Experiment Procedure Robot Modelling, Identification, and Control

ACM - Adaptive Control of a Planar Manipulator

June 5, 2025

<u>∧ IMPORTANT:</u> It is essential that you carry out the following steps before starting the experiment!

- 1.) Select "Fixed-Step" as the solver for your Simulink model with a variable Sample Time $T_s = 0.001$. You will select this later depending on the task. You can set this under "Model Configuration Parameters" in the upper bar.
- 2.) Avoid hardcoded values, i.e. only use variables within Simulink and define them outside in a central script which is called by the simulation via callback 1 .
- 3.) Deactivate the check mark at "Limit data points to..." in Scopes in order not to lose any data points during longer simulation times.
- 4.) If you need to compare two systems, the easiest way is to copy the original system and make the changes to the copy. So you always have both versions available.
- 5.) For "To Workspace" blocks, select "Array" as the storage format, since they are the easiest to handle.
- 6.) If the function of a command is not clear, use MATLAB Help.
- 7.) Use the "clear" command in your main script to clean up your workspace before performing a task and avoid errors due to old data.

<u>MPORTANT:</u> In Moodle you are provided with a MATLAB script and a Simulink model. **Items marked with a** ★ must be included in your experiment report.

1 Experimental procedure

Consider a two DoF robot whose dynamics are given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tau_1 \\ \tau_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} p_1 + 2p_3c(q_2) & p_2 + p_3c(q_2) \\ p_2 + p_3c(q_2) & p_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \ddot{q}_1 \\ \ddot{q}_2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -p_3s(q_2)\dot{q}_2 & -p_3s(q_2)(\dot{q}_1 + \dot{q}_2) \\ p_3s(q_2)\dot{q}_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{q}_1 \\ \dot{q}_2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} f_{d1} & 0 \\ 0 & f_{d2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{q}_1 \\ \dot{q}_2 \end{bmatrix}, (1)$$

where $c(q_i) = \cos(q_i)$ and $s(q_i) = \sin(q_i)$. The parameter values are as follows $p_1 = 3.473 \ kgm^2$, $p_2 = 0.196 \ kgm^2$, $p_3 = 0.242 \ kgm^2$, $f_{d1} = 5.3 \ Nms$ and $f_{d2} = 1.1 \ Nms$. It is desired that its joints follow this trajectory

$$q_d = \begin{bmatrix} \sin(3t) \\ 2\cos(t) \end{bmatrix} \tag{2}$$

T1 (**10 P**) Given the model and the given desired trajectory for a simple point mass two link robot provided in Moodle, implement a traditional adaptive controller with a gradient-based (i.e., constant diagonal adaptation gain matrix Γ) tracking-error-driven adaptive update law and a proportional element with gain k.

<u>∧ IMPORTANT:</u> do not use numerical differentiation blocks.

MPORTANT: here it is assumed that **only** the joint angles and velocities are measurable.

- Define the tracking error as $e(t) = q_d(t) q(t)$
- Define the filtered tracking error as $r(t) = \dot{e}(t) + \alpha e(t)$
- Make a change of variable and express the pendulum dynamics equations in terms of r(t), e(t), $\dot{e}(t)$ and the desired trajectory and its derivatives.

? Hint: The desired joint trajectory q_d is known, what about its first and second derivatives? **IMPORTANT:** only substitute terms that are **outside** the matrices; i.e. do not change M(q).

• Group the terms depending on the error, the desired trajectory and the filtered tracking error and find the regressor matrix $Y(e, \dot{e}, q_d, \dot{q}_d, \ddot{q}_d, r)$

<u>MPORTANT:</u> you will come across the term $C(\cdot)r$, <u>DO NOT</u> include it in the regressor. Very Hint: At this point your equation should look like this $M(\cdot)\dot{r} = Y(\cdot)\theta - C(\cdot)r - \tau$

• Define the controller as $\tau = kr + Y(\cdot)\hat{\theta}$ and substitute it in the dynamics equation

★ What would be a reasonable choice of Lyapunov function for this system? Write it in your report.

\frac{\text{V}}{\text{Hint:}}} look at equation (2.12) in the script and use M(q) as your choice for P

- Based upon your Lyapunov function define the dynamics for the estimated parameters $\hat{\theta}$, i.e. $\dot{\hat{\theta}}$ =?
 - **\vert Hint:** It should look very similar to the eq. (2.4) in the script.
- Create a Simulink model to test the tracking performance of your controller on the desired trajectory
- **T2** (**10 P**) For the **same model**, **same initial conditions**, and **same control gains** (i.e. k and Γ) implement an adaptive controller that uses a composite adaptive update law that contains a least-squares based time varying adaptation gain matrix and uses both a tracking error and a prediction error.
 - Use the dynamics expressed as function of the filtered tracking error r(t) and the tracking error e(t) (and their corresponding derivatives)
 - Get the corresponding expression for the filtered torque, use eqs. (2.22) and (2.23) from the script

Hint: Get the *filtered* regressor matrix form as follows:

$$\mathbf{Y}_{f}\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\dot{f} * \mathbf{Y}_{a} + \mathbf{Y}_{b} + f * \mathbf{Y}_{c})\boldsymbol{\theta}$$

Compare the terms in parenthesis to eq. (2.23) to see what the corresponding matrices are equivalent to. Use the example in sec. 2.5.2 as guide.

Hint: you should arrive to the following (possibly further simplified) matrices

- Define the controller as $\tau = kr + Y(\cdot)\hat{\theta}$
- · Define the adaption law using

$$\dot{\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}} = \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{LS}(t)\boldsymbol{Y}^{T}(\cdot)\boldsymbol{r} + \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{LS}(t)\boldsymbol{Y}_{f}(\cdot)^{T}\tilde{\boldsymbol{\tau}}_{f}$$
(3a)

$$\dot{\mathbf{\Gamma}}_{LS}(t) = -\mathbf{\Gamma}_{LS}(t)\mathbf{Y}_f^T\mathbf{Y}_f\mathbf{\Gamma}_{LS}(t)$$
(3b)

\vert Hint: Compute the filtered torque error $ilde{ au}_f$ as described in sec. 2.5.5

- ★ For both controllers in T1 and T2 plot the actual versus estimated adaptive parameters, the tracking errors, and the control input. Provide the control gains you have used.
- **T3** (**3 P**) Change the gains on the composite adaptive controller to see if you can achieve improved performance..
 - \star Show in your report the comparison plots as before for the new gains. Provide the new/tuned gain values.
- **T4** (**3 P**) Add the following probing signal to both controllers

$$prob_1 = e^{-0.05t} \tanh(10t) \left(-10\sin(7\pi t) + 5\sin(e^t) \right)$$
 (4)

$$prob_2 = e^{-0.05t} \tanh(10t) \left(-10\sin(9\pi t) + 10\sin(e^{2t}) \right)$$
 (5)

\vec{\varphi} Hint: it should look like
$$\tau = kr + Y(\cdot)\hat{\theta} + \begin{bmatrix} prob_1 \\ prob_2 \end{bmatrix}$$
.

 \star Provide in your report plots of the actual and estimated parameters. Briefly discuss what was the impact of adding the probing signals.