

---

# **Paper Reviewing User Guide**

***Release 0.98***

**Indico Team**

September 12, 2011



# CONTENTS


<b>1</b>	<b>Paper reviewing module - referee's guide</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Getting started . . . . .	1
1.2	Assigning papers to the reviewers . . . . .	2
1.3	Referee area . . . . .	4



# PAPER REVIEWING MODULE - REFEREE'S GUIDE

## 1.1 Getting started

As a referee, your responsibilities are to assign papers to the reviewers and to give the final judgement for all of the papers that have been assigned to you. You may log in to the system and you will find in the left menu two links under *Paper Reviewing*, *Assign papers* and *Referee Area*.



## 1.2 Assigning papers to the reviewers

You can assign more than one paper to the same reviewers by selecting them using the check boxes. Depending on the chosen reviewing mode (Content reviewing or Content and layout reviewing) you need to assign different kinds of reviewers.

Assign papers Judge as Referee

Show Filters ⓘ Displaying 2 papers


Layout Reviewer: Assign | Assign per ... | Remove ⓘ  
 Content Reviewers: Assign | Assign per ... | Remove | Remove All ⓘ

Select: All, None

	<b>ID</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>Track</b>	<b>Session</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Reviewing team</b>	<b>Deadline</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	0	contribution 1				Not judged yet: Pending layout reviewer decision No content reviewers have decided yet	Referee: <b>Pig Guinea</b> Layout reviewer: No layout reviewer Content reviewers: No content reviewers	31-03-2011
<input type="checkbox"/>	1	contribution 2				Not judged yet: Materials not submitted yet	Referee: <b>Pig Guinea</b> Layout reviewer: No layout reviewer Content reviewers: No content reviewers	31-03-2011

Layout Reviewer: Assign | Assign per ... | Remove ⓘ  
 Content Reviewers: Assign | Assign per ... | Remove | Remove All ⓘ

After checking the check boxes and clicking the Assign button, a dialogue will be displayed. Use the popup dialogue to select the reviewer you wish to assign to the selected contributions. If there are pre-defined competences for him, they will be shown next to his name.



Also, you can click on the name of a contribution and assign a reviewing team for it.

Main	Material	Sub Contribution	<b>Paper Reviewing</b>
------	----------	------------------	------------------------

<b>Assign Team</b>	Final Judgement	Material to Review	History
--------------------	-----------------	--------------------	---------

The reviewing mode chosen for this conference is: Content and layout reviewing

### Assign a Referee ?

Referee: GUINEA, Pig  
Deadline 31/03/2011 15:55


### Assign a Layout Reviewer ?

Layout reviewer: SYROKA, Leszek Marek  
  
 Deadline 23/03/2011 15:55

### Assign Content Reviewers ?

Content reviewers: not assigned yet  
 Choose a content reviewer to assign from the list:  
☒ GONZALEZ LOPEZ, Jose Benito

## 1.3 Referee area



When you access the referee area you will find a list of all the papers that are assigned to you for judgement. You can follow

- if the author of the contribution has submitted the materials for reviewing
- the state for every contribution, whether it is judged by the content reviewers or by the layout reviewer, or by both.

Assign papers

Judge as Referee

Contributions to judge as Referee

Id	Title	State	Deadline
0	<a href="#">contribution 1</a>	Not judged yet Layout judged by CASTILLA HERNANDEZ, Alexis as: Accept No content reviewers have decided yet	Thu 31 Mar 2011
1	<a href="#">contribution 2</a>	Not judged yet Materials not submitted yet	Thu 31 Mar 2011

Your responsibility as referee will be to give the **final judgement** for the paper: to be corrected, rejected, accepted or one of the customized status added by the Managers. Accessing again the reviewing area (by clicking on the contribution's title) you will be able to see the judgements and the opinions on the layout and the content given by the reviewing team.

In order to submit your final judgement, click on the *Send* button. The authors of the contribution will receive automatically generated e-mail notification containing your comments and judgement, if the managers of the paper reviewing set this option.



Main
Material
Sub Contribution
**Paper Reviewing**

Assign Team
Final Judgement
Material to Review
History

The reviewing mode chosen for this conference is: Content and layout reviewing

### Layout judgement details [?](#)

Layout judgement: Accept, submitted on Tue 15 Mar 2011 at 12:03  
Criteria Evaluation: Is the background color white?: Agree  
Layout Reviewer: CASTILLA HERNANDEZ, Alexis

### Content judgement details [?](#)

Content judgement: Accept, submitted on Tue 15 Mar 2011 at 12:05  
Comments: Good content  
Answered questions: Is the paper interesting regarding the field of the conference?: Borderline  
Content Reviewer: CASTILLA HERNANDEZ, Alexis

### Final Judgement [?](#)

Reviewing questions: Is the paper interesting regarding the field of the conference?  
-3      0      +3  
☐ ☐ ☐ ☒ ☐ ☐ ☐

Comments: No comments  
[\(edit\)](#)

Judgement: None [\(edit\)](#)

[?](#) Judgement not sent yet

The material which is the subject of reviewing is accessible for the referees from the `Material to Review` tab.

Main
Material
Sub Contribution
**Paper Reviewing**

Assign Team
Final Judgement
**Material to Review**
History

+ paper.pdf X

The system gives you the opportunity to follow the reviewing history for every contribution. The reviewing history is built when there is more than one review per paper. You can access it from the `History` tab right after sending your final judgement.

Main	Material	Sub Contribution	<b>Paper Reviewing</b>
Assign Team	Final Judgement	Material to Review	History

Review 0: [paper.pdf](#)

**Final Judgement:** To be corrected, submitted on Tue 15 Mar 2011 at 12:00  
Approved questions: Is the paper interesting regarding the field of the conference?: Weakly Disagree  
Referee: GUINEA, Pig

Layout judgement: Accept, submitted on Tue 15 Mar 2011 at 11:59  
Criteria Evaluation: Is the background color white?: Agree  
Layout Reviewer: CASTILLA HERNANDEZ, Alexis

Content judgement: Accept, submitted on Tue 15 Mar 2011 at 12:00  
Comments: Good content  
Answered questions: Is the paper interesting regarding the field of the conference?: Borderline  
Content Reviewer: CASTILLA HERNANDEZ, Alexis