

## Push Down Automata

$$P = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, Z_0, F).$$

- $Q$ : Finite set of states;  $q_0$  is the start state;  
 $F$  is the set of final/accepting states.
- $\Sigma$ : Alphabet set;  $\Gamma$ : Stack alphabet
- $Z_0$  is the (only) initial symbol on the stack.
- $\delta$ : transition function.

$\delta$  takes as input a state  $q$ , an input letter  $a$  (or  $\epsilon$ ), and a stack symbol (top of stack)  $X$ .  $\delta(q, a, X)$  is then a finite subset of  $Q \times \Gamma^*$ .

$(p, \gamma) \in \delta(q, a, X)$  denotes that when in state  $q$ , reading symbol  $a$  (or  $\epsilon$ ), with top of stack being  $X$ , the machine's new state is  $p$ ,  $X$  at the top of stack is popped and  $\gamma$  is pushed to the stack. (By convention, if  $\gamma = RS$ , then  $S$  is pushed first, and then  $R$  is pushed on the stack).

## Instantaneous Descriptions

$(q, w, \alpha)$ : denotes that current state is  $q$ , input left to read is  $w$ , and  $\alpha$  is on the stack (first symbol of  $\alpha$  is top of stack).

$(q, aw, X\alpha) \vdash (p, w, \beta\alpha)$ , if  $(p, \beta) \in \delta(q, a, X)$  (here  $a$  can be  $\epsilon$ ).

One can similarly define  $\vdash_P^*$  (or simply  $\vdash^*$ , where  $P$  is understood).

1.  $I \vdash^* I$
2.  $I \vdash^* J$  and  $J \vdash K$ , then  $I \vdash^* K$

## Language accepted by PDA

Acceptance by final state.

$\{w \mid (q_0, w, Z_0) \vdash_P^* (q_f, \epsilon, \alpha), \text{ for some } q_f \in F\}.$

Acceptance by empty stack.

$\{w \mid (q_0, w, Z_0) \vdash_P^* (q, \epsilon, \epsilon), \text{ for some } q \in Q\}.$

## From Acceptance using empty stack to Acceptance using Final State

Intuition: Initially put a special symbol onto the stack.

If ever see the top of stack as that symbol, then go to final state.

$$P = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, Z_0, F).$$

$$P_F = (Q \cup \{p_0, p_f\}, \Sigma, \Gamma \cup \{X_0\}, \delta_F, p_0, X_0, \{p_f\}).$$

$$1. \delta_F(p_0, \epsilon, X_0) = \{(q_0, Z_0 X_0)\}.$$

2. For all  $Z \in \Gamma$ ,  $a \in \Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\}$ :  $\delta_F(p, a, Z)$  contains all  $(q, \gamma)$  which are in  $\delta(p, a, Z)$ .

3.  $\delta_F(p, \epsilon, X_0)$  contains  $(p_f, \epsilon)$ , for all  $p \in Q$ .

From Acceptance using final state to Acceptance using empty Stack

Place a transition from final state to a special state which empties the stack.

$$P = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, Z_0, F).$$

$$P_E = (Q \cup \{p_0, p_f\}, \Sigma, \Gamma \cup \{X_0\}, \delta_E, p_0, X_0, \{p_f\}).$$

1.  $\delta_E(p_0, \epsilon, X_0) = \{(q_0, Z_0 X_0)\}$ .
2.  $\delta_E(p, a, Z)$  contains all  $(q, \gamma)$  which are in  $\delta(p, a, Z)$ , for all  $Z \in \Gamma$  and  $a \in \Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\}$ .
3.  $\delta_E(p, \epsilon, Z)$  contains  $(p_f, \epsilon)$ , for all  $p \in F$ , and  $Z \in \Gamma \cup \{X_0\}$ .
4.  $\delta_E(p_f, \epsilon, Z)$  contains  $(p_f, \epsilon)$ , for all  $Z \in \Gamma \cup \{X_0\}$ .

## Equivalence of CFGs and PDAs

First we show how to accept a CFG.

We use the accepting by empty stack model.

Intuitively, do left-most derivation. Use stack to keep track of “what is left to derive”. Each time there is a non-terminal on the top of stack, guess a production to be used and push it on the stack. Terminal symbols can be matched as it is.

Details:

$$G = (V, T, P, S).$$

Then, construct  $PDA = (\{q_0\}, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, S, F)$ ,

where,  $\Sigma = T$ ,

$$\Gamma = V \cup T.$$

For all  $a \in \Sigma$ ,  $\delta(q_0, a, a) = \{(q_0, \epsilon)\}$

For all  $A \in V$ ,  $\delta(q_0, \epsilon, A) = \{(q_0, \gamma) : A \rightarrow \gamma \text{ in } P\}$ .

Now we show that each language accepted by a PDA (using empty stack) can be accepted by a CFG.

Suppose PDA is  $(Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, Z_0, F)$ .

We define grammar  $G = (V, \Sigma, R, S)$  as follows.

$V = \{S\} \cup \{[qZp], q, p \in Q, Z \in \Gamma\}$ .

$S \rightarrow [q_0Z_0p]$ , for each  $p \in Q$ .

If  $\delta(q, a, X)$  contains  $(r, Y_1 \dots Y_k)$ , then we have productions of form:

$[qXr_k] \rightarrow a[rY_1r_1][r_1Y_2r_2] \dots [r_{k-1}Y_kr_k]$ ,

for all  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k \in Q$ .

## Deterministic PDA

1. For all  $a \in \Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\}$ ,  $Z \in \Gamma$  and  $q \in Q$ , there is at most one element in  $\delta(q, a, Z)$ .
2. If  $\delta(q, \epsilon, X)$  is non-empty, then  $\delta(q, a, X)$  is empty for all  $a \in \Sigma$ .

Theorem: There exists a class of languages which is accepted by PDA (NPDA) but not by any DPDA.