

List 07: Heteroskedasticity

Nikita V. Artamonov

Contents

Tests	1
sleep equation	1
wage equation	2
output equation	2
cost equation #1	2
cost equation #2	3
Robust inferences: t-test (HC s.e.)	3
output equation	3
cost equation	4
Robust inferences: F-test (HC estimator for the cov matrix)	5
output equation	5
cost equation	6

Tests

sleep equation

For the dataset `sleep75` consider a regression `sleep ~ totwrk + age + I(age^2) + male + smsa + south`. Its specification is $sleep = \beta_0 + \beta_1 totwrk + \beta_2 age + \beta_3 age^2 + \beta_4 male + \beta_5 smsa + \beta_6 south + u$.

BP-test is based on the following heteroskedasticity model $Var(u|X) = f(x'\gamma)$

We test $H_0 : \gamma_1 = \dots = \gamma_k = 0$ (homoskedastic error term)

Fit the regression and perform BP-test. Result is

```
studentized Breusch-Pagan test
```

```
data: mod
```

```
BP = 8.31, df = 6, p-value = 0.2163
```

significant level 5%.

Evaluate necessary critical value **Round the answer to 2 decimal places.**

```
[1] 12.59
```

Conclusion

```
[1] "Evidence for homoskedasticity"
```

wage equation

For the dataset `wage1` consider a regression $\log(\text{wage}) \sim \text{exper} + \text{I}(\text{exper}^2) + \text{female} + \text{married} + \text{smsa}$.

BP-test is based on the following heteroskedasticity model $\text{Var}(u|X) = f(x'\gamma)$

We test $H_0 : \gamma_1 = \dots = \gamma_k = 0$ (homoskedastic error term)

Fit the regression and perform BP-test. Result is

```
studentized Breusch-Pagan test
```

```
data: mod
```

```
BP = 8.9399, df = 5, p-value = 0.1115
```

significant level 5%.

Evaluate necessary critical value **Round the answer to 2 decimal places.**

```
[1] 11.07
```

Conclusion

```
[1] "Evidence for homoskedasticity"
```

output equation

For the dataset `Labour` consider a regression $\log(\text{output}) \sim \log(\text{capital}) + \log(\text{labour}) + \text{I}(\log(\text{capital})^2) + \text{I}(\log(\text{labour})^2)$.

BP-test is based on the following heteroskedasticity model $\text{Var}(u|X) = f(x'\gamma)$

We test $H_0 : \gamma_1 = \dots = \gamma_k = 0$ (homoskedastic error term)

Fit the regression and perform BP-test. Result is

```
studentized Breusch-Pagan test
```

```
data: mod
```

```
BP = 44.534, df = 4, p-value = 4.97e-09
```

significant level 5%.

Evaluate necessary critical value **Round the answer to 2 decimal places.**

```
[1] 9.49
```

Conclusion

```
[1] "Evidence for heteroskedasticity"
```

cost equation #1

For the dataset `Electricity` consider a regression $\log(\text{cost}) \sim \log(\text{q}) + \text{I}(\log(\text{q})^2) + \log(\text{pf}) + \log(\text{pl}) + \log(\text{pk})$.

BP-test is based on the following heteroskedasticity model $\text{Var}(u|X) = f(x'\gamma)$

We test $H_0 : \gamma_1 = \dots = \gamma_k = 0$ (homoskedastic error term)

Fit the regression and perform BP-test. Result is

studentized Breusch-Pagan test

data: mod
BP = 45.076, df = 5, p-value = 1.4e-08
significant level 5%.

Evaluate necessary critical value **Round the answer to 2 decimal places.**

[1] 11.07

Conclusion

[1] "Evidence for heteroskedasticity"

cost equation #2

For the dataset `Electricity` consider a regression $\log(\text{cost}) \sim \log(q) + I(\log(q)^2) + \log(pf) + \log(pl) + \log(pk) + I(\log(pk)^2) + I(\log(pl)^2) + I(\log(pf)^2)$.

BP-test is based on the following heteroskedasticity model $Var(u|X) = f(x'\gamma)$

We test $H_0 : \gamma_1 = \dots = \gamma_k = 0$ (homoskedastic error term)

Fit the regression and perform BP-test. Result is

studentized Breusch-Pagan test

data: mod
BP = 49.299, df = 8, p-value = 5.57e-08
significant level 5%.

Evaluate necessary critical value **Round the answer to 2 decimal places.**

[1] 15.51

Conclusion

[1] "Evidence for heteroskedasticity"

Robust inferences: t-test (HC s.e.)

By default we use HC3 s.e.

output equation

For the dataset `Labour` consider a regression $\log(\text{output}) \sim \log(\text{capital}) + \log(\text{labour}) + I(\log(\text{capital})^2) + I(\log(\text{labour})^2)$.

Perform non-robust & robust t-test and compare results

Non-robust t-test (OLS-s.e.)

t test of coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	-1.3039430	0.1885929	-6.9141	1.279e-11 ***
log(capital)	0.1831076	0.0165635	11.0549	< 2.2e-16 ***

```
log(labour)      0.5152974  0.0833632  6.1814 1.220e-09 ***
I(log(capital)^2) 0.0227484  0.0050350  4.5181 7.606e-06 ***
I(log(labour)^2)  0.0202628  0.0095958  2.1116  0.03516 *
```

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Robust t-test (HC3 s.e.)

t test of coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	-1.3039430	0.4932710	-2.6435	0.008435 **
log(capital)	0.1831076	0.0294634	6.2148	9.999e-10 ***
log(labour)	0.5152974	0.2064002	2.4966	0.012823 *
I(log(capital)^2)	0.0227484	0.0083099	2.7375	0.006386 **
I(log(labour)^2)	0.0202628	0.0209889	0.9654	0.334755

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

significant level 5%.

Which coefficients are significant?

	regressors	sign.regressors
1	(Intercept)	Significant
2	log(capital)	Significant
3	log(labour)	Significant
4	I(log(capital)^2)	Significant
5	I(log(labour)^2)	Insignificant

cost equation

For the dataset Electricity consider a regression $\log(\text{cost}) \sim \log(q) + I(\log(q)^2) + \log(pf) + \log(pl) + \log(pk)$.

Perform non-robust & robust t-test and compare results

Non-robust t-test (OLS-s.e.)

t test of coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	-6.7386606	0.7062673	-9.5412	< 2.2e-16 ***
log(q)	0.4029811	0.0316454	12.7343	< 2.2e-16 ***
I(log(q)^2)	0.0304398	0.0021706	14.0236	< 2.2e-16 ***
log(pf)	0.6847054	0.0426794	16.0430	< 2.2e-16 ***
log(pl)	0.1460853	0.0704738	2.0729	0.039870 *
log(pk)	0.1570790	0.0577194	2.7214	0.007259 **

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Robust t-test (HC3 s.e.)

t test of coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
--	----------	------------	---------	----------

```

(Intercept) -6.7386606  0.8472223 -7.9538 3.838e-13 ***
log(q)       0.4029811  0.0662199  6.0855 9.048e-09 ***
I(log(q)^2)  0.0304398  0.0041028  7.4194 7.752e-12 ***
log(pf)      0.6847054  0.0519179 13.1882 < 2.2e-16 ***
log(pl)      0.1460853  0.0853617  1.7114  0.08905 .
log(pk)      0.1570790  0.0622924  2.5216  0.01271 *

```

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

significant level 1%.

Which coefficients are significant?

```

      regressors sign.regressors
1 (Intercept)      Significant
2      log(q)      Significant
3 I(log(q)^2)      Significant
4      log(pf)      Significant
5      log(pl)      Insignificant
6      log(pk)      Insignificant

```

Robust inferences: F-test (HC estimator for the cov matrix)

By default we use HC3 estimator for covariance matrix

output equation

For the dataset `Labour` consider a regression $\log(\text{output}) \sim \log(\text{capital}) + \log(\text{labour}) + I(\log(\text{capital})^2) + , I(\log(\text{labour})^2)$.

Robust t-test

t test of coefficients:

```

              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept)   -1.3039430  0.4932710 -2.6435  0.008435 **
log(capital)    0.1831076  0.0294634  6.2148 9.999e-10 ***
log(labour)     0.5152974  0.2064002  2.4966  0.012823 *
I(log(capital)^2) 0.0227484  0.0083099  2.7375  0.006386 **
I(log(labour)^2)  0.0202628  0.0209889  0.9654  0.334755

```

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

significant level 1%.

Let's test the significance of **labour**, i.e. $H_0 : \beta_{\log(\text{labour})} = \beta_{\log^2(\text{labour})} = 0$

Result of non-robust F-test:

```

=====
F      Pr(> F)
-----
19.524    0
-----

```

Result of robust F-test:

```
=====
F      Pr(> F)
-----
4.534  0.011
-----
```

Evaluate necessary critical value. **Round the answer to 2 decimal places.**

```
[1] 4.64
```

Is **labour** significant?

```
[1] "Insignificant"
```

cost equation

For the dataset **Electricity** consider a regression $\log(\text{cost}) \sim \log(q) + I(\log(q)^2) + \log(pf) + \log(pl) + \log(pk) + I(\log(pk)^2) + I(\log(pl)^2) + I(\log(pf)^2)$.

Robust t-test

t test of coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	-44.4034734	32.7822805	-1.3545	0.1776
log(q)	0.3963198	0.0664186	5.9670	1.690e-08 ***
I(log(q)^2)	0.0308516	0.0041096	7.5071	5.111e-12 ***
log(pf)	0.8988395	1.8426423	0.4878	0.6264
log(pl)	8.3334364	7.5068929	1.1101	0.2687
log(pk)	0.4362352	1.6217309	0.2690	0.7883
I(log(pf)^2)	-0.0305097	0.2694516	-0.1132	0.9100
I(log(pl)^2)	-0.4554265	0.4172544	-1.0915	0.2768
I(log(pk)^2)	-0.0360438	0.2003201	-0.1799	0.8575

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
significant level 5%.

Hypothesis 1

Let's test the significance of **pl**, i.e. $H_0 : \beta_{\log(pl)} = \beta_{\log^2(pl)} = 0$

Result of non-robust F-test:

```
=====
F      Pr(> F)
-----
3.480  0.033
-----
```

Result of robust F-test:

```
=====
F      Pr(> F)
-----
1.978  0.142
```

Evaluate necessary critical value. **Round the answer to 2 decimal places.**

[1] 3.06

Is **pl** significant?

[1] "Insignificant"

Hypothesis 2

Let's test the significance of **pl**, i.e. $H_0 : \beta_{\log(pk)} = \beta_{\log^2(pk)} = 0$

Result of non-robust F-test:

```
=====
F          Pr(> F)
-----
2.982    0.054
-----
```

Result of robust F-test:

```
=====
F          Pr(> F)
-----
2.209    0.113
-----
```

Evaluate necessary critical value. **Round the answer to 2 decimal places.**

[1] 3.06

Is **pl** significant?

[1] "Insignificant"

Hypothesis 3

Let's test the significance of **pl**, i.e. $H_0 : \beta_{\log(pf)} = \beta_{\log^2(pf)} = 0$

Result of non-robust F-test:

```
=====
F          Pr(> F)
-----
129.374    0
-----
```

Result of robust F-test:

```
=====
F          Pr(> F)
-----
79.131    0
-----
```

Evaluate necessary critical value. **Round the answer to 2 decimal places.**

```
[1] 3.06
```

```
Is pl significant?
```

```
[1] "Significant"
```