

# Noise propagation on the Golub-Kahan iterative bidiagonalization process

Juan Durazo, Brendan Horan, Arthur Mitrano

School of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences  
Arizona State University

April, 2013

# Outline

## 1 Introduction

- The problem
- Golub-Kahan Iterative Bidiagonalization process
- Summary

## 2 Noise propagation

- Picard condition
- Finding  $k_{noise}$
- Normalized Cumulative Periodogram
- NCPs vs “GKIB”

## 3 Resolution matrix

- Expression for  $R^\sharp$

## The problem

$$Ax = b$$

- We want to solve the problem:

$$Ax = b, \quad A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \quad b = b^{\text{exact}} + b^{\text{noise}}.$$

- $b^{\text{noise}}$  is a white noise vector with unknown noise level  $\delta_{\text{noise}}$ .
- Information on how the noise propagates can be used to develop a stopping criteria for hybrid methods (iterate and regularize).
- The Golub-Kahan iterative bidiagonalization process provides a way to analyze how the noise spreads into the core problem.

# Golub-Kahan Iterative Bidiagonalization process

- Given  $w_0 = 0$ ,  $s_1 = b/\beta_1$ , where  $\beta_1 = \|b\| \neq 0$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_j w_j &= A^T s_j - \beta_j w_{j-1}, & \|w_j\| &= 1, \\ \beta_{j+1} s_{j+1} &= A w_j - \alpha_j s_j, & \|s_{j+1}\| &= 1\end{aligned}$$

until  $\alpha_j = 0$  or  $\beta_{j+1} = 0$ , or we reach the dimensionality of the problem.

- The vectors  $s_k$  and  $w_k$  are orthonormal.

# Matrix form

- Let  $S_k = [s_1, \dots, s_k]$  and  $W_k = [w_1, \dots, w_k]$ , then:

$$A^T S_k = W_k L_k^T, \quad A W_k = [S_k, s_{k+1}] L_{k+},$$

where

$$L_k = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & & & & \\ \beta_2 & \alpha_2 & & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & \beta_k & \alpha_k & \end{bmatrix}, \quad L_{k+} = \begin{bmatrix} L_k \\ \beta_{k+1} \mathbf{e}_k^T \end{bmatrix}$$

- This bidiagonal decomposition can be used to calculate the SVD of  $A$  in a stable way.  $A = S_k L_k W_k^T = S_k U \Sigma V^T W_k^T = U_k \Sigma V_k^T$ , with  $U_k$  and  $V_k$  unitary.

# Lanczos tridiagonalization of $AA^T$

- Using the starting vector  $s_1 = b/\beta_1$ ,  $\beta_1 = \|b\|$ , yields in  $k$  steps:

$$AA^T S_k = S_k T_k + \alpha_k \beta_{k+1} s_{k+1} e_k^T,$$

and

$$T_k = L_k L_k^T = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1^2 & \alpha_1 \beta_2 & & & \\ \alpha_1 \beta_2 & \alpha_2^2 + \beta_2^2 & \ddots & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & \ddots & \alpha_{k-1} \beta_k \\ & & & \alpha_{k-1} \beta_k & \alpha_k^2 + \beta_k^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

- The matrix  $L_k$  from the GKIB is a Cholesky factor of the matrix  $T_k$ .

# The core problem

- Consider  $x_k = W_k y_k$  as an approximation for the solution of  $Ax_k \approx b$ .
- Looking to the residual:

$$\begin{aligned} r_k &= b - AW_k y_k = S_{k+1}(\beta_1 e_1 - L_{k+1} y_k) \\ &= S_k(\beta_1 e_1 - L_k y_k) - (\beta_{k+1} e_k^T y_k) s_{k+1}, \end{aligned}$$

we get two subproblems:

- 1  $L_k y_k = \beta_1 e_1$ , for  $r_k$  orthogonal to  $S_k$  (CGME);
- 2  $y_k = \min_y \|L_{k+1} y - \beta_1 e_1\|$ , if we minimize  $r_k$  (LSQR or CGLS).

# Summarizing

- The bidiagonalization concentrates the useful information of the main problem on its bidiagonal block.
- In presence of noise, those subproblems might be polluted by the noise.
- An investigation on how the noise propagates might aid on solving the ill-posed problem. (When to stop the iteration procedure).
- We will use two main approaches: one looks to the behavior of the high frequencies of the vectors  $s_k$  and the other its normalized cumulative periodogram.



- The test problem utilized for the numerical experiments was `shaw(400)`, which satisfy the *discrete Picard condition* on average.
- We used the following noise levels  $\delta_{noise} = 10^{-14}, 10^{-8}, 10^{-4}$ . Where

$$\delta_{noise} = \frac{\|b^{noise}\|}{\|b^{exact}\|}.$$

# Picard plot

- $u_j$  are the left singular vectors of  $A$ .



# Spectral coefficients



# Left singular vectors

# Analizing the spectral coefficients

- Using the SVD of  $A$  and the Lanczos tridiagonalization of  $AA^T$ :

$$\Sigma^2(U^T S_k) = (U^T S_k)(L_k L_k^T) + \alpha_k \beta_{k+1} (U^T s_{k+1}) e_k^T.$$

- Setting  $k = 1$  we can see how the noise spreads to  $s_2$  (looking to the last column):

$$\alpha_1 \beta_2 (U^T s_2) = (\Sigma^2 - \alpha_1^2 I) U^T s_1$$

- The matrix acts like a filter on the lower frequencies

$$\frac{\sigma_i}{\alpha_1 \beta_2} - \frac{\alpha_1}{\beta_2}$$

- $\alpha_1/\beta_2$  is likely to be larger than 1.

# Generalizing



# Normalized Cumulative Periodogram (NCP)

## ■ Definition:

$$\mathbf{c}_j = |\text{dft}(\mathbf{y})_j|^2, \quad z_j = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^j \mathbf{c}_i}{\sum_{i=1}^q \mathbf{c}_i}, \quad j = 1, \dots, q.$$

- Kolmogorov-Smirnonoff at 5% significance level: the NCP curve must lie between the limits  $\pm 1.35q^{-1/2}$  of the straight line (diagonal).
- There are other kind of measures for testing if a distribution is white-noise like, e.g., *total deviation*.



# NCP plot





# White-noise measures

# Comparing the methods to find $k_{noise}$

problem	shaw (400)			
$\delta_{noise}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-2}$
$k_{noise}$ (GKIB)	17	11	7	3
$k_{noise}$ (NCP)	27	26	8	23
$\tilde{\delta}_{noise}$ (GKIB)	$5.9E - 15$	$1.2E - 8$	$5.3E - 5$	$1.6E - 2$
$\tilde{\delta}_{noise}$ (NCP)	$1.5E - 15$	$8.1E - 9$	$3.7E - 4$	$3.6E - 3$

- $\tilde{\delta}_{noise}$  indicates the estimated noise level.

# Resolution matrix of the GKIB process

- $R^\sharp = W_k(L_k^T L_k)^{-1} L_k^T S_k^T A.$
- Show the resolution matrix in Matlab.