

# Qs Rank and efficient $\epsilon$ -neighbor search

Arthur Darcet & Yohann Salaun

March 21, 2013

## 1 Overview

Article about [1].

## 2 Theoretical Description

The algorithm of  $\epsilon$ -neighbor search using the Qs Rank works in two times:

1. Hash code generation of the data for faster retrieval
2. Ranking of the hash code depending on a query

The first part can be computed before any requests whereas the second needs the parameters of the search (query  $q$  and neighbor distance  $\epsilon$ ).

### 2.1 Hash Codes generation

Such search algorithm are used for huge data with components in high dimensional space. Thus, in order to generate simple hash codes for each input data point  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , a Principal Component Analysis (PCA) is computed.

Each Hash Code  $h$  is then computed by taking the sign of the PCA-projections:

$$\forall j \in [1; d], h_j = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (PCA(x))_j > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } (PCA(x))_j \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

In practice, only the first components of the hash codes are computed.

The benefits given by the PCA are numerous:

1. The PCA allows a dimension decrease but keeps most of the information. This way, hash codes are shorter and the retrieval is still efficient.
2. The PCA is an orthogonal projection that preserves the  $L^2$ -norm. Thus, the  $\epsilon$ -ball around a query is still meaningful after PCA.
3. The PCA values of the input data points are uncorrelated which will lead to an efficient ranking with Qs Rank.

### 2.2 QsRank for Hash Codes ranking

We suppose, that the projection  $y$  of the input data points  $x$  are distributed along a probability distribution function  $p$ . Then, with a given query  $q$ , a neighbor distance  $\epsilon$  and a hash code  $h$ , the Qs Rank formula is defined by:

$$QsRank(q, h, \epsilon) = \frac{\int_{NN(q, \epsilon) \cap S(h)} p(y) dy}{\int_{NN(q, \epsilon)} p(y) dy}$$

where:

- $NN(q, \epsilon) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d \text{ s.t. } \|y - q\| < \epsilon\}$  is the  $\epsilon$ -ball around the query  $q$
- $S(h) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d \text{ s.t. } \forall i \in [1; d] y_i h_i > 0\}$  is the set described by the hash code  $h$

The QsRank can only be seen as a probability, with Bayes rule:

$$QsRank(q, h, \epsilon) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(y \in NN(q, \epsilon) \cap S(h))}{\mathbb{P}(y \in NN(q, \epsilon))} = \mathbb{P}(y \in S(h) | y \in NN(q, \epsilon))$$

Thus, the QsRank only ranks hash codes with respect to their probability of containing many  $\epsilon$ -neighbors.

## 2.3 QsRank approximation

In order to compute fast retrieval, the QsRank will be approximated by a lighter formula.

First, only the top  $k$  dimensions of the PCA projection will be used ( $k$  will be defined afterward in the next section). Thus,  $NN(q, \epsilon)$  becomes  $NN(q^k, \epsilon)$  and  $S(h)$  becomes  $S(h^k)$  where  $x^k$  is the  $k$ -top dimensions of a vector  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . This approximation seems legit since the aim of the PCA is to find the dimensions where most of the information is kept.

$$QsRank(q, h, \epsilon) \approx \frac{\int_{NN(q^k, \epsilon) \cap S(h^k)} p(y^k) dy^k}{\int_{NN(q^k, \epsilon)} p(y^k) dy^k}$$

Another approximation is to replace the  $\epsilon$ -ball by an  $\epsilon$ -hypercube:

$$NN(q^k, \epsilon) \leftrightarrow HC(q^k, \epsilon) = \{y^k \in \mathbb{R}^k \text{ s.t. } \forall i \in [1; k], |y_i^k - q_i^k| < \epsilon\}$$

Moreover, since the PCA produces uncorrelated projections, each dimension of  $p(y)$  is supposed to be independent. The QsRank approximation then becomes:

$$QsRank(q, h, \epsilon) \approx \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{\int_{|y_i^k - q_i^k| < \epsilon, y_i^k h_i^k > 0} p(y_i^k) dy_i^k}{\int_{|y_i^k - q_i^k| < \epsilon} p(y_i^k) dy_i^k} = \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbb{P}(y_i^k \in S(h_i^k) | y_i^k \in HC(q_i^k, \epsilon))$$

The last approximation is to consider that the  $y$  are generated from a uniform law. This assumption accelerates a lot the computation and seems to work quite well in accordance with the authors of [1]. The final formula thus becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} QsRank(q, h, \epsilon) &\approx \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{\int_{|y_i^k - q_i^k| < \epsilon, y_i^k h_i^k > 0} dy_i^k}{\int_{|y_i^k - q_i^k| < \epsilon} dy_i^k} \\ &\approx \prod_{i=1}^k \text{clamp} \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{h_i^k q_i^k}{\epsilon} \right), [0; 1] \right) \end{aligned}$$

Opposed to the Hamming distance, this measure has many substantial advantages :

- The radius  $\epsilon$  is took into account
- Since it is a product, if one of the component of the hash code induces a null probability, the whole QsRank becomes null. Some sets are thus not explored whereas Hamming distance methods would have.

## 3 Implementation

### 3.1 Efficient computation

Once the query  $q$  is given, the logarithmic QsRank is computed for the  $2^k$  different hash codes. This results in  $\mathcal{O}(k)$  logarithm computation and  $\mathcal{O}(k2^k)$  additions. Moreover, only hash codes with non zeros probabilities have to be computed, which accounts for only 15% of the data points according to the experiments made in [1].

### 3.2 Retrieval procedure

Pseudo code ?

## 4 Results

## References

- [1] L. Zhang X. Zhang and H-Y. Shum. Qsrank: Query-sensitive hash code ranking for efficient epsilon-neighbor search. *CVPR*, 2012.