

Noise propagation on the Golub-Kahan iterative bidiagonalization process

Juan Durazo, Brendan Horan, Arthur Mitrano

School of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences
Arizona State University

April, 2013

Outline

1 Introduction

- The problem
- Golub-Kahan Iterative Bidiagonalization process
- Summary

2 Noise propagation

- Picard condition
- Finding k_{noise}
- Behavior of s_k^{exact} and s_k^{noise}
- Normalized Cumulative Periodogram
- NCPs vs “GKIB”

3 Resolution matrix

- Expression for R^\sharp

The problem

$$Ax = b$$

- We want to solve the problem:

$$Ax = b, \quad A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \quad b = b^{\text{exact}} + b^{\text{noise}}.$$

- b^{noise} is a white noise vector with unknown noise level δ_{noise} .
- Information on how the noise propagates can be used to develop a stopping criteria for hybrid methods (iterate and regularize).
- The Golub-Kahan iterative bidiagonalization process provides a way to analyze how the noise spreads into the core problem.

Golub-Kahan Iterative Bidiagonalization process

- Given $w_0 = 0$, $s_1 = b/\beta_1$, where $\beta_1 = \|b\| \neq 0$:

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_j w_j &= A^T s_j - \beta_j w_{j-1}, & \|w_j\| &= 1, \\ \beta_{j+1} s_{j+1} &= A w_j - \alpha_j s_j, & \|s_{j+1}\| &= 1\end{aligned}$$

until $\alpha_j = 0$ or $\beta_{j+1} = 0$, or we reach the dimensionality of the problem.

- The vectors s_k and w_k are orthonormal.

Matrix form

- Let $S_k = [s_1, \dots, s_k]$ and $W_k = [w_1, \dots, w_k]$, then:

$$A^T S_k = W_k L_k^T, \quad A W_k = [S_k, s_{k+1}] L_{k+},$$

where

$$L_k = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & & & & \\ \beta_2 & \alpha_2 & & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & \beta_k & \alpha_k & \end{bmatrix}, \quad L_{k+} = \begin{bmatrix} L_k \\ \beta_{k+1} e_k^T \end{bmatrix}$$

- This bidiagonal decomposition can be used to calculate the SVD of A in a stable way. $A = S_k L_k W_k^T = S_k U \Sigma V^T W_k^T = U_k \Sigma V_k^T$, with U_k and V_k unitary.

Lanczos tridiagonalization of AA^T

- Using the starting vector $s_1 = b/\beta_1$, $\beta_1 = \|b\|$, yields in k steps:

$$AA^T S_k = S_k T_k + \alpha_k \beta_{k+1} s_{k+1} e_k^T,$$

and

$$T_k = L_k L_k^T = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1^2 & \alpha_1 \beta_2 & & & \\ \alpha_1 \beta_2 & \alpha_2^2 + \beta_2^2 & \ddots & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & \ddots & \alpha_{k-1} \beta_k \\ & & & \alpha_{k-1} \beta_k & \alpha_k^2 + \beta_k^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

- The matrix L_k from the GKIB is a Cholesky factor of the matrix T_k .

The core problem

- Consider $x_k = W_k y_k$ as an approximation for the solution of $Ax_k \approx b$.
- Looking to the residual:

$$\begin{aligned} r_k &= b - AW_k y_k = S_{k+1}(\beta_1 e_1 - L_{k+1} y_k) \\ &= S_k(\beta_1 e_1 - L_k y_k) - (\beta_{k+1} e_k^T y_k) s_{k+1}, \end{aligned}$$

we get two subproblems:

- 1 $L_k y_k = \beta_1 e_1$, for r_k orthogonal to S_k (CGME);
- 2 $y_k = \min_y \|L_{k+1} y - \beta_1 e_1\|$, if we minimize r_k (LSQR or CGLS).

Summarizing

- The bidiagonalization concentrates the useful information of the main problem on its bidiagonal block.
- In presence of noise, those subproblems might be polluted by the noise.
- An investigation on how the noise propagates might aid on solving the ill-posed problem. (When to stop the iteration procedure).
- We will use two main approaches: one looks to the behavior of the high frequencies of the vectors s_k and the other its normalized cumulative periodogram.

- The test problem utilized for the numerical experiments was `shaw(400)`, which satisfy the *discrete Picard condition* on average.
- We used the following noise levels $\delta_{noise} = 10^{-14}, 10^{-8}, 10^{-4}$. Where

$$\delta_{noise} = \frac{\|b^{noise}\|}{\|b^{exact}\|}.$$

Picard plot

- u_j are the left singular vectors of A .



Finding k_{noise}

Spectral coefficients



Finding k_{noise}

Left singular vectors

Analizing the spectral coefficients

- Using the SVD of A and the Lanczos tridiagonalization of AA^T :

$$\Sigma^2(U^T S_k) = (U^T S_k)(L_k L_k^T) + \alpha_k \beta_{k+1} (U^T s_{k+1}) e_k^T.$$

- Setting $k = 1$ we can see how the noise spreads to s_2 (looking to the last column):

$$\alpha_1 \beta_2 (U^T s_2) = (\Sigma^2 - \alpha_1^2 I) U^T s_1$$

- The matrix acts like a filter on the lower frequencies

$$\frac{\sigma_j}{\alpha_1 \beta_2} - \frac{\alpha_1}{\beta_2}$$

- α_1 / β_2 is likely to be larger than 1.
- The noise level on the high frequencies will get larger on s_2

Generalizing

- For the general case we can write:

$$U^T s_{k+1} = \phi_k(\Sigma^2) U^T s_1$$

- $\phi_k(\lambda)$ is the Lanczos polynomial with root $(\theta_l^{(k)})^2$, $l = 1, \dots, k$ (Ritz values).
- The large Ritz values $(\theta_k^{(k)})^2, (\theta_{k-1}^{(k)})^2, \dots$ closely approximate the singular values $\sigma_1^2, \sigma_2^2, \dots$
- Note that the constant term

$$\phi_k(0) = \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{\alpha_j}{\beta_{j+1}} = \rho_k^{-1}$$

- ϕ_k acts like a filter on low frequencies and the constant term $\phi_k(0) = \rho_k^{-1}$ causes the amplification of the high frequency noise present in the noisy vector s_1 .

Signal and noise spaces

- $\text{span}\{u_1, \dots, u_{k+1}\}$ is the signal subspace.
- $\text{span}\{u_{k+2}, \dots, u_n\}$ is the noise subspace.

Behavior of s_k^{exact} and s_k^{noise}

Behavior of s_k^{exact} and s_k^{noise}

- To further illustrate the noise amplification we look to s_k^{exact} and s_k^{noise} . Let $s_1 = s_1^{exact} + s_1^{noise}$ and

$$\beta_{k+1} s_{k+1}^{exact} = Aw_k - \alpha_k s_k^{exact},$$

$$\beta_{k+1} s_{k+1}^{noise} = -\alpha_k s_k^{noise},$$

$$s_{k+1} = s_{k+1}^{exact} + s_{k+1}^{noise}, \quad \beta_{k+1} s_{k+1} = Aw_k - \alpha_k s_k.$$

- They aren't the true exact and noise data, but they give good approximations to the euclidean norm. See [1].
- Note that,

$$s_{k+1}^{noise} = -\frac{\alpha_k}{\beta_{k+1}} s_k^{noise} = (-1)^k \rho_k^{-1} s_1^{noise}.$$

Normalized Cumulative Periodogram (NCP)

■ Definition:

$$\mathbf{c}_j = |\text{dft}(\mathbf{y})_j|^2, \quad z_j = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^j \mathbf{c}_i}{\sum_{i=1}^q \mathbf{c}_i}, \quad j = 1, \dots, q.$$

- Kolmogorov-Smirnoff at 5% significance level: the NCP curve must lie between the limits $\pm 1.35q^{-1/2}$ of the straight line (diagonal).
- There are other kind of measures for testing if a distribution is white-noise like, e.g., *total deviation*.



Normalized Cumulative Periodogram

NCP plot

White-noise measures

Comparing the methods to find k_{noise}

problem	shaw (400)			
δ_{noise}	10^{-14}	10^{-8}	10^{-4}	10^{-2}
k_{noise} (GKIB)	17	11	7	3
k_{noise} (NCP)	27	26	8	23
$\tilde{\delta}_{noise}$ (GKIB)	$5.9E - 15$	$1.2E - 8$	$5.3E - 5$	$1.6E - 2$
$\tilde{\delta}_{noise}$ (NCP)	$1.5E - 15$	$8.1E - 9$	$3.7E - 4$	$3.6E - 3$

■ $\tilde{\delta}_{noise}$ indicates the estimated noise level.

Expression for R^\sharp

Resolution matrix of the GKIB process

- $R^\sharp = W_k(L_k^T L_k)^{-1} L_k^T S_k^T A.$
- Show the resolution matrix in Matlab.



Hnetynkova, I. and Plesinger, M.. *The regularizing effect of the Golub-Kahan iterative bidiagonalization and revealing the noise level in the data.* BIT Numer Math (2009) 49: 669-696.