


CIS 421/521:
ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE

Search Problems



Problem Solving Agents & Problem Formulation

AIMA 3.1-3.3

Reflex Agents

A simple reflex agent is one that selects an action based only on the **current percept**.

It **ignores** the rest of the **percept history**.




Problem-Solving Agent

A problem-solving agent must **plan ahead**.

The computational process that it undertakes is called **search**.

It will consider a **sequence of actions** that form a **path** to a **goal state**.

Such a sequence is called a **solution**.



Impact of Task Environments

The properties of the task environments change the types of solutions that we need.

If an environment is:

- **Fully observable**
- **Deterministic**
- **Known environment**

The solution to any problem in such an environment is a fixed sequence of actions.

In environments that are

- **Partially observable** or
- **Nondeterministic**

The solution must recommend different future actions depending on the what percepts it receives. This could be in the form of a *branching strategy*.

Example search problem: 8-puzzle




Formulate *goal*

- Pieces to end up in order as shown...



Start State



Goal State


Formulate *search problem*

- States:** configurations of the puzzle ($9!$ configurations)
- Actions:** Move one of the movable pieces (≤ 4 possible)
- Performance measure:** minimize total moves

Find *solution*

- Sequence of pieces moved: 3,1,6,3,1,...

Example search problem: Holiday in Romania



Holiday in Romania

On holiday in Romania; currently in Arad

- Flight leaves tomorrow from Bucharest

Formulate *goal*

- Be in Bucharest

Formulate *search problem*

- States: various cities
- Actions: drive between cities
- Performance measure: minimize travel time / distance

Find *solution*

- Sequence of cities; e.g. Arad, Sibiu, Fagaras, Bucharest, ...

More formally, a problem is defined by:

1. *States*: a set S
2. An *initial state* $s_i \in S$
3. *Actions*: a set A
 $\forall s \text{ } Actions(s) = \text{the set of actions that can be executed in } s,$
 $\text{that are applicable in } s.$
4. *Transition Model*: $\forall s \forall a \in Actions(s) \text{ } Result(s, a) \rightarrow s_r$
 s_r is called a *successor* of s
 $\{s_i\} \cup Successors(s_i)^* = \text{state space}$
5. *Path cost (Performance Measure)*: Must be additive
e.g. sum of distances, number of actions executed, ...
 $c(x, a, y)$ is the step cost, assumed ≥ 0
 - (where action a goes from state x to state y)
6. *Goal test*: $Goal(s)$
Can be implicit, e.g. *checkmate*(s)
 s is a *goal state* if $Goal(s)$ is true

Vacuum World

States: A state of the world says which objects are in which cells.


In a simple two cell version,

- the agent can be in either cell
- each cell can have dirt or not


2 cells * 2 positions for agent * 2 possibilities for dirt = 8 states.

With n cells, there are n^*2^n states.

One state is designated as the initial state



Vacuum World



Goal states:
States where everything is clean.

Actions:

- *Suck*
- *Move Left*
- *Move Right*
- *(Move Up)*
- *(Move Down)*

Action Cost: Each action costs 1

Transition:

Suck – removes dirt
Move – moves in that direction, unless agent hits a wall, in which case it stays put.

Solutions & Optimal Solutions

- A **solution** is a sequence of **actions** from the **initial state** to a **goal state**.
- **Optimal Solution:** A solution is **optimal** if no solution has a lower **path cost**.

Art: Formulating a Search Problem

Decide:

Which properties matter & how to represent

- *Initial State, Goal State, Possible Intermediate States*

Which actions are possible & how to represent

- *Operator Set: Actions and Transition Model*

Which action is next


- *Path Cost Function*

Formulation greatly affects combinatorics of search space and therefore speed of search

Example: 8-puzzle



Start State



Goal State

States?

Initial state?

Actions?

Transition Model?


Goal test?

Path cost?

Example: 8-puzzle



Start State



Goal State

States? List of 9 locations- e.g., [7,2,4,5,-,6,8,3,1]

Initial state? [7,2,4,5,-,6,8,3,1]

Actions? *{Left, Right, Up, Down}*

Transition Model? ...

Goal test? Check if goal configuration is reached

Path cost? Number of actions to reach goal

Hard subtask: Selecting a state space

Real world is absurdly complex

State space must be *abstracted* for problem solving

(abstract) *State* = set (equivalence class) of real-world states

(abstract) *Action* = equivalence class of combinations of real-world actions

- e.g. *Arad → Zerind* represents a complex set of possible routes, detours, rest stops, etc
- The abstraction is valid if the path between two states is reflected in the real world

Each abstract action should be “easier” than the real problem

Useful Concepts

State space: the set of all states reachable from the initial state by *any* sequence of actions

- *When several operators can apply to each state, this gets large very quickly*
- *Might be a proper subset of the set of configurations*

Path: a sequence of actions leading from one state s_j to another state s_k

Frontier: those states that are available for *expanding* (for applying legal actions to)

Solution: a path from the initial state s_i to a state s_f that satisfies the goal test


Basic search algorithms: *Tree Search*

Generalized algorithm to solve search problems

Enumerate in some order all possible paths from the initial state

- Here: search through *explicit tree generation*
 - ROOT= initial state.
 - Nodes in search tree generated through *transition model*
 - Tree search treats different paths to the same node as distinct

Generalized tree search



```
function TREE-SEARCH(problem, strategy) return a solution or failure
    Initialize frontier to the initial state of the problem
    do
        if the frontier is empty then return failure
        choose leaf node for expansion according to strategy & remove from frontier
        if node contains goal state then return solution
        else expand the node and add resulting nodes to the frontier
```

The strategy
determines search
process!


8-Puzzle: States and Nodes

A **state** is a (representation of a) *physical configuration*

A **node** is a data structure constituting *part of a search tree*

- Also includes *parent, children, depth, path cost $g(x)$*
- Here $\text{node} = \langle \text{state}, \text{parent-node}, \text{children}, \text{action}, \text{path-cost}, \text{depth} \rangle$

States do not have parents, children, depth or path cost!




The EXPAND function

- uses the Actions and Transition Model to create the corresponding states
 - creates new nodes,
 - fills in the various fields

8-Puzzle Search Tree



(Nodes show state, parent,
children - leaving *Action, Cost,*
Depth Implicit)

(Suppressing useless
“backwards” moves)





Problem: Repeated states

Failure to detect *repeated states* can turn a linear problem into an *exponential* one!



Solution: Graph Search!



Graph search

- Simple Mod from tree search: *Check to see if a node has been visited before adding to search queue*
 - must keep track of all possible states (can use a lot of memory)
 - e.g., 8-puzzle problem, we have $9!/2 \approx 182K$ states

Graph Search vs Tree Search


```
function TREE-SEARCH(problem) returns a solution, or failure
    initialize the frontier using the initial state of problem
    loop do
        if the frontier is empty then return failure
        choose a leaf node and remove it from the frontier
        if the node contains a goal state then return the corresponding solution
        expand the chosen node, adding the resulting nodes to the frontier
```

```
function GRAPH-SEARCH(problem) returns a solution, or failure
    initialize the frontier using the initial state of problem
    initialize the explored set to be empty
    loop do
        if the frontier is empty then return failure
        choose a leaf node and remove it from the frontier
        if the node contains a goal state then return the corresponding solution
        add the node to the explored set
        expand the chosen node, adding the resulting nodes to the frontier
        only if not in the frontier or explored set
```

Figure 3.7 An informal description of the general tree-search and graph-search algorithms. The parts of GRAPH-SEARCH marked in bold italic are the additions needed to handle repeated states.

Uninformed Search Strategies

AIMA 3.3-3.4



***Uninformed* search strategies:**

AKA “Blind search”

Uses only information available in problem definition

Informally:

Uninformed search: All non-goal nodes in frontier look equally good

Informed search: Some non-goal nodes can be ranked above others.

Search Strategies

Review: **Strategy** = order of tree expansion

- Implemented by different queue structures (LIFO, FIFO, priority)

Dimensions for evaluation

- **Completeness** - always find the solution?
- **Optimality** - finds a least cost solution (lowest path cost) first?
- **Time complexity** - # of nodes generated (*worst case*)
- **Space complexity** - **# of nodes simultaneously in memory** (*worst case*)

Time/space complexity variables

- b , **maximum branching factor** of search tree
- d , **depth** of the shallowest goal node
- m , maximum length of any path in the state space (potentially ∞)

Introduction to *space* complexity

You know about:

- “Big O” notation
- *Time complexity*

Space complexity is analogous to time complexity

Units of space are arbitrary

- Doesn’t matter because Big O notation ignores constant multiplicative factors
- Plausible Space units:
 - One Memory word
 - Size of any fixed size data structure
 - For example, size of fixed size node in search tree

Review: Breadth-first search

Idea:

- Expand *shallowest* unexpanded node

Implementation:

- *frontier* is FIFO (First-In-First-Out) Queue:
 - Put successors at the *end* of *frontier* successor list.




Image credit: Dan Klein and Pieter Abbeel
<http://ai.berkeley.edu>

Breadth-first search (simplified)

function BREADTH-FIRST-SEARCH(*problem*) **returns** a solution, or failure

node \leftarrow a node with STATE = *problem*.INITIAL-STATE, PATH-COST = 0

if *problem*.GOAL-TEST(*node*.STATE) **then return** SOLUTION(*node*)

frontier \leftarrow a FIFO queue with *node* as the only element

explored \leftarrow an empty set

loop do

if EMPTY?(*frontier*) **then return** failure

node \leftarrow POP(*frontier*) /* chooses the shallowest node in *frontier* */

add *node*.STATE to *explored*

for each *action* **in** *problem*.ACTIONS(*node*.STATE) **do**

child \leftarrow CHILD-NODE(*problem*, *node*, *action*)

if *child*.STATE is not in *explored* or *frontier* **then**

if *problem*.GOAL-TEST(*child*.STATE) **then return** SOLUTION(*child*)

frontier \leftarrow INSERT(*child*, *frontier*)

Position within
queue of new items
determines search
strategy

Subtle: Node inserted into
queue only after testing to
see if it is a goal state

Properties of breadth-first search

Complete? Yes (if b is finite)

Time Complexity? $1+b+b^2+b^3+\dots+b^d = O(b^d)$

Space Complexity? $O(b^d)$ (keeps every node in memory)

Optimal? Yes, if cost = 1 per step
(not optimal in general)

b : maximum branching factor of search tree

d : depth of the least cost solution

m : maximum depth of the state space (∞)

Exponential Space (and time) Not Good...

- Exponential complexity uninformed search problems *cannot* be solved for any but the smallest instances.
- (*Memory* requirements are a bigger problem than *execution* time.)

| DEPTH | NODES | TIME | MEMORY |
|-------|------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|
| 2 | 110 | 0.11 milliseconds | 10 ⁷ kilobytes |
| 4 | 11110 | 11 milliseconds | 10.6 megabytes |
| 6 | 10 ⁶ | 1.1 seconds | 1 gigabytes |
| 8 | 10 ⁸ | 2 minutes | 103 gigabytes |
| 10 | 10 ¹⁰ | 3 hours | 10 terabytes |
| 12 | 10 ¹² | 13 days | 1 petabytes |
| 14 | 10 ¹⁴ | 3.5 years | 99 petabytes |

Assumes b=10, 1M nodes/sec, 1000 bytes/node

Review: Depth-first search

Idea:

- Expand *deepest* unexpanded node

Implementation:

- *frontier* is LIFO (Last-In-First-Out) Queue:
 - Put successors at the *front* of *frontier* successor list.

Image credit: Dan Klein and Pieter Abbeel
<http://ai.berkeley.edu>



Properties of depth-first search

Complete? No: fails in infinite-depth spaces, spaces with loops

- Modify to avoid repeated states along path
→ complete in finite spaces

Time? $O(b^m)$: terrible if m is much larger than d

- but if solutions are dense, may be much faster than breadth-first

Space? $O(b*m)$, i.e., linear space!

Optimal? No

b : maximum branching factor of search tree

d : depth of the least cost solution

m : maximum depth of the state space (∞)

Depth-first vs Breadth-first

Use depth-first if

- *Space is restricted*
- There are many possible solutions with long paths and wrong paths are usually terminated quickly
- Search can be fine-tuned quickly

Use breadth-first if

- *Possible infinite paths*
- Some solutions have short paths
- Can quickly discard unlikely paths

Search Conundrum

Breadth-first

- Complete,
- Optimal
- but uses $O(b^d)$ space*

Depth-first

- Not complete *unless m is bounded*
- Not optimal
- Uses $O(b^m)$ time; terrible if $m \gg d$
- but only uses $O(b * m)$ space*

How can we get the best of both?

Depth-limited search: A building block

Depth-First search *but with depth limit \mathcal{L}*

- i.e. nodes at depth \mathcal{L} *have no successors*.
- No infinite-path problem!

If $\mathcal{L} = d$ (by luck!), then optimal

- But:
 - If $\mathcal{L} < d$ then incomplete 😞
 - If $\mathcal{L} > d$ then not optimal 😟

Time complexity: $O(b^l)$

Space complexity: $O(bl)$ ☺

Iterative deepening search

A general strategy to find best depth limit l .

- Key idea: use *Depth-limited search* as subroutine, with increasing l .

```
For  $l = 0$  to  $\infty$  do
    depth-limited-search to level l
        if it succeeds
            then return solution
```

- *Complete & optimal*: Goal is always found at depth d , the depth of the shallowest goal-node.


Could this possibly be efficient?

Nodes constructed at each deepening


Depth 0: 0 (Given the node, doesn't *construct* it.)



Depth 1: b^1 nodes



Depth 2: b nodes + b^2 nodes



Depth 3: b nodes + b^2 nodes + b^3 nodes

...

Total nodes constructed:

Depth 0: 0 (Given the node, doesn't *construct* it.)

Depth 1: $b^1 = b$ nodes

Depth 2: b nodes + b^2 nodes

- Depth 3: b nodes + b^2 nodes + b^3 nodes
- ...

Suppose the first solution is the last node at depth 3:

Total nodes constructed:

3* b nodes + **2*** b^2 nodes + **1*** b^3 nodes

ID search, Evaluation: Time Complexity

- More generally, the time complexity is
 - $(d)b + (d-1)b^2 + \dots + (1)b^d = O(b^d)$

As efficient in terms of $O(\dots)$ as Breadth First Search:

- $b + b^2 + \dots + b^d = O(b^d)$

ID search, Evaluation

Complete: YES (no infinite paths) ☺

Time complexity:

$$O(b^d)$$

Space complexity:

$$O(bd)$$



Optimal: YES if step cost is 1. ☺

Summary of algorithms

| Criterion | Breadth-First | Depth-First | Depth-limited | Iterative deepening |
|-----------|---------------|-------------|---------------|---------------------|
| Complete? | YES | NO | NO | YES |
| Time | b^d | b^m | b^l | b^d |
| Space | b^d | bm | bl | bd |
| Optimal? | YES | NO | NO | YES |

Next Up: Informed Search

AIMA 3.5-3.6


Informed Search

An **informed search** strategy uses **domain-specific information** about the location of the goals in order to find a solution **more efficiently** than uninformed search.

Hints will come as part of a **heuristic function** denoted $h(n)$.

One of the most famous informed search algorithms is **A*** which was developed for **robot navigation**.

Shakey the robot was developed at the Stanford Research Institute from 1966 to 1972.



<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7bsEN8mwUB8>