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I will preface my statement by saying that I will accept any responsibility that results from the judgment on this case, with reason.

I have reviewed the MOSS report, which shows a high percentage of similarity between my program and another student’s.

During time at my previous undergrad, there was stories and experiences I would hear from my classmates who were CS majors where the student in question was tagged by the MOSS program, anywhere from 50%-60%+ similarity, receive a notice from the school, would share their statement and documented code with the school, still lose their case with all the proof they had, appeal and fight it into the semester after (maybe even more), and still lose. To this day, I do not believe any student at my previous undergrad has ever successfully proven his or her case against the school and MOSS, even with overwhelming evidence in the student’s favor.

Thus, in my case where MOSS shows a 95%-96%, I doubt I have a better chance of trying to prove my case and win. Even so, I’d like to at least share my pseudocode (ie a rough draft of my code) and my own comments on top my project/code. They illustrate my understanding of the underlying concepts of the program the program, how I designed the pseudocode, and then implemented the code. I’ve attached this copy of the program’s code which contains the pseudocode and the comments. I also would like to point out that we were only allowed to use the C language for this project (as was with most other projects during the class).

Thank you for your time.