

# Comparative with IHDELS and IHSHADELS (and versions)

Daniel Molina Cabrera <dmolina@decsai.ugr.es>

January 13, 2018

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Original version IHDELS-CEC2015</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Comparison against MOS . . . . .	1
1.2	Conclusions . . . . .	1
<b>2</b>	<b>IHDELS-CEC2015 vs IHDELS-Restart</b>	<b>1</b>
2.1	Differences . . . . .	1
2.2	Comparison . . . . .	2
2.3	Comparison against MOS . . . . .	2
<b>3</b>	<b>New proposal: IHDELS vs IHSHADELS</b>	<b>2</b>

## 1 Original version IHDELS-CEC2015

### 1.1 Comparison against MOS

### 1.2 Conclusions

- MOS improve in 12 of 15 functions.
- IHDELS is not competitive.

## 2 IHDELS-CEC2015 vs IHDELS-Restart

### 2.1 Differences

- Changed the selection of LS method.

Table 1: IDELS-CEC2015 vs MOS

Function	1.2e5		6.00E+005		3.00E+006	
	MOS	IHDELS	MOS	IHDELS	MOS	IHDELS
$F_1$	2.99E+007	<b>1.29E+001</b>	1.38E+000	<b>1.81E-023</b>	<b>0.00E+000</b>	4.80E-029
$F_2$	2.59E+003	<b>1.77E+003</b>	1.77E+003	<b>1.27E+003</b>	<b>8.36E+002</b>	1.27E+003
$F_3$	<b>7.77E+000</b>	2.00E+001	<b>4.09E-011</b>	2.00E+001	<b>9.10E-013</b>	2.00E+001
$F_4$	3.58E+010	<b>1.77E+010</b>	2.46E+009	<b>2.24E+009</b>	<b>1.56E+008</b>	3.09E+008
$F_5$	<b>6.80E+006</b>	1.12E+007	<b>6.79E+006</b>	1.02E+007	<b>6.79E+006</b>	9.68E+006
$F_6$	<b>3.11E+005</b>	1.05E+006	1.39E+005	<b>1.04E+006</b>	<b>1.39E+005</b>	1.03E+006
$F_7$	3.28E+008	<b>2.86E+008</b>	8.07E+006	<b>6.90E+006</b>	<b>1.62E+004</b>	3.18E+004
$F_8$	3.72E+014	<b>1.74E+014</b>	8.56E+013	<b>1.70E+013</b>	8.08E+012	<b>1.36E+012</b>
$F_9$	<b>f4.32E+008</b>	7.34E+008	<b>3.89E+008</b>	7.24E+008	<b>3.87E+008</b>	7.12E+008
$F_{10}$	<b>1.24E+006</b>	9.39E+007	<b>1.18E+006</b>	9.35E+007	<b>1.18E+006</b>	9.19E+007
$F_{11}$	<b>2.78E+009</b>	7.15E+009	7.79E+008	<b>4.55E+008</b>	4.48E+007	<b>9.87E+006</b>
$F_{12}$	<b>1.02E+004</b>	1.82E+003	2.02E+003	<b>1.24E+003</b>	<b>2.46E+002</b>	5.16E+002
$F_{13}$	<b>7.34E+009</b>	1.20E+010	7.64E+008	<b>7.32E+008</b>	<b>3.30E+006</b>	4.02E+006
$F_{14}$	<b>4.46E+010</b>	6.81E+010	<b>1.24E+008</b>	1.53E+008	2.42E+007	<b>1.48E+007</b>
$F_{15}$	<b>1.43E+007</b>	5.95E+007	<b>6.25E+006</b>	1.72E+007	<b>2.38E+006</b>	3.13E+006

- Changed the restart, the original did not applied restart in many function, and never improve.

## 2.2 Comparison

- Improve in 10 of 15 functions.
- Improve specially in more difficult problems.

## 2.3 Comparison against MOS

- Now IHDELS<sub>restart</sub> improve in 7 of 15 functions.
- Improve specially in more difficult ones.
- In several functions: F11, F13 the improvement is several order of magnitud.

## 3 New proposal: IHDELS vs IHSHADELS

Table 2: Results IHDELS vs IHDELS\_*restart*

Functions	Function	IHDELS	IHDELS_ <i>restart</i>
Separable	$F_1$	<b>4.80e-29</b>	4.53e-24
	$F_2$	1.27e+03	<b>1.26e+03</b>
	$F_3$	<b>2.00e+01</b>	2.01e+01
Partially Separable	$F_4$	3.09e+08	<b>2.55e+08</b>
	$F_5$	<b>9.68e+06</b>	1.18e+07
	$F_6$	1.03e+06	1.03e+06
	$F_7$	3.18e+04	<b>5.83e+02</b>
Partially Separable II	$F_8$	<b>1.36e+12</b>	2.19e+12
	$F_9$	7.12e+08	<b>5.14e+08</b>
	$F_{10}$	9.19e+07	<b>9.16e+07</b>
	$F_{11}$	9.87e+06	<b>2.77e+06</b>
With overlapping	$F_{12}$	5.16e+02	<b>1.32e+02</b>
	$F_{13}$	4.02e+06	<b>6.29e+05</b>
	$F_{14}$	1.48e+07	<b>1.01e+07</b>
Non separable	$F_{15}$	3.13e+06	<b>1.35e+06</b>

Table 3: Results IHDELS\_restart vs MOS

Grupo de Funciones	Función	Propuesta	MOS
Separable	$F_1$	4.53e-24	<b>0.00e+00</b>
	$F_2$	1.26e+03	<b>8.36e+02</b>
	$F_3$	2.01e+01	<b>9.10e-13</b>
Parcialmente Separable	$F_4$	2.55e+08	<b>1.56e+08</b>
	$F_5$	1.18e+07	<b>6.79e+06</b>
	$F_6$	1.03e+06	<b>1.39e+05</b>
	$F_7$	<b>5.83e+02</b>	1.62e+04
Partially Separable II	$F_8$	<b>2.19e+12</b>	8.08e+12
	$F_9$	5.14e+08	<b>3.87e+08</b>
	$F_{10}$	9.16e+07	<b>1.18e+06</b>
	$F_{11}$	<b>2.77e+06</b>	4.48e+07
With overlapping	$F_{12}$	<b>1.32e+02</b>	2.46e+02
	$F_{13}$	<b>6.29e+05</b>	3.30e+06
	$F_{14}$	<b>1.01e+07</b>	2.42e+07
Non separable	$F_{15}$	<b>1.35e+06</b>	2.38e+06