# Design and Analysis of Algorithms

Fractional Knapsack

Instructor: Dinesh Khandelwal

#### The Knapsack Problem

- The famous knapsack problem:
  - A thief breaks into a museum. Fabulous paintings, sculptures, and jewels are everywhere. The thief has a good eye for the value of these objects, and knows that each will fetch hundreds or thousands of dollars on the clandestine art collector's market. But, the thief has only brought a single knapsack to the scene of the robbery, and can take away only what he can carry. What items should the thief take to maximize the haul?

#### Fractional knapsack and 0-1 knapsack

- After you break into a house, how to choose the items you put into your knapsack, to maximize your income.
- Each item has a weight and a value
- Your knapsack has a maximum weight
- 0-1 knapsack
  - You can only take an item of leave it there
- Fractional knapsack
  - You can take part of an item

- The fractional knapsack problem is a classic problem that can be solved by greedy algorithms
- E.g.
  - your knapsack can contain 50 Kg. Stuff;
  - the items are as in the figure
  - What is your algorithm?



- A greedy algorithm for fractional knapsack problem
- Greedy choice: choose the maximum value/kg. item





```
Algorithm fractionalKnapsack(S, W)
   Input: set S of items; benefit b_i and weight w_i; max. weight W
   Output: amount x_i of each item I to maximize benefit with
   weight at most W
   for each item i in S
  x_i \leftarrow 0
  v_i \leftarrow b_i / w_i {value}
   w \leftarrow 0 {current total weight}
 sort the array v<sub>i</sub>
while w < W
  remove item i with highest v_i
  x_i \leftarrow \min\{w_i, W - w\}
   w \leftarrow w + \min\{w_i, W - w\}
```

#### Time analysis:

 $O(n \log n)$  to sort the items by value

O(n) to select amount of each item

 $O(n \log n)$  total

Claim. Greedy algorithm gives best optimal item set.

Proof: Sort the items by value per kg.

Let  $OPT = \{y_1, y_2, ..., y_n\}$  be an optimal solution to fractional knapsack, consisting of amounts  $y_i$  for item i = 1..n.

We will transform OPT into the greedy solution  $\{x_i\}_{1..n}$  using induction while never reducing the value of OPT.

Hence greedy must have the same value as *OPT* and it is also optimal.

Proof: *OPT* and greedy are sorted by value \$ / kg

Basis of induction: i = 1. Clearly  $y_1 \le x_1$  since the greedy choice loads as much weight as possible from the most valuable item (price/weight).

If  $y_1 = x_1$ , then OPT and greedy match in the first selection, and there is nothing to show.

If  $y_1 < x_1$  then remove  $|x_1 - y_1|$  kgs from the least valuable items starting from  $y_m$  and replace them with the same amount of kgs of  $y_1$ .

Value of solution cannot go down as  $y_1$  gives the best value per kg.

#### **Proof:**

Step of induction: Assume  $y_l = x_l$  for l < i.

Observe that  $y_i \le x_i$  since the greedy strategy loads as much weight as possible from item i, given the choices up to l.

If  $y_i = x_i$ , then OPT and greedy match in their selection, and there is nothing to show.

If  $y_i < x_i$  then remove  $|x_i - y_i|$  kgs from the least valuable items starting from  $y_m$  and replace them with the same amount of  $y_i$ .

Value of solution cannot go down as  $y_i$  gives the best value per kg of  $y_k$ , k = i..m.

#### Proof:

Hence the value of *OPT* and greedy is the same

greedy is optimal too.

#### 0-1 knapsack

- The 0-1 knapsack problem is a classic problem that can not be solved by greedy algorithms
- Can you design an algorithm of this problem?

