

Project report: Gauss-Newton optimization method

Nicolas Munke Cilano
Vidar Gimbringer
Artis Vijups

Supervisor: Stefan Diehl

January 9, 2025

1 Introduction

This project investigates fitting a function such as

$$\varphi(\mathbf{x}; t) = x_1 e^{-x_2 t} + x_3 e^{-x_4 t},$$

where $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)^T$ are parameters, to a given set of data points (t_i, y_i) with $i = 1, \dots, m$.

In particular, we seek to minimize the sum of the squared distances from each data point (t_i, y_i) to the point $(t_i, \varphi(\mathbf{x}; t_i))$.

If we denote the distance by $r_i(\mathbf{x}) = \varphi(\mathbf{x}; t_i) - y_i$, then our goal is to

$$\underset{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^4}{\text{minimize}} f(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{where} \quad f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^m r_i(\mathbf{x})^2.$$

To solve this minimization problem, we use the Gauss-Newton method.

2 Methods

2.1 Gauss-Newton method

Let $r(\mathbf{x}) = (r_1(\mathbf{x}), \dots, r_m(\mathbf{x}))^T$. We claim that

$$r(\mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\delta}) \approx r(\mathbf{x}) + J(\mathbf{x})\boldsymbol{\delta},$$

where $J(\mathbf{x})$ is the Jacobian matrix of $r(\mathbf{x})$ and $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ is an increment vector, also a direction vector.

This can be thought of as extending the concept of using the tangent line to estimate nearby values to higher dimensions.

We then observe that

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\delta}) &= \sum_{i=1}^m r_i(\mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\delta})^2 \\ &= r(\mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\delta})^T r(\mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\delta}) \\ &\approx (r(\mathbf{x}) + J(\mathbf{x})\boldsymbol{\delta})^T (r(\mathbf{x}) + J(\mathbf{x})\boldsymbol{\delta}). \end{aligned}$$

Since we want the output of f to be the minimum possible, the gradient of this approximation should be 0. Writing that as an equation and simplifying, we end up with

$$-J(\mathbf{x})^T J(\mathbf{x})\boldsymbol{\delta} = J(\mathbf{x})^T r(\mathbf{x}).$$

This presents the following iterative algorithm. First, we make an initial guess \mathbf{x}_0 for \mathbf{x} , and then we:

1. Solve the linear system $-J(\mathbf{x})^T J(\mathbf{x})\boldsymbol{\delta} = J(\mathbf{x})^T r(\mathbf{x})$ for $\boldsymbol{\delta}$.
2. Determine an optimal step length λ for direction $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ using a line search algorithm.
3. Update \mathbf{x} to $\mathbf{x} + \lambda\boldsymbol{\delta}$.

We repeat these actions until the step $\lambda\boldsymbol{\delta}$ is smaller than some chosen tolerance. Step size tolerance is a good choice for the stop criterion because we already have to compute $\lambda\boldsymbol{\delta}$ as part of each iteration. **However, in our implementation, this criterion on its own proved unsatisfactory, therefore we added an additional stop criterion, requiring the norm of the gradient to also be below the tolerance.**

As a practical consideration, we have added a small regularization term to the linear system in our implementation, as in

$$-(J(\mathbf{x})^T J(\mathbf{x}) + \varepsilon I)\boldsymbol{\delta} = J(\mathbf{x})^T r(\mathbf{x}),$$

to help prevent numerical instability by ensuring that $J^T J + \epsilon I$ is positive definite and invertible, as well as by reducing sensitivity to numerical errors by increasing the value of the smallest eigenvalue of the matrix, which improves the condition number. This also improves convergence in the early iterations, where the matrix might not be well-conditioned due to the choice of initial points being far from the solution.

2.2 Line search algorithm

For the line search mentioned in the second step of the Gauss-Newton iteration algorithm, we use Armijo's rule on $F(\lambda) = f(\mathbf{x} + \lambda\boldsymbol{\delta})$.

Let $T(\lambda) = F(0) + \varepsilon F'(0)\lambda$ be a straight line through $(0, F(0))$ with less negative slope than the point's tangent, so $0 < \varepsilon < 1$.

Armijo's rule is made up of two (upper and lower) conditions, which are

$$F(\lambda) \leq T(\lambda) \quad \text{and} \quad F(\alpha\lambda) \geq T(\alpha\lambda) \text{ for fixed } \alpha > 1.$$

This rule ensures λ will be in an *interval* of points where F is substantially smaller. Computationally, this is faster than looking for a perfect choice for λ . This makes an Armijo's rule based algorithm a good choice for this project.

So that λ satisfies Armijo's rule, we choose it as follows:

1. Make an initial guess for λ .
2. Repeatedly scale λ up by α until it satisfies the lower condition.
3. Repeatedly scale λ down by α until it satisfies the upper condition.

3 Project work

3.1 Structure

The project is [stored on GitHub as a repository](#). It is made up of:

- `phil.m`, `phi2.m`, `data1.m`, `data2.m`, `grad.m` as provided,
- `gaussnewton.m`, the implementation of the Gauss-Newton method,
- `line_search.m`, the line search algorithm based on Armijo's rule,
- `script.m`, the main script containing tests and tasks,
- `report.tex` and `report.pdf`, forming this report,
- metadata and configuration files.

3.2 Responsibilities

Nicolas handled most of the MATLAB programming for the project, including implementing the Gauss-Newton method and creating the main script file.

Artis was responsible for maintaining the project repository, and also helped with implementing the line search algorithm in MATLAB.

Vidar and Artis worked together on creating the \LaTeX report for the project. In particular, Vidar worked on the analysis of different initial guesses and strategies for choosing the initial point.

3.3 Results

We fit the two functions from `phi1.m` and `phi2.m`,

$$\varphi_1(\mathbf{x}; t) = x_1 e^{-x_2 t}, \quad \mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2)^T$$

and

$$\varphi_2(\mathbf{x}; t) = \varphi(\mathbf{x}; t) = x_1 e^{-x_2 t} + x_3 e^{-x_4 t}, \quad \mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)^T,$$

to the two sets of data points `data1` and `data2` from `data1.m` and `data2.m`. Throughout, $x_n = n$ is used for the initial guess, **and the tolerance is 10^{-4}** .

The results are:

Case	Optimal point \mathbf{x}	$\ \nabla f(\mathbf{x})\ _2$	$\ r(\mathbf{x})\ _\infty$	$\ \delta\ _2$
<code>data1</code> , φ_1	$(10.8108, 2.4786)^T$	$3.7450 \cdot 10^{-4}$	1.6287	$2.2482 \cdot 10^{-16}$
<code>data2</code> , φ_1	$(12.9789, 1.7861)^T$	$1.4851 \cdot 10^{-2}$	1.0397	$2.2780 \cdot 10^{-16}$
<code>data1</code> , φ_2	$(6.3445, 10.5866, 6.0959, 1.4003)^T$	$4.0651 \cdot 10^{-5}$	0.4334	$3.2203 \cdot 10^{-11}$
<code>data2</code> , φ_2	$(4.1741, 0.8747, 9.7390, 2.9208)^T$	$1.2611 \cdot 10^{-5}$	0.1182	$1.4394 \cdot 10^{-12}$

3.4 Initial points

We consider multiple initial guesses for the `data1`, φ_2 case, with the tolerance set to 10^{-4} .

It is easily seen that x_2 and x_4 must be non-negative for this function, as a negative value causes the function to blow up. The considered initial guesses follow this restriction.

We use the number of iterations and function evaluations to define how fast the system converges.

Initial guess \mathbf{x}_0	Total iterations	Function evaluations	Optimal point \mathbf{x}	$\ r(\mathbf{x})\ _\infty$
$(0, 0, 0, 0)^T$	15	2689	$(6.3446, 10.5865, 6.0959, 1.4003)^T$	0.43341
$(100, 100, 100, 100)^T$	14	2544	$(6.0959, 1.4003, 6.3445, 10.5865)^T$	0.43341
$(10, 10, 10, 10)^T$	11	2000	$(6.0959, 1.4003, 6.3445, 10.5866)^T$	0.43341
$(1, 1, 1, 1)^T$	8	1457	$(6.0959, 1.4003, 6.3445, 10.5867)^T$	0.43340
$(-10, 0, -10, 0)^T$	21	3777	$(6.3446, 10.5864, 6.0958, 1.4003)^T$	0.43341
$(10, 0, 10, 0)^T$	16	2715	$(6.3445, 10.5866, 6.0959, 1.4003)^T$	0.43341
$(-5, 0, -5, 0)^T$	11	1989	$(6.3445, 10.5865, 6.0959, 1.4003)^T$	0.43341
$(5, 0, 5, 0)^T$	11	1990	$(6.3446, 10.5864, 6.0959, 1.4003)^T$	0.43341
$(-1, 0, -1, 0)^T$	16	2914	$(6.0959, 1.4003, 6.3446, 10.5864)^T$	0.43341
$(1, 0, 1, 0)^T$	12	2172	$(6.3446, 10.5863, 6.0958, 1.4003)^T$	0.43342
$(-1, 0, -5, 0)^T$	23	4344	$(3.321, 4013.488, 9.119, 3631.430)^T$	7.520

It appears that we find two different optimal points when looking at the max residuals. However, when taking a closer look at the function φ_2 , it is apparent that the optimal points give the same value, as they have simply switched x_1 with x_3 and x_2 with x_4 . The most efficient initial guess with the fastest convergence is

$$\mathbf{x}_0 = (1, 1, 1, 1)^T.$$

3.5 Initial guess strategy

We introduce a strategy for picking the initial guess for φ_2 .

To find an accurate initial guess for

$$\varphi_2(\mathbf{x}; t) = x_1 e^{-x_2 t} + x_3 e^{-x_4 t},$$

we may make use of

$$\varphi_1(\mathbf{x}; t) = x_1 e^{-x_2 t}.$$

Comparing these two functions, we can use prior results from fitting φ_1 in order to get a good initial guess for φ_2 . By running the program multiple times to fit φ_1 with `data2`, we can get initial values for x_1 and x_2 that we can then use in the `data2`, φ_2 case.

As we have $-x_4$ in the exponential, we can restrict x_4 values to being non-negative. Meanwhile, x_3 still needs to be sought through a range of values, based on, for example, minimizing the amount of iterations or function evaluations.

3.6 Detailed result

For the data2, φ_2 case, we present a full printout. The initial point for this example is $(1, 2, 3, 4)^T$ **and the tolerance is 10^{-4} .**

```
Gauss-Newton Iteration 1: Starting line search...
  Line Search Backtrack Iteration: lambda = 5.0000e-01, F(lambda) = 2.8163e+22
  Line Search Backtrack Iteration: lambda = 2.5000e-01, F(lambda) = 8.4145e+10
  Line Search Backtrack Iteration: lambda = 1.2500e-01, F(lambda) = 1.5305e+05
  Line Search Backtrack Iteration: lambda = 6.2500e-02, F(lambda) = 2.7764e+04
Gauss-Newton Iteration 1:
x = [-0.1860, 0.3882, 4.7977, 3.2363]
max(abs(r)) = 1.0019e+01, norm(grad) = 6.8024e+03, norm(step) = 2.7963e+00, lambda = 6.2500e-02
Gauss-Newton Iteration 2: Starting line search...
  Line Search Backtrack Iteration: lambda = 5.0000e-01, F(lambda) = 2.7633e+06
  Line Search Backtrack Iteration: lambda = 2.5000e-01, F(lambda) = 1.4590e+04
Gauss-Newton Iteration 2:
x = [0.7098, -0.8628, 6.2213, 2.9969]
max(abs(r)) = 9.4068e+00, norm(grad) = 1.1161e+04, norm(step) = 2.1098e+00, lambda = 2.5000e-01
Gauss-Newton Iteration 3: Starting line search...
Gauss-Newton Iteration 3:
x = [0.8378, -0.3380, 12.8793, 1.4757]
max(abs(r)) = 7.0874e+00, norm(grad) = 1.5113e+04, norm(step) = 6.8509e+00, lambda = 1.0000e+00
Gauss-Newton Iteration 4: Starting line search...
Gauss-Newton Iteration 4:
x = [3.4844, 1.2798, 10.2036, 2.5278]
max(abs(r)) = 2.3072e+00, norm(grad) = 2.1603e+04, norm(step) = 4.2295e+00, lambda = 1.0000e+00
Gauss-Newton Iteration 5: Starting line search...
Gauss-Newton Iteration 5:
x = [1.6255, 0.2138, 12.2776, 2.7719]
max(abs(r)) = 6.2761e-01, norm(grad) = 1.5974e+03, norm(step) = 2.9921e+00, lambda = 1.0000e+00
Gauss-Newton Iteration 6: Starting line search...
Gauss-Newton Iteration 6:
x = [2.6973, 0.7203, 11.1893, 2.7070]
max(abs(r)) = 5.4273e-01, norm(grad) = 9.6006e+02, norm(step) = 1.6105e+00, lambda = 1.0000e+00
Gauss-Newton Iteration 7: Starting line search...
  Line Search Iteration: lambda = 2.0000e+00, F(lambda) = 2.0939e+01
Gauss-Newton Iteration 7:
x = [5.5035, 1.1028, 8.4286, 3.0652]
max(abs(r)) = 3.2980e-01, norm(grad) = 8.9192e+02, norm(step) = 3.9712e+00, lambda = 2.0000e+00
Gauss-Newton Iteration 8: Starting line search...
Gauss-Newton Iteration 8:
x = [3.7177, 0.8468, 10.1922, 2.8388]
max(abs(r)) = 2.4740e-01, norm(grad) = 2.7354e+02, norm(step) = 2.5330e+00, lambda = 1.0000e+00
Gauss-Newton Iteration 9: Starting line search...
Gauss-Newton Iteration 9:
x = [4.1808, 0.8779, 9.7318, 2.9178]
max(abs(r)) = 1.9147e-01, norm(grad) = 3.4489e+02, norm(step) = 6.5850e-01, lambda = 1.0000e+00
Gauss-Newton Iteration 10: Starting line search...
Gauss-Newton Iteration 10:
x = [4.1741, 0.8747, 9.7390, 2.9208]
max(abs(r)) = 1.2141e-01, norm(grad) = 7.5698e+00, norm(step) = 1.0748e-02, lambda = 1.0000e+00
Gauss-Newton Iteration 11: Starting line search...
  Line Search Iteration: lambda = 2.0000e+00, F(lambda) = 8.9616e+00
Gauss-Newton Iteration 11:
x = [4.1741, 0.8747, 9.7390, 2.9208]
max(abs(r)) = 1.1817e-01, norm(grad) = 9.5220e-03, norm(step) = 6.3854e-05, lambda = 2.0000e+00
Gauss-Newton Iteration 12: Starting line search...
  Line Search Iteration: lambda = 2.0000e+00, F(lambda) = 8.9616e+00
Gauss-Newton Iteration 12:
x = [4.1741, 0.8747, 9.7390, 2.9208]
max(abs(r)) = 1.1818e-01, norm(grad) = 9.5178e-03, norm(step) = 6.2492e-05, lambda = 2.0000e+00
Gauss-Newton Iteration 13: Starting line search...
  Line Search Iteration: lambda = 2.0000e+00, F(lambda) = 8.9616e+00
Gauss-Newton Iteration 13:
x = [4.1741, 0.8747, 9.7390, 2.9208]
```

```
max(abs(r)) = 1.1817e-01, norm(grad) = 9.5134e-03, norm(step) = 6.0917e-05, lambda = 2.0000e+00
Gauss-Newton Iteration 14: Starting line search...
Gauss-Newton Iteration 14:
x = [4.1741, 0.8747, 9.7390, 2.9208]
max(abs(r)) = 1.1818e-01, norm(grad) = 9.5093e-03, norm(step) = 2.9917e-05, lambda = 1.0000e+00
Gauss-Newton Iteration 15: Starting line search...
Gauss-Newton Iteration 15: Converged with tol 1.0000e-04, max(abs(r)) 1.1817e-01.

Final Results:
x = [4.1741, 0.8747, 9.7390, 2.9208]
Final max(abs(r)) = 1.1817e-01
Final norm(grad) = 2.4447e-06
Final norm(step) = 4.6375e-08
Total iterations = 15
Total function evaluations = 240280
Total elapsed time: 0.4351 seconds
```

Appendix

gaussnewton.m

```
function [x, N_eval, N_iter, max_residual, normg, norms] = ...
    gaussnewton(phi, t, y, x0, tol, printout, plotout)

tic; % Start timing

% Inputs:
% phi      - Function handle for the model function phi(x, t)
% t        - Vector of independent variable data
% y        - Vector of dependent variable data (observations)
% x0       - Initial guess for the parameter vector x
% tol      - Tolerance for stopping criteria
%          - (applied to step size and gradient norm)
% printout - Printing intermediate results (1: print, 0: no print)
% plotout  - Plotting results (1: plot, 0: no plot)

% Outputs:
% x        - Final estimated parameter vector
% N_eval   - Total number of function evaluations during the Gauss-Newton process
% N_iter   - Total number of Gauss-Newton iterations performed
% max_residual - Maximum absolute residual value at the final parameter estimate
%          - (NOT used to check convergence)
% normg    - Norm of the gradient at the final parameter estimate
%          - (used to check convergence)
% norms    - Norm of the step length at the final parameter estimate
%          - (used to check convergence)

% 1. Initialize variables
x = x0; % Start with the initial guess
N_eval = 0; % Initialize function evaluation counter
N_iter = 0; % Initialize iteration counter
max_iter = 200; % Set a maximum number of iterations to prevent infinite loops
epsilon = 1e-6; % Regularization parameter for stability

% 2. Define residual and objective function
r = @(x) phi(x, t) - y; % Residual function: r(x) = phi(x, t) - y
f = @(x) sum(r(x).^2); % Objective function: f(x) = ||r(x)||^2

% 3. Gauss-Newton loop
while N_iter < max_iter
    N_iter = N_iter + 1; % Increment the iteration counter

    % 3.1. Evaluate the residual vector r(x)
    residual = r(x);
    N_eval = N_eval + 1; % Increment function evaluation count

    % 3.2. Compute the Jacobian matrix J numerically
    m = length(residual); % Number of data points
    n = length(x); % Number of parameters
    J = zeros(m, n); % Initialize Jacobian matrix

    % Compute the Jacobian using grad.m
    for i = 1:m
        % Define scalar function for i-th residual
        scalar_ri = @(xi) phi(xi, t(i)) - y(i); % Residual for the i-th data point
        J(i, :) = grad(scalar_ri, x); % Use grad.m to compute its gradient

        % Increment function evaluations
        N_eval = N_eval + 2*n; % grad.m gradient evaluations require two function
        % evals (xplus and xminus) for every parameter in x
    end

    % 3.3. Compute search direction
    % Gauss-Newton direction - No regularization term:
```



```

% d = -(J' * J) \ (J' * residual);
% Gauss-Newton direction - With regularization term for numerical stability:
d = -((J' * J) + epsilon * eye(size(J, 2))) \ (J' * residual);

% 3.4. Perform line search to determine optimal step length
fprintf('Gauss-Newton Iteration %d: Starting line search...\n', N_iter);
F = @(lambda) f(x + lambda * d); % Define F(lambda)
% Call line search with init guess for lambda, return line search function evals:
[lambda, temp_N_eval] = line_search(F, 1, n);
N_eval = N_eval + temp_N_eval; % Add line search evaluations to the total count

% 3.5. Update parameters
x = x + lambda * d;

% 3.6. Check for convergence
max_residual = max(abs(residual)); % Compute the maximum absolute residual
norms = norm(lambda * d); % Compute the Step norm
normg = norm(2 * J' * residual); % Compute the Gradient norm

% Check both criteria
if norms < tol && normg < tol
    fprintf(['Gauss-Newton Iteration %d: Converged with tol %.4e,' ...
            ' max(abs(r)) %.4e.\n'], N_iter, tol, max_residual);
    break; % Exit loop if update step and gradient norm is below the tolerance
end

% Print intermediate results if printout flag is set
if printout
    % Print the iteration details
    fprintf('Gauss-Newton Iteration %d:\n', N_iter);
    fprintf('x = [%.4f, %.4f, %.4f, %.4f]\n', x);
    fprintf(['max(abs(r)) = %.4e, norm(grad) = %.4e, norm(step) = %.4e,' ...
            ' lambda = %.4e\n'], max_residual, normg, norms, lambda);
end

% Print final results
fprintf('\nFinal Results:\n');
fprintf('x = [%.4f, %.4f, %.4f, %.4f]\n', x);
fprintf('Final max(abs(r)) = %.4e\n', max_residual);
fprintf('Final norm(grad) = %.4e\n', normg);
fprintf('Final norm(step) = %.4e\n', norms);
fprintf('Total iterations = %d\n', N_iter);
fprintf('Total function evaluations = %d\n', N_eval);

elapsed_time = toc; % Stop timing
fprintf('Total elapsed time: %.4f seconds\n', elapsed_time); % Display elapsed time

% Plot results if requested
if plotout
    figure; % Create a new figure
    plot(t, y, 'ro', 'MarkerSize', 6, 'DisplayName', 'Data'); % Plot data points
    hold on;
    % Plot fitted curve:
    plot(t, phi(x, t), 'b-', 'LineWidth', 1.5, 'DisplayName', 'Fitted Curve');
    legend show; % Add a legend
    title('Gauss-Newton Fit');
    xlabel('t'); % Label x-axis
    ylabel('y'); % Label y-axis
    grid on; % Add grid -> better visualization
end
end

```

line_search.m

```
function [lambda, temp_N_eval] = line_search(F, lambda0, n)

% Inputs:
% F          - Function handle for F(lambda)
% lambda0    - Initial guess for lambda
% n          - Number of parameters in x

% Outputs:
% lambda     - Step length that minimizes F(lambda)
% temp_N_eval - Number of function evaluations within the line search

% Parameters
alpha = 2;          % Alpha from Alg 3, p.53
lambda = lambda0;   % Initial lambda
F0 = F(0);          % Initial F(lambda) value
gf = grad(F,0);     % F'(0)
ep = 0.1;           % Epsilon from Alg 3, p.53

% Increment function evaluations for F(0)
temp_N_eval = 1;

% Increment function evaluations for F'(0)
temp_N_eval = temp_N_eval + 2*n; % grad.m gradient evaluations require two function
                                % evals (xplus and xminus) for every parameter in x

% Increase lambda while sufficient reduction is not met
while F(alpha*lambda) < F0 + ep * gf * alpha * lambda
    lambda = alpha * lambda;
    temp_N_eval = temp_N_eval + 1;
    fprintf([' Line Search Iteration: lambda = %.4e, ' ...
            'F(lambda) = %.4e\n'], lambda, F(lambda));
end

% Decrease lambda while F(lambda) is still too large
while F(lambda) > F0 + ep * gf * lambda
    lambda = lambda / alpha;
    temp_N_eval = temp_N_eval + 1;
    fprintf([' Line Search Backtrack Iteration: lambda = %.4e, ' ...
            'F(lambda) = %.4e\n'], lambda, F(lambda));
end

% Warning to handle invalid or problematic results
if isnan(F(lambda)) || F(lambda) > F0
    warning(['Potential issue with the line search: ' ...
            'F(lambda) = %.4e, F(0) = %.4e'], F(lambda), F0);
end

return;
end
```

script.m

```
%% Line Search Subroutine Test
a_values = [2, -2, 5, -5, 10, -10]; % Test cases for a
initial_guess = 0.1; % Initial guess for lambda

total_N_eval = 0; % Initialize total function evaluations counter

for i = 1:length(a_values)
    a = a_values(i); % Assign the current test case
    fprintf('Test %d, a = %d:\n', i, a); % Print current test info

    F = @(lambda) (1 - 10^a * lambda)^2; % Define the test function

    % Perform line search and track function evaluations
    % Start evaluations from 0 for this test:
    [lambda, test_N_eval] = line_search(F, initial_guess, 0, 0);
    % Add to the total evaluation count:
    total_N_eval = total_N_eval + test_N_eval;

    % Calculate expected lambda
    expected_lambda = 1 / 10^a;
    error = abs(lambda - expected_lambda);
    F_lambda = F(lambda);

    % Print results
    fprintf('Computed lambda = %.5f\n', lambda);
    fprintf('Expected lambda = %.5f\n', expected_lambda);
    fprintf('Error = %.5e\n', error);
    fprintf('F(lambda) = %.5e (should be close to 0)\n', F_lambda);
    fprintf('Function evaluations in this test = %d\n\n', test_N_eval);
end

fprintf('Total function evaluations in all tests = %d\n', total_N_eval);
%% Test 1
[t,y] = data1;
[x, N_eval, N_iter, max_residual, normg, norms] = ...
    gaussnewton(@phi2,t,y,[1;2;3;4],1e-4,1,1);

%% Diverse initial guessss test
[t,y] = data1;
t1 = [0; 0; 0; 0]; % Neutral start
t2 = [100; 100; 100; 100]; % Far-from-solution guess
t3 = [-10; 0; -10; 0]; % Negative values
% (x2 and x4 must >=0 for phi2, x2 must >= 0 for phi1)

t_choice = t3; % Current test

[x, N_eval, N_iter, max_residual, normg, norms] = ...
    gaussnewton(@phi2,t,y,t_choice,1e-4,1,1);

%% Task - phi1, data1
[t,y] = data1;
[x, N_eval, N_iter, max_residual, normg, norms] = ...
    gaussnewton(@phi1,t,y,[1;2;3;4],1e-4,1,1);

%% Task - phi1, data2
[t,y] = data2;
[x, N_eval, N_iter, max_residual, normg, norms] = ...
    gaussnewton(@phi1,t,y,[1;2;3;4],1e-4,1,1);

%% Task - phi2, data1 (Test 1)
[t,y] = data1;
[x, N_eval, N_iter, max_residual, normg, norms] = ...
    gaussnewton(@phi2,t,y,[1;2;3;4],1e-4,1,1);

%% Task - phi2, data2
[t,y] = data2;
```

```

[x, N_eval, N_iter, max_residual, normg, norms] = ...
    gaussnewton(@phi2,t,y,[1;2;3;4],1e-4,1,1);

%% Fit phi1 to data1/data2 for multiple starting points
% Format results_phi_data: initial guesses, final values, N_eval, N_iter, max_residual,
%                               normg, norms
[t1, y1] = data1;
[t2, y2] = data2;

% Define range of starting points
num_start_points = 2; % Number of different starting points
x1_range = linspace(0.1, 20, num_start_points);
x2_range = linspace(0.01, 10, num_start_points); % Reminder: x2>0 required

% Loop over different starting points for data1
results_phi1_data1 = [];
fprintf('Fitting phi1 to data1:\n');
for x1 = x1_range
    for x2 = x2_range
        x0 = [x1; x2]; % Initial guess
        [x, N_eval, N_iter, max_residual, normg, norms] = ...
            gaussnewton(@phi1, t1, y1, x0, 1e-4, 1, 0);
        results_phi1_data1 = ...
            [results_phi1_data1; x0', x', N_eval, N_iter, max_residual, normg, norms];
        fprintf(['Initial: [%2f, %2f], Final: [%2f, %2f], Iterations: %d, ', ...
            'max(abs(r)) = %2e, norm(grad): %2e, norm(step): %2e\n'], ...
            x1, x2, x(1), x(2), N_iter, max_residual, normg, norms);
    end
end

% Loop over different starting points for data2
results_phi1_data2 = [];
fprintf('\nFitting phi1 to data2:\n');
for x1 = x1_range
    for x2 = x2_range
        x0 = [x1; x2]; % Initial guess
        [x, N_eval, N_iter, max_residual, normg, norms] = ...
            gaussnewton(@phi1, t2, y2, x0, 1e-4, 1, 0);
        results_phi1_data2 = ...
            [results_phi1_data2; x0', x', N_eval, N_iter, max_residual, normg, norms];
        fprintf(['Initial: [%2f, %2f], Final: [%2f, %2f], Iterations: %d, ', ...
            'max(abs(r)) = %2e, norm(grad): %2e, norm(step): %2e\n'], ...
            x1, x2, x(1), x(2), N_iter, max_residual, normg, norms);
    end
end

%% Fit phi2 to data1/data2 for multiple starting points
% Format results_phi_data: initial guesses, final values, N_eval, N_iter, max_residual,
%                               normg, norms
[t1, y1] = data1;
[t2, y2] = data2;

% Define the range of starting points
num_start_points = 3; % Number of different starting points
x1_range = linspace(0.1, 20, num_start_points);
x2_range = linspace(0.01, 10, num_start_points); % Reminder: x2>0 required
x3_range = linspace(0.1, 20, num_start_points);
x4_range = linspace(0.01, 10, num_start_points); % Reminder: x4>0 required

% Loop over different starting points for data1
results_phi2_data1 = [];
fprintf('\nFitting phi2 to data1:\n');
for x1 = x1_range
    for x2 = x2_range
        for x3 = x3_range
            for x4 = x4_range
                x0 = [x1; x2; x3; x4]; % Initial guess
                [x, N_eval, N_iter, max_residual, normg, norms] = ...

```

```

        gaussnewton(@phi2, t1, y1, x0, 1e-4, 0, 0);
        results_phi2_data1 = [results_phi2_data1; x0', x', N_eval, N_iter, ...
            max_residual, normg, norms];
        fprintf(['Initial: [%.2f, %.2f], Final: [%.2f, %.2f], ', ...
            'Iterations: %d, max(abs(r)) = %.2e, norm(grad): %.2e, ', ...
            'norm(step): %.2e\n'], ...
            x1, x2, x(1), x(2), N_iter, max_residual, normg, norms);
    end
end
end
end

% Fit phi2 to data2 using the best results from phi1 on data2
fprintf('\nFitting phi2 to data2:\n');
results_phi2_data2 = [];

% Find the best x1 and x2 from phi1 on data2 (minimum normg)
[min_value, best_index] = min(results_phi1_data2(:, end));
best_x1_x2 = results_phi1_data2(best_index, 3:4); % Extract best [x1, x2]
best_x1 = best_x1_x2(1);
best_x2 = best_x1_x2(2);

% Iterate over x3 and x4 while keeping x1 and x2 fixed
for x3 = x3_range
    for x4 = x4_range
        x0 = [best_x1; best_x2; x3; x4]; % Use best x1 and x2, vary x3 and x4
        [x, N_eval, N_iter, max_residual, normg, norms] = ...
            gaussnewton(@phi2, t2, y2, x0, 1e-4, 0, 0);
        results_phi2_data2 = ...
            [results_phi2_data2; x0', x', N_eval, N_iter, max_residual, normg, norms];
        fprintf(['Initial: [%.2f, %.2f], Final: [%.2f, %.2f], Iterations: %d, ', ...
            'max(abs(r)) = %.2e, norm(grad): %.2e, norm(step): %.2e\n'], ...
            x1, x2, x(1), x(2), N_iter, max_residual, normg, norms);
    end
end
end

```