HOW TO... WRITE A LITERATURE REVIEW

1. WHAT IS A LITERATURE REVIEW?

A literature review is a description of the literature relevant to a particular field or topic.

It gives an overview of:





What has been

said





Key writers



theories and

hypotheses





Appropriate and useful methods and methodologies

• Purely descriptive - as in an annotated bibliography.

It may take two forms

A descriptive review should not just list and paraphrase, but should add comment and bring out themes and trends. • A critical assessment of the literature in a particular field,

stating where the weaknesses and gaps are, contrasting the views of particular authors, or raising questions. It will evaluate and show relationships, so that key themes emerge. It can be

• A whole paper, which annotates and/or critiques the literature in

a particular subject area.

- Part of a thesis or dissertation, forming an early context-setting chapter. • a useful background outlining a piece of research, or putting
- - forward a hypothesis.



Look at the relationships between the views and draw

DO

out themes

It is important to define the problem or area which you wish to address.



Just write a list or quote authors without

DON'T

citing them

2. THE STAGES OF A LITERATURE REVIEW

Have a purpose for your literature review to narrow the scope of what you need to look out for when you read.

Define the problem

Carry out a search for relevant materials

Relevant materials will probably comprise:














Points to consider when evaluating material:

Are they affiliated to a reputable organization? • Date of publication – is it sufficiently current or has knowledge moved on?

If a book – is it the latest edition?

Appraisal based on content analysis:

Evaluate the materials

 If a journal – is it a peer reviewed scholarly journal? Is the publisher reputable and scholarly?

Initial appraisal from raw bibliographical data:

Author credentials – are they an expert in the field?

 Does it review relevant literature? Is it an objective fact-based viewpoint? Is it logically organized and clear to follow?

Is it addressing a scholarly audience?

- substantiate it or add a different perspective? If using research, is the design sound? Is it primary or secondary material?
- If it is from a practice-based perspective, what are the implications for practice?
- What themes emerge and what conclusions can be drawn? What are the major similarities and differences between the various writers? Are there any significant questions which emerge and which could form a basis for further investigation?
- 3. HOW TO ORGANIZE A LITERATURE REVIEW

Does it follow a particular theoretical viewpoint, e.g. feminist? What is the relationship of this work to other material on the same topic – does it

Introduction

Analyse the findings

Define the topic and state reasons for choice. You could also point out overall trends, gaps and themes that emerge.

Conclusion

Body

for further study.

Summarize the major contributions, evaluating the current position, and pointing out flaws in methodology, gaps in the research, contradictions and areas

Discuss your sources. You can organize

your discussion chronologically, thematically or methodologically.



YOU ARE NOW AT THE STAGE WHEN YOU CAN WRITE UP YOUR LITERATURE REVIEW.

literature review: Deakin University – http://www.deakin.edu.au/library/research/index.php

These universities have good

information on how to write a

• University of Wisconsin-Madison http://www.wisc.edu/writing/Handbook/ReviewofLiterature.html

http://writingcenter.unc.edu/handouts/literature-reviews/ • University of California, Santa Cruz - http://library.ucsc.edu/ref/howto/

(Follow links to "Write a Literature Review".)



University of North Carolina –