## Report .1

# Theta functions, Kronecker functions and bilinear relations

#### Artyom Lisitsyn

#### 1 Introduction & Background

[Cha22] [Ber10]

### 2 Decomposition of functions

One of the goals we have as we describe complex functions on Riemann surfaces is to find a simple way to decompose any arbitrary function.

#### 2.1 Genus-Zero decomposition

I wrote this proof myself as an exercise. I think I am missing something in terms of how to formally treat the point at infinity; without that, my arguments that no poles  $\implies$  bounded is not sound. See  $\dagger$  below.

**Theorem 1** (Decomposition for Genus-Zero). Let f be a meromorphic function on  $\mathbb{C}$  (including the point at  $\infty$ ). Let  $z_i$  be its zeros with multiplicity  $n_i$  and  $q_j$  be the poles with multiplicity  $p_j$ . Then, there exists a constant  $C \in \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$f(z) = C \frac{\prod_{i} (z - z_i)^{n_i}}{\prod_{j} (z - q_j)^{p_j}}$$
 (.1|1)

This is equivalent to saying that a meromorphic function is uniquely defined, up to the scaling factor C, by the locations and multiplicity of its zeros and poles.

*Proof.* Consider a meromorphic function g with zeros and poles as described above. Let us define h as h(z) = f(z)/g(z). We will show that h(z) must be a constant function, thus showing that g is of the form desired. In order to show that h(z) is constant, we can show that it is bounded and then use Liouville's Theorem [refer to reportA5? or to a source?].

At all points besides the  $z_i$  and  $q_j$ , we see that f(z) and g(z) have no zeros or poles, so h(z) cannot have a pole at those locations.

For each zero  $z_i$ , with multiplicity  $n_i$ , we can write

$$f(z) = (z - z_i)^{n_i} \tilde{f}(z) \tag{112}$$

$$g(z) = (z - z_i)^{n_i} \tilde{g}(z) \tag{113}$$

for some holomorphic functions  $\tilde{f}$  and  $\tilde{g}$  defined on a disc around  $z_i$  that satisfy  $\tilde{f} \neq 0 \neq \tilde{g}$ . Then, on that disc we have  $h(z) = \frac{\tilde{f}(z)}{\tilde{g}(z)}$ . Since this is a ratio of two non-zero holomorphic functions, h does not have a pole at  $z_i$ .

Similarly, for each pole  $q_i$  with multiplicity  $p_i$ , we can write

$$f(z) = (z - q_j)^{-p_j} \tilde{f}(z)$$
(.1|4)

$$g(z) = (z - q_j)^{-p_j} \tilde{g}(z)$$
 (.1|5)

and conclude that h does not have poles at  $q_j$  either.

Since h has no poles, it must be bounded. † Some step is missing here.

#### 2.2Genus-One decomposition

- 3 Main theorem
- Preconditions 3.1
- 3.2 Boundary conditions
- Proof 3.3

#### 4 Outlook & open questions

## **Bibliography**

 $[\mathrm{Ber}10]$  Marco Bertola. Riemann surfaces and theta functions mast 661 g / mast 837. 2010.

[Cha22] Zhi Cong Chan. Towards a higher-genus generalization of the kronecker function using schottky covers. 2022.