

Project report: Gauss-Newton optimization method

Nicolas Munke Cilano
Vidar Gimbringer
Artis Vijups

Supervisor: Stefan Diehl

December 14, 2024

1 Introduction

In this project, we mainly investigate the problem of fitting the function

$$\varphi(\mathbf{x}; t) = x_1 e^{-x_2 t} + x_3 e^{-x_4 t},$$

where $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)^T$ are parameters, to a given set of data points $(t_i, y_i), i = 1, \dots, m$.

In particular, we seek to minimize the sum of the squared distances from each data point (t_i, y_i) to the point $(t_i, \varphi(\mathbf{x}; t_i))$. If we denote the distance by $r_i(\mathbf{x}) = \varphi(\mathbf{x}; t_i) - y_i$, then our goal is to

$$\underset{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^4}{\text{minimize}} f(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{where} \quad f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^m r_i(\mathbf{x})^2.$$

To solve this minimization problem, we use the Gauss-Newton method.

2 Methods

2.1 Gauss-Newton method

Let $r(\mathbf{x}) = (r_1(\mathbf{x}), \dots, r_m(\mathbf{x}))^T$. We claim that

$$r(\mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\delta}) \approx r(\mathbf{x}) + J(\mathbf{x})\boldsymbol{\delta},$$

where $J(\mathbf{x})$ is the Jacobian matrix of $r(\mathbf{x})$ and $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ is an increment vector, also a direction vector.

This can be thought of as an extension to more dimensions of the strategy of moving along the tangent line to estimate a nearby value.

We then observe that

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\delta}) &= \sum_{i=1}^m r_i(\mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\delta})^2 \\ &= r(\mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\delta})^T r(\mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\delta}) \\ &\approx (r(\mathbf{x}) + J(\mathbf{x})\boldsymbol{\delta})^T (r(\mathbf{x}) + J(\mathbf{x})\boldsymbol{\delta}). \end{aligned}$$

Since we want the output of f to be the minimum possible, the gradient of this approximation should be 0. Writing that as an equation and simplifying, we end up with

$$J(\mathbf{x})^T J(\mathbf{x})\boldsymbol{\delta} = -J(\mathbf{x})^T r(\mathbf{x}).$$

This presents the following iterative algorithm:

1. Solve the linear system $J(\mathbf{x})^T J(\mathbf{x})\boldsymbol{\delta} = -J(\mathbf{x})^T r(\mathbf{x})$ for $\boldsymbol{\delta}$.
2. Determine an optimal step length λ for direction $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ using a line search algorithm.
3. Update \mathbf{x} to $\mathbf{x} + \lambda\boldsymbol{\delta}$.

We repeat these actions until the step $\lambda\boldsymbol{\delta}$ is smaller than some chosen tolerance. Step size tolerance is a good choice for the stop criterion because we already have to compute $\lambda\boldsymbol{\delta}$ as part of each iteration.

2.2 Line search algorithm

For the line search mentioned in the second step of the Gauss-Newton iteration algorithm, we use Armijo's rule on $F(\lambda) = f(\mathbf{x} + \lambda\boldsymbol{\delta})$.

Let $T(\lambda) = F(0) + \varepsilon F'(0)\lambda$ be a straight line through $(0, F(0))$ with less negative slope than the point's tangent, so $0 < \varepsilon < 1$.

Armijo's rule is made up of two (upper and lower) conditions, which are

$$F(\lambda) \leq T(\lambda) \quad \text{and} \quad F(\alpha\lambda) \geq T(\alpha\lambda) \text{ for fixed } \alpha > 1.$$

This rule ensures λ will be in an *interval* of points where F is substantially smaller. Computationally, this is faster than looking for a perfect choice for λ . This makes an Armijo's rule based algorithm a good choice for this project.

So that λ satisfies Armijo's rule, we choose it as follows:

1. Make an initial guess for λ .
2. Repeatedly scale λ up by α until it satisfies the lower condition.
3. Repeatedly scale λ down by α until it satisfies the upper condition.

3 Project work

3.1 Responsibilities

Nicolas ...

Vidar ...

Artis ...

3.2 Structure

The project is stored on GitHub as a repository. It is made up of:

- phi1.m, phi2.m, data1.m, data2.m, grad.m as provided,
- gaussnewton.m, the implementation of the Gauss-Newton method,

- line_search.m, the line search algorithm based on Armijo's rule,
- script.m, the main script containing tests and tasks,
- report.tex and report.pdf, forming this report,
- metadata files.

3.3 Results

We fit the two functions from phi1.m and phi2.m,

$$\varphi_1(\mathbf{x}; t) = x_1 e^{-x_2 t}, \quad \mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2)^T$$

and

$$\varphi_2(\mathbf{x}; t) = \varphi(\mathbf{x}; t) = x_1 e^{-x_2 t} + x_3 e^{-x_4 t}, \quad \mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)^T,$$

to two sets of data points, d1 and d2 from data1.m and data2.m.

The results are:

Case	Optimal point \mathbf{x}	$\ \nabla f(\mathbf{x})\ _2$	$\ r(\mathbf{x})\ _\infty$
d1, φ_1	$(10.8108, 2.4786)^T$	$3.7450 \cdot 10^{-4}$	1.6287
d2, φ_1	$(12.9789, 1.7861)^T$	$8.0031 \cdot 10^{-2}$	1.0397
d1, φ_2	$(6.3445, 10.5866, 6.0959, 1.4003)^T$	$4.0651 \cdot 10^{-5}$	0.4334
d2, φ_2	$(4.1741, 0.8747, 9.7390, 2.9208)^T$	$9.5220 \cdot 10^{-3}$	0.1182

3.4 Initial points

3.5 Detailed result

For the d2, φ_2 case, we present a full printout. The initial point for this example is $(1, 2, 3, 4)^T$.

```
Gauss-Newton Iteration 1: Starting line search...
  Line Search Backtrack Iteration: lambda = 5.0000e-01, F(lambda) = 2.8163e+22
  Line Search Backtrack Iteration: lambda = 2.5000e-01, F(lambda) = 8.4145e+10
  Line Search Backtrack Iteration: lambda = 1.2500e-01, F(lambda) = 1.5305e+05
  Line Search Backtrack Iteration: lambda = 6.2500e-02, F(lambda) = 2.7764e+04
Gauss-Newton Iteration 1:
x = [-0.1860, 0.3882, 4.7977, 3.2363]
max(abs(r)) = 1.0019e+01, norm(grad) = 6.8024e+03, lambda = 6.2500e-02
```

```

Gauss-Newton Iteration 2: Starting line search...
  Line Search Backtrack Iteration: lambda = 5.0000e-01, F(lambda) = 2.7633e+06
  Line Search Backtrack Iteration: lambda = 2.5000e-01, F(lambda) = 1.4590e+04
Gauss-Newton Iteration 2:
x = [0.7098, -0.8628, 6.2213, 2.9969]
max(abs(r)) = 9.4068e+00, norm(grad) = 1.1161e+04, lambda = 2.5000e-01
Gauss-Newton Iteration 3: Starting line search...
Gauss-Newton Iteration 3:
x = [0.8378, -0.3380, 12.8793, 1.4757]
max(abs(r)) = 7.0874e+00, norm(grad) = 1.5113e+04, lambda = 1.0000e+00
Gauss-Newton Iteration 4: Starting line search...
Gauss-Newton Iteration 4:
x = [3.4844, 1.2798, 10.2036, 2.5278]
max(abs(r)) = 2.3072e+00, norm(grad) = 2.1603e+04, lambda = 1.0000e+00
Gauss-Newton Iteration 5: Starting line search...
Gauss-Newton Iteration 5:
x = [1.6255, 0.2138, 12.2776, 2.7719]
max(abs(r)) = 6.2761e-01, norm(grad) = 1.5974e+03, lambda = 1.0000e+00
Gauss-Newton Iteration 6: Starting line search...
Gauss-Newton Iteration 6:
x = [2.6973, 0.7203, 11.1893, 2.7070]
max(abs(r)) = 5.4273e-01, norm(grad) = 9.6006e+02, lambda = 1.0000e+00
Gauss-Newton Iteration 7: Starting line search...
  Line Search Iteration: lambda = 2.0000e+00, F(lambda) = 2.0939e+01
Gauss-Newton Iteration 7:
x = [5.5035, 1.1028, 8.4286, 3.0652]
max(abs(r)) = 3.2980e-01, norm(grad) = 8.9192e+02, lambda = 2.0000e+00
Gauss-Newton Iteration 8: Starting line search...
Gauss-Newton Iteration 8:
x = [3.7177, 0.8468, 10.1922, 2.8388]
max(abs(r)) = 2.4740e-01, norm(grad) = 2.7354e+02, lambda = 1.0000e+00
Gauss-Newton Iteration 9: Starting line search...
Gauss-Newton Iteration 9:
x = [4.1808, 0.8779, 9.7318, 2.9178]
max(abs(r)) = 1.9147e-01, norm(grad) = 3.4489e+02, lambda = 1.0000e+00
Gauss-Newton Iteration 10: Starting line search...
Gauss-Newton Iteration 10:
x = [4.1741, 0.8747, 9.7390, 2.9208]
max(abs(r)) = 1.2141e-01, norm(grad) = 7.5698e+00, lambda = 1.0000e+00
Gauss-Newton Iteration 11: Starting line search...
  Line Search Iteration: lambda = 2.0000e+00, F(lambda) = 8.9616e+00
Gauss-Newton Iteration 11: Converged with tol 1.0000e-04, max(abs(r)) 1.1817e-01.

Final Results:
x = [4.1741, 0.8747, 9.7390, 2.9208]
Final norm(grad) = 9.5220e-03
Total iterations = 11
Total function evaluations = 30
Total elapsed time: 0.2712 seconds

```

Appendix

gaussnewton.m

[illegible]

```

% 3.5. Update parameters
x = x + lambda * d;

% 3.6. Check for convergence
if norm(lambda * d) < tol
    % Compute the maximum absolute residual
    max_residual = max(abs(residual));

    fprintf(['Gauss-Newton Iteration %d: Converged with tol %.4e,' ...
            ' max(abs(r)) %.4e.\n'], N_iter, tol, max_residual);
    break; % Exit loop if update step is below the tolerance
end

% Print intermediate results if printout flag is set
if printout
    % Compute the maximum absolute residual
    max_residual = max(abs(residual));

    % Compute the gradient norm
    normg = norm(2 * J' * residual);

    % Print the iteration details
    fprintf('Gauss-Newton Iteration %d:\n', N_iter);
    fprintf('x = [%.4f, %.4f, %.4f, %.4f]\n', x);
    fprintf('max(abs(r)) = %.4e, norm(grad) = %.4e, lambda = %.4e\n', ...
            max_residual, normg, lambda);
end

% Print final results
normg = norm(2 * J' * residual); % Final gradient norm
fprintf('\nFinal Results:\n');
fprintf('x = [%.4f, %.4f, %.4f, %.4f]\n', x);
fprintf('Final norm(grad) = %.4e\n', normg);
fprintf('Total iterations = %d\n', N_iter); % Number of Gauss-Newton iterations
fprintf('Total function evaluations = %d\n', N_eval); % Evaluations in Gauss-Newton
                                                    % and line search

elapsed_time = toc; % Stop timing
fprintf('Total elapsed time: %.4f seconds\n', elapsed_time); % Display elapsed time

% Plot results if requested
if plotout
    figure; % Create a new figure
    plot(t, y, 'ro', 'MarkerSize', 6, 'DisplayName', 'Data'); % Plot data points
    hold on;
    % Plot fitted curve:
    plot(t, phi(x, t), 'b-', 'LineWidth', 1.5, 'DisplayName', 'Fitted Curve');
    legend show; % Add a legend
    title('Gauss-Newton Fit');
    xlabel('t'); % Label x-axis
    ylabel('y'); % Label y-axis
    grid on; % Add grid -> better visualization
end
end

```

line_search.m

```
function [lambda, N_eval] = line_search(F, lambda0, N_eval)

% Inputs:
% F      - Function handle for F(lambda)
% lambda0 - Initial guess for lambda
% N_eval - Function evaluation counter to be updated

% Outputs:
% lambda - Step length that minimizes F(lambda)
% N_eval - Updated function evaluation counter

% Parameters
alpha = 2;           % Alpha from Alg 3, p.53
lambda = lambda0;    % Initial lambda
F0 = F(0);           % Initial F(lambda) value
gf = grad(F,0);      % F'(0)
ep = 0.1;            % Epsilon from Alg 3, p.53

% Increment function evaluations for F(0)
N_eval = N_eval + 1;

% Increase lambda while sufficient reduction is not met
while F(alpha*lambda) < F0 + ep * gf * alpha * lambda
    lambda = alpha * lambda;
    N_eval = N_eval + 1;
    fprintf([' Line Search Iteration: lambda = %.4e, ' ...
            'F(lambda) = %.4e\n'], lambda, F(lambda));
end

% Decrease lambda while F(lambda) is still too large
while F(lambda) > F0 + ep * gf * lambda
    lambda = lambda / alpha;
    N_eval = N_eval + 1;
    fprintf([' Line Search Backtrack Iteration: lambda = %.4e, ' ...
            'F(lambda) = %.4e\n'], lambda, F(lambda));
end

% Warning to handle invalid or problematic results
if isnan(F(lambda)) || F(lambda) > F0
    warning(['Potential issue with the line search: ' ...
            'F(lambda) = %.4e, F(0) = %.4e'], F(lambda), F0);
end

return;
end
```


script.m

```
%% Line Search Subroutine Test
a_values = [2, -2, 5, -5, 10, -10]; % Test cases for a
initial_guess = 0.1; % Initial guess for lambda

% Initialize total function evaluations counter
total_N_eval = 0;

for i = 1:length(a_values)
    a = a_values(i); % Assign the current test case
    fprintf('Test %d, a = %d\n', i, a); % Print current test info

    F = @(lambda) (1 - 10^a * lambda)^2; % Define the test function

    % Initialize test-specific function evaluation counter
    test_N_eval = 0;

    % Perform line search and track function evaluations
    % Start evaluations from 0 for this test:
    [lambda, test_N_eval] = line_search(F, initial_guess, 0);
    % Add to the total evaluation count:
    total_N_eval = total_N_eval + test_N_eval;

    % Calculate expected lambda
    expected_lambda = 1 / 10^a;
    error = abs(lambda - expected_lambda);
    F_lambda = F(lambda);

    % Print results
    fprintf('Computed lambda = %.5f\n', lambda);
    fprintf('Expected lambda = %.5f\n', expected_lambda);
    fprintf('Error = %.5e\n', error);
    fprintf('F(lambda) = %.5e (should be close to 0)\n', F_lambda);
    fprintf('Function evaluations in this test = %d\n', test_N_eval);
end

fprintf('Total function evaluations in all tests = %d\n', total_N_eval);

%% Test 1
[t,y] = data1;
[x,N_eval,N_iter,normg] = gaussnewton(@phi2,t,y,[1;2;3;4],1e-4,1,1);

%% Diverse initial guessss test
[t,y] = data1;
t1 = [0; 0; 0; 0]; % Neutral start
t2 = [100; 100; 100; 100]; % Far-from-solution guess
t3 = [-10; 0; -10; 0]; % Negative values
% (x2 and x4 must >=0 for phi2, x2 must >= 0 for phi1)

t_choice = t3; % Current test

[x,N_eval,N_iter,normg] = gaussnewton(@phi2,t,y,t_choice,1e-4,1,1);

%% Task - phi1, data1
[t,y] = data1;
[x,N_eval,N_iter,normg] = gaussnewton(@phi1,t,y,[1;2;3;4],1e-4,1,1);

%% Task - phi1, data2
[t,y] = data2;
[x,N_eval,N_iter,normg] = gaussnewton(@phi1,t,y,[1;2;3;4],1e-4,1,1);

%% Task - phi2, data1 (Test 1)
[t,y] = data1;
[x,N_eval,N_iter,normg] = gaussnewton(@phi2,t,y,[1;2;3;4],1e-4,1,1);
```

```

%% Task - phi2, data2
[t,y] = data2;
[x,N_eval,N_iter,normg] = gaussnewton(@phi2,t,y,[1;2;3;4],1e-4,1,1);

%% Fit phi1 to data1/data2 for multiple starting points
% Format results_phi_data: initial guesses, final values, N_eval, N_iter, normg
[t1, y1] = data1;
[t2, y2] = data2;

% Define range of starting points
num_start_points = 4; % Number of different starting points
x1_range = linspace(0.1, 20, num_start_points);
x2_range = linspace(0.01, 10, num_start_points); % Reminder: x2>0 required

% Loop over different starting points for data1
results_phi1_data1 = [];
fprintf('Fitting phi1 to data1:\n');
for x1 = x1_range
    for x2 = x2_range
        x0 = [x1; x2]; % Initial guess
        [x, N_eval, N_iter, normg] = gaussnewton(@phi1, t1, y1, x0, 1e-4, 0, 0);
        results_phi1_data1 = [results_phi1_data1; x0', x', N_eval, N_iter, normg];
        fprintf(['Initial: [%.2f, %.2f], Final: [%.2f, %.2f], ' ...
            'Iterations: %d, Normg: %.2e\n'], ...
            x1, x2, x(1), x(2), N_iter, normg);
    end
end

% Loop over different starting points for data2
results_phi1_data2 = [];
fprintf('\nFitting phi1 to data2:\n');
for x1 = x1_range
    for x2 = x2_range
        x0 = [x1; x2]; % Initial guess
        [x, N_eval, N_iter, normg] = gaussnewton(@phi1, t2, y2, x0, 1e-4, 0, 0);
        results_phi1_data2 = [results_phi1_data2; x0', x', N_eval, N_iter, normg];
        fprintf(['Initial: [%.2f, %.2f], Final: [%.2f, %.2f], ' ...
            'Iterations: %d, Normg: %.2e\n'], ...
            x1, x2, x(1), x(2), N_iter, normg);
    end
end

%% Fit phi2 to data1/data2 for multiple starting points
% Format results_phi_data: initial guesses, final values, N_eval, N_iter, normg
[t1, y1] = data1;
[t2, y2] = data2;

% Define the range of starting points
num_start_points = 4; % Number of different starting points
x1_range = linspace(0.1, 20, num_start_points);
x2_range = linspace(0.01, 10, num_start_points); % Reminder x2>0 required
x3_range = linspace(0.1, 20, num_start_points);
x4_range = linspace(0.01, 10, num_start_points); % Reminder: x4>0 required

% Loop over different starting points for data1
results_phi2_data1 = [];
fprintf('\nFitting phi2 to data1:\n');
for x1 = x1_range
    for x2 = x2_range
        for x3 = x3_range
            for x4 = x4_range
                x0 = [x1; x2; x3; x4]; % Initial guess
                [x, N_eval, N_iter, normg] = gaussnewton(@phi2, t1, y1, x0, 1e-4, 0, 0);
                results_phi2_data1 = [results_phi2_data1; ...
                    x0', x', N_eval, N_iter, normg];
            end
        end
    end
end

```

```

        fprintf(['Initial: [%.2f, %.2f, %.2f, %.2f], ' ...
                'Final: [%.2f, %.2f, %.2f, %.2f], ' ...
                'Iterations: %d, Normg: %.2e\n'], ...
                x0(1), x0(2), x0(3), x0(4), x(1), x(2), x(3), x(4), N_iter, normg);
    end
end
end
end

% Fit phi2 to data2 using the best results from phi1 on data2
fprintf('\nFitting phi2 to data2:\n');
results_phi2_data2 = [];

% Find the best x1 and x2 from phi1 on data2 (minimum normg)
[min_value, best_index] = min(results_phi1_data2(:, end));
best_x1_x2 = results_phi1_data2(best_index, 3:4); % Extract best [x1, x2]
best_x1 = best_x1_x2(1);
best_x2 = best_x1_x2(2);

% Iterate over x3 and x4 while keeping x1 and x2 fixed
for x3 = x3_range
    for x4 = x4_range
        x0 = [best_x1; best_x2; x3; x4]; % Use best x1 and x2, vary x3 and x4
        [x, N_eval, N_iter, normg] = gaussnewton(@phi2, t2, y2, x0, 1e-4, 0, 0);
        results_phi2_data2 = [results_phi2_data2; x0', x', N_eval, N_iter, normg];
        fprintf(['Initial: [%.2f, %.2f, %.2f, %.2f], ' ...
                'Final: [%.2f, %.2f, %.2f, %.2f], ' ...
                'Iterations: %d, Normg: %.2e\n'], ...
                x0(1), x0(2), x0(3), x0(4), x(1), x(2), x(3), x(4), N_iter, normg);
    end
end
end

```