

General Stability Under Actions

Let X be a set. we define an associative operation

$$\begin{aligned} \cdot : X \times X &\longrightarrow X \\ (x, y) &\longmapsto x \cdot y \end{aligned}$$

which is just any function. Then (X, \cdot) is called a groupoid, usually they is such a weak structure nothing of really useful comes out of it. Here we use semigroups as a loose for our other definitions. Jumping quite a few steps on the algebra's ladder we define a monoid as being a semigroup with unity, that is, $\exists 1 \in X; 1 \cdot x = x \cdot 1 = x \quad \forall x \in X$.

Note that given any set S , the set of functions from S to itself is a monoid under function composition. We define a monoid morphism to be a function between semigroups $(X, \cdot), (\tilde{X}, \circ)$

$$\Phi: X \longrightarrow \tilde{X} \longrightarrow (\tilde{X}, \circ)$$

such that $\Phi(x \cdot y) = \Phi(x) \circ \Phi(y) \quad \forall x, y \in X$. If in addition (X, \cdot) and (\tilde{X}, \circ) are monoids then Φ will be called a monoid morphism.

With these definitions we can give the crucial definition for us here. Let $\text{End}(S)$ be the set of functions from S to itself, we've already remarked it is a monoid, then if (M, \cdot) is a monoid, a monoid action of M on S is simply a monoid morphism

$$\Psi: M \longrightarrow \text{End}(S)$$

and this will allow us to formulate stability under actions. First, there are two trivial examples of actions we lay out here: If Ψ is the action we convention $\Psi_x := \Psi(x)$ for simplicity of notation.

Example 1: Translation: Let M act on itself and put $\Psi_x(y) = x \cdot y$.

Example 2: Conjugation: If M is in addition a group, that is, $\forall x \in M, \exists y \in M; x \cdot y = y \cdot x = 1$ then we can let M act on itself by saying $\Psi_x(y) = xyx^{-1}$.

We note that in the second example each Ψ_x is actually a monoid isomorphism (morphism that is a bijection) with inverse $\Psi_{x^{-1}}$.

If (M, \cdot) is a monoid and $M' \subseteq M$, if $M' \cdot M' := \{m \cdot m' \mid m, m' \in M'\} \subseteq M'$ then we say that $(M', \cdot|_{M'})$ is a submonoid ($\cdot|_{M'}$ has its codomain restricted as well, we will, however, ignore such nuances and just write (M', \cdot)). We will say the monoid (M', \cdot) is stable under an action $\Psi: X \rightarrow \text{End}(M)$ (End being M or a set) if $\Psi_x(M') \subseteq M' \quad \forall x \in X$.

With such general formulations done, we shall have many examples of basic Algebraic or particular cases.

Groups: Let (G, \cdot) be a group, we can define a monoid action of the multiplicative monoid (group too) $\{1, 1\}$ on G by saying $\Psi_1 = \text{Id}$ and Ψ_1 is the inversion map $\Psi_1(x) = x^{-1}$. Then a subgroup on G is a subgroup that is stable by this action. A normal subgroup is a subgroup that is stable by conjugation.

Rings: A ring $(R, +, \cdot)$ is a two set that $(R, +)$ is a group with the abelian property $x+y=y+x$ (usually "+" means commutative operation in algebras), (R, \cdot) is a monoid and we have the following compatibility conditions between the additive and multiplicative structures

$$\begin{aligned} 1) \quad & x \cdot (y+z) = xy + xz \quad \forall x, y, z \in R \\ 2) \quad & (y+z) \cdot x = yx + zx \end{aligned}$$

The analogous concept of normal subgroups for rings is that of an ideal. An ideal $I \subset R$ is a subset such that $(I, +)$ is a subgroup of $(R, +)$ and I is stable by translations of (R, \cdot) . Said differently an ideal is a submonoid I of R that is stable by the inversion action of $\{1, 1\}$, stable by conjugation of $(R, +)$ and stable by translation of (R, \cdot) .

Modules: A module (left module to be precise, but we will always say "module" to refer to "left module") $(V, +, R, \oplus, \odot, \circ)$ is a quintuple such that $(V, +)$ is an abelian group, (R, \oplus, \odot) is a ring and

$$\circ : R \times V \longrightarrow V$$

is a function such that the following holds:

$$\begin{aligned} 1) \quad & a \cdot (v+w) = av + aw \\ 2) \quad & (a \oplus b) \cdot v = a \cdot v + b \cdot v \\ 3) \quad & (a \odot b) \cdot v = a \cdot (b \cdot v) \end{aligned}$$

One may see (1) and (2) as compatibility conditions and (3) as an associativity condition. Then a submodule $V' \subset V$ is one such that $(V', +)$ is a subgroup of $(V, +)$ and V' is stable under the action induced by R given by

$$\Psi : R \longrightarrow \text{End}(V)$$

(End being V -valued)

such that $\Psi_a(v) = a \cdot v$.

We leave as final remarks a few considerations. The first is that the definition of module is precisely what one would imagine by a "ring action" on an abelian group even though this is not an usual definition at all. Let me explain what I mean more precisely. If $(V, +)$ is an abelian group, we may make the set of injections of V into itself a ring by saying $(f+g)(x) = f(x) + g(x)$ and $(fg)(x) = f(g(x))$. We will still call this ring $\text{End}(V)$ even though the maps there need not be morphisms. Then a ring action of (R, \oplus, \odot) on $(V, +)$ is simply a ring morphism

$$\Psi: R \longrightarrow \text{End}(V)$$

where by ring morphism we mean a monoid morphism in each of the multiplicative and additive structures. So we may say a module is instead a triple $(V, +, \Psi)$.

I hope with this short note I was able to convey a bit of unified nature of algebraic structures. This is, as I believe (as a naive undergrad) the characteristic feature of Algebra that sets it apart from other areas of mathematics. Here the unifying glue was module actions. But this is merely a drop of water amidst seas of examples of concepts that provide unity in Algebra.