



# Discrete Mathematics, Homework 10

---

## Problem 1

---

### Subproblem A

Is it true that the composition of reflexive relations over set  $A$  is reflexive?

---

Per the definition of composition,  $(a, a) \in R \circ R$  only if there is  $x \in A$  so that  $(a, x) \in R$  and  $(x, a) \in R$ . Since  $R$  is reflexive, then take  $x = a$  and then since  $(a, a) \in R$  and (duh)  $(a, a) \in R$  then  $(a, a) \in R \circ R$ , q. e. d.

**Answer:** yes, it is true.

### Subproblem B

Is it true that the composition of antireflexive relations over set  $A$  is antireflexive?

---

Per the definition of antireflexiveness,  $(a, a) \notin R$ . Prove by counter-example:

$$R_1 = \{(a, x)\} \quad R_2 = \{(x, a)\} \quad R_1 \circ R_2 = \{(a, a)\}$$

$R_1 \circ R_2$  is reflexive, therefore no, it is not true.

**Answer:** no, it is not true.

## Problem 2

---

Give an example of a relation that is not reflexive, nor antireflexive, nor symmetric, nor antisymmetric, nor transitive, but is total.

---

Consider the following relation over  $\{0, 1, 2\}$ , we will define it everywhere, **so it would be total:**

	0	1	2
0	0	1	1
1	1	1	0
2	0	0	0

$$R = \{(0, 0), (0, 1), (1, 0), (2, 0), (1, 2)\}$$

Check for each property:

- not reflexive since  $\exists(1, 1) \notin R$ , whereas all  $(a, a) \in R$  is required;
- not anti-reflexive since  $\exists(0, 0) \in R$ , whereas all  $(a, a) \notin R$  is required;
- not symmetric since  $\exists(2, 0) \in R$  and  $\exists(0, 2) \notin R$ , whereas  $\forall a \neq b, (a, b) \in R: (b, a) \in R$  is required;
- not anti-symmetric since  $\exists(1, 0), (0, 1) \in R$ , whereas  $\forall a \neq b, (a, b) \in R: (b, a) \notin R$  is required;
- not transitive since  $\exists(0, 1), (1, 2) \in R$  and  $(0, 2) \notin R$ , whereas  $\forall(a, b), (b, c) \in R: (a, c) \in R$  is required.

## Problem 3

How many antisymmetric non-transitive binary relations are there on the set of  $\{1, 2, 3\}$ ?

Можно сделать перебор ручками, но я сделаю перебор питоном (комментарии прилагаются):

```
def is_antisymmetric(relation: list) -> bool:
    relation1 = relation.copy()

    # убираем пары (a, a), потому что они нерелевантны
    for t in range(1, 4):
        if (t, t) in relation1:
            relation1.remove((t, t))

    # проверяем, что условие антисимметричности выполнено для всех
    # для каждой пары (a, b) нет (b, a)
    if all((t, t) not in relation1 for t in range(1, 4)):
        return True
```

```

    return False

def is_transitive(relation: list) -> bool:
    # условие транзитивности: если (a, b) есть и при b = c (c, d) (b, d)
    # тоже есть, тогда (a, d) должно быть в отношении, иначе бан
    for a, b in relation:
        for c, d in relation:
            if b == c and ((a, d) not in relation):
                return False

    return True

def mask_array(array: list, mask: list) -> list:
    # просто функция бинарной маски
    result = []
    for i in range(len(array)):
        if mask[i]:
            result.append(array[i])

    return result

# генерируем все пары бинарного отношения {1, 2, 3}^2
relation_select = [(i, j) for i in range(1, 4) for j in range(1, 4)]
mask_length = len(relation_select)

counter = 0
for i in range(0, 2 ** mask_length):
    # перебор всех вариантов через бинарную маску
    mask = [char == "1" for char in bin(i)[2:].zfill(mask_length)]
    masked = mask_array(relation_select, mask)
    if not is_transitive(masked) and is_antisymmetric(masked):
        counter += 1
        print(masked)

print(counter)

```

```

[(2, 3), (3, 1)]
[(2, 3), (3, 1), (3, 3)]
[(2, 2), (2, 3), (3, 1)]
[(2, 2), (2, 3), (3, 1), (3, 3)]
[(2, 1), (3, 2)]
... [54 lines omitted]
[(1, 1), (1, 2), (2, 2), (3, 1), (3, 3)]
[(1, 1), (1, 2), (2, 2), (2, 3)]
[(1, 1), (1, 2), (2, 2), (2, 3), (3, 3)]
[(1, 1), (1, 2), (2, 2), (2, 3), (3, 1)]
[(1, 1), (1, 2), (2, 2), (2, 3), (3, 1), (3, 3)]

```

Okay, I actually came up with a better solution ( $\overline{X}$  denotes an inverted bit in the table):

	1	2	3
1	$X$	$A$	$B$
2	$\overline{A}$	$X$	$C$
3	$\overline{B}$	$\overline{C}$	$X$

$X$  are currently irrelevant, let's consider all relations that are transitive by assigning required values to  $A, B, C$  and then we could add all combinations out of the set  $\{(1, 1), (2, 2), (3, 3)\}$  to the relation without affecting its transitivity or anti-symmetry. Using a binary mask, there would be  $2^3$  such combinations, which we would need to later multiply by all valid relations dependent on  $A, B, C$ .

Find all anti-symmetric relations by filling the table above: for each pair  $(A, \overline{A})$  there are three options that maintain antisymmetry:  $(0, 0), (1, 0), (0, 1)$ . Therefore, by applying a ternary mask, there would be  $3^3 = 27$  such relations.

We know that an empty relation is transitive and relations consisting of a single element are transitive, thus removing  $1 + 6$  possible relations. Now we need to check 20 remaining ones, which is totally doable by hand:

```

[(3, 1), (3, 2)] - trans (no connections)
[(2, 3), (3, 1)] - non-trans (connection and only 2 elements)
[(2, 1), (3, 2)] - non-trans (connection and only 2 elements)
[(2, 1), (3, 1)] - trans (no connections)
[(2, 1), (3, 1), (3, 2)] - trans
[(2, 1), (2, 3)] - trans (no connections)
[(2, 1), (2, 3), (3, 1)] - trans
[(1, 3), (3, 2)] - non-trans (connection and only 2 elements)
[(1, 3), (2, 3)] - trans (no connections)
[(1, 3), (2, 1)] - non-trans (connection and only 2 elements)
[(1, 3), (2, 1), (3, 2)] - non-trans (lacking (1, 2), for instance)
[(1, 3), (2, 1), (2, 3)] - trans
[(1, 2), (3, 2)] - trans (no connections)
[(1, 2), (3, 1)] - non-trans (connection and only 2 elements)
[(1, 2), (3, 1), (3, 2)] - trans

```

```
[(1, 2), (2, 3)] - non-trans (connection and only 2 elements)
[(1, 2), (2, 3), (3, 1)] - non-trans (lacking (2, 1), for instance)
[(1, 2), (1, 3)] - trans (no connections)
[(1, 2), (1, 3), (3, 2)] - trans
[(1, 2), (1, 3), (2, 3)] - trans
```

There are 8 non-transitive antisymmetric relations, and now we may add any of the 8 subsets of  $\{(1, 1), (2, 2), (3, 3)\}$  to it, maintaining its non-transitivity and anti-symmetry, thus we get the final answer of  $8 \times 8 = 64$ .

**Answer:** 64

## Problem 4

There are 2 elements in a relation. How many elements could exist in its transitive closure?

Transitive closure  $R^*$  always contains  $R$ , so at the least it may contain 2 elements.

For each ordered pair of different tuples in relation  $R$ , we need to add at max a single tuple (in case this tuple doesn't already exist). Therefore, the maximum number elements added to the transitive closure on the top of the already-existing  $|R|$  elements will be equal to  $|R|(|R| - 1)$  (that's the number of pairs of tuples).

Therefore,  $|R^*| \leq |R| + |R|(|R| - 1) = |R| + |R|^2 - |R| = |R|^2 \Rightarrow |R^*| \leq |R|^2$

Thus, the cardinality of the transitive closure set may not be more than  $|R|^2 = 2^2 = 4$ .

Now, prove by example that each of the possibilities for  $|R^*| = 2, 3, 4$  is possible:

- For  $A = \{0, 1\}$ ,  $R = \{(0, 0), (0, 1)\}$ ,  $R^* = R = \{(0, 0), (0, 1)\}$ ,  $|R^*| = 2$
- For  $A = \{0, 1, 2\}$ ,  $R = \{(0, 1), (1, 2)\}$ ,  $R^* = \{(0, 1), (1, 2), (0, 2)\}$ ,  $|R^*| = 3$
- For  $A = \{0, 1\}$ ,  $R = \{(0, 1), (1, 0)\}$ ,  $R^* = \{(0, 0), (0, 1), (1, 0), (1, 1)\}$ ,  $|R^*| = 4$

Thus, we get  $|R^*| = 2, 3, 4$ .

Answer: 2, 3, or 4.