

MULTIPLE LABELS

Deep Learning for Computer Vision

Arthur Douillard

Preambule:

Imbalance Learning

Long-tailed distributions



[Wang et al. NeurIPS 2017]

Common Solution to Imbalanced Learning

- **Oversample** minority classes
  - Works better than undersampling majority classes

- **Class weight** to give more/less importance in the loss to minority/majority classes
  - Before averaging all losses in a batch, multiply each loss by the weight associated to the class ground-truth

- **Sample weight** is like class weight but per sample
  - Example: hard mining

- **Metric Learning** learns a metric not actual classes
  - More on it in the next course!



Multi-labels

Multi-Labels Classification

Classification

“cat”

[0, 0, 0, 0, …, 1, 0, …, 0]

Softmax activation

Multi-Labels Classification

{*“cat”*, *“dog”*}

[0, 0, 1, 0, …, 1, 0, …, 0]

Sigmoid activation

Sparse & Imbalanced

1,000 clothing attributes

Some are very common others not → class weights can be useful

[DeepFashion, Liu et al. CVPR 2016]

Object Detection

Object Detection



Bounding boxes around the object: (x, y, w, h)
Class prediction: c

Localizing a single class



Localizing a single class



Localizing multiple classes

Two tasks:

1. Find the **regions of interest** (RoIs) of the image
2. Classify them as either a known class $(c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_n)$ or **background** class

Two families:

- **Two-Stage**: first determine which regions may contains an object (1), then classify the said object (2)
  → Fast R-CNN, Faster R-CNN, Mask R-CNN, etc.

- **Single-Stage**: solve the two tasks together
  → SSD, Yolo, RetinaNet, etc.

Single Stage: Yolo: You Only Look Once



1. Resize image to 448x448
2. Extract features with DarkNet and apply object detection procedure
3. Non-max suppression to remove overlapping predictions

[Redmon et al. CVPR 2016]

Single Stage: Yolo: You Only Look Once



Last layer predict a vector of size 1470:

$$1470 = 7 \times 7 \times (2 \times 5 + 20) = S \times S \times (B \times 5 + C)$$

S : number of cells per side

B : number of bounding boxes per cell

C : number of classes (including background class)

Single Stage: Yolo: You Only Look Once

B boxes per cell, and the regression head predicts how to deform them to best fit the object



How to get only a few good bounding boxes?

Background + NMS

1. Remove all bounding boxes associated to the class "*background*"
2. Then apply **Non-Max Suppression** (NMS)



Among all overlapping bounding boxes, keep the most confident one.

Non differentiable post-processing done in inference!

[Douillard et al. Nato challenge 2018]

Single-Stage: RetinaNet





Fully Convolutional Architecture (FCN)

[Lin et al. ICCV 2019]

Single-Stage: RetinaNet's Focal Loss

$$\mathrm{FL}(p_t) = -\alpha_t(1-p_t)^{\gamma}\log(p_t)$$

Single-stage models have a huge imbalance with many potential RoIs that are **background**.

Focal loss reduces the loss if the correct class is predicted with too much confidence.



[Lin et al. ICCV 2019]

Two Stages: Fast R-CNN



1. Extract features from pixels with “Deep ConvNet”
2. Generate RoIs from pixels using Selective Search
3. Index the features using the RoIs



[Girshick ICCV 2015]

Two Stages: Faster R-CNN

Similar to Fast R-CNN but ditch the selective search for a **Region Proposal Network** (RPN).

Mini-network that slides different anchors and predict a binary classification:

background vs non-background





[Ren et al. NeurIPS 2015]

Metric: Precision & Recall

$$\text{Precision} = \frac{tp}{tp + fp}$$

$$\text{Recall} = \frac{tp}{tp + fn}$$



[Wikipedia]

Metric: Intersection over Union

Ground truth

Prediction

$$IoU = \frac{\text{area of overlap}}{\text{area of union}}$$

[Hui's Medium]

Metric: mean Average Precision (mAP)



$$\mathrm{AP} = \int_0^1 p(r)dr$$

An object is correctly predicted if IoU > 0.5 with ground-truth.

AP is the area under the curve for the graph Recall-Precision.
→ We want a high precision while not missing an object (recall)

[Hui's Medium]

Beyond anchors

CornerNet, predicting the top-left and bottom-right corners.
[Law et al. ECCV 2018]



RepPoints, predicting points that bound an object.
[Yang et al. ICCV 2019]



State of the Art



Combining RPN prediction with final class prediction:[CenterNet2, Zhou et al. arXiv 2021]



NAS-FPN + Aggressive copy-pasted based augmentation: [Ghiasi et al. CVPR 2021]



Transformers-based to produce a **set** of boxes: [Carion et al. ECCV 2020]

Segmentation

Semantic Segmentation

Each pixel has a class

[kharshit.github.io]

Instance Segmentation

Each pixel has a foreground class and an instance

[kharshit.github.io]

Panoptic Segmentation

Semantic + Instance Segmentation

[kharshit.github.io]

Instance Segmentation: Mask R-CNN



Extend Faster R-CNN with a new branch made only of convolutions.

The branch predict a class per pixels per box.



[He et al. ICCV 2017]

Semantic Segmentation: Fully Convolutional Network



Predict 21 classes per features/logits pixels, upscale the prediction to image size and classify per pixel with cross-entropy.

[Long et al. CVPR 2015]

Semantic Segmentation: Fully Convolutional Network



Init the network with model pretrained on ImageNet.

And convert the last FCs layers into 1x1 convolutions… while keeping weights!

FC weight: $C_i \times C_o$
Conv weight: $C_i \times C_o \times k \times k$

[Long et al. CVPR 2015]

Semantic Segmentation: U-Net



Upsample the small features maps with **transposed convolution**

[Ronnerberger et al. MICCAI 2015]

Transposed Convolution

Add padding inside the input features.



[vdumoulin's github]

Semantic Segmentation: Hourglass Network



Stack of Hourglass, which are similar to U-Net

Each hourglass is trained to predict the final segmentation map.

The N+1 hourglass refines the results of the N hourglass, as in boosting.

[Newell et al. ECCV 2016]

Semantic Segmentation: DeepLab v2-v3



(a) Image Pyramid



(b) Encoder-Decoder



(c) Deeper w. Atrous Convolution



(d) Spatial Pyramid Pooling

Multi-Scale images

U-Net like architectures

DeepLab v2

DeepLab v3

[DeepLab v2, Chen et al. TPAMI 2016], [DeepLab v3, Chen et al. arXiv 2017]

Semantic Segmentation: DeepLab v2





(c) Deeper w. Atrous Convolution

Dilated (Atrous) convolutions.

Add padding inside kernel

→ **Larger receptive field-of-view**
→ Add also a lot of padding around the input features to keep large resolution

[DeepLab v2, Chen et al. TPAMI 2016], [vdumoulin's github]

Semantic Segmentation: DeepLab v3

Atrous Spatial Pyramid Pooling (ASPP):

→ Combine multiple dilation rate, each seeing a different "scale"



Figure 1. Atrous convolution with kernel size 3×3 and different rates. Standard convolution corresponds to atrous convolution with $rate = 1$. Employing large value of atrous rate enlarges the model's field-of-view, enabling object encoding at multiple scales.



[DeepLab v3, Chen et al. arXiv 2017]

Semantic Segmentation: DeepLab v3

Atrous Spatial Pyramid Pooling (ASPP):

→ Combine multiple dilation rate, each seeing a different "scale"



Figure 1. Atrous convolution with kernel size 3×3 and different rates. Standard convolution corresponds to atrous convolution with $rate = 1$. Employing large value of atrous rate enlarges the model's field-of-view, enabling object encoding at multiple scales.



[DeepLab v3, Chen et al. arXiv 2017]

Two key ideas for segmentation



Figure 1. A simple example of a high-resolution network. There are four stages. The 1st stage consists of high-resolution convolutions. The 2nd (3rd, 4th) stage repeats two-resolution (three-resolution, four-resolution) blocks. The detail is given in Section 3.

Multi-scale/resolution is very important to detect all kinds of object. [Sun et al. CVPR 2019]



Attention to far away pixels is also important.
[Wang et al. ECCV 2020]



Thus, transformers will probably be a good choice.
[Dong et al. arXiv 2021]

Given fixed method, two possible improvements

Better backbone:

- Larger, wider, deeper, with all modern tricks (EffNet vs ResNet vs VGG)
- Pretrained on a dataset as large as possible (ImageNet, JFT300M, Instagram1B)

Better augmentation and regularization:

- Many data augmentation are possible (erasing, copy-pasting, etc.)
- Regularization trick may help (which kind of normalization? DropPath? Focal Loss?)

Small break,
then coding session!