

SCUOLA DI INGEGNERIA INDUSTRIALE E DELL'INFORMAZIONE

## Title

Tesi di Laurea Magistrale in Xxxxxxx Engineering - Ingegneria Xxxxxxx

Author: Name Surname

Student ID: 000000

Advisor: Prof. Name Surname

Co-advisors: Name Surname, Name Surname

Academic Year: 20XX-XX



## **Abstract**

Here goes the Abstract in English of your thesis followed by a list of keywords. The Abstract is a concise summary of the content of the thesis (single page of text) and a guide to the most important contributions included in your thesis. The Abstract is the very last thing you write. It should be a self-contained text and should be clear to someone who hasn't (yet) read the whole manuscript. The Abstract should contain the answers to the main scientific questions that have been addressed in your thesis. It needs to summarize the adopted motivations and the adopted methodological approach as well as the findings of your work and their relevance and impact. The Abstract is the part appearing in the record of your thesis inside POLITesi, the Digital Archive of PhD and Master Theses (Laurea Magistrale) of Politecnico di Milano. The Abstract will be followed by a list of four to six keywords. Keywords are a tool to help indexers and search engines to find relevant documents. To be relevant and effective, keywords must be chosen carefully. They should represent the content of your work and be specific to your field or sub-field. Keywords may be a single word or two to four words.

**Keywords:** here, the keywords, of your thesis



## Abstract in lingua italiana

Qui va l'Abstract in lingua italiana della tesi seguito dalla lista di parole chiave.

Parole chiave: qui, vanno, le parole chiave, della tesi



## Contents

Abstra	act	j			
Abstract in lingua italiana					
Conte	nts	v			
${f Introd}$	ntroduction				
Litera	ture review	3			
Proble	em description and mathematical formulation	5			
Solutio	on algorithms	7			
0.1	Beam Search	7			
	0.1.1 Scoring States	9			
0.2	Support Plane	9			
	0.2.1 Scoring Insertions	13			
0.3	Max Rects	13			
0.4	Feasibility	13			
	0.4.1 AABB Tree	14			
Comp	utational experiments	15			
Conclu	usions and future developments	17			
Bibliog	graphy	19			
Α Αρι	nendix A	21			

B Appendix B	23
List of Figures	25
List of Tables	27
List of Symbols	29
Acknowledgements	31

## Introduction



## Literature review



# Problem description and mathematical formulation



## Solution algorithms

### 0.1. Beam Search

Beam Search (BS) is an heuristic graph search algorithm designed for systems with limited memory where expanding every possible node is unfeasible. The idea behind BS is to conduct a iterative truncated breadth-first search where, at each iteration, expanded nodes are ranked based on an heuristic and only the best ones are further explored. To perform BS one must define the node structure, an expansion function to generate new nodes from an existing one, an evaluation function to compare nodes between eachother and a function to determine if a node is a solution to the problem.

Let  $s_i$  be a node in the graph of possible solutions of the 3DBPP,  $s_i$  can be seen as an instance of the problem where a sequence of placements has taken place. An expansion of a node  $s_i$  generates a new node  $s_j$  where a placement has occured for a given set of items. Since evaluating possible expansions can be computationally easier than computing new node data structures, a *Commit* function is defined which applies a pre-computed expansion by updating the supporting data structures in its node.

Given  $S_{init}$  the set of initial nodes to start from and k the number of best nodes to expand at each iteration, the described procedure is rappresented by algorithm 1.

#### Algorithm 1: Beam search

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{input} \ : S_{init}, k \\ \\ \textbf{output:} \ S_{best} \\ \\ S \leftarrow S_{init} \\ \\ S_{final} \leftarrow \emptyset \\ \\ \textbf{repeat} \\ \\ \begin{vmatrix} S_{new} \leftarrow Expand(S) \ (\text{Algorithm 2}) \\ S_{final} \leftarrow S_{final} \cup \{s_i \in S_{new} : IsFinal(s_i)\} \\ S_{new} \leftarrow S_{new} \setminus S_{final} \\ S_{new} \leftarrow Sort(S_{new}) \\ S \leftarrow \{ \forall Commit(s_i) : s_i \in S_{new} \land i \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \land i \leq k \} \\ \\ \textbf{until} \ S \neq \emptyset \\ \\ S_{final} \leftarrow Sort(S_{final}) \\ \\ \textbf{return} \ s_0 \in S_{final} \\ \\ \end{array}
```

The *Expand* function computes new nodes which rappresent possible placements that can be made starting from a given packing. Each node contains a number of supporting data structures that are updated across iterations by the *Commit* function.

Let S be the set of nodes that need to be expanded, each node s is rappresented by a structure which contains

- bins: the set of open bins
- unpacked: the set of items that aren't assigned to any bin
- $-s_b$ : a substructure which cointains informations about a bin b

Let Group By Height(I) be a function which operates on a set of items and outputs a set of tuples (t, I) where t is the family of the set I of items. A new set of nodes can be computed by using an underlying 3DSPP heuristic which evaluates the best move for each family of items for each currently opened bin. The described procedure is detailed in algorithm 2

#### Algorithm 2: Expand

```
input : S
output: S_{new}
forall s \in S do
   S_{new} \leftarrow \emptyset
   I_h \leftarrow GroupByHeight(s.unpacked)
   placed \leftarrow false
   forall (h, I) \in I_h do
       forall b \in bins do
          placement \leftarrow SPBestInsertion(s_b, I) (Algorithm 3)
          end
   end
   if placed = false then
       S_{new} \leftarrow S_{new} \cup OpenNewBin(s)
   end
end
return S_{new}
```

#### 0.1.1. Scoring States

In order to sort nodes, a scoring function needs to be defined over the nodes. To allow the BS to explore better solutions the scoring function can't be as flat as the objective function defined in the mathematical formulation of the problem.

## 0.2. Support Plane

We introduce Support Plane (SP) which is an heuristic introduced in this thesis based on an underlying 2DBPP heuristic which is used to evaluate feasible expansions of a given node in the BS. The proposed heuristic ensures that the constraint of support isn't violated. The idea at the base of SP is to build a solution to the 3DSPP by filling 2D planes called support planes.

Each support plane can be characterized by the triple  $S_z = (z, I_{support}, I_{upper})$  where

- -z: the height of the plane
- $I_{support}$ : the set of the items that can offer support to items placed on the plane
- $I_{upper}$ : the set of items that will be obstacles to potential new items placed on the plane

Let  $s_b$  be a data structure containing

- planes: the set of triples  $S_z$  of support planes to evaluate, ordered in ascending z order
- aabb: the AABB Tree of the items placed in the evaluated bin
- $(W_b, D_b, H_b)$ : the dimensions of the bin

Let *coords* be the set of possible coordinate changes which allow for the problem to evaluate placements starting from different corners of the bin.

Given a function  $IsFeasible(i, bin, I_{support}, I_{upper}, aabb)$  which evaluates if a packing of item i in bin bin is feasible, and the function ComparePacking(p, p') which defines a ranking over placements in the same plane, the SP algorithm can be written as algorithm 3.

#### **Algorithm 3:** SP Best Insertion

```
input : s_b, I
output: placement
placement \leftarrow \emptyset
forall S_z \in planes do
      I_p \leftarrow I \setminus \{i \in I : z + i.h > H_b\}
      forall change \in coords do
             I'_{upper} \leftarrow CoordinateChange(change, I_{upper})
            I'_p \leftarrow CoordinateChange(change, I_p)
P' \leftarrow SPPackPlane(W_b, D_b, I'_{upper}, I'_p) \text{ (Algorithm 4)}
P \leftarrow CoordinateChange(change, P')
P \leftarrow \{i \in P : IsFeasible(i, bin, I_{support}, I_{upper}, aabb)\}
if ComparePacking(placement, P) then
                   placement \leftarrow P
             end
      \quad \mathbf{end} \quad
      if placement \neq \emptyset then
       | return placement
       end
end
return placement
```

To evaluate a packing on a plane an heuristic to solve the 2DBPP is used with the introduction of fixed placements which rappresent items on other planes that will be obstacles in the current one.

Given the dimensions of the 2D bin  $(W_b, D_b)$ , the set of obstacles  $I_o$  and the set of items to pack  $I_p$  a new placement can be computed following algorithm 4

#### Algorithm 4: SP Pack Plane

Once the k best nodes are selected the placements evaluated for each node are applied and the Commit function updates every datastructure in S, including the ones used by SP. Given the instance that generated one of the placements selected and p the current set of support planes,  $z_{min}$  the minimum z coordinate for which a placement was made in the related bin starting from the current state, I the set of items placed, U the set of items unpacked. Since placements are evaluated in order starting from the lower z possible, if no placement was made in an open support plane with z lower than  $z_{min}$ , the plane can be pruned to avoid further evaluations. The algorithm which updates the structures for a given SP instance is rappresent by algorithm 5.

### Algorithm 5: SP Apply and Filter

```
input : s_b, I, z, z_{min}, t
output: s'_b
//Filter bad planes
P' \leftarrow planes \setminus \{S_z \in planes : z \leq z_{min}\}
//Apply insertion
B \leftarrow placed \cup I
U \leftarrow unpacked \setminus I
T \leftarrow aabb
forall i \in I do
    T \leftarrow InsertAABB(i,T) //If balanced O(log(n))
    generate \leftarrow true
    forall S'_z \in P' do
        //Based on the distance from the top of the item
        dz \leftarrow S'_z.z - i.z_{max}
        if 0 \le dz \le t then
            generate \leftarrow false
            S_z'.I_{support} \leftarrow S_z'.I_{support} \cup i
        \mathbf{end}
        else if dz < 0 then
            S_z'.I_{upper} \leftarrow S_z'.I_{upper} \cup i
         end
    end
    if generate then
     P' \leftarrow P' \cup (i.z_{max}, \{i\}, \emptyset)
    end
end
return Update(s_b, P', B, U, T)
```

### 0.2.1. Scoring Insertions

#### 0.3. Max Rects

### 0.4. Feasibility

#### 0.4.1. AABB Tree

In order to check the feasibility of a given insertion, a way of checking for intersections is needed. Since every box in a solution is axis aligned and defined by a static bounding box an Axis Aligned Bounding Box Tree (AABB Tree) is constructed and updated throughout the various nodes of the search. AABB Trees are accelleration structures which allow the computation of intersections given a bounding box with a time complexity of  $O(\log n)$  where n is the number of items placed.

# Computational experiments



## Conclusions and future developments

A final chapter containing the main conclusions of your research/study and possible future developments of your work have to be inserted in this chapter. [1]



# **Bibliography**

[1] D. E. Knuth. Computer programming as an art. *Commun. ACM*, pages 667–673, 1974.



# A | Appendix A

If you need to include an appendix to support the research in your thesis, you can place it at the end of the manuscript. An appendix contains supplementary material (figures, tables, data, codes, mathematical proofs, surveys, ...) which supplement the main results contained in the previous chapters.



# $\mathbf{B} \mid$ Appendix B

It may be necessary to include another appendix to better organize the presentation of supplementary material.



# List of Figures



## List of Tables



# List of Symbols

Variable	Description	SI unit
u	solid displacement	m
$\boldsymbol{u}_f$	fluid displacement	m



# Acknowledgements

Here you might want to acknowledge someone.

