# MINIMAL ALGEBRAS

#### ARTURO

### 1. Preliminaries

**Definition 1.1.** Let F be a set of function symbols and  $\mathbf{A}$  be an algebra over F. We denote by  $Clo(\mathbf{A})$  the smallest set containing

$$\{f^{\mathbf{A}}: f \in F\}$$
 and  $\{\pi_i^n: A^n \to A, 1 \le i \le n, n \in \omega\}$ 

and closed under composition. The elements of  $Clo(\mathbf{A})$  are called **term** operations. We say that two algebras  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  on the same carrier are **term equivalent** if  $Clo(\mathbf{A}) \simeq Clo(\mathbf{B})$ .

**Definition 1.2.** Let F be a set of function symbols and  $\mathbf{A}$  be an algebra over F. We denote by  $Pol(\mathbf{A})$  the smallest set containing

- (1)  $\{f^{\mathbf{A}}: f \in F\};$
- $(2) \ \{\pi_i^n: A^n \to A, 1 \le i \le n, n \in \omega\};$
- (3) the constant 0-ary operations

and closed under composition. The elements of  $Pol(\mathbf{A})$  are called **polynomial** operations. We say that two algebras  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  on the same carrier are **polynomially** equivalent if  $Pol(\mathbf{A}) \simeq Pol(\mathbf{B})$ .

Example 1.3. If  $\varphi \in Clo_{m+n}(\mathbf{A})$  and  $(a_1, \ldots, a_m) \in A^m$ , then

$$\psi: A^n \to A \quad (b_1, \dots, b_n) \mapsto \varphi(a_1, \dots, a_m, b_1, \dots, b_n)$$

is a polynomial operation.

Remark 1.4. Let **A** be an algebra. An equivalence relation  $\alpha$  is a congruence of **A** iff  $\varphi(\alpha) \subseteq \alpha$  for every  $\varphi \in \text{Pol}_1(\mathbf{A})$ .

Let **A** be a finite algebra. We adopt the following convention, concerning the restriction (-|U) operation, for  $U \subseteq A$ :

- if  $\theta \in \text{Con}(\mathbf{A})$ ,  $\theta | U := \theta \cap U^2$ ;
- if  $\varphi: A^n \to A$ ,  $\varphi|U$  is the function  $U^n \to A$ ,  $(u_1, \dots, u_n) \mapsto \varphi(u_1, \dots, u_n)$ ;
- $\operatorname{Pol}(\mathbf{A})|U := \{\psi|U : \psi \in \operatorname{Pol}(\mathbf{A}) \text{ and } \psi[U^n] \subseteq U\};$
- $\mathbf{A}||U := (U, \operatorname{Pol}(\mathbf{A})|U).$

### 2. Finite Minimal Algebras

**Definition 2.1.** A nontrivial finite algebra **A** is **minimal** iff every noncostant element of  $Pol_1(\mathbf{A})$  is bijective.

The goal is to classify, up to polynomial equivalence, all the finite minimal algebras.

Example 2.2. The following are examples of minimal algebras.

- (1) any algebra with carrier 2;
- (2) a nontrivial finite vector space **A** over a finite field **k**: every  $\pi \in \operatorname{Pol}_1(\mathbf{A})$  is of the form  $\pi(v) = av + b$  for some  $a \in k, b \in A$ ;
- (3) a group of permutations acting on a finite set. If **G** is a group acting on a set A each  $g \in G$  induces an operation  $\varphi_g : A \to A$  given by  $\varphi_g(a) = g \cdot a$ . Let  $\Phi_{\mathbf{G}} := \{ \varphi_g : g \in G \}$ . A **G**-set can be seen as an algebra  $(A, \Phi_{\mathbf{G}})$ .

We shall prove that, up to polynomial equivalence, there are no other finite minimal algebras.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be a minimal algebra. If every element of  $\operatorname{Pol}(\mathbf{A})$  is essentially unary, then  $\mathbf{A}$  is polynomially equivalent to  $(A, \Phi_{\mathbf{G}})$  where  $\mathbf{G}$  is a finite group acting on A.

*Proof.* Since **A** is minimal,  $\operatorname{Pol}_1(\mathbf{A}) \cap \operatorname{Sym}(A)$  is a subgroup of  $\operatorname{Sym}(A)$ . Let  $\mathbf{G} := \operatorname{Pol}_1(\mathbf{A}) \cap \operatorname{Sym}(A)$ . If  $\psi \in \operatorname{Pol}(\mathbf{A})$ , either  $\psi$  is constant or  $\psi$  is essentially unary, hence  $(A, \Phi_{\mathbf{G}})$  is polynomially equivalent to **A**.

**Theorem 2.4** ([3]). Let **A** be a minimal algebra with |A| > 2. If Pol(**A**) contains an operation which is not essentially unary, then **A** is polynomially equivalent to a **k**-vector space for a finite field **k**.

**Theorem 2.5.** Every algebra **A** with carrier 2 is polynomially equivalent to one of the following:

- (1)  $\mathbf{E}_0 = (2, \emptyset);$
- (2)  $\mathbf{E}_1 = (2, \neg);$
- (3)  $\mathbf{E}_3 = (2, \land, \lor, \neg);$
- (4)  $\mathbf{E}_4 = (2, \wedge, \vee);$
- (5)  $\mathbf{E}_5 = (2, \vee);$
- (6)  $\mathbf{E}_6 = (2, \wedge).$

Each of them is not polynomially equivalent to the other<sup>1</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>A classical theorem by Post states that the set of clones of operations on 2 is countable infinite. By Theorem 2.5 among these there are exactly seven distinct clones containing the constant operations. However it has been proven that the set of clones on 3 containing the constant operations is uncountable.

Remark 2.6. Up to isomorphism,  $\mathbf{E}_5 (\simeq \mathbf{E}_6)$  is the only semilattice with two elements, while  $\mathbf{E}_3$  and  $\mathbf{E}_4$  are the only Boolean algebra and lattice, respectively, with two elements.

**Definition 2.7.** Let **A** be a minimal algebra. We say that **A** is of

- (1) **type 1** (or **unary**) if **A** is polynomially equivalent to  $(A, \Phi_{\mathbf{G}})$  for some  $\mathbf{G} \leq \operatorname{Sym}(A)$ ;
- (2) **type 2** (or **affine**) if **A** is polynomially equivalent to a vector space over a finite field **k**;
- (3) **type 3** (or **Boolean**) if **A** is polynomially equivalent to  $\mathbf{E}_3$ ;
- (4) **type 4** (or **lattice**) if **A** is polynomially equivalent to  $\mathbf{E}_4$ ;
- (5) **type 5** (or **semilattice**) if **A** is polynomially equivalent to  $\mathbf{E}_5$ .

#### 3. Relative Minimal Algebras

**Definition 3.1.** Let **A** be a finite algebra and let  $\delta < \theta \in \text{Con}(\mathbf{A})$ . We say that **A** is  $(\delta, \theta)$ -minimal if for all  $\varepsilon \in \text{Pol}_1(\mathbf{A})$  either  $\varepsilon$  is bijective or  $\varepsilon(\theta) \subseteq \delta$ .

Remark 3.2. Observe that **A** is minimal iff **A** is  $(\Delta, \nabla)$ -minimal.

**Definition 3.3.** Let **A** be a  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -minimal algebra. An  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -trace of **A** is a  $\beta$ -equivalence class which contains at least two  $\alpha$ -equivalence classes.

**Lemma 3.4.** Let **A** be a finite  $(\delta, \theta)$ -minimal algebra and let N be a  $(\delta, \theta)$ -trace. Then the algebra  $(\mathbf{A}||N)/(\delta|N)$  is minimal.

*Proof.* We need to show that for every

$$\psi \in \text{Pol}_1((\mathbf{A}||N)/(\delta|N)) = \{(\varphi|N)/(\delta|N) : \varphi \in \text{Pol}_1(\mathbf{A}), \varphi[N] \subseteq N\}$$

either  $\psi$  is bijective, or  $\psi$  is constant. Let  $\psi = (\varphi|N)/(\delta|N)$ . Since **A** is  $(\delta, \theta)$ -minimal, either  $\varphi$  is bijective or  $\varphi(\theta) \subseteq \delta$ . Clearly, if  $\varphi$  is bijective,  $\psi$  is bijective. If  $\varphi(\theta) \subseteq \delta$ ,  $\psi$  is constant: if  $(x,y) \in N^2 \subseteq \theta$ , then  $(\psi(x), \psi(y)) \in \delta$  so that  $\psi(x) = \psi(y)$  in  $(\mathbf{A}||N)/(\delta|N)$ .

Therefore, with an abuse of language, we shall refer unambiguously to the type of N as the type of  $(\mathbf{A}||N)/(\delta|N)$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** Let **A** be a  $(\delta, \theta)$ -minimal algebra. Then all  $(\delta, \theta)$ -traces of **A** have the same type.

**Definition 3.6.** Let **A** be a finite  $(\delta, \theta)$ -minimal algebra. We say that **A** is of type **i** relative to  $(\delta, \theta)$  if each  $(\delta, \theta)$ -trace of **A** is of type **i**.

**Definition 3.7.** Let **A** be a finite algebra and  $B, C \subseteq A$ . We say that B, C are **polynomially isomorphic**  $(B \sim C)$  if there are  $\varphi, \psi \in \operatorname{Pol}_1(\mathbf{A})$  such that  $\varphi[B] = C, \psi[C] = B$  and  $\psi\varphi[B = 1_B, \varphi\psi[C] = 1_C$ .

4

Remark 3.8. If  $B, C \subseteq A$  are polynomial isomorphic in A, then  $A||B \simeq A||C$ .

**Lemma 3.9.** Let **A** be a  $(\delta, \theta)$ -minimal algebra and N be a  $(\delta, \theta)$ -trace. Then

- (1)  $(-|N): [\Delta_A, \theta] \to \operatorname{Con}(\mathbf{A}||N)$  is a surjective lattice homomorphism;
- (2) quotienting out by  $\delta$ , there is a surjective lattice homomorphism  $[\delta, \theta] \rightarrow \operatorname{Con}((\mathbf{A}||N)/(\delta|N));$
- (3) if any two  $(\delta, \theta)$ -traces are polynomially isomorphic, then the map of the second item is an isomorphism.

Proof. Clearly, the map is well defined and preserves meets. We show that

$$(\alpha \vee \beta) \cap N^2 = (\alpha \cap N^2) \vee (\beta \cap N^2).$$

Let  $(x,y) \in (\alpha \vee \beta) \cap N^2$ . Then there are  $x = x_0, \dots, x_{n+1} = y$  such that either  $(x_i, x_{i+1}) \in \alpha$  or  $(x_i, x_{i+1}) \in \beta$ . We show that for each  $i, (x_i, x_{i+1}) \in N^2$ . Inductively, if  $x_i \in N$ , and, say,  $(x_i, x_{i+1}) \in \alpha \subseteq \theta$ , then  $x_{i+1} \in N$ . Now, for  $\beta \in \text{Con}(\mathbf{A}||N)$ , let  $\hat{\beta}$  be

$$\{(x,y) \in \theta : (\psi(x),\psi(y)) \in \mathbb{N}^2 \implies (\psi(x),\psi(y)) \in \beta \quad \forall \psi \in \operatorname{Pol}_1(\mathbf{A})\}$$

Then  $\hat{\beta}$  is a congruence. We show that  $\hat{\beta} \cap N^2 = \beta$ , proving surjectivity. If  $(x,y) \in \hat{\beta}$ , then  $(\psi(x), \psi(y)) \in N^2 \implies (\psi(x), \psi(y)) \in \beta$  for all  $\psi \in \operatorname{Pol}_1(\mathbf{A})$ ; if  $(x,y) \in N^2$ , then  $(\psi(x), \psi(y)) \in N^2$ . Therefore  $(\psi(x), \psi(y)) \in \beta$  for all  $\psi \in \operatorname{Pol}_1(\mathbf{A})$ , and, taking  $\psi(x) = x$ ,  $(x,y) \in \beta$ . Conversely, let  $(x,y) \in \beta$ . As  $\beta \subseteq N^2 \subseteq \theta$ ,  $(x,y) \in \theta$ . Let  $\psi \in \operatorname{Pol}_1(\mathbf{A})$ . If  $(\psi(x), \psi(y)) \in N^2$ , then  $\psi \in \operatorname{Pol}_1(\mathbf{A}||N)$ . Since  $\beta \in \operatorname{Con}(\mathbf{A}||N)$ ,  $(\psi(x), \psi(y)) \in \beta$ .

The second item immediately follows. As to the third, we need to prove injectivity. Let  $\delta \leq \alpha < \beta \leq \theta$ . Let  $(x,y) \in \beta - \alpha$ . Then  $(x,y) \in \theta - \delta$  and  $P := x/\theta$  is a  $(\delta,\theta)$ -trace. Since P is polynomially isomorphic to N, there is  $\psi \in \operatorname{Pol}_1(\mathbf{A})$  such that

$$\psi[P] = \psi[x/\theta] = \{\psi(z) : (x, z) \in \theta\} = N.$$

Then,  $(\psi(x), \psi(y)) \in (\theta - \delta) \cap N^2$ . Also,  $(\psi(x), \psi(y)) \in \beta - \alpha$ , so that  $(\psi(x), \psi(y)) \in \beta | N - \alpha | N$  and  $\alpha | N/\delta | N < \beta | N/\delta | N$ .

The following is an example of a sufficient condition that guarantees that the lattices  $[\delta, \theta]$  and  $\text{Con}((\mathbf{A}||N)/(\delta|N))$  are isomorphic.

**Lemma 3.10.** Let **A** be a  $(\delta, \theta)$ -minimal algebra with  $\delta \prec \theta$ . Let N, K be two  $(\delta, \theta)$ -traces. Then N and K are polynomially isomorphic.

Proof. to complete 
$$\Box$$

### 4. Tame Congruences

**Definition 4.1.** Let **A** be a finite algebra and  $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Con}(\mathbf{A})$  with  $\alpha < \beta$ . We denote by

- (1)  $E(\mathbf{A})$  the set of  $\varepsilon \in Pol_1(\mathbf{A})$  such that  $\varepsilon^2 = \varepsilon$ ;
- (2)  $U_{\mathbf{A}}(\alpha, \beta)$  the set  $\{\varphi[A] : \varphi \in \operatorname{Pol}_1(\mathbf{A}), \varphi(\beta) \not\subseteq \alpha\};$

(3)  $M_{\mathbf{A}}(\alpha, \beta)$  the set of minimal (with respect to the inclusion relation) elements of  $U_{\mathbf{A}}(\alpha, \beta)$ .

Remark 4.2. A finite algebra  $\mathbf{A}$  is  $(\delta, \theta)$ -minimal iff  $A \in \mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{A}}(\delta, \theta)$ . If  $\mathbf{A}$  is  $(\delta, \theta)$ -minimal, every  $\psi \in \mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{A}}(\delta, \theta)$  such that  $\psi(\delta) \nsubseteq \theta$  is bijective. Clearly  $A \in \mathrm{U}_{\mathbf{A}}(\delta, \theta)$ , as witnessed by  $\varepsilon(x) = x$ . If there were  $\psi \in \mathrm{Pol}_1(\mathbf{A})$  with  $\psi(\delta) \nsubseteq \theta$  and  $\psi[A] \subset A$  we would contradict  $(\delta, \theta)$ -minimality. Conversely, let  $A \in \mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{A}}(\delta, \theta)$  and  $\psi \in \mathrm{Pol}_1(\mathbf{A})$  with  $\psi(\delta) \nsubseteq \theta$ ; we show that  $\psi \in \mathrm{Sym}(A)$ . But by definition of  $\mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{A}}(\delta, \theta)$ , there is no  $\psi \in \mathrm{Pol}_1(\mathbf{A})$  with  $\psi(\delta) \nsubseteq \theta$  and  $\psi[A] \subset A$ .

**Lemma 4.3.** Let  $\varepsilon \in E(\mathbf{A})$ ,  $U := \varepsilon[A]$ , and  $\emptyset \neq N \subseteq U$ . Then  $\mathbf{A}||N = (\mathbf{A}||U)||N$ .

*Proof.* That  $(\operatorname{Pol}(\mathbf{A})|U)|N \subseteq \operatorname{Pol}(\mathbf{A})|N$  is obvious. Conversely, let  $\psi = \varphi|N$  for some  $\varphi \in \operatorname{Pol}(\mathbf{A})$  with  $\varphi[N^k] \subseteq N$ . Clearly,  $\varepsilon \varphi[U^k] \subseteq U$ . If  $(a_1, \ldots, a_k) \in N$ ,  $\varphi(a_1, \ldots, a_k) \in N \subseteq U$ , hence  $\varphi(a_1, \ldots, a_k) = \varepsilon(a)$  for some  $a \in A$ . Hence  $\varepsilon \varphi(a_1, \ldots, a_k) = \varepsilon^2(a) = \varepsilon(a) \in N$  so that  $(\varepsilon \varphi|U)|N = \varphi|N$ . We have shown that  $\psi$  is an operation of  $(\mathbf{A}||U)||N$ .

**Definition 4.4.** Let **A** be a finite algebra and  $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Con}(\mathbf{A})$  with  $\alpha < \beta$ . The pair  $(\alpha, \beta)$  is a pair of **tame** congruences if there is  $V \in M_{\mathbf{A}}(\alpha, \beta)$ ,  $\varepsilon \in E(\mathbf{A})$  such that  $\varepsilon[A] = V$  and  $(-|V|) : [\alpha, \beta] \to [\alpha|V, \beta|V]$  is 0, 1-separating

A  $(\land, \lor)$ -homomorphism  $\alpha : \mathbf{L} \to \mathbf{N}$  is 0, 1-separating if  $\alpha^{-1}[\{\alpha(i)\}] = i$  for i = 0, 1.

**Theorem 4.5.** Let  $(\alpha, \beta)$  be a tame pair of congruences of a finite algebra **A**. For every  $U \in M_{\mathbf{A}}(\alpha, \beta)$ ,

- (1)  $\mathbf{A}||U|$  is  $(\alpha|U,\beta|U)$ -minimal;
- (2) there is  $\varepsilon \in E(\mathbf{A})$  such that  $\varepsilon[A] = U$ ;
- (3)  $(-|U): [\delta, \theta] \to \operatorname{Con}(\mathbf{A}||U)$  is a surjective lattice homomorphism which is 0, 1-separating.

Moreover, any two  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -minimal sets are polynomially isomorphic.

*Proof.* The first item does not depend on  $(\alpha, \beta)$  being tame. Let  $\varphi \in \operatorname{Pol}_1(\mathbf{A})$  with  $\varphi[U] \subseteq U$  and  $\varphi(\beta) \not\subseteq \alpha$ . Then  $\varphi[U] = U$  by  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -minimality, so that  $\varphi \in \operatorname{Sym}(A)$ .

As to the second: since  $(\alpha, \beta)$  is tame, there is  $V_0 \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{A}}(\alpha, \beta)$  and  $\varepsilon_0 \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{A})$  such that  $V_0 = \varepsilon_0[A]$  and  $(-|V_0|)$  is 0,1-separating.

Claim 1. If  $(x,y) \in \beta - \alpha$ , there is  $\varphi \in \text{Pol}_1(\mathbf{A})$  with  $\varphi[A] = V_0$  and such that  $(\varphi(x), \varphi(y)) \in \beta|V_0 - \alpha|V_0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\theta := \{(x,y) \in \beta : (\varepsilon_0 \varphi(x), \varepsilon_0 \varphi(y)) \in \alpha \text{ for all } \varphi \in \text{Pol}_1(\mathbf{A})\}$ . Now,  $\theta \in [\alpha, \beta]$  and  $\alpha | V_0 = \theta | V_0$ ; that  $\alpha | V_0 \subseteq \theta | V_0$  is obvious, for the converse:

$$\theta|V_0 = \{(a,b) \in \beta \cap V_0^2 : (\varepsilon_0 \varphi(a), \varepsilon_0 \varphi(b)) \in \alpha \quad \forall \varphi \in \operatorname{Pol}_1(\mathbf{A})\}$$

$$= \{(\varepsilon_0(x), \varepsilon_0(y)) \in \beta : (\varepsilon_0 \varphi \varepsilon_0(x), \varepsilon_0 \varphi \varepsilon_0(y)) \in \alpha \quad \forall \varphi \in \operatorname{Pol}_1(\mathbf{A})\}$$

$$\subseteq \{(\varepsilon_0(x), \varepsilon_0(y)) \in \beta : (\varepsilon_0(x), \varepsilon_0(y)) \in \alpha\}$$

$$= \{(a,b) \in \beta : (a,b) \in \alpha \cap V_0^2\}$$

$$= \alpha|V_0$$

This implies that  $\theta = \alpha$ , since  $(-|V_0|)$  is 0-separating. Thus  $(x, y) \in \beta - \alpha$  implies  $(x, y) \in \beta - \theta$ . By definition of  $\theta$ , there is  $\psi \in \operatorname{Pol}_1(\mathbf{A})$  such that  $(\varepsilon_0 \psi(x), \varepsilon_0 \psi(y)) \notin \alpha$ . Thus  $\varphi := \varepsilon_0 \psi$  satisfies the conditions  $\varphi[A] \subseteq V_0$  and  $(\varphi(x), \varphi(y)) \in \beta |V_0 - \alpha| V_0$ . Hence  $\varphi[A] = V_0$  by  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -minimality.

Claim 2. The relation  $\beta$  is the transitive closure of

$$\alpha \cup \{(\psi(x), \psi(y)) : (x, y) \in \beta | V_0, \psi \in \operatorname{Pol}_1(\mathbf{A}) \}.$$

*Proof.* The transitive closure of  $\alpha \cup \{(\psi(x), \psi(y)) : (x, y) \in \beta | V_0, \psi \in \operatorname{Pol}_1(\mathbf{A})\}$  is  $\alpha \vee \theta(\beta | V_0)$ . But  $\alpha \vee \theta(\beta | V_0) \in [\alpha, \beta]$ , and therefore, since  $(-|V_0|)$  is 1-seprating,  $\beta = \alpha \vee \theta(\beta | V_0)$ .

Assume that  $U \in M_{\mathbf{A}}(\alpha, \beta)$ . Then, by definition, there is  $\mu \in \operatorname{Pol}_1(\mathbf{A})$  such that  $\mu[A] = U$  and  $\mu(\beta) \not\subseteq \alpha$ . This implies that the equivalence relation

$$\mu^{-1}(\alpha) = \{(a,b) : (\mu(a), \mu(b)) \in \alpha\}$$

is such that  $\beta \nsubseteq \mu^{-1}(\alpha)$ . Then by Claim 2 there are  $a, b \in V_0$  and  $\psi \in \operatorname{Pol}_1(\mathbf{A})$  such that  $(a,b) \in \beta$  and  $(\mu\psi(a),\mu\psi(b)) \notin \alpha$ . The function  $\mu_1 := \mu\psi\varepsilon_0$  satisfies  $\mu_1[A] \subseteq U$  and  $\mu_1(\beta) \nsubseteq \alpha$ : there are  $x,y \in A$  such that  $(a,b) = (\varepsilon_0(x),\varepsilon_0(y)) \in \beta$  but  $(\mu_1(a),\mu_1(b)) = (\mu\psi\varepsilon_0(x),\mu\psi\varepsilon_0(y)) \notin \alpha$ . Thus  $\mu_1[A] = U$  by  $(\alpha,\beta)$ -minimality. Observe that  $\mu_1[V_0] = \mu_1\varepsilon_0[A] = \mu\psi\varepsilon_0^2[A] = \mu_1[A]$ , so that  $\mu_1[V_0] = U$ . Apply Claim 1 to the pair  $(\mu_1(a),\mu_1(b))$  to get  $\nu \in \operatorname{Pol}_1(\mathbf{A})$  such that  $\nu[A] = V_0$  and  $(\nu\mu_1(a),\nu\mu_1(b)) \notin \alpha$ . Now, since  $\mu_1\nu[A] = \mu_1[V_0] = U$ ,  $\mu_1\nu[U]$  is bijective; since U is finite, there is k > 1 such that  $(\mu_1\nu|U)^k = (\mu_1\nu)^k|U = 1_U$ . Let  $\varepsilon := (\mu_1\nu)^k$ . We have:  $\varepsilon[A] = (\mu_1\nu)^k[A] = (\mu_1\nu)^{k-1}[U] = U$  and, consequently, for all  $a \in A$ ,  $\varepsilon^2(a) = \varepsilon(a)$  since  $\varepsilon(a) \in U$ . Therefore  $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{A})$ .

We prove the third statement. Clearly, the map is well defined and preserves meets. For  $\theta \in [\alpha|U, \beta|U]$ , to complete

Finally, in the above notation, let  $\varphi := \nu$ ,  $\psi := (\mu_1 \nu)^{k-1} \mu_1$ . Then  $\varphi[U] = V_0, \psi[V_0] = U$  and  $\psi \varphi | U = 1_U, \varphi \psi | V_0 = 1_{V_0}$ . Then fact that any  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -minimal set is polynomially isomorphic to  $V_0$  implies that any two  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -minimal sets are polynomially isomorphic.

**Definition 4.6.** Let  $(\alpha, \beta)$  be tame in a finite algebra **A**. An  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -trace\* of **A** is  $N \subseteq A$  such that for some  $U \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{A}}(\alpha, \beta)$  and  $x \in U$ ,  $N \subseteq U$  and  $N = x/(\beta|U) \neq x/(\alpha|U)$ . That is, N is an  $(\alpha|U, \beta|U)$ -trace of the minimal algebra  $\mathbf{A}||U$ .

**Definition 4.7.** Let  $(\alpha, \beta)$  be tame in a finite algebra **A**. Let  $\delta, \theta \in \text{Con}(\mathbf{A})$ . Let K be a class of algebras. We define

- (1) the **type** of  $(\alpha, \beta)$ , written  $typ(\alpha, \beta)$ , to be the type of  $\mathbf{A}||U$  relative to  $(\alpha|U, \beta|U)$ ;
- (2)  $typ\{\delta, \theta\} := \{typ(\alpha, \beta) : \delta \le \alpha \prec \beta \le \beta\};$
- (3)  $typ{A} := typ{\Delta_A, \nabla_A};$
- $(4) \operatorname{typ}\{K\} := \cup \{\operatorname{typ}\{\mathbf{A}\} : \mathbf{A} \in K_{\operatorname{fin}}\}.$

Remark 4.8. If  $(\Delta, \nabla)$  in Con(**A**) is tame, then  $\operatorname{typ}(\Delta, \nabla)$  coincides with  $\operatorname{typ}(\mathbf{A})$  of Definition 2.7.

**Lemma 4.9.** Let  $(\alpha, \beta)$  be tame in a finite algebra **A**. For every  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -trace\* of **A**, the algebra  $(\mathbf{A}||N)/(\alpha|N)$  is minimal and  $\operatorname{typ}(\alpha, \beta) = \operatorname{typ}((\mathbf{A}||N)/(\alpha|N))$ .

*Proof.* Let U be any  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -minimal set and N be an  $(\alpha|U, \beta|U)$ -trace. The algebra  $\mathbf{A}||U$  is minimal relative to  $(\alpha|U, \beta|U)$ . By Lemma 4.3  $\mathbf{A}||N = (\mathbf{A}||U)||N$  and consequently  $(\mathbf{A}||N)/(\alpha|N) = ((\mathbf{A}||U)||N)/((\alpha|U)|N)$ . The type of  $\mathbf{A}||U$  relative to  $(\alpha|U, \beta|U)$  is, by definition, the type of the minimal algebra  $\mathbf{M} := ((\mathbf{A}||U)||N)/((\alpha|U)|N)$ ; but M is the only  $(\Delta_M, \nabla_M)$ -trace of  $\mathbf{M}$ , hence this is typ( $\mathbf{M}$ ).

# 5. Omitting Types

**Definition 5.1.** Let V be a variety. An algebra  $A \in V$  is called

- (1) **free** if there is an isomorphism  $\mathbf{A} \simeq \mathbf{F}_{\mathsf{V}}(\kappa)$  for some cardinal  $\kappa$ ;
- (2) **finitely generated** if there is a surjective homomorphism  $\mathbf{F}_{\mathsf{V}}(n) \to \mathbf{A}$  for some  $n \in \omega$ .

**Definition 5.2.** A variety V is called

- (1) **locally finite** if all its finitely generated algebras are finite;
- (2) **finitely presented** if V has a finite set of function symbols and  $V = Alg(\Sigma)$  for a finite set of equations  $\Sigma$ ;
- (3) finitely generated if  $V = V(\mathbf{A}_1, \dots, \mathbf{A}_n)$  for  $\mathbf{A}_1, \dots, \mathbf{A}_n$  finite similar algebras.

Remark 5.3. Observe that  $V(\mathbf{A}_1, \dots, \mathbf{A}_n) = V(\mathbf{A}_1 \times \dots \times \mathbf{A}_n)$ .

**Lemma 5.4.** Let V be a variety. If V is finitely generated then it is locally finite.

*Proof.* By the previous remark, we can assume that  $V = V(\mathbf{A})$  for some  $\mathbf{A}$  finite. Let  $n < \omega$ . We prove that  $\mathbf{F}_{V}(n)$  is finite. Consider the homomorphism

$$\mathbf{F}_{\mathsf{V}}(n) \to \mathbf{A}^{A^n}, \quad t(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto t^{\mathbf{A}}$$

This homomorphism is injective: if  $t^{\mathbf{A}} = s^{\mathbf{A}}$ , then  $\mathbf{A} \models t \equiv s$ , i.e. t = s in  $\mathbf{F}_{\mathsf{V}}(n)$ . Thus  $\mathbf{F}_{\mathsf{V}}(n)$  is finite.

**Definition 5.5.** Let V and W be two varieties. We say that V is **interpretable** into W  $(V \le W)$  if there is a clone homomorphism  $Clo(V) \to Clo(W)$ .

Remark 5.6. Let W, V be two varieties. We unravel what  $V \leq W$  means in a simple case, that is when V is finitely presented. Let F be a finite set of function symbols. Let V be a variety of algebras over F, defined by the equations

$$(1) s_1 \equiv t_1, \dots, s_k \equiv t_k.$$

Assume that for each  $f \in F_n$  there is  $t \in Clo_n(W)$  such that the interpetation of the t's satisfy the equations (1). Then the assignment  $f \mapsto t$  extends to a clone homomorphism  $Clo(V) \to Clo(W)$ . Of course, the converse also holds; thus this is equivalent to  $V \leq W$ .

**Lemma 5.7.** Let W, V be two varieties such that  $W \leq V$ . Assume that W is

- *idempotent*;
- finitely presented;
- the finite set of equations defining W contains at most one function symbol per side.

Let  $\mathbf{A} \in V$ ,  $\varepsilon \in \mathrm{E}(\mathbf{A})$ ,  $U := \varepsilon[A]$ ,  $\beta \in \mathrm{Con}(\mathbf{A})$  and  $N := a/\beta \cap U$  for  $a \in U$ . Then  $\mathsf{W} \leq V(\mathbf{A}||N)$ . Moreover, if  $\mathbf{1} \in \mathrm{typ}\{\mathsf{V}\}$ , then  $\mathsf{W} \leq \mathsf{Set}$ .

*Proof.* By assumption W can be described by a finite set of equations of the form

(2) 
$$f_i(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k}) \equiv f_i(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k})$$

where  $f_i$  and  $f_j$  are members of a finite set F of function symbols. Since  $W \leq V$ , there is an assignment  $f \mapsto t$  extending to a clone homomorphism. We need to find a clone homomorphism  $Clo(W) \to Clo(\mathbf{A}||N)$ . Consider  $f \mapsto \varphi := \varepsilon t_i^{\mathbf{A}}|N$ . Firstly, it is well defined: if  $(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in S$ ,  $(\varphi(a_1, \ldots, a_n), \varphi(a_1, \ldots, a_n)) \in \beta$  but

$$\varphi(a,\ldots,a) = \varepsilon t^{\mathbf{A}}(a,\ldots,a) = \varepsilon(a) \in U$$

so that  $\varphi(a_1,\ldots,a_n)\in S$  and therefore  $\varphi\in\operatorname{Pol}(\mathbf{A})|N$ . Finally, using that  $\mathsf{W}\leq\mathsf{V}$ 

$$\varphi_i(a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_h}) = \varepsilon t_i^{\mathbf{A}}(a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_h})$$
$$= \varepsilon t_j^{\mathbf{A}}(a_{j_1}, \dots, a_{j_k})$$
$$= \varphi_j(a_{j_1}, \dots, a_{j_k}).$$

If  $\mathbf{1} \in \operatorname{typ}\{V\}$ . Then there are  $\alpha \prec \beta \in \operatorname{Con}(\mathbf{A})$  such that  $\operatorname{typ}(\alpha,\beta) = \mathbf{1}$ . Without loss of generality we can assume that  $\alpha = \Delta_A$ . Let N be a  $(\Delta_A,\beta)$ -trace\*. Then there are  $\varepsilon \in \operatorname{E}(\mathbf{A})$ ,  $U := \varepsilon[A]$  such that  $N = a/\beta \cap U$  for some  $a \in U$ . Thus  $W \leq V(\mathbf{A}||N)$ .

Claim 3. Let M be finite minimal algebra of unary type. Let A = (M, Pol(M)). Then V(A) contains a finite algebra S all of whose polynomials are constant or projections.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbf{G} := \mathrm{Sym}(M) \cap \mathrm{Pol}_1(\mathbf{M})$ , subgroup of  $\mathrm{Sym}(M)$ . Let  $u, v \in M, \ u \neq v$  and let

$$D := \{ (\sigma(u), \sigma(v)) : \sigma \in \mathbf{G} \} \cup \{ (\sigma(v), \sigma(u)) : \sigma \in \mathbf{G} \}$$

Since **M** is minimal with  $typ(\mathbf{M}) = \mathbf{1}$ , every polynomial  $\psi$  is constant or there is i,  $\sigma \in \mathbf{G}$  such that

$$\psi(a_1,\ldots,a_n)=\sigma(a_i).$$

This implies that  $\mathbf{D}$  is a subalgebra of  $\mathbf{A}^2$ . Let

$$((x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2)) \in \theta \iff \sigma(x_i) = y_i \text{ for some } \sigma \in \mathbf{G}$$

We show that every term operation of  $\mathbf{D}/\theta$  is either constant or a projection. Let  $\psi \in \operatorname{Pol}_n(\mathbf{M})$  non constant and  $(a_i, b_i) \in D$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ . Then there is  $\tau \in \mathbf{G}$  such that

$$\psi((a_1, b_1)/\theta, \dots, (a_n, b_n)/\theta) = \psi((a_1, b_1), \dots, (a_n, b_n))/\theta$$

$$= (\psi(a_1, \dots, a_n), \psi(b_1, \dots, b_n))/\theta$$

$$= (\tau(a_i), \tau(b_i))/\theta$$

$$= (a_i, b_i)/\theta.$$

Let  $\mathbf{S} \in V(\mathbf{A}||N)$  be such that every term operation of  $\mathbf{S}$  is constant or a projection. Then there is a clone homomorphism  $\mathrm{Clo}(\mathbf{A}||N) \to \mathrm{Clo}(\mathbf{S})$ . Since  $\mathsf{W} \leq V(\mathbf{A}||N)$  there is a clone homomorphism  $\mathrm{Clo}(W) \to \mathrm{Clo}(\mathbf{A}||N)$ . Thus we get a clone homomorphism  $\mathrm{Clo}(W) \to \mathrm{Clo}(\mathbf{S})$ . But for every  $f \in F$ ,  $\mathsf{W}$  satisfies  $f(x,\ldots,x) \equiv x$ , hence the image of f through this clone homomorphism cannot be but a projection. This implies that  $\mathsf{W} \leq \mathsf{Set}$ .

**Theorem 5.8.** Let V be a locally finite variety. The following are equivalent:

- (1)  $\mathbf{1} \notin \operatorname{typ}\{V\};$
- (2) there is an idempotent variety W such that  $W \leq V$  and  $W \nleq Set$ .

Corollary 5.9. Let **A** be a finite idempotent algebra. There is  $\mathbf{B} \in HS(\mathbf{A})$  such that  $Clo(\mathbf{B}) \simeq \mathbf{N}$  iff  $\mathbf{1} \in typ\{HS(\mathbf{A})\}$ .

*Proof.* If  $\mathbf{1} \in \operatorname{typ}\{HS(\mathbf{A})\}$ , then  $\mathbf{1} \in \operatorname{typ}\{HSP(\mathbf{A})\}$ . Since  $\mathbf{A}$  is finite, then, by Lemma 5.4  $HSP(\mathbf{A})$  is locally finite, and therefore, by Theorem 5.8, for every idempotent variety  $\mathbf{W}$ , either  $\mathbf{W} \not\leq HSP(\mathbf{A})$  or  $\mathbf{W} \leq \mathsf{Set}$ . In particular, since  $\mathbf{A}$  is idempotent,  $HSP(\mathbf{A}) \leq \mathsf{Set}$ . This means that there is a clone homomorphism  $\operatorname{Clo}(\mathbf{A}) \to \mathbf{N}$ . Equivalently, every term operation of  $\mathbf{A}$  is a projection. Hence,  $\operatorname{Clo}(\mathbf{A}) \simeq \mathbf{N}$ .

**Theorem 5.10** ([2]). Let **A** be a finite idempotent algebra. Then  $Clo(\mathbf{A})$  contains a weak near-unanimity operation iff  $\mathbf{1} \notin typ\{HS(\mathbf{A})\}$ .

# References

- [1] Hobby, D., McKenzie, R. (1988). The structure of Finite Algebras. Contemporary Mathematics 76, American Mathematical Society.
- [2] Maróti, M., McKenzie, R. (2008). Existence theorems for weakly symmetric operations. Algebra Universalis 59, 463-489.
- [3] Pálfy, P. P. (1984). Unary polynomials in algebras I. Algebra Universalis 18, 262-273.