Tutorial Week 2

Arthur Thiele
August 7, 2018

Computer Exercises, Week 2

```
1. a)
pnorm(1.25)
## [1] 0.8943502
pnorm(1.23, mean = 1) - pnorm(0.5, mean = 1)
## [1] 0.2824166
pt(2.353, df = 3)
## [1] 0.9499835
pt(1.476, df = 5) - pt(-1.476, df = 5)
## [1] 0.8000297
 b)
qt(0.05, df = 8)
## [1] -1.859548
qt(0.25, df = 7)
## [1] -0.7111418
qnorm(0.05)
## [1] -1.644854
abs(qnorm(0.025))
## [1] 1.959964
  2. a)
data <- read.csv("amp.csv")</pre>
summary(data)
## X.Microbiological Hydroxylamine
## Min. : 20.50
                   Min. : 21.20
## 1st Qu.: 75.60
                     1st Qu.: 74.50
## Median: 93.80 Median: 95.80
## Mean : 85.26 Mean : 84.82
## 3rd Qu.: 96.70 3rd Qu.: 97.90
## Max. :105.80
                   Max. :101.80
data
     X.Microbiological Hydroxylamine
##
## 1
                  97.2
                                97.2
```

```
## 2
                   105.8
                                    97.8
## 3
                    99.5
                                    96.2
                   100.0
                                   101.8
## 4
## 5
                     93.8
                                    88.0
## 6
                     79.2
                                    74.0
                     72.0
                                    75.0
## 7
## 8
                     72.0
                                    67.5
## 9
                     69.5
                                    65.8
## 10
                     20.5
                                    21.2
## 11
                     95.2
                                    94.8
## 12
                     90.8
                                    95.8
                     96.2
                                    98.0
## 13
## 14
                     96.2
                                    99.0
## 15
                     91.0
                                   100.2
```

b) Since these tests are using different methods but on the same tablet (discussed with tutor Connor Smith), the null hypothesis is that there isn't a substantive difference between the measurement. If data[1] = X, data[2] = Y,

$$X_i - Y_i = d_i$$

Null Hypothesis H_0 : $\mu_d = 0$ Alternative Hypothesis H_1 : $\mu_d \neq 0$

```
method.A = data[,1]
method.B = data[,2]
ds = method.A - method.B
ds

## [1] 0.0 8.0 3.3 -1.8 5.8 5.2 -3.0 4.5 3.7 -0.7 0.4 -5.0 -1.8 -2.8
## [15] -9.2

mean(ds)
```

[1] 0.44

sd(ds)

[1] 4.630767

Since there are 15 observed values, our observed test statistic is

```
T = abs(mean(ds))/(sd(ds)/sqrt(15))
T
```

[1] 0.367998

This distribution then has 9 degrees of freedom, and so our p-value is:

```
p = 2*(1 - pt(T, df = 14))
p
```

[1] 0.718379

This is evidence that there is no significant difference between the pill-testing methods, since p = 0.71 > 0.05

#toto: stemleaf, rest of tutorial