
Paper Reviewing User Guide

Release 0.97

Indico Team

March 15, 2011


CONTENTS

1	Paper reviewing module - referee's guide	1
1.1	Getting started	1
1.2	Assigning contributions to the reviewers	2
1.3	Referee area	4

PAPER REVIEWING MODULE - REFEREE'S GUIDE

1.1 Getting started

As a referee, your responsibilities are to assign contributions to the reviewers and to give the final judgement for all of the contributions that have been assigned to you. You may log in to the system and you will find in the left menu two links under My Conference, Assign Contributions and Referee Area.



1.2 Assigning contributions to the reviewers

You can assign more than one contribution to the same reviewers by selecting them using the check boxes. Depending on the chosen reviewing mode (Content reviewing or Content and layout reviewing) you need to assign different kinds of reviewers.

Paper Reviewing

Assign Contributions | Judge as Referee

Please, select one or more contributions to assign Reviewers

Show Filtering Criteria ?

Layout Reviewer:

Assign Remove ?

Content Reviewers:

Assign Remove Remove All ?

Select: All , None

	Id	Title	Type	Track	Session	Reviewing team
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	0	contribution 1				Referee: anna Referee11 Layout reviewer: No layout reviewer Content reviewers: No content reviewers
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1	contribution 2				Referee: anna Referee11 Layout reviewer: No layout reviewer Content reviewers: No content reviewers

Layout Reviewer:

Assign Remove ?

Content Reviewers:

Assign Remove Remove All ?

After checking the check boxes and clicking the Assign button, a dialogue will be displayed. Use the popup dialogue to select the reviewer you wish to assign to the selected contributions. If there are pre-defined competences for him, they will be shown next to his name.

Click on a user name to add a content reviewer:

✕

• pepi reviewer2 (competences: linux expert)

Cancel

↑

⋮

↓

Also, you can click on the name of a contribution and assign a reviewing team for it.

Main	Material	Sub Contribution	Paper Reviewing
------	----------	------------------	------------------------

Assign Team	Final Judgement	Material to Review
--------------------	------------------------	---------------------------

The reviewing mode choosen for this conference is: Content and layout reviewing

Assign a Referee ?

Referee: REFEREE11, anna

Deadline Date not set yet.

Assign a Layout Reviewer ?

Layout reviewer: EDITOR3, nick

[Remove](#)

Deadline Date not set yet.

Assign Content Reviewers ?


Content reviewers: not assigned yet

Choose a content reviewer to assign from the list:

☒ REVIEWER2, pepi

[Assign](#)

1.3 Referee area



When you access the referee area you will find a list of all the contributions that are assigned to you for judgement. You can follow

- if the author of the contribution has submitted the materials for reviewing
- the state for every contribution, whether it is judged by the content reviewers or by the layout reviewer, or by both.

Paper Reviewing		
Assign Contributions Judge as Referee		
Contributions to judge as Referee		
Id Title State		
0	new 1	Not judged yet Pending layout reviewer decision Content judged by REVIEWER2, pepi as: Accept
1	new 2	Not judged yet Layout judged by EDITOR3, nick as: Reject Content judged by ANGELOVA, Csvetelina as: Reject

Your responsibility as referee will be to give the **final judgment** for the contribution: to be corrected, rejected, accepted or one of the customized status added by the Managers. Accessing again the reviewing area (by clicking on the contribution's title) you will be able to see the judgements and the opinions on the layout and the content given by the reviewing team.

In order to submit your final judgement, click on the **Send** button. The authors of the contribution will receive automatically generated e-mail notification containing your comments and judgement, if the managers of the paper reviewing set this option.

Main	Material	Sub Contribution	Protection	Tools	Paper Reviewing
Assign Team Final Judgement Material to Review History					

The reviewing mode chosen for this conference is: Content and layout reviewing

Layout judgement details

Layout judgement: **Accept**, submitted on Fri 19 Feb 2010 at 17:00

Criteria Evaluation: Is the font size 16px? : Agree

Layout Reviewer: ANGELOVA, Csvetelina

Content judgement details

Content judgement: **Accept**, submitted on Fri 19 Feb 2010 at 17:00

Answered questions: Is the content coherent? : Strongly Agree

Content Reviewer: ANGELOVA, Csvetelina

Final Judgement

Reviewing questions: Is the content coherent?

-3	0	+3
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

Comments: None [\(edit\)](#)

Judgement: Accept [\(edit\)](#)

Send Judgement not sent yet

The material which is the subject of reviewing is accessible for the referees from the **Material to Review** tab.

Main	Material	Sub Contribution	Paper Reviewing
Assign Team Final Judgement Material to Review History			

Existing Reviewing material

 application.doc  

The system gives you the opportunity to follow the reviewing history for every contribution. The reviewing history is built when there is more than one review per paper. You can access it from the **History** tab right after sending your final judgement.

Main	Material	Sub Contribution	Paper Reviewing
Assign Team	Final Judgement	Material to Review	History

Review 0 of material: [application.doc](#)

Final Judgement: **Accept**, submitted on Mon 22 Feb 2010 at 11:31
Referee: REFEREE11, anna

Layout judgement: **Accept**, submitted on Mon 22 Feb 2010 at 11:05
Layout Reviewer: ANGELOVA, Csvetelina

Content judgement: **Accept**, submitted on Mon 22 Feb 2010 at 11:05
Content Reviewer: ANGELOVA, Csvetelina