

<https://artie2000.github.io/realclosedfield> <https://github.com/artie2000/realclosedfield> <https://artie2000>

Real Closed Field

Artie2000

December 29, 2025

We follow [?] for the material on field orderings and the algebraic criterion for field orderability. For the material on real closed fields, we synthesise material from [?], [?], [?] and [?] as convenient.

Note that the meaning of the notation $F(\sqrt{a})$ depends on whether a is a square in F . We take care to write it only in the non-trivial case. The same applies to $F(i)$.

0.1 Preliminaries

0.2 Ordered Fields

We begin with a purely algebraic characterisation of an ordered field. This relies on the theory of *ring orderings*, which can be found in [?].

Definition 1. IsSemireal A field is real if -1 is not a sum of squares.

Theorem 2. *def:semireal Field.exists_isStrictOrderedRing iff isSemireal* A field can be ordered if and only if it is

We can also characterise algebraically whether a field F has a unique ordering. This allows us to talk about ‘the ordering’ on F without ambiguity.

Lemma 3. *def:semireal IsSemireal.exists_Unique_isStrictOrderedRing* Let F be a real field. There is a unique ordering on F , either a or $-a$ is a sum of squares.

Corollary 4. *def:ordered_fieldIsStrictOrderedRing.unique_isStrictOrderedRing* If F is an ordered field. Then every negative element is a sum of squares.

Proof. `lem:unique_ord_cond` \square

Corollary 5. *def:ordered_fieldRat.unique_isStrictOrderedRing* There is a unique field ordering on \mathbb{Q} .

Proof. `cor:unique_ord_cond_ordered` Let $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ be non-negative. Then $x = p/q$ for some integers $p \geq 0$ and $q > 0$, so

$$x = \underbrace{\frac{1}{q^2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{q^2}}_{pq \text{ times}}$$

is a sum of squares. We are done by Corollary ??.

\square

There is a corresponding algebraic characterisation of an ordered field extension. Note that, since real fields have characteristic 0, their algebraic extensions are separable.

Lemma 6. *Field exists is Ordered Algebra iff negation of Mem span nonneg is Square Let F be an ordered field, and let -1 for all choices of $\alpha_i \in K$ and $x_i \in F_{\geq 0}$.*

Lemma 7. *Field exists is Ordered Algebra iff projection Let F be an ordered field, and let K/F be a field extension. Suppose $K \rightarrow F$ such that, for all $x \in K$, $\pi(x^2) \geq 0$. Then there is a field ordering on K making K/F ordered.*

Proof. lem:ext_ord_cond Consider the sum $\sum_i x_i \alpha_i^2$ for some $\alpha_i \in K$ and $x_i \in F_{\geq 0}$. By F -linearity, we compute

$$\pi\left(\sum_i x_i \alpha_i^2\right) = \sum_i x_i \pi(\alpha_i^2) \geq 0.$$

Since $\pi(-1) = -1 < 0$, we are done by Lemma ??.

□

Corollary 8. *adj_sqrt_ordered Let F be an ordered field, and suppose $a \in F$ is non-negative (and not a square). Then there is a field ordering on $F(\sqrt{a})$ making $F(\sqrt{a})/F$ ordered.*

Proof. lem:ext_ord_func suff Let $\pi : F(\sqrt{a}) \rightarrow F$ be the projection induced by the F -basis $\{1, \sqrt{a}\}$. For $x, y \in F$, we have $\pi((x + y\sqrt{a})^2) = x^2 + ay^2 \geq 0$. We are done by Lemma ??.

□

Lemma 9. *odd_deg_ordered Let F be an ordered field, and let K/F be an odd-degree extension. Then there is a field ordering on K making K/F ordered.*

Proof. lem:ext_ord_cond By the primitive element theorem, $K = F(\alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in K$. Let f be the minimal polynomial of α over F ; then $\deg f = [K : F]$ is odd. By Lemma ??, we need to show that the congruence

$$\sum_i a_i g_i^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{f} \tag{*}$$

fails to hold for any non-negative $a_i \in F$ and polynomials $g_i \in F[X]$ each of degree at most $\deg f - 1$. Proceed by induction on $\deg f$; if $\deg f = 1$, then $(*)$ reduces to an equality of a non-negative element of F with a negative one. Otherwise, suppose for a contradiction that $(*)$ holds. Without loss of generality, we may assume, for all i , we have $a_i \neq 0$ and that $\deg g_i < \deg f$.

Rearranging $(*)$, we have $\sum_i a_i g_i^2 + 1 = hf$ for some $h \in F[X]$. Let $d = \max_i \deg g_i$; note that $d < \deg f$ by construction. Since each a_i is positive, the $2d$ th coefficient on the left-hand side must be positive. Therefore

$$\deg h + \deg f = \deg\left(\sum_i a_i g_i^2 + 1\right) = 2d.$$

Then $\deg h$ is odd, so h has an odd-degree irreducible factor \tilde{h} . We have

$$\deg \tilde{h} \leq \deg h = 2d - \deg f < \deg f,$$

but $\sum_i a_i g_i^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{\tilde{h}}$. We are done by induction. #

□

There is an easier way to construct ordered field extensions if we don't care about them being algebraic.

Lemma 10. *Let F be an ordered field, and let $a \in F$. Then there is a unique ordering on the function field $F(X)$ making $F(X)/F$ ordered such that $X > a$ but $b > X$ for $b > a$, and a unique one such that $X < a$ but $b < X$ for $b < a$.*

Intuitively, X is infinitesimally close to a . When $a = 0$, we often write $R(\varepsilon)$ for $R(X)$ with the first type of ordering.

0.3 Real Closed Fields

Definition 11. *A real closed field is an ordered field in which every non-negative element is a square and every odd-degree polynomial has a root.*

Lemma 12. *The ordering on a real closed field is unique.*

Proof. cor:unique_{ord} *Follows directly from Corollary ??.* \square

Fix a real closed field R . In what follows, all algebraic extensions are given up to R -isomorphism, as is conventional. Observe that, since -1 is not a square in R , $R(i)/R$ is a quadratic extension. We show that this is the **only** nontrivial algebraic extension of R .

Lemma 13. *even_{finrank} extension Nontrivial finite extensions of R have even degree.*

Proof. Let K/R be an odd-degree extension of R . By the primitive element theorem, $K = R(\alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in K$. Let f be the minimal polynomial of α over K . Then f is irreducible, but $\deg f = [K : R]$ is odd, so f has a root in R . Therefore, $[K : R] = \deg f = 1$; that is, $K \cong R$. \square

Lemma 14. *isAdjoinRoot_iFinrankExtensionEqTwo The field $R(i)$ is the unique quadratic extension of R .*

Proof. Let K/R be a quadratic extension. Since $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$, we have $K \cong R(\sqrt{a})$ for some $a \in R$. Since nonnegative elements of R are squares, a is negative and so $-a$ is a square in R . Rescaling by $\sqrt{-a}^{-1}$, we get $R(\sqrt{a}) \cong R(i)$. \square

Lemma 15. *finrank_aadjoinRoot_iextension_neq_two There is no quadratic extension of $R(i)$.*

Proof. Since $\text{char}R(i) \neq 2$, it suffices to show that every element of $R(i)$ is a square. Indeed, take $x = a + bi \in R(i)$ with $a, b \in R$. If $b = 0$, then either $a \geq 0$ and so x is a square in R , or $a \leq 0$ and so $a = (i\sqrt{-a})^2$ is a square in R . Now let $b \neq 0$. Then we compute $x = (c + di)^2$, where

$$c = \sqrt{\frac{a + \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}}{2}} \text{ and } d = \frac{b}{2c}.$$

To see that c and d are well-defined elements of R , observe that $a^2 + b^2 > a^2 \geq 0$ (as $b \neq 0$), and so $a + \sqrt{a^2 + b^2} > 0$. Therefore the square roots above lie in R and $c \neq 0$. \square

Theorem 16. *def:RCF IsRealClosed.finite_extension_classify* The only finite extensions of \mathbb{R} are \mathbb{R} itself and $R(i)$.

Proof. *lem:ext_deg2, lem : Rext_deg2, lem : ext_oredd_deg* By separability, every finite extension of R is contained in K .

Let K/R be a nontrivial Galois extension of degree $2^k \cdot a$, where $k \geq 0$ and $a \geq 1$ is odd. Applying the Galois correspondence to a Sylow 2-subgroup of $\text{Gal}(K/R)$ yields an intermediate extension of degree a ; by Lemma ??, we have $a = 1$ (and $k > 0$). If $k > 1$, iterating the last construction yields intermediate extensions $K/L/M/R$ with $[L : M] = [M : R] = 2$. By Lemma ??, $M \cong R(i)$, contradicting Lemma ???. $\#$ Therefore $k = 1$ and (by Lemma ??) $K \cong R(i)$. \square

Corollary 17. *def:RCF IsRealClosed.algebraic_extension_classify* The only algebraic extensions of \mathbb{R} are \mathbb{R} itself and $R(i)$.

Proof. *thm:FTAlg* \square

Corollary 18. *def:RCF IsRealClosed.isAdjoinRootIOfIsAlgClosure* $\bar{R} = R(i)$.

Proof. *cor:FTAlg_alg* \square

The converse to Theorem ?? is much easier.

Lemma 19. *def:RCF IsRealClosed.of_isAdjoinRoot_i_over_1_extension_Suppose R is an ordered field whose only nontrivial*

Proof. Let $a \in R$ be non-negative. If a is not a square, then $R(\sqrt{a}) \cong R(i)$. Suppose i maps to $x + y\sqrt{a}$ for some $x, y \in R$; then $-1 = x^2 + ay^2 + 2xy\sqrt{a}$. Comparing coefficients, $-1 = x^2 + ay^2 \geq 0$. $\#$ Therefore a is a square in R .

Now, fix a nonlinear odd-degree polynomial $f \in R[X]$. Then $R[X]/(f)$ cannot be a field since R has no nontrivial odd-degree extensions, and so f must be reducible. We are done by induction on the degree. \square

As before, let R be a real closed field. Theorem ?? is a powerful tool for deriving more of its properties.

Lemma 20. *def:RCF* R is maximal with respect to algebraic extensions by ordered fields.

Proof. cor:FTAAlg_{alg} Since 1 is a square in $R(i)$, the field $R(i)$ is not formally real and therefore cannot be ordered. \square

In particular, R is maximal with respect to ordered algebraic extensions.

Lemma 21. *def:RCF* The monic irreducible polynomials over $R[X]$ have form $X - c$ for some $c \in R$ or $(X - a)^2 + b^2$ for some $a, b \in R$ with $b \neq 0$.

Proof. thm:FTAAlg Let $f \in R[X]$ be monic and irreducible. The field $R_f = R[X]/(f)$ is a finite extension of R , so it is classified by Theorem ???. If $R_f \cong R$, then $\deg f = 1$, so $f = X - c$ for some $c \in R$. If $R_f \cong R(i)$, let the isomorphism be φ , and suppose $\varphi(X + (f)) = a + bi$ ($a, b \in R$). Note that $b \neq 0$ since φ^{-1} is constant on R . Rearranging, we see that $\varphi((X - a)^2 + b^2 + (f)) = 0$; that is, $(X - a)^2 + b^2 \in (f)$. Since this polynomial is monic and has the same degree as f , it must in fact be equal to f .

Conversely, linear polynomials over a domain are irreducible by degree, and reducible quadratics have a root. A root of $f = (X - a)^2 + b^2$ with $a, b \in R$ is an element $r \in R$ satisfying $(r - a)^2 = -b^2$. Since squares are non-negative, if $b \neq 0$ then f must be irreducible. \square

The next property is a little less obvious.

Lemma 22. *def:RCF* R satisfies the intermediate value property for polynomials.

Proof. lem:irreds_{class} We will prove that, for all $f \in R[X]$ and all $a, b \in R$ with $a < b$, if $f(a) \cdot f(b) < 0$, then there is some $c \in (a, b)$ such that $f(c) = 0$.

Fix $a, b \in R$ with $a < b$. First, suppose $f \in R[X]$ is linear. Then $f = m(X - c)$ for some $m, c \in R$ with $m \neq 0$; then $f(c) = 0$. If $m > 0$, then $f(x) < 0$ for $x < c$ and $f(x) > 0$ for $x > c$, and vice versa if $m < 0$. In either case, if $c \notin [a, b]$, then $f(a) \cdot f(b) > 0$. Taking into account the cases $c = a$ and $c = b$, if $f(a) \cdot f(b) < 0$ then $c \in (a, b)$.

Now suppose $f(a) \cdot f(b) < 0$, and proceed by induction on $\deg f$. If $\deg f = 0$, write $f = x \in R$; then $f(x) \cdot f(x) = x^2 \leq 0$, so, since squares are non-negative, $x = 0$ and $f((a + b)/2) = 0$. The above validates the property for $\deg f = 1$. Now, take a monic irreducible factor g of f ; then g is classified by Lemma ???. If $g = (X - a)^2 + b^2$ with $a, b \in R$ and $b \neq 0$, then g is everywhere positive. If $g = X - c$ with $c \in R$, then either $c \in (a, b)$ and $g(c) = 0$, or $c \notin (a, b)$ and $g(a)$ and $g(b)$ have the same sign (they are nonzero since $f(a)$ and $f(b)$ are). In the second case, f has a root in (a, b) ; in the first and third cases, f/g satisfies the induction hypothesis, so it has a root in (a, b) . In all cases, a factor of f has a root in (a, b) , and therefore so does f . \square

In fact, the converses to Lemmas ?? and ?? also hold!

Theorem 23. *def:RCF IsRealClosed.ofIntermediateValueProperty* Let R be an ordered field satisfying the intermediate value property.

Proof. Let $a \in R$ be non-negative, and consider the polynomial $f = X^2 - a$. Then $f(0) = -a \leq 0$, but $f(a+1) = a^2 + a + 1 > 0$. By the intermediate value property, f has a root in R , and so a is a square in R .

Let f be an odd-degree polynomial over R . Write $f = a_n X^n + \dots + a_0$. We will show f has a root in R . Replacing f by $-f$ if necessary, we may assume $a_n > 0$. For $x > 1$, we compute

$$f(x) \geq x^{n-1}(a_n x - n \max_i |a_i|).$$

Therefore, when $x > \max\{1, n \max_i |a_i|/a_n\}$, $f(x) > 0$. A similar calculation shows that $f(x) < 0$ for sufficiently large negative values of x . We are done by the intermediate value property. \square

Theorem 24. *def:RCF IsRealClosed.of_maximal_IsOrderedAlgebra* Let R be an ordered field maximal with respect to algebraic extensions by ordered fields.

Proof. *cor:ext_ord_to_adjsqrt, lem : ext_ord_odd_deg* Let $a \in R$ be non-negative, and suppose a is not a square. By Corollary ??, there is an ordering making the nontrivial extension $R(\sqrt{a})/R$ ordered, contradicting maximality. $\#$ Therefore a is a square in R .

By induction on degree, it suffices to show that irreducible odd-degree polynomials over R are all linear. Let $f \in R[X]$ be such a polynomial, and consider the odd-degree field extension $R_f = R[X]/(f)$. By Lemma ??, there is an ordering making R_f/R an ordered extension; by maximality, $R_f \cong R$, and therefore $\deg f = [R_f : R] = 1$. \square

In particular, an ordered field maximal with respect to algebraic extensions by ordered fields is real closed.

Theorem ?? gives us a way to “construct” real closed fields.

Lemma 25. *def:RCF An algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 has an index-2 real closed subfield.*

Proof. *lem:order_fun_field, thm : ord_max_impr_CF, cor : RCF_ac* Let C be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0, we can use Lemma ?? to adjoin an element transcendental over F , obtaining a strictly bigger ordered subfield.

Apply Zorn’s lemma to obtain a maximal ordered subfield $R \subseteq C$; then $\bar{R} = C$. By Theorem ??, R must be real closed. By Corollary ??, $C \cong R(i)$, and so $[C : R] = 2$. \square

In summary, we have proved the following characterisation of real closed fields.

Theorem 26. *def:RCF, def:ordered_ext* Let R be an ordered field. TFAE :

R is real closed.

$\bar{R} = R(i)$ (and -1 is not a square in R).

R satisfies the intermediate value property for polynomials.

R is maximal with respect to ordered algebraic extensions.

R is maximal with respect to algebraic extensions by ordered fields.

Proof. cor:RCF_ac,lem : FTAlg_cconverse, lem : IVP_poly, thm : IVP_poly_imprCF, lem : RCF_max, thm : ord_max_imprCF \square

0.4 Real Closures

Definition 27. def:ordered_ext, def : RCFIsRealClosure Let F be an ordered field. A real closure of F is a real closure.

Lemma 28. def:real_closure Let F be an ordered field. Then F has a real closure.

Proof. thm:ord_max_imprCF Apply Zorn's lemma to ordered algebraic extensions of F . We are done by Theorem ??.

Just like with the algebraic closure, it makes sense to talk of the real closure of an ordered field. Proving this uniqueness result requires a method of root-counting in real fields known as Sturm's theorem.

Theorem 29 (Corollary to Sturm's Theorem). def:real_closure Let F be an ordered field, and let f be a polynomial over F .

Proof. TODO : decide on the generality of the statement of Sturm's Theorem

\square

Lemma 30. def:real_closure Let F be an ordered field with a real closure R , and let K/F be a finite ordered extension. Then

Proof. lem:real_closure_exists, thm : SturmByThePrimitiveElementTheorem, K=F(α) for some $\alpha \in K$. Let f be the minimal polynomial of α over F . Since F has a root in K , it has a root in a real closure of K (one exists by Lemma ??). By Theorem ??, f has a root β in R . Therefore define $\varphi : K \rightarrow R$ with $\varphi(\alpha) = \beta$. \square

Lemma 31. *def:real_closure Let F be an ordered field with a real closure R , and let K/F be a finite ordered extension. Then there exists a unique order-preserving F -homomorphism $K \rightarrow R$.*

Proof. *lem:real_closure_exists, thm : Sturm, lem : closure_emb_ext_norderedFixarealclosureR'ofK(oneexistsby)*

By the primitive element theorem, $K = F(\alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in K$. Let f be the minimal polynomial of α over F , and let $\alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_m$ be the roots of f in R' , with $\alpha = \alpha_k$. By Theorem ??, f also has m roots in R ; let them be $\beta_1 < \dots < \beta_m$. Since non-negative elements of R' are squares, and $x_1, \dots, x_{m-1} \in R'$ such that $\alpha_{j+1} - \alpha_j = x_j^2$, and let $L = K(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m, r, x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}) \leq R'$. Now, suppose we have a K -homomorphism $\psi : L \rightarrow R$. Each $\psi(\alpha_j)$ is equal to a different β_i . Then $\psi(\alpha_{j+1}) - \psi(\alpha_j) = \psi(x_j)^2 \geq 0$, so $\psi(\alpha_1) < \dots < \psi(\alpha_m)$, and so $\psi(\alpha_j) = \beta_j$ for all j .

By Lemma ??, there is in fact an F -homomorphism $\varphi : L \rightarrow R$. We will show that φ is order-preserving. Indeed, fix a non-negative element $x \in L$. As before, find $r \in R'$ such that $x = r^2$, and let $M = L(r) \leq R'$. Apply Lemma ?? again to obtain an L -homomorphism $\psi : M \rightarrow R$; then $\varphi(x) = \psi(x) = \psi(r)^2 \geq 0$. Therefore φ maps non-negative elements to non-negative elements, and so is order-preserving. Then $\varphi|_K$ is the map we want. Note that $\varphi(\alpha) = \beta_k$.

To see uniqueness, let $\tilde{\varphi} : K \rightarrow R$ be an order-preserving F -homomorphism; by existence, $\tilde{\varphi}$ extends to a an order-preserving K -homomorphism $\tilde{\psi} : L \rightarrow R$. Then $\tilde{\varphi}(\alpha) = \tilde{\psi}(\alpha_k) = \beta_k = \varphi(\alpha)$, and so $\tilde{\varphi} = \varphi$. \square

Taking $K = F$ above, we see that the order-embedding of a field into its real closure is unique.

Theorem 32. *def:real_closure Let F be an ordered field. Then the real closure of F is unique up to unique F -isomorphism.*

Proof. *lem:closure_emb_ext, lem : RCF_maxLetR₁ and R₂ be real closures of F. Applying Zorn's lemma to the set of ordered extensions intermediate between R₁ and F having a unique order-preserving F-embedding into R₂, and using Lemma ??, we obtain an intermediate extension R₁/K/F with no nontrivial finite ordered extensions and a unique order-preserving F-embedding φ : K → R₂. If the ordered algebraic extension R₂/φ(K) were nontrivial, then it would contain a nontrivial ordered finite extension, so φ must be surjective (and so an F-isomorphism). In particular, K ⊆ R₁ is real closed; by maximality (??), in fact K = R₁ and so φ is an F-isomorphism between R₁ and R₂.* \square

Corollary 33. *def:RCF A real closed field has no nontrivial field automorphisms.*

Proof. `thm:real_closure_unique, lem : RCF_ord_unique` Let R be a real closed field. By Theorem ??, R has nontrivial automorphisms. Since the ordering on R is unique (by Lemma ??), every automorphism of R must be order preserving. \square

This uniqueness result is stronger than the one in the algebraically closed case: an algebraically closed field has many nontrivial automorphisms.

Uniqueness of algebraic closures allows us to classify ordered algebraic extensions.

Lemma 34. `def:real_closure` Let F be an ordered field with real closure R , and let K/F be algebraic. Then field ordering in R via the order obtained by restriction from R .

Proof. `lem:real_closure_exists, thm : real_closure_unique` Fix an ordering on K extending that on F , and let K have a real closure (exists by Lemma ??). Then R_K/F is algebraic, so R_K is a real closure of F . By Theorem ??, there is an F -isomorphism to $R_K \cong R$, and this induces an F -homomorphism $K \rightarrow R$. Restricting the order on R to K via this map recovers the original order on K by construction.

Moreover, the inverse to order restriction constructed above is unique. Indeed, an inverse $\varphi : K \rightarrow R$ is order-preserving by definition, so it is an order-embedding from K into its real closure. By Theorem ??, such a map is unique. \square

0.5 The Artin-Schreier Theorem

We didn't actually need to assume the ordering on R in Lemma ??.

Lemma 35. `isSumSqs_isSquare` Let F be a field in which -1 is not a square, and suppose every element of $F(i)$ is a square.

Proof. Given $a, b \in F$, find $c, d \in F$ such that $a + bi = (c + di)^2$ in $F(i)$. Then $a = c^2 - d^2$ and $b = 2cd$, so $a^2 + b^2 = (c^2 + d^2)^2$ is a square in F . By induction, every sum of squares in F is a square in F . \square

Lemma 36. `def:RCF` Suppose R is a field whose only nontrivial algebraic extension is $R(i)$. Then there is a unique field ordering on R , and moreover R with this ordering is real closed.

Proof. thm:ord_if_freal,lem : FTAlg_cconverse,lem : RCF_{ord}unique,lem : sumsqssq We are nearly done by T

We have $\bar{R} \cong R(i)$. Since $R(i)/R$ is nontrivial, -1 is not a square in R . Since every element of $R(i)$ is a square, Lemma ?? says that -1 is not a sum of squares in R . \square

In fact, we can go further. The following is a weak form of the Artin-Schreier theorem. Removing the condition on characteristic is possible, but requires some more involved algebra.

Theorem 37. def:RCF Let R be a field with $\text{char } K \neq 2$, and suppose $[\bar{R} : R] = 2$. Then there is a unique field ordering on R , and moreover R with this ordering is real closed.

Proof. lem:FTAlg_cconverse_{strong},lem : deg₂classifyByLemma??,it suffices to show that $\cong R(i)$.

By Lemma ??, $\bar{R} \cong R(\sqrt{a})$ for some non-square $a \in R$. Since $R(\sqrt{a})$ is algebraically closed, \sqrt{a} is a square in $R(\sqrt{a})$; find $x, y \in R$ such that $\sqrt{a} = (x + y\sqrt{a})^2$. Expanding and comparing coefficients, $x^2 + y^2a = 0$ and $2xy = 1$. Rearranging, $a = -(4x^4) = -1 \cdot (2x^2)^2$. In particular, -1 is not a square. By Lemma ??, $R(i) \cong R(\sqrt{a}) = \bar{R}$. \square

We can weaken the hypotheses even further. Here is the full Artin-Schreier theorem.

Theorem 38 (Artin-Schreier Theorem). def:RCF Let R be a field, and suppose \bar{R} is a finite extension of R . Then there is a unique field ordering on R , and moreover R with this ordering is real closed.

Proof. thm:Artin-Schreier_{weak} TODO : this needs a lot more preliminaries e.g. Artin-Schreier theory, Kummer theory \square

Corollary 39. An algebraically closed field of nonzero characteristic has no finite-index subfields.

Proof. thm:Artin-Schreier Ordered fields have characteristic 0. \square

Theorem 40. The finite-index subfields of $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ are isomorphic copies of $\mathbb{Q}_{\text{alg}} = \bar{\mathbb{Q}} \cap \mathbb{R}$ indexed by $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_{\text{alg}}/\mathbb{Q}(i))$.

Proof. thm:Artin-Schreier,thm:real_closure_unique,cor : unique_order_Q Since $\mathbb{Q}_{\text{alg}}(i) = \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$, the field \mathbb{Q}_{alg} is a finite-index subfield.

By Theorem ??, any finite-index subfield has a unique ordering making it real closed. Let R be a real closed subfield. Then the ordering on R restricts to the ordering on \mathbb{Q} (unique by Corollary ??). Since R/\mathbb{Q} is algebraic, R is a real closure of \mathbb{Q} . By Theorem ??, there is a unique \mathbb{Q} -isomorphism $\psi : \mathbb{Q}_{\text{alg}} \cong R$. Since $\mathbb{Q}_{\text{alg}}(i) = R(i) = \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$, ψ extends uniquely to $\varphi \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_{\text{alg}}/\mathbb{Q}(i))$ by mapping $i \rightarrow i$. The subfield R is then recovered from $\varphi \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_{\text{alg}}/\mathbb{Q}(i))$ by taking $\varphi^{-1}(\mathbb{Q}_{\text{alg}})$. \square