Homework 1

Leonhard Euler

Math 101, Fall 1737

Problem 1.

- (a) Prove that there is no rational number whose square is 2.
- (b) Let p be a prime number and n an integer greater than 1. Prove that there is no rational number whose nth power is p.

Solution. (a) Suppose that there is a rational number whose square is 2. Then we can find coprime nonzero integers a and b such that $a^2/b^2 = 2$. Then $a^2 = 2b^2$, so a^2 is even. It follows that a is even, so a = 2k for some integer k. Then $2b^2 = 4k^2$, so $b^2 = 2k^2$, which implies that b^2 is even. But then b itself is even, which is a contradiction since a is even and a and b are coprime. Thus, there is no rational number whose square is 2.

(b) If there were such a rational number, then it would be a root of the polynomial $f = x^n - p$. This polynomial is irreducible over \mathbb{Z} by Eisenstein's criterion, and so it is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} by Gauss's Lemma. In particular, f has no roots in \mathbb{Q} , there is no rational number whose nth power is p.

1

Problem 2. Let *S* be a dense subset of \mathbb{R} .

- (i) Suppose $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and f(x) = 0 for all $x \in S$.
 - (A) Prove, using the *definition* of denseness, that f(x) = 0 for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.
 - (B) Prove, using the fact that a subset of \mathbb{R} is dense if and only if it is *sequentially dense*, that f(x) = 0 for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (ii) Suppose $f, g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ are continuous and f(x) = g(x) for all $x \in S$. Prove that f(x) = g(x) for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Solution. (i)(A) Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$ be given, and pick any $\varepsilon > 0$. Since f is continuous at x, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that $|f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $|x - y| < \delta$. Since S is dense in \mathbb{R} , there exists a number $y \in S \cap (x - \delta, x + \delta)$. Then f(y) = 0 and $|x - y| < \delta$. Therefore, $|f(x)| = |f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon$. Since $|f(x)| < \varepsilon$ for an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$, it follows that f(x) = 0. Since x was arbitrary, this shows that f(x) = 0 for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

- (i)(B) Since S is a dense subset of \mathbb{R} , it is also sequentially dense (i.e., every real number is a limit of some sequence in S). Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$ be given, and choose a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in S that converges to x. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $f(x_n) = 0$ since $x_n \in S$. Thus, $\{f(x_n)\} \to 0$. However, since f is continuous and $\{x_n\} \to x$, it must be the case that $\{f(x_n)\} \to f(x)$, so f(x) = 0. Since x was arbitrary, f(x) = 0 for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (ii) Define $h : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ by h(x) = f(x) g(x). Then h is continuous and h(x) = 0 for all $x \in S$ (since f(x) = g(x) for all $x \in S$). By part (i), it follows that h(x) = 0 for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, so therefore f(x) = g(x) for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Problem 3 (Ahlfors §4.2.3 #2, p. 123). Prove that a function which is analytic in the whole plane and satisfies an inequality $|f(z)| < |z|^n$ for some n and all sufficiently large |z| reduces to a polynomial.

Solution. Since f is entire, we may write f as a power series centered at 0 which converges for every complex number z:

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k.$$

Let R > 0 be large enough such that $|f(z)| < |z|^n$ whenever $|z| \ge R$. Let γ be the positively oriented circle of radius R centered at the origin, parametrized as $\gamma(t) = Re^{it}$ for $0 \le t \le 2\pi$. For each $k \ge 0$ we have

$$a_k = \frac{f^{(k)}(0)}{n!} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(z)}{z^{k+1}} dz = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{f(\gamma(t))}{\gamma(t)^{k+1}} \gamma'(t) dt$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{f(Re^{it})}{R^{k+1} e^{i(t+1)t}} iRe^{it} dt = \frac{1}{2\pi R^k} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{f(Re^{it})}{e^{ikt}} dt,$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} |a_k| &= \frac{1}{2\pi R^k} \left| \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{f(Re^{it})}{e^{ikt}} dt \right| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi R^k} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{|f(Re^{it})|}{|e^{ikt}|} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi R^k} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(Re^{it})| dt \leq \frac{1}{2\pi R^k} \int_0^{2\pi} R^n dt = \frac{R^n}{R^k} = \frac{1}{R^{k-n}}. \end{aligned}$$

If k > n, then letting $R \to \infty$ gives $|a_k| = 0$. Thus, we have $a_k = 0$ for k > n, so that

$$f(z) = a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_n x^n.$$

That is, f is a polynomial.

Problem 4. Prove that there are infinitely many prime numbers.

Solution. Let p_1, \ldots, p_n be a finite list of prime numbers. The number $Q = p_1 \cdots p_n + 1$ is coprime to p_i for $i = 1, \ldots, n$, so Q has a prime factor q which is not among the primes p_1, \ldots, p_n . Thus, given any finite list of primes numbers, we can find a prime number not in the list. It follows that there are infinitely many prime numbers.

Math 101 Homework 1 Leonhard Euler

Problem 5. Let p_n be the *n*th prime number. Prove that the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p_n} \tag{5.1}$$

diverges.

Solution. Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that (5.1) converges. Then there is an integer k such that

$$\sum_{n>k} \frac{1}{p_n} < \frac{1}{2}.\tag{5.2}$$

Let $Q = p_1 \cdots p_k$, and, for every positive integer N, define

$$S(N) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{1 + nQ}.$$

Also, let P(N) be the finite set of primes q which divide 1 + nQ for at least one $n \in \{1, ..., N\}$. For each positive integer n, the integers Q and 1 + nQ are coprime, so none of the prime numbers $p_1, ..., p_k$ divide 1 + nQ. It follows that

$$P(N) \subseteq \{p_{k+1}, p_{k+2}, \ldots\} \tag{5.3}$$

for every positive integer N. If m is another positive integer, then we define A(N,m) to be the set of all integers n such that $1 \le n \le N$ and such that 1 + nQ has exactly m (not necessarily distinct) prime divisors. Consider the sum

$$S(N,m) = \sum_{n \in A(N,m)} \frac{1}{1 + nQ}.$$

Observe that S(N,m) = 0 for m sufficiently large (since A(N,m) is empty for m sufficiently large) and that

$$S(N) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} S(N, m).$$
 (5.4)

If $n \in A(N,m)$, then $1 + nQ = (q_1 \cdots q_m)^{-1}$ for some $q_1, \dots, q_m \in P(N)$, so

$$S(N,m) \leq \sum_{q_1,\ldots,q_m \in P(N)} \frac{1}{q_1\cdots q_m} = \left(\sum_{p \in P(N)} \frac{1}{p}\right)^m.$$

Math 101 Homework 1 Leonhard Euler

It then follows from (5.2) and (5.3) that

$$S(N,m) \le \left(\sum_{p \in P(N)} \frac{1}{p}\right)^m \le \left(\sum_{n > k} \frac{1}{p_n}\right)^m < \frac{1}{2^m}$$

Now (5.4) implies that

$$S(N) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} S(N, m) \le \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^m} = 1.$$

Thus, the sequence $\{S(N)\}_{N=1}^{\infty}$ is a monotone increasing bounded sequence, so it converges to the limit

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} S(N) = \lim_{N\to\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{1+nQ} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1+nQ}.$$

However, the series above diverges (e.g., by the integral test), so we have reached a contradiction. It follows that the series (5.1) diverges.

Problem 6 (Atiyah-Macdonald 1.1). Let x be a nilpotent element of a ring A. Show that 1 + x is a unit of A. Deduce that the sum of a nilpotent element and a unit is a unit.

Solution. Let y = -x. Then y is also nilpotent, so choose a positive integer n such that $y^n = 0$. We have

$$(1-y)(1+y+y^2+\cdots+y^{n-1})=1-y^n=1$$

which shows that 1 + x = 1 - y is a unit.

Next, let $x \in A$ be nilpotent and $u \in A$ a unit. Then $u^{-1}x$ is nilpotent, so $1 + u^{-1}x$ is a unit by the first part. Therefore

$$u + x = u(1 + u^{-1}x).$$

Since it is a product of units, u + x is a unit.