61 procedure 174331.
- 2. The complaint was lodged against the controller (hereafter "manual"), who has its main establishment in Luxembourg. Under Article 56 GDPR, the CNPD is therefore competent to act as the lead supervisory authority.
- 3. The original IMI claim stated the following:
  - "The complainant submits that he has already made several requests to the company for the provision of access in accordance with Article 15 of the GDPR as well as deletion of his personal data in accordance with Article 17 GDPR. Up to now, the company has not complied with his rights."



- 4. In essence, the complainant asks the CNPD to request to grant the complainant's right of access as well as his right to erasure.
- 5. The complaint is therefore based on Article 15 and 17 GDPR.
- 6. On the basis of this complaint and in accordance with Article 57(1)(f) GDPR, the CNPD requested the controller to take a position on the facts reported by the complainant and to provide a detailed description of the issue relating to the processing of the complainant's personal data, in particular with regard to the right of access and the right to erasure.
- 7. The CNPD received the requested information within the deadlines set.

#### II. In law

#### 1. Applicable legal provisions

- 8. Article 77 GDPR provides that "without prejudice to any other administrative or judicial remedy, every data subject shall have the right to lodge a complaint with a supervisory authority, (...) if the data subject considers that the processing of personal data relating to him or her infringes this Regulation."
- 9. In accordance with Article 15 GDPR "The data subject shall have the right to obtain from the controller confirmation as to whether or not personal data concerning him or her are being processed, and, where that is the case, access to the personal data and the following information (...)";
- 10. Pursuant to Article 15(4) GDPR, "The right to obtain a copy referred to in paragraph 3 shall not adversely affect the rights and freedoms of others."
- 11. Pursuant to Article 17 GDPR, a data subject may request the erasure of his or her personal data and the controller must erase the data subject's personal data without undue delay if one of the grounds provided for in Article 17 (1) GDPR applies unless the controller can demonstrate that the processing falls within the scope of one of the exceptions set out in Article 17 (3) GDPR.
- 12. Furthermore, in application of Article 12(2) GDPR "the controller shall facilitate the exercise of data subject rights under Articles 15 to 22". Recital 59 GDPR emphasises that "Modalities should be provided for facilitating the exercise of the data subject's rights under this Regulation, including mechanisms to request and, if applicable, obtain, free of charge, in particular, access to and rectification or



erasure of personal data and the exercise of the right to object. The controller should also provide means for requests to be made electronically, especially where personal data are processed by electronic means."

- 13. Article 56(1) GDPR provides that "(...) the supervisory authority of the main establishment or of the single establishment of the controller or processor shall be competent to act as lead supervisory authority for the cross-border processing carried out by that controller or processor in accordance with the procedure provided in Article 60";
- 14. According to Article 60(1) GDPR, "The lead supervisory authority shall cooperate with the other supervisory authorities concerned in accordance with this Article in an endeavour to reach consensus. The lead supervisory authority and the supervisory authorities concerned shall exchange all relevant information with each other":
- 15. According to Article 60(3) GDPR, "The lead supervisory authority shall, without delay, communicate the relevant information on the matter to the other supervisory authorities concerned. It shall without delay submit a draft decision to the other supervisory authorities concerned for their opinion and take due account of their views":

#### 2. In the present case

- 16. Following the intervention of the Luxembourg supervisory authority, the controller confirmed that:
  - The customer account related to the account with the e-mail address (XXX) was suspended in October 2019 due to suspected fraud arising from the customer's return related claims.
  - In December 2019, the controller also responded to a separate request for access to his personal data by sending him a password encrypted USB stick.
  - It sent a message to the complainant that reflected that it informed him about the consequences of the account closure and therefore asked him to confirm if he wished to proceed with closure following receipt of the DSAR response.
  - It reached out to the complainant again, asking him for a phone call to authenticate, then subsequently provide him with a response to his DSAR



on a password protected USB stick, and subsequently process his request for account closure and data deletion relating to the customer account with the e-mail address (XXX).

#### 3. Outcome of the case

- 17. The CNPD, in a plenary session, therefore considers that, at the end of the investigation of the present complaint, the controller has taken appropriate measures to grant the complainant's right of access and right of erasure, in accordance with Articles 15 and 17 GDPR.
- 18. Thus, in the light of the foregoing, and the residual nature of the gravity of the alleged facts and the degree of impact on fundamental rights and freedoms, it does not appear necessary to continue to deal with that complaint. Moreover, the CNPD is of the view that the issue has been resolved in a satisfactory manner.
- 19. The CNPD then consulted the supervisory authority of Bavaria (Germany), pursuant to Article 60(1), whether it agreed to close the case. The Supervisory Authority of Bavaria (Germany) has responded that the complainant did not contact them anymore and that they assumed therefore that the cross-border complaint could be closed.

In light of the above developments, the National Data Protection Commission, in a plenary session, after having deliberated, decides:

 To close the complaint file 6.344 upon completion of its investigation, in accordance with the Complaints Procedure before the CNPD. As per Article 60(7) GDPR, the lead supervisory authority shall adopt and notify the decision to the main establishment or single establishment of the controller.

Belvaux, dated 3 March 2025

The National Data Protection Commission



Chair

Commissioner

Commissioner

### **Indication of remedies**

This Administrative Decision may be the subject of an appeal for amendment within three months of its notification. Such an action must be brought by the interested party before the administrative court and must be brought by a lawyer at the Court of one of the Bar Associations.