

Why is Dirac's Equation  
 $i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi = m\psi$  !

Dirac

Classically total energy (Hamiltonian)

~~$H = \frac{p^2}{2m} + V$~~

$H = \frac{p^2}{2m} + V$

BUT  
 • No quantum mechanics

[1]

+ Q.M.

Quantum mechanically, Schrödinger's eq<sup>n</sup> says

$\hat{H}\psi = \hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}$

BUT  
 • Non-relativistic  
 • No spin  
 • No antiparticles

[2]

+ RELATIVITY

Dirac proposed the Hamiltonian is linear in both momentum and energy since in relativity time and space are equivalent.

$\Rightarrow H = \underbrace{\alpha \cdot p}_{\text{constant}} + \underbrace{\beta m}_{\text{constant}}$

[3]

~~(4) (5)~~

Relativity theory says

$E^2 = p^2 c^2 + m^2 c^4$  Relativistic energy relation

[4]

Let's use units where  $c=1$  & switch to quantum mechanical description.

$p \rightarrow \hat{p} = -i\nabla \quad E \rightarrow \hat{E} = i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$

[5]

$\Rightarrow -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \psi = (\nabla^2 + m^2) \psi$

[5]

$\Rightarrow \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2 \right) \psi + m^2 \psi = 0$

[6]

BUT

• No spin  
 • Negative sol<sup>n</sup>s for probability allowed.

[7]

Klein-Gordon eq<sup>n</sup> vector form  
 $\square \psi + m^2 \psi = 0$  tensor form p.t.o.

Substitute

$$\hat{H} = \underline{\alpha} \cdot \underline{p} + \beta m = \alpha_i p_i + \beta m \quad [8]$$

→ Schrödinger's eq<sup>n</sup>

$$\hat{H} \psi = i \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}$$

$$(\underline{\alpha} \cdot \underline{p} + \beta m) \psi = i \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \quad [9a]$$

$$(*) \rightarrow = (-i \underline{\alpha} \cdot \nabla + \beta m) \psi = i \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \quad [9b]$$

→ K-G eq<sup>n</sup>

$$H^2 = (\alpha_i p_i + \beta m)(\alpha_j p_j + \beta m)$$

scalar element of a vector

↓  
Scalar

$$= \alpha_i \alpha_j p_i p_j + \alpha_i p_i \beta m + \beta m \alpha_j p_j + \beta^2 m^2 + \cancel{\alpha_i \alpha_j p_i p_j} + \cancel{\alpha_i p_i \alpha_j p_j}$$

$$+ (\alpha_i p_i \alpha_j p_j + \alpha_j p_j \alpha_i p_i)$$

(where  $j \neq i$  in the final term.)

$$= \alpha_i^2 p_i^2 + (\alpha_i \beta + \beta \alpha_i) p_i m$$

$$+ (\alpha_i \alpha_j + \alpha_j \alpha_i) p_i p_j + \beta^2 m^2 \quad [10]$$

Compare with relativistic energy relation.

$$E^2 = \underline{p}^2 + m^2$$

pto.

$\Rightarrow$  In order to satisfy ~~both quantum mechanics~~ relativity

$$\alpha_i \beta + \beta \alpha_i = 0 \quad (11)$$

$$\text{AND } \alpha_i \alpha_j + \alpha_j \alpha_i = 0 \quad (12)$$

$$\text{AND } \beta^2 = 1 \quad (13)$$

$$\text{AND } \alpha_i^2 = 1 \quad (14)$$

So  $\alpha_i$  and  $\beta$  are matrices.

$$\text{Let } \gamma^\mu = (\beta, \beta \alpha_i)$$

$$\beta = \gamma^0$$

$$\alpha_i = \frac{\gamma^i}{\beta}$$

Momentum 3-vector

$$E = \hbar \omega \quad (m, \vec{p})$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{H}^2 = \gamma^\mu E_\mu$$

$$\beta \times (9b)$$

$$\beta (-i \underline{\alpha} \cdot \nabla + \beta m) \psi = i \beta \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}$$

$$\Rightarrow (-i \underline{\gamma} \cdot \nabla + \gamma^0 m) \psi = i \gamma^0 \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}$$

$$\Rightarrow i \left( \gamma^0 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \underline{\gamma} \cdot \nabla \right) \psi = m \psi$$

$$\partial_\mu = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \nabla$$

pto.

$$= \boxed{i \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi = m \psi} \quad \text{Dirac's Eq.}^n$$