

# Lecture Transcript

## Properties of Line Segment

Hello and welcome to this next session on data structures and algorithms. Starting today, we'll discuss some very simple yet interesting algorithms from the area of computational geometry. Today we'll discuss some properties associated with line segments for example, how would you know if given three points  $p_0$ ,  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  whether the angle suspended at  $p_0$  by the line segments  $p_1$ ,  $p_0$  and  $p_2$ ,  $p_0$  is clockwise or counter-clockwise that is how do you find out if  $p_1$  lies above or below the line segment  $p_0$ ,  $p_2$  and vice-a-versa. So, computational geometry happen to be a branch of computer science that studies algorithms for solving geometrical problems and it has applications in graphics, robotics, VLSI design, computer-aided design, molecular modeling etc. Basically, all areas that have something to do with shapes of objects and understanding properties associated with those shapes. So the general input is a set of geometric objects set of points, line segments, vertices of polygon etc. Output is either response to query about the objects, or some cases use synthesizes new geometric object.

So today's discussion will be largely about query about some objects, for example, do two line segments intersect. In the next class, we will discuss an instance of the second kind of output the synthesis of a new geometric object. We'll discuss the synthesis something called the hull or more specifically the convex hull of a set of points. You could think of the convex hull as the tightest enclosing polygon around the set of points for this you might have to drop some points from the boundary of the hull and let them lie in the interior of the hull. Coming back to line segments we will be interested in characterization of line segments as a convex combination of the two points that form the  $n$  points of the line segments. So let's call these two points  $p_1$  and  $p_2$ , so any point  $p_3$  that lies between  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  is understood to be a convex combination of  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  and the coordinates of  $p_3$  are basically convex combinations of the coordinates of  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  which is  $\alpha x_1 + (1 - \alpha) x_2$  for some  $\alpha$  between 0 and 1,  $y_3$  is  $\alpha y_1 + (1 - \alpha) y_2$ . So, more generally we call the point itself to be a convex combination of the two points  $p_1$  and  $p_2$ . So  $p_3$  is  $\alpha p_1 + (1 - \alpha) p_2$ . So we will start with the simplest of inquiries given points  $p_0$ ,  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  and let's say for simplicity sake we let  $p_0$  be the (0,0) that is we set  $p_0$  to be the reference point origin and our question is whether  $p_1$ ,  $p_0$  is clockwise or counter-clockwise with respect to  $p_2$ ,  $p_0$  and this how you depict? As you can see in this figure  $p_0p_1$  is counter-clockwise from  $p_0p_2$ , one might also be interested in whether any two given line segments intersect at all in which case the inquiry would be more like do  $p_1$ ,  $p_2$  and  $P_3$ ,  $P_4$  intersect at all.

Let's answer the first question direction of  $P_1$  from  $P_2$  with respect to  $P_0$ . A very fundamental tool to answer this question is to look at the cross product, cross product is depicted as  $P_1 \times P_2$  and it is not commutative so  $P_1 \times P_2$  is not necessarily equal to  $P_2 \times P_1$ . Now, one can understand this cross product as follows. So if  $P_0$  is here and we consider a parallelogram with

corners at  $P_0$ ,  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  and the fourth corner being the sum of  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  which happens to be here. One can interpret this cross product as the area of this parallelogram. However, this area is not commutative with respect to  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ , so we call this the signed area. So, the signed area  $P_1 \times P_2$  is not the same as a signed area  $P_2 \times P_1$ . So one can recall that area of such a parallelogram can be obtained as the determinant of this matrix with  $P_1$  as a first column and  $P_2$  as a second column. This determinant is  $x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1$ . Similarly, the cross product  $P_2 \times P_1$  can be written as this determinant  $x_2 y_1 - x_1 y_2$  and well this is nothing but  $x_2 y_1 - x_1 y_2$ . So one can see that  $P_2 \times P_1$  is exactly the opposite sign as  $P_1 \times P_2$  and that is why we refer to this area as a signed area.

Now, what does a sign actually denote, well it turns out that if  $P_1$  is clockwise from  $P_2$ , which is a case here, then this signed area or the determinant of  $P_1 \times P_2$  is positive. On the other hand you can verify that the sign of  $P_2 \times P_1$  which is exactly the negative of  $P_1 \times P_2$  is negative. So, what this says is that while  $P_1$  is clockwise from  $P_2$ ,  $P_2$  is counter-clockwise from  $P_1$ . So, continue with this same example where we assume that  $P_0$  is the origin, the cross product is computed as follows  $P_1 \times P_2$  is  $x_1 * y_2 - x_2 * y_1$  and for this specific example  $P_2$  is (4,1)  $P_1$  is (2, 3) and this cross product is -10. Since the result  $P_1 \times P_2$  is negative, we have verified that  $P_1$  is counter-clockwise from  $P_2$  with respect to the origin  $P_0$ . One can immediately see that  $P_2 \times P_1$  is +10 and therefore  $p_2$  is clockwise from  $p_1$  with respect to the origin  $p_0$ . How about two arbitrary line segments  $p_0p_1$  and  $p_1p_2$ ? So, what does it mean? Well, this means  $p_0p_1$  and  $p_1p_2$ .

Now, we'll not make any specific assumption about  $p_1$  or  $p_0$  or  $p_2$ . Do they turn left or right at point  $p_1$ ? Now, it's similar to the previous question of whether  $p_2$  turns clockwise or counter clockwise from  $p_0$  with respect  $p_1$ . So this will be equivalent to the question, does  $p_2$  turn counter clockwise or clockwise from  $p_0$  with respect to  $p_1$ ? And that's what we have summarized here. The approach will be to check whether the directed segment  $p_0p_2$  is clockwise or counter-clockwise with respect to the directed segment  $p_0p_1$ , and that is through these cross product  $(p_2 - p_0)$  i.e. the first vector that we want  $(p_2 - p_0)$  i.e. the vector whose orientation needs to be check with respect to  $(p_1 - p_0)$ . One can generalize the discussion so far to address the following question. We are interested in determining whether two line segments intersect or not. We will answer this question by looking at the direction of turn. We already seen that if our points were  $p_0$ ,  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  then the cross product  $(p_1 - p_0) \times (p_2 - p_0)$  if it's positive then we know that  $p_1$  turns clockwise from  $p_2$  with respect to  $p_0$ .

Now, can we use this fact to answer the general question if two line segments  $p_1p_2$  and  $p_3p_4$  intersect? One would look at different possible angles here, but let me explain the main motivation. The motivation is to see if the points  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  lie on opposite sides of the line  $p_3p_4$  and also simultaneously the points  $p_3$  and  $p_4$  lie on opposite sides of the line  $p_1p_2$ . So, you can convince yourself that if both occur that is  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  are on opposite sides of the line  $p_3p_4$ , and  $p_3$  and  $p_4$  are on opposite sides of the line  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  then indeed the line segments  $p_1p_2$  and  $p_3p_4$  intersect. There, of course, some corner cases that we need to handle. What is a point of intersection? What if the points actually meet at one of  $p_1$ ,  $p_2$ ,  $p_3$  or  $p_4$ ? But keeping that aside for the time being, we'll answer this question by looking at angles. So what we expect is that if  $p_3$  and  $p_4$  lie on opposite sides of the line  $p_1p_2$ , then the angle formed by  $p_3$  at  $p_1$  with respect to  $p_2$  should have the opposite sign as the angle formed by  $p_4$  at  $p_1$  with respect to  $p_2$ . In other words, we would expect that on one hand if  $p_3$  was clockwise from  $p_2$  with respect to  $p_1$  then  $p_4$  should

be anticlockwise from  $p_2$  with respect to  $p_1$  likewise you would expect  $p_1$  to be anticlockwise or counterclockwise from  $p_4$  with respect to  $p_3$  and  $p_2$  should be clockwise from  $p_4$  with respect to  $p_3$ . In other words, we would expect the signs of cross product  $(p_1-p_3)$  with  $(p_4-p_3)$  to be exactly opposite to that of  $(p_2-p_3)$  with  $(p_4-p_3)$ .

This has been depicted in this picture. We expect these line segment to intersect, if  $(p_1-p_3)$  cross product with  $(p_4-p_3)$  is negative, which means  $p_1$  turns counterclockwise from  $p_4$  with respect to  $p_3$  and on the other hand,  $p_2$  turns clockwise from  $p_4$  with respect to  $p_3$ , this is indeed the case here. It's also possible that the  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  get exchanged, in which case all we expect is one to be clockwise and the other to be a counterclockwise. So what we are looking for in this entire process is the sign of  $(p_1-p_3)$  cross product with  $(p_4-p_3)$  to be exactly opposite of the sign of  $(p_2-p_3)$  cross product with  $(p_4-p_3)$ . Similarly, you would expect the sign of  $(p_4-p_1)$  cross product with  $(p_2-p_1)$  to be exactly opposite of the sign of  $(p_3-p_1)$  cross product with  $(p_2-p_1)$ . One can also consider the case where  $p_3$  and  $p_4$  are on opposite sides of the line  $p_1, p_2$ , whereas,  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  are on the same side of the line  $p_3$  and  $p_4$ . In such a case, yes the lines do intersect, but the line segment do not.

This precisely is a significance of both the test. One needs to determine that the cross products have opposite signs for both the for both the pairs  $(p_1, p_2)$  and  $(p_3, p_4)$ . It doesn't suffice to check for opposite signs only for one of them. Here is the corners case, what if one of the points say  $p_3$  happens to be collinear with  $p_1, p_2$ . In general, what do you expect if three points  $p_1, p_2$  and  $p_3$  are collinear. Well, if they are collinear we would expect that  $p_3$  is neither counter clockwise nor clockwise from  $p_2$  with respect to  $p_1$ . It can be neither positive nor negative. So we would expect this cross product of  $(p_3-p_1)$  with  $(p_2-p_1)$  to be 0. So the question is that if indeed  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  lie on opposite sides of the line  $p_3, p_4$ , but if between the cross products associated with  $p_3$  or  $p_4$ , one of them turns out to be 0, then it is possible that the point with the zero cross product lies exactly on the line segment joining  $p_1$  and  $p_2$ . To check if  $p_3$  lies on the line segment  $p_1, p_2$ , we are going to check if the  $x$  coordinate of  $p_3$  is between the  $x$  coordinates of  $p_1$  and  $p_2$ .  $x_3$  should be exactly in the line segment or in the close interval  $[x_1, x_2]$  and check if  $y_3$  belongs to the close interval  $[y_2, y_1]$ .

We have very implicitly referred to this specific orientation of  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  in defining these intervals  $[x_1, x_2]$  and  $[y_2, y_1]$ . We know that  $y_2$  is indeed smaller than  $y_1$  and  $x_1$  smaller than  $x_2$ . In general, what you require is that  $x_3$  lies in the close interval  $\min(x_1, x_2), \max(x_1, x_2)$  and  $y_3$  should also lie in this close interval  $\min(y_1, y_2), \max(y_1, y_2)$ . If this is not the case, it could mean that  $p_3$  is indeed collinear but it doesn't lie on the line segment. So let me present a case,  $p_3$  is collinear and here's  $p_3$ , however we find that its  $x$  coordinate as well as the  $y$  coordinate lie outside the range close interval provided by  $p_1$  and  $p_2$ . This is precisely the example,  $p_3$  is indeed collinear with  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  but unfortunately  $p_3$  doesn't lie on the line segment  $p_1$  and  $p_2$ . So please note, how we've at times talked about lines and at another times talked about line segments. As per as points lying on opposite sides as concern, we talk of they are lying on opposite sides of a line. However, when I comes to collinearity we insist on line segments. So, we've put together our algorithm for detecting if two segments intersect. Initially, we compute 4 directions. Direction  $d_1$  is the direction of  $p_1$  from  $p_4$  with respect to  $p_3$  and to remind you what this means, this means that we are pivoted at  $p_3$ ,

we look at  $p_1-p_3$  and  $p_4-p_3$ , if the cross product  $p_1-p_3$  times  $p_4-p_3$  is positive it means that  $p_1$  is clockwise from  $p_4$  with respect to  $p_3$ . So likewise, we look for the direction of  $p_2$  from  $p_4$  with respect to  $p_3$ , direction of  $p_3$  from  $p_2$  with respect to  $p_1$  of  $p_4$  from  $p_2$  with respect to  $p_1$ . Note that we have arbitrarily chosen  $p_3$  and  $p_1$  from the line segments  $p_3, p_4$  and  $p_1, p_2$ . As homework, you might want to look at the direction of  $p_1$  from  $p_3$  with respect to  $p_4$ . Basically, swapping  $p_3$  and  $p_4$  here and similarly swapping  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  in the directions  $d_3$  and  $d_4$ . You will find that not much will change at all. Now you find that  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  have opposite signs irrespective of whether the pivot is  $p_3$  or  $p_4$  and if directions  $d_3$  and  $d_4$  have opposite signs then you are done. If it turns out that any of the four that  $d_1$  to  $d_4$  are zero which means some three points are colinear then one checks if the collinearity if on the line segment itself.

So, if  $d_1$  is zero that is if the direction of  $p_1$  from  $p_4$  with respect to  $p_3$  is a zero then you check if  $p_1$  lies on the line segment  $p_3, p_4$ . If  $d_2$  zero, you check if  $p_2$  is on line segment  $p_3, p_4$  and so on. In either of these four cases again, you know that the two line segments indeed intersect. If none of these are true which means the directions  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  and  $d_3$  and  $d_4$  don't have opposite signs, none of these three points are colinear, certainly, the line segments do not intersect at all and the case for this is shown here. As already indicated, direction  $p_i, p_j, p_k$  looks at the direction of  $p_k$  with respect to  $p_j$  from  $p_i$ . If the direction is positive it means that  $p_k$  is clockwise from  $p_j$  with respect to  $p_i$ . And on the segment routine does exactly what we described earlier, you look at the min ( $x_i$  and  $x_j$ ) and then max ( $x_i$  and  $x_j$ ) and ensure that  $x_k$  lies exactly between the two. You also need to ensure that  $y_k$  lies in the closed interval determined by the min of  $y_i$  and  $y_j$  and max of  $y_i$  and  $y_j$ .

Thank you.